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In this work, we prove some strong and Δ convergence results for Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive mappings through M iterative process. A uniformly convex hyperbolic metric space is used as underlying setting for our approach. We also provide an illustrate numerical example. Our results improve and extend some recently announced results of the metric fixed-point theory.

1. Introduction

A self-map $S$ on a subset $B$ of a metric space $X = (X, p)$ is called contraction if there exists some constant $\theta \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $a, b \in B$ it follows that $p(Sa, Sb) \leq \theta p(a, b)$. If $p(Sa, Sb) \leq p(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in B$, then $S$ is called non-expansive. A point $w \in B$ is called a fixed point of $S$ whenever $w = Sw$. Banach [1] theorem (1922) states that any contraction map $S$ on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point which is the limit of the sequence $\{x_k\}$ generated by the Picard iterates, that is, $x_{k+1} = Sx_k$. In 1965, Kirk [2], Browder [3], and Göhde [4] independently proved that any self nonexpansive mapping $S$ defined on a bounded closed convex subset $B$ of a uniformly convex Banach space always has a fixed point. Now, a natural question which comes in mind is that whether the sequence $\{x_k\}$ of Picard iterates converges to a fixed point of a self nonexpansive mapping. The answer of this question in general is negative. Therefore, there is a need to construct some new procedures to overcome such situations and to obtain a better rate of convergence, for example, Mann [5], Ishikawa [6], Noor [7], S [8], Abbas and Nazir [9], and Thakur et al. [10] iterative processes are often used to approximate fixed points of nonexpansive mappings. In 2008, Suzuki [11] introduced a weaker notion of nonexpansive mappings: a self-map $S$ on a subset $B$ of a metric space is said to be Suzuki type nonexpansive if for every two elements $a, b$ in $B$, $p(Sa, Sb) \leq p(a, b)$ holds whenever $(1/2)p(a, Sa) \leq p(a, b)$. It is easy to observe that the class of Suzuki type nonexpansive mappings properly includes the class of nonexpansive mappings.

The class of Suzuki type nonexpansive mappings was studied extensively by many authors (cf. [10, 12–21]). Very recently, Pant and Pandey [22] introduced Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive mappings which in turn include the class of Suzuki type nonexpansive mappings.

Definition 1 (see [22]). Let $B$ be a nonempty subset of a metric space. A map $S: B \rightarrow B$ is said to be Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive if for all $a, b \in B$, there is some constant $t \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2} p(a, Sa) \leq p(a, b) \implies p(Sa, Sb) \leq tp(a, Sa) + tp(b, Sb) + (1 - 2t)p(a, b).
$$

Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive and generalized nonexpansive mappings is an active area of research on its own [23–27]. Recently, Pant and Pandey [22] used Thakur et al. [10] iterative process to approximate fixed points of Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive mappings. The purpose of this paper is to prove strong and Δ convergence results for Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive mappings under $M$ iterative process [12], which is known to converge faster.
than the Thakur et al. [10] iterative process. In this way, we improve and extend to many results of the current literature.

Kohlenbach [28] suggested the concept of generalized metric spaces and so-called hyperbolic metric spaces. This type of metric spaces includes normed spaces, the Hilbert ball with the hyperbolic metric, Cartesian products of Hilbert balls, metric trees, Hadamard manifolds, and CAT (0) spaces in the sense of Gromov. The definition is given as follows:

A triplet $(X, p, \mathcal{H})$ is called a hyperbolic metric space whenever $(X, p)$ is a metric space and $\mathcal{H} : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a function such that for all $a, b, s \in X$ and $\mu, \xi \in [0, 1]$, the following conditions hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
(C_1) & \quad p(s, \mathcal{H}(a, b, \mu)) \leq (1 - \mu)p(s, a) + \mu p(s, b) \\
(C_2) & \quad p(\mathcal{H}(a, b, \mu), \mathcal{H}(a, b, \xi)) = |\mu - \xi|p(a, b) \\
(C_3) & \quad \mathcal{H}(a, b, \mu) = \mathcal{H}(b, a, 1 - \mu) \\
(C_4) & \quad p(\mathcal{H}(a, s, \mu), \mathcal{H}(b, w, \mu)) \leq \mu p(s, w) + (1 - \mu)p(a, b)
\end{align*}
\]

The set $\text{seg}[a, b] = \{\mathcal{H}(a, b, \mu) : \mu \in [0, 1]\}$ is known as a metric segment with endpoints $a$ and $b$. Throughout, we will write $\mathcal{H}(a, b, \mu) = (1 - \mu)a + \mu b$. A subset $B$ of $X$ is called convex provided that $(1 - \mu)a + \mu b \in B$, for every $a, b \in B$ and $\mu \in [0, 1]$. When there is no ambiguity, we will write $(X, p)$ for $(X, p, \mathcal{H})$.

**Definition 2** Let $(X, p)$ be a hyperbolic metric space. For any $s \in X, c > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. Set

\[
\sigma(c, \lambda) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{c} p \left( \frac{1}{2} a \oplus \frac{1}{2} b, s \right) : p(a, s) \leq c, \right. \\
\left. p(b, s) \leq c, p(a, b) \geq c\lambda \right\}.
\]

We say that $X$ is uniformly convex whenever $\sigma(c, \lambda) > 0$, for every $c > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$.

**Definition 3** (see [29, 30]). A hyperbolic metric space $(X, p)$ is said to be strictly convex provided that for every $a, b, s \in X$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$ such that $p(\mu a \oplus (1 - \mu)b, s) = p(a, s) = p(b, s)$ follows the condition $a = b$. By [30], every uniformly convex hyperbolic metric space is strictly convex.

Recently, Ullah and Arshad [12] introduced $M$-iteration process in Banach spaces. The hyperbolic space version of $M$ iteration process reads as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 & = x \in B, \\
z_k & = (1 - \delta_k)x_k \oplus \delta_kSx_k, \\
y_k & = Sx_k, \\
x_{k+1} & = S_0y_k, \\
k & \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$.

**2. Preliminaries**

Let $B$ be a nonempty subset of hyperbolic metric space $(X, p)$ and $\{x_k\}$ be a bounded sequence in $X$. For each $a \in X$, define

\[
(\text{i}) \quad \text{Asymptotic radius of } \{x_k\} \text{ at } a \text{ as } \quad r(\{x_k\}, a) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} p(x_k, a).
\]

\[
(\text{ii}) \quad \text{Asymptotic radius of } \{x_k\} \text{ relative to } B \text{ as } \quad r(\{x_k\}, B) = \inf \{r(\{x_k\}, a) : a \in B\}.
\]

\[
(\text{iii}) \quad \text{Asymptotic center of } \{x_k\} \text{ relative to } K \text{ by } \quad A(\{x_k\}, B) = \{a \in B : r(\{x_k\}, a) = r(\{x_k\}, B)\}.
\]

We know that in a complete hyperbolic space with monotone modulus of uniform convexity, every bounded sequence $\{x_k\}$ has a unique asymptotic center with respect to every nonempty closed convex subset $B$ of $X$.

Definition 4 (see [32]). Assume that $\{x_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in a hyperbolic space $(X, p)$. Then, $\{x_k\}$ is said to be $\Delta$-convergent to a point $s \in X$, if $s$ is a unique asymptotic center of each subsequence $\{x_{k_i}\}$ of $\{x_k\}$.

The following lemma gives many numbers of Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive mappings.

**Lemma 1** (see [22]). Let $B$ be a nonempty subset of a hyperbolic space and $S : B \rightarrow B$. If $S$ is nonexpansive, then $S$ is Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive with constant $t = 0$.

**Fixed-point set structure of Reich–Suzuki type maps is as follows.**

**Lemma 2** (see [22]). Let $B$ be a nonempty subset of a hyperbolic space and $S : B \rightarrow B$. If $S$ is Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive, then $F(S)$ is closed. Furthermore, if the space $X$ is strictly convex and the set $B$ is convex, then $F(S)$ is also convex.

**Lemma 3** (see [22]). Let $B$ be a nonempty subset of a hyperbolic metric space and $S : B \rightarrow B$ is a Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive. Then, for every $a \in B$ and $w \in F(S)$, it implies that $p(Sw, Sa) \leq p(w, a)$.

**Lemma 4** (see [22]). Let $B$ be a nonempty subset of a hyperbolic space and $S : B \rightarrow B$ is a Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive. Then, for all $a, b \in B$, it follows that

\[
p(a, Sb) \leq \frac{(3 + t)}{(1 - t)} p(a, Sa) + p(a, b).
\]

**Lemma 5** (see [33]). Let $(X, p)$ be a complete hyperbolic space with a monotone modulus of uniform convexity and $x \in X$. If $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$ and $\{x_k\}, \{y_k\}$ are sequences in $X$ such that $\limsup_{k \to \infty} d(x_k, x) \leq \xi$, $\limsup_{k \to \infty} p(y_k, x) \leq \xi$, and $\lim_{k \to \infty} p(\delta_k x_k \oplus (1 - \delta_k)y_k, x) = \xi$ for some $\xi \geq 0$, then $\lim_{k \to \infty} p(x_k, y_k) = 0$. 


3. Convergence Results in Hyperbolic Spaces

Throughout this section, the letter $X$ will stand for a hyperbolic space with a monotone modulus of uniform convexity.

**Lemma 6.** Let $B$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$. Let $S : B \rightarrow B$ be a Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive map with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_k\}$ be the sequence generated by (3). Then, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, w)$ exists for $\forall w \in F(S)$.

**Proof.** Let $w \in F(S)$. By Lemma 3, we have

$$p(x_{k+1}, w) = p(Sy_k, w) \leq p(y_k, w)$$

$$= p(Sz_k, w) \leq p(z_k, w)$$

$$= p((1 - \delta_k)x_k \oplus \delta_k Sx_k, w)$$

$$\leq (1 - \delta_k)p(x_k, w) + \delta_k p(Sx_k, w)$$

$$\leq (1 - \delta_k)p(x_k, w) + \delta_k p(x_k, w)$$

$$= p(x_k, w).$$

Hence, the sequence $\{p(x_k, w)\}$ is bounded below and decreasing. Thus, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, w)$ exists for $\forall w \in F(S)$.

The following theorem will be used in the upcoming results. □

**Theorem 1.** Let $B$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ and let $S : B \rightarrow B$ be a Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive map. Let $\{x_k\}$ be the sequence defined by (3). Then, $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\{x_k\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(Sx_k, x_k) = 0$.

**Proof.** We assume that $\{x_k\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(Sx_k, x_k) = 0$. Let $w \in A(B, \{x_k\})$. We shall prove that $Sw = w$. By Lemma 4, we have

$$r(Sw, x_k) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, Sw) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{3 + t}{1 - t} \right) p(Sx_k, x_k) + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, w) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, w) = r(w, x_k).$$

Hence, $r(Sw, x_k) = r(w, x_k)$ and $A(B, \{x_k\})$ is singleton set. We must have $Sw = w$. Hence, $F(S) \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, we assume that $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and $w \in F(S)$. We shall prove that $\{x_k\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, Sw) = 0$. By Lemma 6, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, w)$ exists and $\{x_k\}$ is bounded. Put

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, w) = \xi.$$ (10)

From the proof of Lemma 6, it follows that

$$p(z_k, w) \leq p(x_k, w),$$ (11)

$$\Longrightarrow \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(z_k, w) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, w) = \xi,$$ (12)

By Lemma 3, we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(Sx_k, w) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, w) = \xi.$$ (13)

Again from the proof of Lemma 6, it follows that

$$p(x_{k+1}, w) \leq p(z_k, w),$$ (14)

$$\Longrightarrow \xi \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(z_k, w).$$ (15)

From (12) and (15), we get

$$\xi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(z_k, w).$$ (16)

From (16), we have

$$\xi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(z_k, w),$$ (17)

$$\xi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p((1 - \delta_k)x_k \oplus \delta_k Sx_k, w).$$

Now from (10), (13), and (17) together with Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(Sx_k, x_k) = 0.$$ (18)

The $\Delta$ convergence result is as follows. □

**Theorem 2.** Let $B$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$. If $S : B \rightarrow B$ is a Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive mapping with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$, then $\{x_k\}$ is defined by (3). $\Delta$ converges to an element of $F(S)$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, the sequence $\{x_k\}$ is bounded. Hence, one can find a $\Delta$-convergent subsequence of $\{x_k\}$. Next, it is our aim to prove that each $\Delta$-convergent subsequence of $\{x_k\}$ has a unique $\Delta$ limit in the set $F(S)$. For this purpose, we assume that $\{x_k\}$ has two $\Delta$-convergent subsequences, namely, $\{x_k\}$ and $\{x_k\}$, with $\Delta$ limits $d_1$ and $d_2$, respectively. In view of Theorem 1, the sequence $\{x_k\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} p(Sx_k, x_k) = 0$. We claim that $d_1 \in F(S)$.

Now,

$$r(\{x_k\}, Sd_1) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, Sd_1).$$ (19)

Using Lemma 4, we have

$$r(\{x_k\}, Sd_1) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, Sd_1) \leq \frac{3 + t}{1 - t} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, Sx_k) \quad (20)$$

$$\quad + \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, d_1) \leq r(\{x_k\}, d_1).$$

Since the asymptotic center of $\{x_k\}$ has a unique element, $Sd_1 = d_1$. Similarly, $Sd_2 = d_2$. By the uniqueness of asymptotic center of a sequence, we have
\[
\limsup_{k \to \infty} P(x_k, d_1) = \limsup_{j \to \infty} P\left(x_j, d_1\right) < \limsup_{j \to \infty} P\left(x_j, d_2\right) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} P(x_k, d_1) = \limsup_{j \to \infty} d(x_j, d_1),
\]

(21)

which is a contradiction. Hence, the conclusions are reached.

Now, we establish a strong convergence theorem for Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive maps using iteration process (3).

**Theorem 3.** Let \(B\) be a nonempty compact convex subset of \(X\) and \(S : B \to B\) be a Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive map with \(F(S) \neq \emptyset\). If \(\{x_k\}\) is generated by (3), then \(\{x_k\}\) converges strongly to the fixed point of \(S\).

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, \(\lim_{k \to \infty} P(Sx_k, x_k) = 0\). By the compactness assumption, there exists a subsequence \(\{x_k\}\) of \(\{x_k\}\) such that \(\{x_k\}\) converges strongly to some \(z \in B\). By Lemma 4, we have

\[
p(x_k, Sz) \leq \left(\frac{3 + t}{1 - t}\right) p(x_k, Sx_k) + p(x_k, z) \to 0.
\]

(22)

By the uniqueness of limits in metric spaces, we must have \(z = Sz\). By Lemma 6, \(\lim_{k \to \infty} P(x_k, z)\) exists, and hence \(z\) is the strong limit of \(\{x_k\}\).

**Example 1.** Let \(B = [-2, 2]\) be a subset of \(\mathbb{R}\) endowed with the usual metric, that is, \(p(a, b) = |a - b|\). Define \(S : B \to B\) by

\[
S_a = \begin{cases} 
\frac{a}{2} & \text{if } a \in [-2, 0) \setminus \{- \frac{1}{4}\}, \\
0 & \text{if } a = - \frac{1}{4}, \\
\frac{a}{4} & \text{if } a \in [0, 2].
\end{cases}
\]

(23)

Here, first we shall show that the mapping \(S\) is not Suzuki type nonexpansive. To do this, we choose \(a = -(1/4)\) and \(b = -(2/5)\). The straightforward calculations give

\[
\frac{1}{2} p(a, Sa) < p(a, b),
\]

(24)

\[
p(Sa, Sb) > p(a, b).
\]

Next, we shall show that the mapping \(S\) is Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive. To do this, we choose \(t = 1/2\). We consider different situations as given below.

**Case 1.** For \(a, b \in [-2, 0) \setminus \{-1/4\}\), we have

\[
p(Sa, Sb) = \frac{1}{2} |a - b| \leq \frac{1}{2} |a| + \frac{1}{2} |b| \leq \frac{3}{4} |a| + \frac{3}{4} |b|
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} |a + a| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b|
\]

\[
\leq tp(a, Sa) + tp(b, Sb) + (1 - 2t)p(a, b).
\]

(25)

**Case 2.** For \(a, b \in [0, 2]\), we have

\[
p(Sa, Sb) = \frac{1}{4} |a - b| \leq \frac{1}{4} |a| + \frac{1}{4} |b| \leq \frac{5}{8} |a| + \frac{5}{8} |b|
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} |a + a| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b|
\]

\[
= tp(a, Sa) + tp(b, Sb) + (1 - 2t)p(a, b).
\]

(26)

**Case 3.** For \(a \in [-2, 0) \setminus \{-1/4\}\) and \(b \in [0, 2]\), we have

\[
p(Sa, Sb) = \frac{1}{2} |a - b| \leq \frac{1}{2} |a| + \frac{1}{4} |b| \leq \frac{3}{4} |a| + \frac{3}{4} |b|
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} |a + a| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b|
\]

\[
= tp(a, Sa) + tp(b, Sb) + (1 - 2t)p(a, b).
\]

(27)

**Case 4.** For \(a \in [-2, 0) \setminus \{-1/4\}\) and \(b = (1/4)\), we have

\[
p(Sa, Sb) = \frac{1}{2} |a - b| \leq \frac{3}{4} |a| \leq \frac{3}{4} |a| + \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |a| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b|
\]

\[
= tp(a, Sa) + tp(b, Sb) + (1 - 2t)p(a, b).
\]

(28)

**Case 5.** For \(a \in [-2, 0)\) and \(b = -(1/4)\), we have

\[
p(Sa, Sb) = \frac{1}{4} |a| \leq \frac{5}{8} |a| \leq \frac{5}{8} |a| + \frac{1}{8} \leq \frac{1}{4} |a| + \frac{1}{2} |b + b|
\]

\[
= tp(a, Sa) + tp(b, Sb) + (1 - 2t)p(a, b).
\]

(29)

Thus, \(S\) is Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive mapping with \(F(S) \neq \emptyset\). Set \(\delta_k = (1/k + 1)^{\infty}_{k=1}\). Hence, the requirements of Theorem 2 are fulfilled. Now, the conclusions of Theorems 2 and 3 are reached. However, we cannot directly apply any result in \([9, 10, 14, 16, 17, 19–22, 34]\) and references cited therein because in this situation, \(S\) is not Suzuki type nonexpansive mapping.

For the next strong convergence result, compactness assumption is not necessary; however, the following condition will be added.

**Definition 5.** Let \(B\) be a nonempty subset of \(X\). A sequence \(\{x_k\}\) in \(X\) is called Fejer monotone with respect to \(B\), if

\[
p(x_{k+1}, w) \leq p(x_k, w), \quad \text{for every } w \in B \text{ and } k \geq 1.
\]

(30)
A mapping $S: B \rightarrow B$ is said to satisfy condition $I$ [35] if one can construct a nondecreasing function $\Omega: [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ with properties $\Omega(0) = 0$ and $\Omega(c) > 0$ for every $c \in (0,\infty)$ such that $p(a, Sa) \geq \Omega p(a, F(S))$ for each $a \in B$.

The following facts can be found in [34].

**Proposition 1.** Let $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of $X$. Let $\{x_k\}$ be a Fejer monotone sequence with respect to $B$. Then, $\{x_k\}$ converges strongly to some point of $B$ if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, B) = 0$.

**Theorem 4.** Let $B$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ and $S: B \rightarrow B$ be a generalized Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive map with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$. If $S$ satisfies condition (I), then $\{x_k\}$ generated by (3) converges strongly to the fixed point of $S$.

**Proof.** From Theorem 1, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(Sx_k, x_k) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Since the mapping $S$ satisfies the condition $I$, it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_k, F(S)). \quad (32)$$

By Lemma 2, the set $F(S)$ is closed. It follows from Lemma 6 that $\{x_k\}$ is Fejer monotone sequence with respect to the set $F(S)$. The conclusions are based on Proposition 1.

**4. Conclusions**

(1) Our results extend the corresponding results of Ullah and Arshad [12] in two ways: (i) from the class of Suzuki type nonexpansive maps to the class of Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive maps and (ii) from Banach spaces to the general setting of hyperbolic spaces.

(2) Our results extend the corresponding results of Ullah et al. [31] in two ways: (i) from the class of Suzuki type nonexpansive maps to the class of Reich–Suzuki type nonexpansive maps and (ii) from CAT (0) spaces to the general setting of hyperbolic spaces.

(3) Our results also extend and improve the corresponding results proved in [9, 10, 14, 16, 17, 19–22, 34] and references cited therein.
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