Hindawi
Journal of Mathematics
Volume 2020, Article ID 5674172, 14 pages
https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5674172

Research Article

Doubly Semiequivelar Maps on Torus and Klein Bottle

Anand K. Tiwari[1], **Amit Tripathi**[2], **Yogendra Singh**[1], **and Punam Gupta**[3]

[1]*Department of Applied Science, Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad 211 015, India*
[2]*Department of Applied Science & Humanities, Rajkiya Engineering College, Banda 210201, India*
[3]*Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Dr. Hari Singh Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar 470 003, India*

Correspondence should be addressed to Anand K. Tiwari; tiwari.iitp@gmail.com

Received 22 January 2020; Accepted 27 February 2020; Published 24 March 2020

Academic Editor: Ghulam Shabbir

A tiling of the Euclidean plane, by regular polygons, is called 2-uniform tiling if it has two orbits of vertices under the action of its symmetry group. There are 20 distinct 2-uniform tilings of the plane. Plane being the universal cover of torus and Klein bottle, it is natural to ask about the exploration of maps on these two surfaces corresponding to the 2-uniform tilings. We call such maps as doubly semiequivelar maps. In the present study, we compute and classify (up to isomorphism) doubly semiequivelar maps on torus and Klein bottle. This classification of semiequivelar maps is useful in classifying a category of symmetrical maps which have two orbits of vertices, named as 2-uniform maps.

1. Introduction

Equivelar and semiequivelar maps are generalizations of the maps on the surfaces of well-known Platonic solids and Archimedean solids to the closed surfaces other than the 2-sphere, respectively. A substantial literature is available for such maps (see [1–8]).

Tilings of the plane are a great source of polyhedral maps on the surfaces of torus and Klein bottle, as the plane is the universal cover of these two surfaces. A tiling of the plane, by regular polygons, is called a k-uniform tiling if it has k orbits of vertices under its symmetry. The k-uniform tilings have been completely enumerated for $k \leq 6$. There are 11 1-uniform, 20 2-uniform, 61 3-uniform, 151 4-uniform, 332 5-uniform, and 673 6-uniform tilings on the plane. For a detailed study on such tilings, readers are referred to see [9–11].

The 11 1-uniform tilings of the plane are also called Archimedean tilings. Out of these, 3 are regular and 8 are semiregular tilings. The 3 regular tilings provide equivelar maps of types $[3^6]$, $[4^4]$, and $[6^3]$ and 8 semiregular tilings provide semiequivelar maps of types $[3^4, 6]$, $[3^3, 4^2]$, $[3^2, 4, 3, 4]$, $[3, 4, 6, 4]$, $[3, 6, 3, 6]$, $[3, 12^2]$, $[4, 6, 12]$, and $[4, 8^2]$ on torus and Klein bottle. Altshuler [12] has given a construction for a map of the type $[3^6]$ and $[6^3]$ on the torus. Kurth [13] has enumerated maps of the types $[3^6]$, $[4^4]$, and $[6^3]$ on the torus. In [2], Datta and Nilakantan classified map of type $[3^6]$ and $[4^4]$ on at most 11 vertices. In continuation of this, Datta and Upadhyay [14] classified these type of maps for n vertices with $12 \leq n \leq 15$. In [15], Brehm and Kuhnel have classified these three types equivelar maps on the torus using a different approach. In [16], Tiwari and Upadhyay have classified the 8 types semiequivelar maps on at most 20 vertices. Recently, Maity and Upadhyay [17] have presented a way to classify the eight types of semiequivelar maps on the torus for arbitrary number of vertices.

Analogues to the Archimedean tilings, here we initiate the theory of maps on torus and Klein bottle corresponding to the 2-uniform tilings. We call such maps as doubly semiequivelar map(s) or briefly DSEM(s). The present work provides a new class of polyhedra which have two classes of vertices in terms of the arrangement of polygons around the vertices. Polyhedra play an important role in human life. It has extensive application in ornament designing, architectural designing, cartography, computer graphics etc. (see [18–20]).

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give basic definitions and notations used in the present work. In Section 3, we define doubly semiequivelar map (DSEM) and describe a methodology to enumerate a doubly semi-equivelar map on torus and Klein bottle. In Section 4, we compute and classify DSEMs on torus and Klein bottle. In Section 5, we present the results obtained from the computation and classification. A tabular form of the results is shown in Table 1. In Section 6, we present discussion and future scope of the DSEMs followed by some concluding remarks.

2. Basic Definitions and Notations

For graph theory related terminologies, we refer [21]. A p-cycle, denoted as C_p, is a 2-regular graph with p vertices. We denote C_p explicitly as $C_p(v_1, \ldots, v_p)$, where the vertex set $V(C_p) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_p\}$ and edge set $E(C_p) = \{v_1 v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1} v_n, v_n v_1\}$.

A surface (closed surface) F is a connected, compact 2-manifold without boundary. A surface F is either sphere, sphere with g handles (also called orientable surface of genus g, denoted as S_g), or sphere with g cross caps (also called nonorientable surface of genus g, denoted as N_g). To a surface, we associate a unique integer called its Euler characteristic χ and is defined as $\chi(S_g) = 2 - 2g$ and $\chi(N_g) = 2 - g$. The surfaces S_1 and N_2 of Euler characteristic 0 are called torus and Klein bottle, respectively.

An embedding of a connected, simple graph G into a surface F is called 2-cell embedding if the closure of each connected component of $F \backslash G$ is a 2-disk D_p. These components are called faces of the embedding. The vertices and edges of G are called the vertices and edges of the embedding. A map (polyhedral) M on a surface F is a 2-cell embedding such that the nonempty intersection of any two faces is either a vertex or an edge [22]. The face size of a map M is p, if p is the largest positive integer such that M has a face D_p.

Two maps M_1 and M_2, with vertex sets $V(M_1)$ and $V(M_2)$, respectively, are said to be isomorphic if there is a bijective map f: $V(M_1) \longrightarrow V(M_2)$ which preserves the incidence of edges and incidence of faces. An isomorphism from a map M to itself is also called an automorphism. A collection Aut(M) of all the automorphisms of a map M forms a group under the composition of maps, called the automorphism group of M. A map M is called vertex-transitive if it has a unique orbit of vertices under the action of Aut(M).

The face-sequence [7] of a vertex v, denoted as $f-\text{seq}(v)$, in a map M is a finite cyclic sequence $(p_1^{n_1}, \ldots, p_k^{n_k})$, where $p_1, \ldots, p_k \geq 3$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_k \geq 1$, such that the face cycle at v is $(D_{p_1}, \ldots, (n_1 \text{times}), \ldots, D_{p_k}, \ldots, (n_k \text{times}))$. A map is called semiequivelar of type $[p_1^{n_1}, \ldots, p_k^{n_k}]$ if the face-sequence of each vertex is $(p_1^{n_1}, \ldots, p_k^{n_k})$. A semiequivelar map of type $[p^n]$ is also called equivelar map.

Let $(D_{p_1}, \ldots, D_{p_k})$ be the face cycle at a vertex v in a map M. Let C_{p_i} denote the boundary cycles of these D_{p_i}. Then, the link of v, denoted as lk(v), is a cycle in M consisting of all the vertices of these C_{p_i}'s except v and all the edges of these C_{p_i}'s except which has one end vertex v. If v is a vertex with lk$(v) = C_k(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$, the face-sequence of lk(v) is a cyclically ordered sequence $(f-\text{seq}(v_1), \ldots, f-\text{seq}(v_k))$.

Let v be a vertex with the face-sequence $(p_1^{n_1}, \ldots, p_k^{n_k})$. The combinatorial curvature of v, denoted by $\phi(v)$, is defined as $\phi(v) = 1 - (\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i)/2 + (\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i/p_i)$.

3. Definition of the Problem and Description of Method

Let M be a map with two distinct face-sequences f_1 and f_2. We say that M is a doubly semiequivelar map, in short DSEM, if (i) the sign of $\phi(v)$ is same for all $v \in M$ and (ii) vertices of same type face-sequence also have links of the same face-sequence up to a cyclic permutation. A doubly semiequivelar map M is called 2-uniform if it has 2 orbits of vertices under the action of its automorphism group. We denote the M of type $[f_1^{(f_{11}, \ldots, f_{1r_1})}: f_2^{(f_{21}, \ldots, f_{2r_2})}]$, where f_{1i} or f_{2j} is f_1 or f_2, for $1 \leq i \leq r_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_2$, if vertices of the face-sequence f_1 have links of face-sequence $(f_{11}, \ldots, f_{1r_1})$ and vertices of the face-sequence f_2 have links of face-sequence $(f_{21}, \ldots, f_{2r_2})$, respectively.

There are 20 types of 2-uniform tilings of the plane denoted as $[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_2$, $[3^6: 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$, $[3^3, 4^2: 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$, $[3^3, 4^2: 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$, $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$, $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$, $[3^6: 3^4, 6]_1$, $[3^6: 3^4, 6]_2$, $[3^6: 3^2, 4, 12]$, $[3^6: 3^2, 6^2]$, $[3^4, 6: 3^2, 6^2]$, $[3^3, 4^2: 3, 4, 6, 4]_1$, $[3^2, 4, 3, 4, 4^2: 3, 4, 6, 4]$, $[3^2, 6^2: 3, 6, 3, 6]$, $[3, 4, 3, 12: 3, 12^2]$, $[3, 4^2, 6: 3, 4, 6, 4]$, $[3, 4^2, 6: 3, 6, 3, 6]_1$, $[3, 4^2, 6: 3, 6, 3, 6]_2$, $[3, 4, 6, 4: 4, 6, 12]$ (see [11]). Out of these, the first seven types have p-gons, with $p \leq 4$ (see Figure 1).

3.1. 2-Uniform Tilings of the Plane. We classify the DSEMs on torus and Klein bottle corresponding to the above seven tilings. We abbreviate the types of DSEMs by the same notation as used for the respective tilings (see Table 2).

3.2. Methodology. Each doubly semiequivelar map, out of the seven types (listed in Table 2), contains two types of face-sequences among the four types (3^6), $(3^3, 4^2)$, $(3^2, 4, 3, 4)$, and (4^4) around the vertices. We use the following notations frequently to denote a vertex with specific type face-sequence in the computation. Here lk(v) means link of vertex v.

(i) The notation lk$(v) = C_6(a, b, c, d, e, f)$ means the face-sequence of v is (3^6), i.e., the triangular faces $[v, b, c]$, $[v, c, d]$, $[v, d, e]$, $[v, e, f]$, $[v, f, a]$, and $[v, b, a]$ are incident at v.

(ii) lk$(v) = C_7(a, b, [c, d, e, f, g])$ means the face-sequence of v is $(3^3, 4^2)$, i.e., the triangular faces $[v, a, g]$, $[v, a, b]$, and $[v, b, c]$ and quadrangular faces $[v, c, d, e]$ and $[v, e, f, g]$ are incident at v.

(iii) lk$(v) = C_7(a, [b, c, d], [e, f, g])$ means the face-sequence of v is $(3^2, 4, 3, 4)$, i.e., the triangular faces

Table 1: DSEMs of face-size 4 on torus and Klein bottle on ≤15 vertices.

S. No.	Map type	$\lvert V\rvert$	No. of maps	On torus	On Klein bottle
(1)	$[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$	9	4	$T_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $T_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$	$K_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $K_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$
		12	6	$T_{3(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $T_{4(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $T_{5(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$	$K_{3(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $K_{4(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $K_{5(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$
		15	5	$T_{6(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $T_{7(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $T_{8(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$	$K_{6(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $K_{7(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$
(2)	$[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_2$	12	3	$T_{1(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_2$, $T_{2(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_2$	$K_{1(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_2$
(3)	$[3^6\colon 3^2,4,3,4]$	14	1	—	$K_{1(2,12)}[3^6\colon 3^2,4,3,4]$
(4)	$[3^3,4^2\colon 3^2,4,3,4]_1$	12	1	$T_{1(4,8)}[3^3,4^2\colon 3^2,4,3,4]_1$	—
(5)	$[3^3,4^2\colon 3^2,4,3,4]_2$	12	1	—	$K_{1(6,6)}[3^3,4^2\colon 3^2,4,3,4]_2$
(6)	$[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$	9	3	$T_{1(3,6)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, $T_{2(3,6)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$	$K_{1(3,6)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$
		12	3	$T_{3(4,8)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, $T_{4(4,8)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$	$K_{2(4,8)}(3^3,4^2\colon 4^4)_1$
		15	5	$T_{5(5,10)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, $T_{6(5,10)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, $T_{7(5,10)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$	$K_{3(5,10)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, $K_{4(5,10)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$
(7)	$[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_2$	12	3	$T_{1(6,6)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_2$, $T_{2(6,6)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_2$	$K_{1(6,6)}[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_2$



(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g)

Figure 1: 2-uniform tilings of types: $[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, $[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_2$, $[3^6\colon 3^2,4,3,4]$, $[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, $[3^3,4^2\colon 3^2,4,3,4]_1$, $[3^3,4^2\colon 3^2,4,3,4]_2$, $[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_2$.

Table 2: Tabulated list of DSEMs of face-size 4.

S No.	Abbreviated form	DSEM type
(1)	$[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$	$[(3^6)^{((3^6),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^6),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2))}\colon (3^3,4^2)^{((3^6),(3^6),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2))}]$
(2)	$[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_2$	$[(3^6)^{((3^6),(3^6),(3^6),(3^6),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2))}\colon (3^3,4^2)^{((3^6),(3^6),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2))}]$
(3)	$[3^6\colon 3^2,4,3,4]$	$[(3^6)^{((3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4))}\colon (3^2,4,3,4)^{((3^6),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4))}]$
(4)	$[3^3,4^2\colon 3^2,4,3,4]_1$	$[(3^3,4^2)^{((3^3,4^2),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4))}\colon (3^2,4,3,4)^{((3^3,4^2),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^2,4,3,4))}]$
(5)	$[3^3,4^2\colon 3^2,4,3,4]_2$	$[(3^3,4^2)^{((3^3,4^2),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4,3,4),(3^3,4,3,4))}\colon (3^2,4,3,4)^{((3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^2,4,3,4),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^2,4,3,4))}]$
(6)	$[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_1$	$[(3^3,4^2)^{((3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(4^4),(4^4),(4^4))}\colon (4^4)^{((3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(4^4),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(4^4))}]$
(7)	$[3^3,4^2\colon 4^4]_2$	$[(3^3,4^2)^{((3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(4^4),(4^4),(4^4))}\colon (4^4)^{((3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(3^3,4^2),(4^4),(4^4),(4^4),(4^4),(4^4))}]$

$[v, a, b]$, $[v, a, g]$, and $[v, d, e]$ and quadrangular faces $[v, b, c, d]$ and $[v, e, f, g]$ are incident at v.

(iv) $\mathrm{lk}(v) = C_8(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)$ means the face-sequence of v is (4^4), i.e., the quadrangular faces $[v, a, b, c]$, $[v, c, d, e]$, $[v, e, f, g]$, and $[v, g, h, a]$ are incident at v.

Since a doubly semiequivelar map contains two types of vertices, in terms of face-sequences, to distinguish these vertices, we denote vertices of one type face-sequence by n and the other type by a_n, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We describe a methodology to compute and classify the DSEMs listed in Table 2. Without loss of generality, we illustrate the methodology for type $[3^6\colon 3^3.4^2]_1$. The same procedure is used for the remaining six types.

Let M be a DSEM of type $[3^6\colon 3^3.4^2]_1$ with vertex set V on a surface of Euler characteristic 0 (i.e., on torus or Klein bottle). Let $V_{(3^6)}$ and $V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ denote the set of vertices with face-sequence type (3^6) and $(3^3, 4^2)$, respectively. Here $\lvert V_{(3^6)}\rvert$ and $\lvert V_{(3^3,4^2)}\rvert$ denote the cardinality of the sets $V_{(3^6)}$

and $V_{(3^3,4^2)}$, respectively. Then, it is easy to see that the number of triangular faces is $4|V_{(3^6)}|$ or $2|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|$. Thus, if the map exists, then $2|V_{(3^6)}| = |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|$. Therefore, we have $V = V_{(3^6)} \cup V_{(3^3,4^2)} = \left\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|V_{(3^6)}|}, 1, 2, \ldots, |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|\right\}$ such that $2|V_{(3^6)}| = |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|$. Now we use the following steps to enumerate DSEM M for this $V = V_{(3^6)} \cup V_{(3^3,4^2)}$.

Steps to enumerate DSEMs of type $[3^6\colon 3^3.4^2]_1$:

Step 1:

(1) Without loss of generality, let us start with a vertex v_1 having face-sequence type (3^6). Let $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_6(a_2, 1, 2, a_3, 3, 4)$.

(2) This implies $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(1, a_1, 4, n_2, x_1, n_1)$ with several choices for the triplet (n_1, x_1, n_2) in $V_{(3^3,4^2)} \times V_{(3^6)} \times V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ or $\mathrm{lk}(4) = C_7(a_1, a_2, [n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, 3])$ with several choices for $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \in V_{(3^3,4^2)} \times V_{(3^3,4^2)} \times V_{(3^3,4^2)} \times V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ (see Figure 2).

(3) Again among $\mathrm{lk}(a_2)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(4)$, without loss of generality, we proceed with $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(1, a_1, 4n_2, x_1, n_1)$. For each choice of (n_1, x_1, n_2) we have distinct possibility for $\mathrm{lk}(a_2)$. Out of these possibilities of $\mathrm{lk}(a_2)$, we qualify those ones which preserves the face-sequence types of vertices. The similar procedure may be adopted for $\mathrm{lk}(4)$ (if required).

Step 2: we continuously repeat Step 1 until we do not get the links of remaining vertices from V.

Step 3: the computation involved in Step 1 and Step 2 is case by case and exhaustive covering all possible scenarios.

Step 4: we explore isomorphism between the maps obtained in Step 1 and Step 2, which leads to the enumeration of DSEMs of type $[3^6\colon 3^3.4^2]_1$.

To show that two maps M_1 and M_2 are non-isomorphic, we compute the characteristic polynomials $p(\mathrm{EG}(M_1))$ and $p(\mathrm{EG}(M_2))$ of adjacency matrices associated the edge graphs $\mathrm{EG}(M_1)$ and $\mathrm{EG}(M_2)$ of the maps M_1 and M_2, respectively. The edge graph of M is a graph $\mathrm{EG}(M)$ consisting of vertices and edges of the map. Clearly, if $p(\mathrm{EG}(M_1)) \neq p(\mathrm{EG}(M_2))$, $M_1 \ncong M_2$. However, if $p(\mathrm{EG}(M_1)) = p(\mathrm{EG}(M_2))$, we cannot say anything.

4. Computation and Classification of DSEMs

In this section, we compute and classify the seven types DSEMs (listed in Table 2) using the methodology given in Section 3. For the sake of computation, we consider the number of vertices ≤15.

4.1. Computation and Classification for Type $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. Consider the following DSEMs of type $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, in Figure 3, on torus and Klein bottle denoted by $T_{i(n,2n)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$, and $K_{i(n,2n)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$, respectively.

Claim 1. For the maps above, we have the following:

(a) $T_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1 \ncong T_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$.

(b) $T_{3(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1 \ncong T_{4(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1 \ncong T_{5(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$.

(c) $K_{3(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1 \ncong K_{4(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1 \ncong K_{5(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$.

(d) $T_{6(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1 \ncong T_{7(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1 \ncong T_{8(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$.

(e) $K_{6(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1 \ncong K_{7(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$.

Proof. Let $p(\mathrm{EG}(M))$ denote the characteristic polynomial of adjacency matrix associated with the edge graph of M. Then, the proof follows from the following polynomials:

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^9 - 24x^7 - 42x^6 + 63x^5 + 138x^4 - 72x^3 - 144x^2 + 48x + 32$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^9 - 24x^7 - 36x^6 + 45x^5 + 48x^4 - 21x^3 - 18x^2 + 3x + 2$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{3(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{12} - 32x^{10} - 40x_9 + 254x^8 + 440x^7 - 628x^6 - 1400x^5 + 105x^4 + 1000x^3 + 300x^2$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{4(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{12} - 32x^{10} - 32x_9 + 254x^8 + 224x^7 - 932x^6 - 448x^5 + 1673x^4 + 96x^3 - 1156x^2 + 160x + 192$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{5(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{12} - 32x^{10} - 48x_9 + 254x^8 + 656x^7 - 292x^6 - 2352x^5 - 2167x^4 + 624x^3 + 2044x^2 + 1120x + 192$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{3(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{12} - 31x^{10} - 39x_9 + 227x^8 + 377x^7 - 561x^6 - 1129x^5 + 416x^4 + 1283x^3 + 92x^2 - 492x - 144$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{4(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{12} - 32x^{10} - 40x_9 + 254x^8 + 440x^7 - 644x^6 - 1400x^5 + 457x^4 + 1640x^3 + 156x^2 - 640x - 192$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{5(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{12} - 32x^{10} - 48x_9 + 258x^8 + 640x^7 - 364x^6 - 220x^5 - 1635x^4 + 496x^3 + 684x^2 - 32x - 64$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{6(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{15} - 40x^{13} - 40x^{12} + 515x^{11} + 754x^{10} - 282x_9 - 4940x^8 + 6790x^7 + 13430x^6 - 668x^5 - 15340x^4 + 975x^3 + 5490x^2 + 1755x + 162$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{7(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{15} - 38x^{13} - 51x^{12} + 462x^{11} + 1033x^{10} - 1049x_9 - 5533x^8 - 4681x^7 + 2905x^6 + 6351x^5 + 2282x^4 - 1046x^3 - 680x^2 + 32x + 48$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{8(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{15} - 40x^{13} - 60x^{12} + 485x^{11} + 1374x^{10} - 985x_9 - 7910x^8 - 9955x^7 - 1010x^6 + 7623x^5 + 7030x^4 + 2820x^3 + 570x^2 + 55x + 2$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{6(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{15} - 39x^{13} - 58x^{12} + 462x^{11} + 1309x^{10} - 916x_9 - 7455x^8 - 9096x^7 - 203x^6 + 6562x^5 + 3147x^4 - 909x^3 - 761x^2 - 97x - 3$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{7(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)) = x^{15} - 40x^{13} - 48x^{12} + 497x^{11} + 1010x^{10} - 1973x_9 - 6234x^8 - 111x^7 + 12010x^6 + 9531x^5 - 3294x^4 - 7264x^3 - 3410x^2 - 637x - 38$ □



FIGURE 2: Illustration of the methodology. (a) DSEM type: $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (b) $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_6(a_2, 1, 2, a_3, 3, 4) \Rightarrow \mathrm{lk}(a_2)$ or $\mathrm{lk}(4)$.



FIGURE 3: Doubly semiequivelar maps on torus and Klein bottle of type $[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$. (a) $T_{1(3,6)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (b) $T_{2(3,6)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (c) $K_{1(3,6)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (d) $K_{2(3,6)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (e) $T_{3(4,8)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (f) $T_{4(4,8)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (g) $K_{3(4,8)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (h) $K_{4(4,8)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (i) $K_{5(4,8)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (j) $T_{5(4,8)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (k) $T_{6(5,10)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (l) $T_{7(5,10)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (m) $K_{6(5,10)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (n) $K_{7(5,10)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$. (o) $T_{8(5,10)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$.

Claim 2. $K_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1 \not\cong K_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$.

Proof. Note that $p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1)) = p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1)) = x^9 - 24x^7 - 38x^6 + 51x^5 + 78x^4 - 44x^3 - 24x^2$, but the maps are non-isomorphic. To see this, we use geometric argument as follows: define a basis $\{a, b\}$ at any vertex v_i (for $1 \le i \le 6$), where a and b are minimal nontrivial loops (i.e., nontrivial cycle with minimum number of vertices); now, if we consider $K_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, then at each v_i, we get a and b with length 3 (for example, at v_1, $a = C_3(v_1, v_6, u_2)$ and $b = C_3(v_1, v_2, v_5)$) while in $K_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$, at each v_i, we get a of length 3 and b of length 4 (for example, at v_1, we see that $a = C_3(v_4, v_1, u_1)$ or $a = C_3(v_4, v_1, u_2)$ and $b = C_4(v_1, v_2, v_6, v_4)$). Hence, $K_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1 \not\cong K_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$. □

4.1.1. Computation. Let M be a DSEM of type $[3^6\colon 3^3,4^2]_1$ with the vertex set V. Let $V_{(3^6)}$ and $V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ denote the sets of vertices with face-sequence types (3^6) and $(3^3, 4^2)$, respectively. Then, we see that the number of triangular faces in M is $4|V_{(3^6)}|$ or $2|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|$. This implies $2|V_{(3^6)}| = |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|$.

Thus, for $|V| = (|V_{(3^3,4^2)}| + |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|) \leq 15$, we let $V = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|V_{(3^6)}|}, 1, 2, \ldots, 2|V_{(3^6)}|\}$, where $|V_{(3^6)}| \leq 5$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_6(a_2, 1, 2, a_3, 3, 4)$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(a_1, 1, n_1, x_1, n_2, 4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(a_1, a_2, [n_1, n_3, n_4, n_5, 2])$, $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_1, a_3, [n_6, n_7, n_5, n_4, 1])$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_1, 3, n_8, x_2, n_6, 2)$, $\mathrm{lk}(3) = C_7(a_1, a_3, [n_8, n_9, n_{10}, n_{11}, 4])$, and $\mathrm{lk}(4) = C_7(a_1, a_2, [n_2, n_{12}, n_{11}, n_{10}, 3])$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in V_{(3^6)}$ and $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{12} \in V_{(3^3,4^2)}$.

Now considering $\mathrm{lk}(a_2)$, we see that $n_1 \neq 2$ or 3 (for $n_1 = 2$, the set $\{2, 1, a_2\}$ forms triangular face in $\mathrm{lk}(a_1)$ but not in $\mathrm{lk}(a_2)$; for $n_1 = 3$, we get $\deg(3) > 5$). Similarly, we see that $n_2 \notin \{2, 3\}$. From these observations, we have $(n_1, x_1, n_2) \in \{(5, a_3, 6), (5, a_4, 6)\}$.

Case 1. if $(n_1, x_1, n_2) = (5, a_3, 6)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_1, 3, 6, a_2, 5, 2)$ or $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_1, 3, 5, a_2, 6, 2)$.

When $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_1, 3, 5, a_2, 6, 2)$, considering $\mathrm{lk}(2)$, we have $(n_4, n_5, n_7) \in \{(4, 3, 5), (5, 3, 4)\}$. If $(n_4, n_5, n_7) = (5, 3, 4)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(1)$ is a cycle of length 5, a contradiction. On the other hand, if $(n_4, n_5, n_7) = (4, 3, 5)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_1, a_3, [6, 5, 3, 4, 1])$, $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(a_1, a_2, [5, 6, 4, 3, 2])$; completing successively, we get $\mathrm{lk}(4) = C_7(a_1, a_2, [6, 5, 1, 2, 3])$, $\mathrm{lk}(3) = C_7(a_1, a_3, [5, 6, 2, 1, 4])$, $\mathrm{lk}(5) = C_7(a_2, a_3, [3, 2, 6, 4, 1])$, and $\mathrm{lk}(6) = C_7(a_2, a_3, [2, 3, 5, 1, 4])$. Then, we get $M \cong K_{2(3,6)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

When $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_1, 3, 6, a_2, 5, 2)$, considering $\mathrm{lk}(2)$, we get $(n_4, n_5, n_7) \in \{(4, 3, 6), (6, 3, 4), (3, 4, 6), (6, 4, 3), (3, 6, 4), (4, 6, 3)\}$. Observe that $(3, 4, 6) \cong (6, 3, 4)$ by the map $(1, 5, 2)(3, 4, 6)(a_1, a_2, a_3)$, $(3, 6, 4) \cong (6, 4, 3)$ by the map $(1, 5)(4, 6)(a_1, a_3)$, and $(4, 6, 3) \cong (6, 3, 4)$ by the map $(1, 2, 5)(3, 6, 4)(a_1, a_3, a_2)$. So, we need to search only for $(n_4, n_5, n_7) \in \{(4, 3, 6), (6, 3, 4), (6, 4, 3)\}$.

In case $(n_4, n_5, n_7) = (4, 3, 6)$, completing successively, we get $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_1, a_3, [5, 6, 3, 4, 1])$, $\mathrm{lk}(3) = C_7(a_1, a_3, [6, 5, 2, 1, 4])$, $\mathrm{lk}(4) = C_7(a_1, a_2, [6, 5, 1, 2, 3])$, $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(a_1, a_2, [5, 6, 4, 3, 2])$, $\mathrm{lk}(5) = C_7(a_2, a_3, [2, 3, 6, 4, 1])$, $\mathrm{lk}(6) = C_7(a_2, a_3, [3, 2, 5, 1, 4])$. This gives $M \cong T_{1(3,6)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Proceeding similarly as above, for $(n_4, n_5, n_7) = (6, 3, 4)$, we get $M \cong K_{1(3,6)}(3^6: 3^3, 4^2)_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, where $1 \leq i \leq 6$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

For $(n_4, n_5, n_7) = (6, 4, 3)$, $M \cong T_{2(3,6)}(3^6: 3^3, 4^2)_1$, by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, for $1 \leq i \leq 6$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Case 2. For $(n_1, x_1, n_2) = (5, a_4, 6)$, considering $\mathrm{lk}(a_3)$, we get $x_2 \in \{a_4, a_5\}$.

Subcase 2.1. If $x_2 = a_4$, then $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_1, 2, 7, a_4, 8, 3)$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(a_4) = C_6(a_2, 5, 7, a_3, 8, 6)$ or $\mathrm{lk}(a_4) = C_6(a_2, 5, 8, a_3, 7, 6)$.

When $\mathrm{lk}(a_4) = C_6(a_2, 5, 7, a_3, 8, 6)$, then, up to isomorphism, we see that $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) \in \{(2, 1, 5), (5, 1, 2), (1, 2, 7), (7, 2, 1), (7, 5, 1)\}$. Now doing computation for these cases, we see the following:

If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (2, 1, 5)$, $M \cong K_{3(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (5, 1, 2)$, $M \cong T_{3(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (1, 2, 7)$, $M \cong T_{4(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (7, 2, 1)$, $M \cong K_{4(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (7, 5, 1)$, $M \cong T_{5(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

On the other hand when $\mathrm{lk}(a_4) = C_6(a_2, 5, 8, a_3, 7, 6)$, we get $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) \in \{(2, 1, 5), (1, 5, 8), (3, 8, 5), (5, 1, 2), (5, 8, 3), (8, 5, 1)\}$. If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (2, 1, 5)$ and $(1, 5, 8)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(7)$ is a cycle of length 5 and 6 respectively, which is not possible. If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (3, 8, 5)$ and $(5, 1, 2)$, then we see easily that $\mathrm{lk}(7)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(8)$ cannot be completed, respectively. If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (5, 8, 3)$, then completing $\mathrm{lk}(7)$ we get $\mathrm{lk}(1)$ of length 5, again a contradiction. If $(n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15}) = (8, 5, 1)$, $M \cong K_{5(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

Subcase 2.2. When $x_2 = a_5$, successively, we get $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_1, 2, 7, a_5, 8, 3)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(a_4) = C_6(a_2, 6, 9, a_5, 10, 5)$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(a_5) = C_6(a_4, 9, 7, a_3, 8, 10)$ or $\mathrm{lk}(a_5) = C_6(a_4, 9, 8, a_3, 7, 10)$.

In case $\mathrm{lk}(a_5) = C_6(a_4, 9, 7, a_3, 8, 10)$, considering $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, we get $(n_3, n_4, n_5) \in \{(3, 4, 6), (3, 8, 10), (4, 3, 8), (4, 6, 9), (6, 4, 3), (6, 9, 7), (7, 9, 6), (8, 3, 4), (9, 6, 4), (10, 8, 3)\}$. But a small calculation shows that no map exists for these cases, except for $(n_3, n_4, n_5) = (6, 4, 3)$. For $(n_3, n_4, n_5) = (6, 4, 3)$, we get $M \cong K_{6(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

On the other hand, when $\mathrm{lk}(a_5) = C_6(a_4, 9, 8, a_3, 7, 10)$, considering $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, up to isomorphism, we get $(n_3, n_4, n_5) \in \{(3, 4, 6), (3, 8, 9), (4, 3, 8), (6, 4, 3)\}$. Now doing computation for these cases, we see the following:

If $(n_3, n_4, n_5) = (3, 4, 6)$, $M \cong K_{7(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

If $(n_3, n_4, n_5) = (3, 8, 9)$, $M \cong T_{6(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

If $(n_3, n_4, n_5) = (4, 3, 8)$, $M \cong T_{7(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

If $(n_3, n_4, n_5) = (6, 4, 3)$, $M \cong T_{8(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ by $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $1 \leq j \leq 5$. This completes computation for the number of vertices ≤ 15 and we obtain the following results.

4.1.2. Results

Lemma 1. *Let M be a DSEM of type $[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$ with number of vertices ≤ 15. Then, M is isomorphic to one of the following: $T_{1(3,6)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{2(3,6)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $K_{1(3,6)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $K_{2(3,6)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{3(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{4(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{5(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $K_{3(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $K_{4(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $K_{5(4,8)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{6(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{7(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{8(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $K_{6(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, and $K_{7(5,10)}[3^6: 3^3, 4^2]_1$, as shown in Figure 3.*

Combining Lemma 1 together with Claims 1 and 2, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There are exactly 15 DSEMs of type* $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$ *on the surfaces of Euler characteristic 0 with number of vertices ≤15. Out of these, 8 are on the torus and remaining 7 are on the Klein bottle.*

4.2. Computation and Classification for Type $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$. Consider the following DSEMs of type $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$, shown in Figure 4, on torus and Klein bottle denoted by $T_{i\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$, for $i = 1$, 2, and $K_{1\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$, respectively.

Claim 3. $T_{1\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2 \ncong T_{2\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$.

Proof. The proof follows from the following polynomials:

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{1\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2)) = x^{12} - 33x^{10} - 44x^9 + 258x^8 + 432x^7 - 682x^6 - 1032x^5 + 957x^4 + 560x^3 - 789x^2 + 276x - 32$

$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{2\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2)) = x^{12} - 33x^{10} - 44x^9 + 252x^8 + 456x^7 - 568x^6 - 1296x^5 + 348x^4 + 1328x^3 + 108x^2 - 432x - 128$ □

4.2.1. Computation. Let M be a map of the type $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$ with the vertex set V. Let V_{3^6} and $V_{3^3,4^2}$ denote the sets of vertices with face-sequence types (3^6) and $(3^3, 4^2)$, respectively. Observe that M has the number of edges $= (5|V_{(3^6)}| + (|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|/2))$, number of triangular faces $= 3|V_{(3^6)}|$, and number of quadrangular faces $= |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|/2$. Now by the Euler characteristic equation, we get $(|V_{(3^6)}| + |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|) - (5|V_{(3^6)}| + (|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|/2)) + (3|V_{(3^6)}| + (|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|/2)) = 0$. This implies $|V_{(3^6)}| = |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|$. Also, considering the number of quadrangular faces, it is evident that the cardinality of both the sets should be positive even integer. Thus, for $|V| \le 15$, we let $V = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|V_{(3^6)}|}, 1, 2, \ldots, |V_{(3^6)}|\}$, where $|V_{(3^6)}| = 2k$ for $k \le 3$.

Without loss of generality, assume $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_6(a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, 1, 2)$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(a_1, a_5, [n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, 2])$, $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_2, a_1, [1, n_3, n_4, n_6, n_5])$ and $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(a_1, a_3, x_1, x_2, 3, 2)$ for some $n_1, \ldots, n_6 \in V_m$ and $x_1, x_2 \in V_l$. It is easy to see that $(x_1, x_2) \in \{(a_5, a_4), (a_6, a_5)\}$.

Case 1. When $(x_1, x_2) = (a_5, a_4)$, then successively we get $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(a_1, a_3, a_5, a_4, 3, 2)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_5) = C_6(a_1, a_4, a_2, a_3, 4, 1)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_4, a_1, a_2, a_5, 4, 5)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(a_4) = C_6(a_3, a_1, a_5, a_2, 3, 5)$. Now considering $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, we see that (n_2, n_3, n_4) has no value for the V so that the links of remaining vertices can be completed. So, $(x_1, x_2) \ne (a_5, a_4)$.

Case 2. When $(x_1, x_2) = (a_6, a_5)$, then successively we get $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(a_1, a_3, a_6, a_5, 3, 2)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_5) = C_6(a_1, a_4, a_6, a_2, 3, 1)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_4) = C_6(a_3, a_1, a_5, a_6, 5, 4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_6(a_4, a_1, a_2, a_6, 6, 4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_6) = C_6(a_3, a_2, a_5, a_4, 5, 6)$. Considering $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, it is easy to see that $(n_2, n_3, n_4) \in \{(4, 5, 6), (4, 6, 5), (5, 4, 6), (5, 6, 4), (6, 4, 5), (6, 5, 4)\}$. Observe that $(4, 5, 6) \cong (6, 4, 5)$ by the map $(1, 3, 2)\,(5, 6, 4)\,(a_1, a_5, a_2)\,(a_3, a_4, a_6)$, $(4, 5, 6) \cong (5, 6, 4)$ by the map $(1, 2, 3)\,(4, 6, 5)\,(a_1, a_2, a_5)\,(a_3, a_6, a_4)$, and $(5, 4, 6) \cong (6, 5, 4)$ by the map $(1, 3)\,(4, 6)\,(a_1, a_2)\,(a_4, a_6)$. So, we search for $(n_2, n_3, n_4) \in \{(4, 5, 6), (4, 6, 5), (5, 4, 6)\}$. Now doing computation for these cases, we see the following:

If $(n_2, n_3, n_4) = (4, 5, 6)$, $M \cong K_{1\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_i \mapsto u_i$, $1 \le i \le 6$.

If $(n_2, n_3, n_4) = (4, 6, 5)$, $M \cong T_{1\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_i \mapsto u_i$, $1 \le i \le 6$.

If $(n_2, n_3, n_4) = (5, 4, 6)$, $M \cong T_{2\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_i \mapsto u_i$, $1 \le i \le 6$.

This completes computation for ≤15 vertices. From this we get following results.

4.2.2. Results

Lemma 2. *Let M be a DSEM of type* $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$ *on the surfaces of Euler characteristic 0 with ≤15 vertices. Then, M is isomorphic to one of* $K_{1\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$, $T_{1\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$, *and* $T_{1\,(6,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$, *given in Figure 4.*

Combining Lemma 2 with Claim 3, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *There are exactly 3 non-isomorphic DSEMs of type* $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$ *with number of vertices ≤15. Out of these, 2 are on torus and the remaining one is on Klein bottle.*

4.3. Computation and Classification for Type $[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$. Consider the following DSEM of type $[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$, given in Figure 5 on Klein bottle, denoted by $K_{1(2,12)}[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$.

4.3.1. Computation. Let M be a map of the type $[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$ with the vertex set V. Let $V_{(3^6)}$ and $V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}$ denote the sets of vertices with face-sequence types (3^6) and $(3^2, 4, 3, 4)$, respectively. It is easy to see that $6|V_{(3^6)}| = |V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}|$. Thus, for $|V| \le 15$, we let $V = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|V_{(3^6)}|}, 1, 2, \ldots, 6|V_{(3^6)}|\}$, where $|V_{(3^6)}| \le 2$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_6(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$. Then, successively, we have $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(a_1, [2, n_1, n_2], [n_3, n_4, 6])$, $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_1, [1, n_2, n_1], [n_5, n_6, 3])$, $\mathrm{lk}(3) = C_7(a_1, [2, n_5, n_6], [n_7, n_8, 4])$, $\mathrm{lk}(4) = C_7(a_1, [3, n_7, n_8], [n_9, n_{10}, 5])$, $\mathrm{lk}(5) = C_7(a_1, [4, n_9, n_{10}], [n_{11}, n_{12}, 6])$, $\mathrm{lk}(6) = C_7(a_1, [1, n_3, n_4], [n_{12}, n_{11}, 5])$, where $n_i \in V_m$ for $1 \le i \le 12$. Now considering $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, we see that $n_1 \in \{4, 5, 7\}$.

Case 1. If $n_1 = 4$, then successively, we see that $n_2 = 5$, $n_3 = 7$, and $n_4 = 8$; now considering $\mathrm{lk}(5)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, we get two quadrangular faces which share more than one vertex, which is not allowed. So, $n_1 \ne 4$.

Case 2. If $n_1 = 5$, then successively, we get $n_2 = 4$, $n_3 = 7$, $n_4 = 8$, $n_{12} = 9$, and $n_{11} = 10$. Now completing $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, $\mathrm{lk}(6)$, $\mathrm{lk}(5)$, $\mathrm{lk}(2)$, $\mathrm{lk}(3)$, $\mathrm{lk}(4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(7)$, and $\mathrm{lk}(10)$, we see that $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(7, 8, 11, 10, 9, 12)$ or $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12)$. If $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(8)$ is a cycle of length 5, a contradiction. If $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(7, 8, 11, 10, 9, 12)$, then completing successively, we get $M \cong K_{1(2,12)}[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \le i \le 12$, $1 \le j \le 2$.



Figure 4: Doubly semiequivelar maps on torus and Klein bottle of type $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$. (a) $K_{1(6,6)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$. (b) $T_{1(6,6)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$. (c) $T_{2(6,6)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$.



Figure 5: Doubly semiequivelar maps on Klein bottle of type $K_{1(2,12)}$ $[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$.

Case 3. If $n_1 = 7$, then we get $(n_2, n_3) \in \{(8, 3), (8, 4), (8, 9)\}$. For $(n_2, n_3) = (8, 3)$, $n_4 = 4$ and $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(a_1, [2, 7, 8], [3, 4, 6])$. Now considering successively lk(3) and lk(1), we see two quadrangular faces which share more than one vertex, which is not allowed. Hence, $(n_2, n_3) \neq (8, 3)$.

For $(n_2, n_3) = (8, 4)$, completing successively lk(1), lk(4), lk(5), lk(6), lk(3), lk(2), lk(11), and lk(8), we see that $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12)$ or $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(7, 8, 9, 12, 11, 10)$.

If $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12)$, then lk(7) is a cycle of length 5, a contradiction.

If $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_6(7, 8, 9, 12, 11, 10)$, completing successively, we get $M \cong K_{1(2,12)}$-$[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$ via $1 \mapsto v_9$, $2 \mapsto v_{10}$, $3 \mapsto v_{11}$, $4 \mapsto v_8$, $5 \mapsto v_7$, $6 \mapsto v_{12}$, $7 \mapsto v_5$, $8 \mapsto v_6$, $9 \mapsto v_1$, $10 \mapsto v_4$, $11 \mapsto v_3$, $12 \mapsto v_2$, $a_1 \mapsto u_2$, $a_2 \mapsto u_1$.

If $(n_2, n_3) = (8, 9)$, $n_4 = 10$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(a_1, [2, 7, 8], [9, 10, 6])$ and $\mathrm{lk}(6) = C_7(a_1, [1, 9, 10], [n_{12}, n_{11}, 5])$ for $(n_{11}, n_{12}) \in \{(3, 2), (11, 4), (11, 7), (11, 12)\}$. A small calculation shows no map exists for $(n_{11}, n_{12}) \in \{(11, 4), (11, 7), (11, 12)\}$. For $(n_{11}, n_{12}) = (3, 2)$, completing successively, we get $M \cong K_{1(2,12)}[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$ via $1 \mapsto v_3$, $2 \mapsto v_4$, $3 \mapsto v_5$, $4 \mapsto v_6$, $5 \mapsto v_1$, $6 \mapsto v_2$, $7 \mapsto v_7$, $8 \mapsto v_{12}$, $9 \mapsto v_{11}$, $10 \mapsto v_{10}$, $11 \mapsto v_9$, $12 \mapsto v_8$, $a_1 \mapsto u_1$, $a_2 \mapsto u_2$. This completes computation of the DSEM for ≤15. This gives the following result.

4.3.2. Result

Theorem 3. *There exists a unique DSEM of type $[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$ on the surfaces of Euler characteristic 0 for ≤15 vertices. This is $K_{1(2,12)}[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$ on Klein bottle, given in Figure 5.*

4.4. Computation and Classification for Type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$. Consider the following DSEM of type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$, shown in Figure 6, on torus denoted by $T_{1(4,8)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$.

4.4.1. Computation. Let M be a map of the type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$ with the vertex set V. Let $V_{(3^3)}$ and $V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}$ denote the sets of vertices with face-sequence types $(3^3, 4^2)$ and $(3^2, 4, 3, 4)$, respectively. It is easy to see that $2|V_{(3^3,4^2)}| = |V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}|$ and $|V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}|$ is multiple of 4. Therefore, for $|V| \le 15$, we let $V = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|}, 1, 2, \ldots, 2|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|\}$, where $|V_{(3^3,4^2)}| \le 4$. Assume that $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_7(2, 3, [4, 5, a_2, 6, 1])$. Then, $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_7(7, 8, [5, 4, a_1, 1, 6])$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_1, [1, x_1, x_2], [n_1, n_2, 3])$ or $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_1, [3, x_1, x_2], [n_1, n_2, 1])$, for $x_1, x_2 \in V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ and $n_1, n_2 \in V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}$. In the first case of lk(2), considering lk(1), we see three quadrangular faces incident at 1, which is not allowed. On the other hand, when $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_1, [3, x_1, x_2], [n_1, n_2, 1])$, we get $x_1 = a_3$, $x_2 = a_4$, and $(n_1, n_2) \in \{(5, 8), (7, 8), (8, 5), (8, 7)\}$.

For $(n_1, n_2) = (7, 8)$, considering lk(1) and lk(2), we see that $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_7(1, 8, [3, 2, a_4, n_3, 6])$ or $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_7(1, 6, [3, 2, a_4, n_3, 8])$, but for both the cases of $\mathrm{lk}(a_3)$, we get no suitable value for n_3 in $V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}$. So, $(n_1, n_2) \neq (7, 8)$.

For $(n_1, n_2) = (8, 5)$, completing lk(2) and lk(1) and proceeding, as in previous case, we see that lk(3) cannot be completed.

For $(n_1, n_2) = (8, 7)$, considering lk(2) and lk(1), we see that lk(7) cannot be completed.

For $(n_1, n_2) = (5, 8)$, successively, we get $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_1, [3, a_3, a_4], [5, 8, 1])$, $\mathrm{lk}(5) = C_7(a_4, [4, a_1, a_2], [8, 1, 2])$, and $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(a_3, [6, a_2, a_1], [2, 5, 8])$. Then, $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_7(1, 8, [3, 2, a_4, 7, 6])$ or $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_7(1, 6, [3, 2, a_4, 7, 8])$.

When $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_7(1, 8, [3, 2, a_4, 7, 6])$, completing $\mathrm{lk}(a_4)$, we see that lk(7) cannot be completed.

When $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_7(1, 6, [3, 2, a_4, 7, 8])$, completing successively, we get $M \cong T_{1(4,8)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \le i \le 8$, $1 \le j \le 4$. Thus, the computation is completed for ≤15 vertices. This leads to the following result.

4.4.2. Result

Theorem 4. *There exists a unique DSEM of type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$ with number of vertices ≤15. This is $T_{1(4,8)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$ on torus, shown in Figure 6.*

4.5. Computation and Classification for Type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$. Consider the following DSEM of type



FIGURE 6: Doubly semiequivelar map on torus of type $T_{1(4,8)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$.

$[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$, shown in Figure 7, on Klein bottle denoted by $K_{1\,(6,6)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$.

4.5.1. Computation. Let M be a map of the type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$ with the vertex set V. Let $V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ and $V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}$ denote the sets of vertices with face-sequence types $(3^3, 4^2)$ and $(3^2, 4, 3, 4)$, respectively. Then, we see easily that $|V_{(3^3,4^2)}| = |V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}| = 2k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, for $|V| = (|V_{(3^3,4^2)}| + |V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}|) \leq 15$, we let $V = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|}, 1, 2, \ldots, |V_{(3^3,4^2)}|\}$, where $|V_{(3^3,4^2)}| = 2k$ for $k \leq 3$. Assume that, without loss of generality, $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_7(a_3, 3, [4, 5, a_2, 1, 2])$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_7(x_1, n_1, [5, 4, a_1, 2, 1])$ or $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_7(x_1, n_1, [1, 2, a_1, 4, 5])$ for $x_1 \in V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ and $n_1 \in V_{(3^2,4,3,4)}$.

If $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_7(x_1, n_1, [5, 4, a_1, 2, 1])$, then a small calculation shows that no such map exists for the given V. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_7(x_1, n_1, [1, 2, a_1, 4, 5])$, then we have $x_1 = a_4$, $n_1 = 6$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_3, [a_1, a_2, 1], [x_2, x_3, n_2])$, where $(x_2, x_3, n_2) \in \{(a_5, a_4, 5), (a_5, a_4, 6)\}$. If $(x_2, x_3, n_2) = (a_5, a_4, 5)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_7(a_1, 2, [5, n_3, a_6, n_4, 3])$. Now considering $\mathrm{lk}(5)$, we see three quadrangular faces incident at 5, which is not allowed. If $(x_2, x_3, n_2) = (a_5, a_4, 6)$, $\mathrm{lk}(2) = C_7(a_3, [a_1, a_2, 1], [a_5, a_4, 6])$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_7(a_1, 2, [6, n_3, a_6, n_4, 3])$, where $(n_3, n_4) \in \{(1, 5), (4, 1), (4, 5)\}$. In case $(n_3, n_4) = (4, 1)$ and $(4, 5)$, we see, respectively, $\mathrm{lk}(1)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(4)$ cannot be completed. If $(n_3, n_4) = (1, 5)$, $M \cong K_{1\,(6,6)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_i \mapsto u_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Thus, the computation is completed. Then, we obtain the following result.

4.5.2. Result

Theorem 5. *There exists a unique DSEM of type* $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$ *with number of vertices ≤15. This is* $K_{1\,(6,6)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$ *on Klein bottle, shown in Figure 7.*

4.6. Computation and Classification for Type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. Consider the DSEMs of type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, shown in Figure 8, on torus and Klein bottle denoted by $T_{i\,(n,2n)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$, and $K_{i\,(n,2n)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 3\}$, respectively.

Claim 4. For the maps above, we have the following:



FIGURE 7: Doubly semiequivelar map of type $K_{1\,(6,6)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$ on Klein bottle.

(a) $T_{1\,(3,6)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1 \not\cong T_{2\,(3,6)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$.

(b) $T_{3\,(4,8)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1 \not\cong T_{4\,(4,8)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$.

(c) $T_{5\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1 \not\cong T_{6\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1 \not\cong T_{7\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$.

(d) $K_{3\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1 \not\cong K_{4\,(5,10)}$-$[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$.

Proof. The proof follows by considering the following polynomials:

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{1\,(3,6)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^9 - 21x^7 - 24x^6 + 72x^5 + 72x^4 - 99x^3 - 54x^2 + 54x$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{2\,(3,6)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^9 - 21x^7 - 18x^6 + 54x^5$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{3\,(4,8)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^{12} - 28x^{10} - 16x_9 + 212x^8 + 88x^7 - 684x^6 - 48x^5 + 912x^4 - 272x^3 - 240x^2 + 96x$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{4\,(4,8)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^{12} - 28x^{10} - 24x_9 + 212x^8 + 280x^7 - 524x^6 - 976x^5 + 80x^4 + 880x^3 + 528x^2 + 96x$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{3\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^{15} - 5x^{13} - 40x^{12} + 385x^{11} + 790x^{10} - 1100x_9 - 3620x^8 + 55x^7 + 6200x^6 + 3305x^5 - 3500x^4 - 3265x^3 - 190x^2 + 270x - 24$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(K_{4\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^{15} - 35x^{13} - 24x^{12} + 401x^{11} + 434x^{10} - 1832x_9 - 2468x^8 + 3123x^7 + 5232x^6 - 939x^5 - 3716x^4 - 1261x^3 + 30x^2 + 30x$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{5\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^{15} - 35x^{13} - 20x^{12} + 425x^{11} + 294x^{10} - 2500x_9 - 1520x^8 + 7855x^7 + 3060x^6 - 12919x^5 - 1100x^4 + 8815x^3 - 2250x^2 + 150x$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{6\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^{15} - 35x^{13} - 20x^{12} + 395x^{11} + 344x^{10} - 1790x_9 - 1960x^8 + 3150x^7 + 3920x^6 - 2059x^5 - 3000x^4 + 235x^3 + 750x^2 + 150x$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{7\,(5,10)}\,[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1)) = x^{15} - 35x^{13} - 30x^{12} + 395x^{11} + 594x^{10} - 1495x_9 - 3360x^8 + 175x^7 + 3990x^6 + 2166\,x^5$$

□

4.6.1. Computation. Let M be a map of the type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$ with the vertex set V. Let $V_{(4^4)}$ and $V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ denote the sets of vertices with face-sequence types (4^4) and $(3^3, 4^2)$, respectively. Then, counting the number of quadrangular faces in terms of $|V_{(3^3,4^2)}|$ and $|V_{(4^4)}|$ we see easily that $|V_{(3^3,4^2)}| = 2|V_{(4^4)}|$. Thus, for $|V| \leq 15$, we let $V = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|V_{(4^4)}|}, 1, 2, \ldots, 2|V_{(4^4)}|\}$ such that $|V_{(4^4)}| \leq 5$. Assume that, without loss of generality, $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_8(a_3, 1, 2, 3, a_2, 4, 5, 6)$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_8(a_1, 2, 3, n_1, x_1, n_2, 4, 5)$ for $x_1 \in V_{(4^4)}$ and $n_1, n_2 \in V_{(3^3,4^2)}$. Observe that $x_1 \in \{a_3, a_4\}$.

Case 1. When $x_1 = a_3$, then $(n_1, n_2) \in \{(1, 6), (6, 1)\}$. If $(n_1, n_2) = (6, 1)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_8(a_1, 2, 3, 6, a_3, 1, 4, 5)$



FIGURE 8: Doubly semiequivelar maps of type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$ on torus and Klein bottle. (a) $K_{1(3,6)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (b) $T_{1(3,6)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (c) $T_{2(3,6)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (d) $K_{2(4,8)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (e) $T_{3(4,8)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (f) $T_{4(4,8)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (g) $T_{5(5,10)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (h) $T_{6(5,10)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (i) $K_{4(5,10)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (j) $T_{7(5,10)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$. (k) $K_{3(5,10)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$.

and $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_8(a_2, 3, 6, 5, a_1, 2, 1, 4)$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(n_3, n_4, [2, a_1, a_3, a_2, 4])$. It is easy to see that $(n_3, n_4) \in \{(3,6), (5,6), (6,3), (6,5)\}$. But for these values of (n_3, n_4), we see easily that no map exists.

On the other hand, if $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 6)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_8(a_1, 2, 3, 1, a_3, 6, 4, 5)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_8(a_2, 3, 1, 2, a_1, 5, 6, 4)$. This implies $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(n_3, n_4, [2, a_1, a_3, a_2, 3])$, for $(n_3, n_4) \in \{(4,5), (4,6), (5,4), (5,6), (6,4), (6,5)\}$.

Observe that $(5,6) \cong (4,5)$ by the map $(1,3,2)\,(4,5,6)\,(a_1, a_3, a_2)$, $(6,4) \cong (4,5)$ by the map $(1,2,3)\,(4,6,5)\,(a_1, a_2, a_3)$, and $(6,5) \cong (4,6)$ by the map $(2,3)\,(4,5)\,(a_1, a_2)$. Thus, we search for $(n_3, n_4) \in \{(4,5), (4,6), (5,4), (5,6)\}$. Now doing computation for these cases, we see the following:

If $(n_3, n_4) = (4,5), M \cong K_{1(3,6)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_6$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \le i \le 6$, $1 \le j \le 3$.

If $(n_3, n_4) = (4,6), M \cong T_{1(3,6)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_6$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \le i \le 6$, $1 \le j \le 3$.

If $(n_3, n_4) = (5,4), M \cong T_{2(3,6)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_6$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \le i \le 6$, $1 \le j \le 3$.

Case 2. When $x_1 = a_4$, then considering $\mathrm{lk}(a_3) = C_8(a_1, 2, 1, n_3, x_2, n_4, 6, 5)$, we get $x_2 \in \{a_4, a_5\}$.

Subcase 2.1. If $x_2 = a_4$, then $(n_3, n_4) \in \{(7,8), (8,7)\}$. If $(n_3, n_4) = (8,7)$, $(n_5, n_6) \in \{(4,5), (5,4), (5,6), (6,5), (6,7), (7,3), (7,6)\}$. If $(n_5, n_6) = (4,5)$, then considering successively $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, $\mathrm{lk}(5)$, and $\mathrm{lk}(8)$, we see that $\deg(4) > 5$, a contradiction. If $(n_5, n_6) = (5,4)$, then considering successively $\mathrm{lk}(1)$, $\mathrm{lk}(5)$, $\mathrm{lk}(2)$, and $\mathrm{lk}(6)$, we get $\mathrm{lk}(7)$ of length 5, a contradiction. Proceeding similarly for the rest of the cases of (n_5, n_6), we see easily that no map exists. On the other hand, if $(n_3, n_4) = (7,8)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(n_5, n_6, [2, a_1, a_3, a_4, 7])$, where $(n_5, n_6) \in \{(4,5), (4,8), (5,4), (5,6), (6,5), (6,8), (8,4), (8,6)\}$. But $(5,4) \cong (4,8)$ by the map $(1,3)\,(4,6)\,(a_2, a_3)$, $(5,6) \cong (4,5)$ by the map $(1,7)\,(2,3)\,(4,5)\,(6,8)\,(a_1, a_2)\,(a_3, a_4)$, $(6,8) \cong (4,5)$ by the map $(1,2,3,7)\,(4,8,6,5)\,(a_1, a_2, a_4, a_3)$, $(8,4) \cong (4,5)$ by the map $(1,3)\,(2,7)\,(4,6)\,(5,8)\,(a_1, a_4)\,(a_2, a_3)$, and $(8,6) \cong (6,5)$ by the map $(1,7)\,(2,3)\,(4,5)\,(6,8)\,(a_1, a_2)\,(a_3, a_4)$. So, we search for $(n_5, n_6) \in \{(4,5), (4,8), (6,5)\}$. Now doing computation for these cases, we see the following:

If $(n_5, n_6) = (4,5), M \cong K_{2(4,8)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_6$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \le i \le 8$, $1 \le j \le 4$.

If $(n_5, n_6) = (4,8), M \cong T_{3(4,8)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_6$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \le i \le 8$, $1 \le j \le 4$.

If $(n_5, n_6) = (6,5), M \cong T_{4(4,8)}[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_6$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \le i \le 8$, $1 \le j \le 4$.

Subcase 2.2. When $x_2 = a_5$, then considering $\mathrm{lk}(a_4)$, we see that $(n_5, n_6) \in \{(9,10), (10,9)\}$. If $(n_5, n_6) = (10,9)$, $(n_7, n_8) \in \{(3,7), (4,5), (4,8), (5,4), (5,6), (6,5), (6,10), (7,10), (10,6), (10,7)\}$. But a small calculation shows that no map exists for these values of (n_7, n_8). If $(n5, n6) = (9,10)$, then we get $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(n_7, n_8, [2, a_1, a_3, a_5, 9])$. Then, up to isomorphism, we get $(n_7, n_8) \in$

$\{(3, 7), (4, 5), (5, 4), (6, 5)\}$. Doing computation for these cases, we see the following:

If $(n_7, n_8) = (3, 7), M \cong K_{3(5,10)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

If $(n_7, n_8) = (4, 5), M \cong K_{4(5,10)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

If $(n_7, n_8) = (4, 8), M \cong T_{5(5,10)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

If $(n_7, n_8) = (5, 4), M \cong T_{6(5,10)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

If $(n_7, n_8) = (6, 5), M \cong T_{7(5,10)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_j \mapsto u_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$. This completes the computation and we get the following results.

4.6.2. Results

Lemma 3. *Let M be a DSEM of type $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$ with number of vertices ≤ 15. Then, M is isomorphic to one of the following: $T_{1(3,6)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, $T_{2(3,6)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, $K_{1(3,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$, $T_{3(4,8)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, $T_{4(4,8)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, $K_{2(4,8)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, $T_{5(5,10)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, $T_{6(5,10)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, $T_{7(5,10)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, $K_{3(5,10)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, and $K_{4(5,10)}[3^3,4^2: 4^4]_1$, as shown in Figure 8.*

Combining Lemma 3 together with Claim 4, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *There are exactly 11 non-isomorphic DSEMs of type $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_1$ on the surfaces of Euler characteristic 0 with ≤ 15 vertices. Out of these, 7 are on torus and remaining 4 are on Klein bottle.*

4.7. Computation and Classification for Type $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$. Consider the following DSEMs of type $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$, shown in Figure 9, on torus and Klein bottle denoted by $T_{i(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$, for $i = 1$, 2, and $K_{1(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$, respectively.

Claim 5. $T_{1(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2 \ncong T_{2(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$.

Proof. See the following polynomials:

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{1(6,6)}[3^3,4^2:4^4]_2)) = x^{12} - 27x^{10} - 20x_9 + 207x^8 + 168x^7 - 610x^6 - 288x^5 + 723x^4 - 136x^3 - 171x^2 + 84x - 11$$

$$p(\mathrm{EG}(T_{2(6,6)}[3^3,4^2:4^4]_2)) = x^{12} - 27x^{10} - 20x_9 + 201x^8 + 192x^7 - 532x^6 - 552x^5 + 492x^4 + 560x^3 - 84x^2 - 192x - 44$$

□

4.7.1. Computation. Let M be a map of the type $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$ with the vertex set V. Let $V_{(4^4)}$ and $V_{(3^3,4^2)}$ denote the sets of vertices with face-sequence types (4^4) and $(3^3, 4^2)$, respectively. Then, it is easy to see that M has the number of quadrangular faces $3|V_{(4^4)}|/2$ or $(|V_{(3^3,4^2)}| + (|V_{4^4}|/2))$. This implies $|V_{(4^4)}| = |V_{(3^3,4^2)}| = 2k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for $|V| \leq 15$, we let $V = \left\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|V_{(4^4)}|}, 1, 2, \ldots, |V_{(4^4)}|\right\}$, where $|V_{(4^4)}| = 2k$ for $k \leq 3$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\mathrm{lk}(1) = C_7(3, 4, [5, a_1, a_2, a_3, 2])$. Then, $\mathrm{lk}(a_2) = C_8(a_3, 2, 1, 5, a_1, a_6, a_5, a_4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_8(a_2, 1, 5, n_1, x_1, x_2, a_6, a_5)$ for $n_1 \in V_m$ and $x_1, x_2 \in V_l$. Observe that $(n_1, x_1, x_2) \in \{(2, a_3, a_4), (3, a_4, a_3), (6, a_4, a_3)\}$.

Case 1. When $(n_1, x_1, x_2) = (3, a_4, a_3)$, then considering successively $\mathrm{lk}(a_1)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_5)$, $\mathrm{lk}(3)$, and $\mathrm{lk}(4)$, we see that $\mathrm{lk}(5)$ cannot be completed. So, $(n_1, x_1, x_2) \neq (2, a_4, a_3)$.

Case 2. When $(n_1, x_1, x_2) = (6, a_4, a_3)$, then $\mathrm{lk}(a_1) = C_8(a_2, 1, 5, 6, a_4, a_3, a_6, a_5)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(a_4) = C_8(a_1, 5, 6, n_2, a_5, a_2, a_3, a_6)$ for $n_2 \in \{3, 4, 7\}$. If $n_2 = 3$, then considering successively $\mathrm{lk}(a_4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_5)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_6)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_3)$, $\mathrm{lk}(3)$, and $\mathrm{lk}(2)$, we see $\mathrm{lk}(4)$ cannot be completed.

If $n_2 = 4$, then considering successively $\mathrm{lk}(a_4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_5)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_6)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_3)$, and $\mathrm{lk}(4)$, as in previous case, we see that $\mathrm{lk}(5)$ cannot be completed.

If $n_2 = 7$, then considering successively $\mathrm{lk}(a_4)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_5)$, and $\mathrm{lk}(a_6)$, we see that $\mathrm{lk}(a_3)$ cannot be completed. So, $(n_1, x_1, x_2) \neq (6, a_4, a_3)$.

Case 3. If $(n_1, x_1, x_2) = (2, a_3, a_4)$, then successively completing $\mathrm{lk}(a_1)$, $\mathrm{lk}(a_3)$, $\mathrm{lk}(2)$, and $\mathrm{lk}(5)$, we get $\mathrm{lk}(4) = C_7(1, 5, [6, x_5, x_4, x_3, 3])$ for $(x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \{(a_5, a_4, a_6), (a_6, a_4, a_5), (a_4, a_5, a_6), (a_6, a_5, a_4), (a_4, a_6, a_5), (a_5, a_6, a_4)\}$. Note that $(a_6, a_5, a_4) \cong (a_5, a_4, a_6)$ by the map $(1, 5, 2)$ $(3, 4, 6)$ (a_1, a_3, a_2) (a_4, a_5, a_6), $(a_4, a_6, a_5) \cong (a_5, a_4, a_6)$ by the map $(1, 2, 5)$ $(3, 6, 4)$ (a_1, a_2, a_3) (a_4, a_6, a_5), and $(a_5, a_6, a_4) \cong (a_4, a_5, a_6)$ by the map $(2, 5)$ $(3, 4)$ (a_1, a_3) (a_4, a_6).

Thus, we do computation for $(x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \{(a_5, a_4, a_6), (a_6, a_4, a_5), (a_4, a_5, a_6)\}$. This gives the following:

If $(x_3, x_4, x_5) = (a_5, a_4, a_6), M \cong K_{1(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_i \mapsto u_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

If $(x_3, x_4, x_5) = (a_6, a_4, a_5), M \cong T_{1(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_i \mapsto u_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

If $(x_3, x_4, x_5) = (a_4, a_5, a_6), M \cong T_{2(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$ by the map $i \mapsto v_i$, $a_i \mapsto u_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

Thus, the computation is completed and we get the following results.

4.7.2. Results

Lemma 4. *Let M be a DSEM of type $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$ with number of vertices ≤ 15. Then, M is isomorphic to $T_{1(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$, $T_{2(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$ or $K_{1(6,6)}[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$, as shown in Figure 9.*

Combining Lemma 4 together with Claim 5, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *There are exactly 3 non-isomorphic DSEMs of type $[3^3, 4^2: 4^4]_2$ on the surfaces of Euler characteristic 0 with ≤ 15 vertices. Out of these, two are on torus and the remaining one is on Klein bottle.*

5. Summary

From Theorems 1–7, we get the following.



Figure 9: Doubly semiequivelar maps of type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_2$ on torus and Klein bottle. (a) $K_{1(6,6)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_2$. (b) $T_{1(6,6)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_2$. (c) $T_{2(6,6)}$ $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_2$.

Theorem 8. *There are at least 35 non-isomorphic DSEMs on the surfaces of Euler characteristic 0 with ≤15 vertices. Out of these, 20 are on the torus and remaining 15 are on the Klein bottle.*

A tabular form of the results obtained here is presented in Table 1.

6. Discussion

In [16], the authors constructed infinite series of semi-equivelar maps on torus and Klein bottle from equivelar maps by using elementary map operations: truncation and subdivision (these operations do not affect the symmetry of a map). Here, we present infinite series of the seven type doubly semiequivelar maps for torus, and one can explore similarly for Klein bottle.

Infinite series of DSEMs of types $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_2$, $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$, and $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]$ are constructed from infinite series of semiequivelar map of type $[4^4]$ by subdividing the quadrangular faces as shown in Figures 10–14, respectively. Infinite series of DSEM of type $[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$ is obtained from an infinite series of semi-equivelar map of type $[6^3]$ by subdividing the hexagonal faces (by introducing a new vertex and joining it to the six vertices of the face by an edge) as shown in Figure 15.

Although, we present infinite series of DSEM of type $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$ (see Figure 16), we do not know whether this DSEM can be obtained from any semiequivelar map by the above elementary map operations. This observation leads to the following question.

Question 1. Can we obtain every doubly semiequivelar map (corresponding to the 2-uniform tilings) on torus and Klein bottle from semiequivelar maps (corresponding to the Archimedean tilings) by applying finite sequence of map operations on the same surface?



Figure 10: DSEM of type-$[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$: $(n \geq 3)$.



Figure 11: DSEM of type-$[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$: $(n \geq 3)$.



Figure 12: DSEM of type-$[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_2$: $(n \geq 3)$.

6.1. Infinite Series of DSEMs on Torus. If we study group structures associated to the maps, we see that DSEMs, obtained here, on torus are 2-uniform. For example, in case of type $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, we see that the groups $G_1 = \langle (v_1, v_3, v_5, v_4, v_2, v_6)(u_1, u_3, u_2) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle (v_1, v_2, v_5)\ (v_3, v_6, v_4)\ (u_1, u_3, u_2), (v_1, v_3)(v_2, v_4)(v_5, v_6)(u_2, u_3) \rangle$, $G_3 = \langle (v_1, v_2, v_7, v_5)\ (v_3, v_8, v_6, v_4)(u_1, u_3, u_4, u_2), (v_1, v_4)(v_2, v_6)(v_3, v_5)\ (v_7, v_8)\ (u_1, u_2)(u_3, u_4) \rangle$, $G_4 = \langle (v_1, v_5, v_7, v_2)(v_3, v_4, v_6, v_8)\ (u_1, u_2, u_4, u_3), (v_1, v_8)\ (v_2, v_6)\ (v_3, v_5)\ (v_4, v_7)(u_1, u_4)(u_2, u_3) \rangle$, $G_5 = \langle (v_1, v_5, v_7, v_2)(v_3, v_4, v_6, v_8)(u_1, u_2, u_4, u_3), (v_1, v_4)\ (v_2, v_3)(v_5, v_6)(v_7, v_8) \rangle$, $G_6 = \langle (v_1, v_2, v_7, v_{10}, v_5)\ (v_3, v_8, v_9, v_6, v_4)(u_1, u_3, u_5, u_4, u_2), (v_1, v_9)(v_2, v_8)\ (v_3, v_7)\ (v_4, v_{10})\ (v_5, v_6)\ (u_1, u_5)\ (u_2, u_4) \rangle$, $G_7 = \langle (v_1, v_7, v_5, v_2, v_{10})\ (v_3, v_9, v_4, v_8, v_6)(u_1, u_5, u_2, u_3, u_4), (v_1, v_3)(v_2, v_4)\ (v_5, v_8)\ (v_6, v_7)$



FIGURE 13: DSEM of type-$[3^3, 4^2\colon\ 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$: $(n \geq 2)$.



FIGURE 14: DSEM of type-$[3^6\colon\ 3^3, 4^2]_2$: $(n \geq 0)$.



FIGURE 15: DSEM of type-$[3^6\colon\ 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$: $(n \geq 2)$.



FIGURE 16: DSEM of type-$[3^3, 4^2\colon\ 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$: $(n \geq 3)$.

(v_9, v_{10}) (u_2, u_3) $(u_4, u_5)\rangle$, and $G_8 = \langle (v_1, v_{10}, v_2, v_5, v_7)(v_3, v_6, v_8, v_4, v_9)(u_1, u_4, u_3, u_2, u_5)$, (v_1, v_4) (v_2, v_3) (v_5, v_6) (v_7, v_8) $(v_9, v_{10})\rangle$ act on the maps $T_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{2(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{3(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{4(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{5(4,8)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{6(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $T_{7(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, and $T_{8(5,10)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, respectively, such that under the action, the maps have two orbits of vertices. Similarly, we can easily find a group for the DSEMs of types $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$, $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$, $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, and $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_2$ on torus, under which the maps have two orbits of vertices. However, this fact does not hold for the DSEMs on Klein bottle. For example, if we let $K_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, we get no automorphism which sends v_1 to v_2. This can be seen as follows: suppose there is $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(K_{1(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1)$ such that

$f(v_1) = v_2$. Then, considering $\text{lk}(v_1)$ and $\text{lk}(v_2)$, we see, either $f(v_5) = v_5$ or $f(v_5) = v_1$. In the first case, when $f(v_5) = v_5$, we get $f(u_2) = u_3$, $f(u_1) = u_1$, $f(v_2) = v_1$, $f(v_3) = v_6$, $f(v_6) = v_3$, and $f(v_4) = v_4$. Now if we see $\text{lk}(u_1)$, we get a contradiction of the facts $f(u_1) = u_1$ and $f(v_3) = v_6$, as $v_6 \notin \text{lk}(u_1)$. So, $f(v_5) \neq v_5$. Similarly, we see that $f(v_5) \neq v_1$. Combining these, we see that $f(v_1) \neq v_2$. This shows that $K_{1\,(3,6)}[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$ is not 2-uniform. This observation leads to ask the following question.

Question 2. Are the doubly semiequivelar maps (corresponding to the 2-uniform tilings) on torus 2-uniform?

7. Conclusions

In this article, the notion of doubly semiequivelar maps (DSEMs) has been introduced for the first time. A methodology has been presented to enumerate doubly semiequivelar maps on torus and Klein bottle corresponding to the 2-uniform tilings $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_1$, $[3^6\colon 3^3, 4^2]_2$, $[3^6\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]$, $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_1$, $[3^3, 4^2\colon 3^2, 4, 3, 4]_2$, $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_1$, and $[3^3, 4^2\colon 4^4]_2$. The methodology has been demonstrated to enumerate the DSEMs on at most 15 vertices. The enumeration provides at least 35 non-isomorphic DSEMs on the surfaces of Euler characteristic zero, and out of these, 20 are on torus and remaining 15 are on Klein bottle. Further, infinite series of these types DSEMs have been constructed. We know that a study of maps becomes more significant when certain symmetry involves; in view of this, the notion of 2-uniform maps (parallel to the notion of vertex-transitive maps for equivelar or semiequivelar maps) has been introduced. During computation, it has been found that all the maps obtained on torus are 2-uniform, which does not hold in case of DSEMs on Klein bottle. This motivates us to explore the fact whether all the DSEMs on torus are 2-uniform. In the literature, vertex-transitive maps have been studied extensively. It would be interesting to study 2-uniform maps not only for torus and Klein bottle but also for other close surfaces and to explore the analog notions of vertex-transitive maps for 2-uniform maps.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The research work of the first author is partially supported by IIIT Allahabad.

References

[1] U. Brehm, *Polyhedral Maps with Few Edges, Topics in Combinatorics and Graph Theory*, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany, 1990.

[2] B. Datta and N. Nilakantan, “Equivelar polyhedra with few vertices,” *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 429–461, 2001.

[3] U. Brehm, B. Datta, and N. Nilakantan, “The edge-minimal polyhedral maps of Euler characteristic-8,” *Beitrage zur Algebra und Geometrie*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 583–596, 2001.

[4] B. Datta and A. K. Upadhyay, “Degree-regular triangulations of the double torus,” *Forum Mathematicum*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1011–1025, 2006.

[5] J. Karabas and R. Nedela, “Archimedean solids of genus 2,” *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 28, pp. 331–339, 2007.

[6] J. Karabas and R. Nedela, “Archimedean maps of higher genera,” *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 81, no. 277, pp. 569–583, 2012.

[7] A. K. Upadhyay, A. K. Tiwari, and D. Maity, “Semi-equivelar maps,” *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie/Contributions to Algebra and Geometry*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 229–242, 2012.

[8] A. K. Tiwari and A. K. Upadhyay, “Semi-equivelar maps on the surfaces of Euler characteristic-1,” *Note di Mate*, vol. 37, pp. 91–102, 2017.

[9] O. Krötenheerdt, “Die homogenen Mosaike n-ter Ordnung in der euklidischen Ebene I,” *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Martin-Luther-Universit at HalleUWittenberg*, vol. 18, pp. 273–290, 1969.

[10] D. Chavey, “Tilings by regular polygons-ii a catalog of tilings,” *Symmetry 2*, vol. 17, pp. 147–165, 1989.

[11] B. Grünbaum and G. C. Shephard, *Tilings and Patterns*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, NY, USA, 1987.

[12] A. Altshuler, “Construction and enumeration of regular maps on the torus,” *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 201–217, 1973.

[13] W. Kurth, “Enumeration of Platonic maps on the torus,” *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 71–83, 1986.

[14] B. Datta and A. K. Upadhyay, “Degree-regular triangulations of torus and Klein bottle,” *Proceedings Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 279–307, 2005.

[15] U. Brehm and W. Kühnel, “Equivelar maps on the torus,” *European Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 1843–1861, 2008.

[16] A. K. Tiwari and A. K. Upadhyay, “Semi-equivelar maps on the torus and the Klein bottle with few vertices,” *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 519–532, 2017.

[17] D. Maity and A. K. Upadhyay, “On enumeration of a class of toroidal graphs,” *Contributions to Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 13, pp. 79–119, 2018.

[18] P. R. Cromwell, *Polyhedra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997.

[19] T. C. Biedl, M. Hasan, and A. López-Ortiz, “Efficient view point selection for silhouettes of convex polyhedra,” *Computational Geometry*, vol. 44, no. 8, pp. 399–408, 2011.

[20] T. Dend, M. Yu, G. Hu, and W. Qiu, “The architecture and growth of extended platonic polyhedra,” *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 713–730, 2012.

[21] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 2008.

[22] U. Brehm and E. Schulte, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1997.