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BLM Deficiency Is Not Associated with Sensitivity to Hydroxyurea-Induced Replication Stress
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Bloom’s syndrome (BS) displays one of the strongest known correlations between chromosomal instability and a high risk of cancer at an early age. BS cells combine a reduced average fork velocity with constitutive endogenous replication stress. However, the response of BS cells to replication stress induced by hydroxyurea (HU), which strongly slows the progression of replication forks, remains unclear due to publication of conflicting results. Using two different cellular models of BS, we showed that BLM deficiency is not associated with sensitivity to HU, in terms of clonogenic survival, DSB generation, and SCE induction. We suggest that surviving BLM-deficient cells are selected on the basis of their ability to deal with an endogenous replication stress induced by replication fork slowing, resulting in insensitivity to HU-induced replication stress.

1. Introduction

Bloom’s syndrome (BS) combines marked genetic instability with an increase in the risk of cancer development and results from mutations in the BLM gene, which encodes BLM, a RecQ 3′-5′ DNA helicase [1]. BS cells have very high frequencies of sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) mediated by RAD51-dependent homologous recombinations [2–4]. Physical and functional links between BLM, replication and HR have been found. BLM deficiency is associated with replication abnormalities [5–9] and with an increase in HR, including a higher frequency of spontaneous symmetric quadriradial interchanges, SCEs, and an increase in the generation of homozygosity [10]. Following replication arrest, BLM coprecipitates with RAD51 [11, 12] and the primary mediators of the S-phase checkpoint, ATR and Chk1 [13]. BLM and RAD51 are coloculated during replication fork stalling and reside in a matrix-bound complex [14, 15]. During replication stress, BLM, 53BP1, and RAD51 form a complex; Chk1-mediated phosphorylation of 53BP1 enhances its binding to BLM and is required for the accumulation of 53BP1 at the site of stalled replication, and BLM enhances the colocalization of 53BP1 and RAD51 during replication arrest [16]. BLM-deficient cells display constitutively large numbers of RAD51-containing foci. Both BLM and 53BP1 abolish the formation of endogenous RAD51 foci and disrupt RAD51 polymerization [11, 16]. SUMOylation of BLM regulates its association with RAD51 by promoting its recruitment and/or retention to damaged replication forks [17]. BLM also acts downstream from RAD51 to rescue anaphase bridges resulting from RAD51 deficiency, probably at difficult-to-replicate DNA sequences such as fragile sites [4]. In vitro, BLM unwinds DNA structures mimicking replication forks and HR intermediates, such as D-loops, and catalyzes the branch migration of Holliday junctions [18–20]. It resolves double Holliday junctions, together with topoisomerase IIIα, Rmi1/BLAP75, and Rmi2 [21, 22], and catalyzes the regression of replication forks [23, 24]. BLM inhibits the D-loop formation catalyzed by RAD51, by displacing RAD51 from single-stranded DNA, thereby disrupting nucleoprotein filaments [25]. Several models of the maintenance of genome integrity by BLM during DNA replication have been developed, most suggesting that BLM restarts replication after the stalling of the fork [26, 27]. In
the absence of BLM, cells display a slowing of replication fork progression associated with constitutive replication stress [9]. This raises questions about the response of BLM-deficient cells to an exogenous replicative stress slowing the progression of replication still further, such as hydroxyurea (HU). HU inhibits the ribonucleotide reductase commonly used to induce replicational stress which slows fork rate progression with respect to the temporal programme of origin activation [28]. Conflicting data have been reported concerning the sensitivity of BLM-deficient cells to HU. BLM-deficient cells generated from the chicken DT40 B-cell line display normal sensitivity to HU [29] whereas BS lymphoblastoid cells are resistant to HU-induced apoptosis [30], and BS GM08505 fibroblast cells are hypersensitive to HU [31]. In this paper, we used two different cellular models of BS to investigate the sensitivity of BLM-deficient cells to HU-induced replication stress. We show that BLM deficiency is not associated with sensitivity to HU, in terms of clonal growth, DSB generation, and SCE induction. We discuss these results in light of the reported cellular responses of BLM-deficient cells to replication stress.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Cultures and Transfections. The SV40-transformed BS fibroblast cell line GM08505B and HeLa cells were used as previously described [32]. HeLaV cells and HeLash cells were obtained as described elsewhere [4]. For transient transfection assay siRNAs, 3 − 10^5 cells were used to seed 3 ml of DMEM in six-well plates. Cells were transfected with siRNAs specific for BLM (ON-TARGETplus, SMARTpool, Dharmacon), or negative control siRNAs (ON-TARGETplus siCONTROL Nontargeting Pool, Dharmacon) at a final concentration of 100 nM using DharmaFect 1™ (Dharmacon), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

BS-GFP and BS-GFP-BLM cells were obtained by transfecting BS GM08505B cells with the EGF-C1 vector alone (Clontech, Mountain View, CA), or with this vector containing the full length BLM cDNA [33], respectively, using JetPEI reagent (Ozyme). After 48 hours, selection with 800 g/ml RNase A (Sigma) and 100 μg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma). The DNA content was determined by measuring fluorescent intensities on FACS-calibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). Data were processed with Cell Quest software.

2.5. Antibodies. All the commercial antibodies were used according to the manufacturers’ specifications. The primary antibodies used against BLM were ab476 (1 : 1000; rabbit, Abcam) and C18 (1 : 150; goat, Santa-Cruz Biotechnology). We used rabbit polyclonal antibodies against Chk1 ser317, Chk2 threonine 68, and H2AX serine 139 (1 : 1000; Cell Signaling), β-actin (1 : 10000; Sigma), and GAPDH (1 : 5000; Millipore).

Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antimouse IgG and goat antirabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used as secondary antibodies, at dilutions of 1 : 5000 and 1 : 10000.

2.6. Sister Chromatid Exchange Assays. Cells were left untreated or were transfected as indicated. After 24 h of transfection with siRNA, cells were left untreated or were treated with HU, transferred to slides and cultured in the presence of 10 mM 5-bromodeoxyuridine (Sigma) at 37°C, under an atmosphere containing 5%CO2. After 40 h (HeLaV and HeLashBLM cells) or 50 h (BS-GFP or BS-GFP-BLM) of incubation, colchicine (Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 0.1 mg/ml, and the cells were incubated for 1 hour. They were then incubated in hypotonic solution (1 : 6 (vol/vol) FCS-distilled water) and fixed by incubation with a 3 : 1 (vol/vol) mixture of methanol and acetic acid. Cells were then stained by incubation with 10 mg/ml Hoechst 33258 (Sigma) in distilled water for 20 minutes, rinsed with 2 × SSC (Euromedex), exposed to UV light at 365 nm and at a distance of 10 cm for 105 minutes, rinsed in distilled water, stained by incubation with 2% Giemsa solution (VWR) for 16 minutes, rinsed in distilled water, dried, and mounted. Chromosomes were observed with a Leica DMRB microscope at 100x magnification. Metaphases were captured with a SONY DTX 930 P camera, and SCEs were analyzed.

2.7. Clonogenic Survival Assays. Untreated or HU-treated cells were plated in drug-free medium at 3 densities, in triplicate, for the counting of 30 to 300 clones depending on expected survival. Alternatively, cells were plated and treated as previously described [31]. After 14 to 21 days of incubation colonies were fixed and stained with methylene blue (5 g/l in 50% water and 50% methanol) and scored. Only experiments giving a linear correlation between the different dilutions were considered. Cell survival was estimated by dividing the number of colony-forming units in treated samples by the number of colony-forming units in untreated samples, with control cell survival defined as 1. The percentage of cell survival is indicated within each histogram.

2.8. Comet Assays. BS-GFP and BS-GFP-BLM cells or HeLashBLM and HeLaV transfected as in Figure 1(a) were left untreated or were treated with 2 mM HU for 16 h or 48 h.
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Figure 1: BLM-downregulated HeLa cells and BS cells are insensitive to HU-induced replication stress. (a) HeLaV and HeLashBLM cells were transfected with 100 nM of a negative control siRNA (ctrl) or with 100 nM of siRNA targeting the BLM mRNA (BLM), respectively. After 24 h, cells were left untreated or were treated with 2 mM or 5 mM HU for 16 h. Cells were then plated in triplicate at three densities in drug-free medium. The means of six independent experiments for 2 mM HU and of two independent experiments for 5 mM HU are shown. The error bars indicate SD. (b) As in (a) except that cells were treated with 2 mM HU for 48 hr, the means of 3 independent experiments are shown. (c) BS-GFP and BS-GFP-BLM cell lines were left untreated or were treated with 2 mM HU for 16 h and plated as in (a). The means of 3 independent experiments are shown.

After 24 h, cells were left untreated or were treated with 2 mM HU for 16 h or 48 h. At the end of the treatment period, the cells were treated with Accutase (PAA). Aliquots of cell suspension were mixed with an equal volume of 1% low-melting-point agarose in DMEM to obtain a suspension of cells in 0.5% agarose, which was then dispensed onto microscope slides (with frosted ends) coated with a layer of 0.5% normal-melting-point agarose. Slides were incubated in lysis solution [2.5 mol/L NaCl, 100 mmol/L EDTA, 10 mmol/L Tris, 1% sodium lauryl sarcosinate, 10% DMSO, and 1% TritonX-100 (pH 10)] at 4°C for 1 h, then denaturated in alkali buffer (0.3 mol/L NaOH, pH 13 and 1 mmol/L EDTA) for 40 minutes at 20°C and subjected to electrophoresis for 25 min at 25 V (300 mA). Slides were immersed in neutralization buffer (400 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) for at least 5 minutes. They were then drained, rinsed carefully with distilled water, and stained with ethidium bromide staining solution (20 μg/ml) before being covered with a coverslip. For the visualization of DNA damage, ethidium bromide-stained DNA was observed at a magnification of x20, under a fluorescence microscope. Between 100 and 110 cells per sample and per experiment were analyzed in Comet Assay 2 software (Perceptive Instrument).

2.9. Statistical Methods. Significance was assessed with Student’s t-test. For all tests, \(P < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Both HeLa Cells with BLM Downregulation and BS Cells Are Insensitive to HU-Induced Replication Stress. We investigated whether BLM downregulation was associated with changes in the sensitivity of cells to HU-induced replication stress. We first used a new cellular model for BS consisting of HeLa cells constitutively expressing an shRNA specific for BLM and transiently transfected with a pool of siRNAs directed against sequences other than that targeted...
by shBLM (HeLash-siBLM), together with the corresponding control cells (HeLaV-sicontrol) [4]. We assessed HeLash-siBLM sensitivity to HU in clonogenic survival assays. BLM mRNA levels and SCE frequency were assessed in all experiments with HeLash-siBLM (data not shown). After treatment with 2 or 5 mM HU for 16 hr, HeLash-siBLM cells were either less sensitive or displayed similar levels of sensitivity to HU than control cells, respectively (Figure 1(a)). As BS cell hypersensitivity was reported after 48 h of exposure to HU concentrations from 0.01 to 10 mM in a previous study [31], we repeated the experiments after 48 h of exposure to 2 mM HU. The clonogenic survival of both HeLa cells with BLM downregulation and control cells was strongly decreased, with BLM-downregulated cells significantly more sensitive to 48 h of exposure to HU than control cells (Figure 1(b)). These experiments were repeated with BS GM08505 fibroblasts (BS-GFP) and their complemented counterparts (BS-GFP-BLM) (see Section 2). The clonogenic survival of BS cells and control cells was similar after 16 h of exposure to HU (Figure 1(c)). After 48 h of exposure to HU, we detected no clones, for either BS cells or BLM-complemented cells. Increasing the number of cells plated by a factor 10 and using two different protocols did not affect the results obtained. This indicates that the BS cell line we used behaves differently from the one used by Davies et al. [31], potentially because one of them derived in culture due to the mutator and hyper-rec phenotype of BS cells [1]. Altogether our results indicate that in terms of clonal survival, BLM deficiency is not associated with an increase in the sensitivity to 16 h of treatment with HU. However, BLM-downregulated HeLa cells are significantly more sensitive to 48 h of HU treatment than control cells are.

3.2. Levels of HU-Induced DSBs Are Similar in BLM-Deficient Cells and in Control Cells. The prolonged treatment of cells with HU results in the accumulation of DSBs [35]. We carried out a time-course study of the effect of HU on DSB levels in BLM-downregulated HeLa cells and in control cells. DSB induction was assessed by evaluating levels of histone H2AX phosphorylated at serine 139 (γ-H2AX) and of checkpoint kinase 2 phosphorylated at threonine 68 (Chk2 pT68). Chk1 activation and BLM accumulation were induced by blocking replication [34, 36]. We therefore determined the levels of activated Chk1 phosphorylated at serine 345 (Chk1 pS345) and BLM. The progressive accumulation of BLM in control cells was observed, with the highest levels after 16 to 24 h of exposure to HU (Figure 2(a)). No BLM was detected in HeLash-siBLM cells, as expected. The efficiency of replication blockade by HU was confirmed by FACS analysis and by the detection of activated Chk1 in both control cells and BLM-depleted HeLa cells. Significantly higher levels of accumulation of γ-H2AX and activated Chk2 were observed in control cells and in BLM-downregulated HeLa cells after 48 h of HU exposure than after 16 h (Figure 2(a)). The amounts of γ-H2AX and of Chk2pT68 detected in response to HU treatment were similar in BLM-deficient cells and in control cells. In BS-GFP cells and their complemented counterparts, γ-H2AX accumulation was also stronger after 48 h of HU treatment than after 16 h (Figure 2(b)).

We evaluated HU genotoxicity in BLM-deficient cells further, by carrying out an in vitro alkaline DNA comet assay [37]. The mean tail moment (a numerical measurement of the DNA damage defined as the product of the tail length and the fraction of total DNA in the tail) was similar in BLM-deficient cells (HeLash-siBLM or BS-GFP) and in control cells (HeLaV-sicontrol or BS-GFP-BLM, respectively), whether untreated or treated with 2 mM HU for 16 h (Figures 2(c), 2(d), and 2(e)). However, the mean tail moment was significantly higher after 48 h of HU treatment than in the absence of treatment or after 16 h of treatment, by a factor of about two in both BS cells and their complemented counterparts (Figure 2(e)), and by factors of four to ten in BLM-downregulated HeLa cells and their controls (Figure 2(d)). Thus, 16 h of treatment with 2 mM HU activates the Chk1-mediated replication checkpoint but does not generate a significant increase in DNA damage whereas 48 h of treatment with 2 mM HU leads to a significant increase in DNA damage, to similar levels in BLM-deficient cells control cells. BLM deficiency is not therefore associated with an increase in DSB induction in response to HU.

3.3. HU Treatment Induces a Similar Increase in the Frequency of SCEs in BLM-Deficient Cells and Control Cells. HU treatment efficiently induces SCEs formation [38]. We analyzed the effect of HU-induced replication stress on SCEs levels in BLM-deficient cells and in control cells. We found a slight but significant increase in SCE levels in both BLM-downregulated HeLa cells (x1.4) and in BS cells (x1.3) this increase is similar to that observed in the corresponding control cells (x1.7 and x1.4, resp.) (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). Thus, BLM deficiency is not associated with an increase in SCEs induction in response to HU.

4. Discussion

We used hydroxyurea to investigate the cellular consequences of HU-induced replication stress in two cellular models of BS. We found that although BLM-deficient cells have a strong increase in SCE frequency due to BLM deficiency, the further increase in the SCE frequency induced by the treatment with HU was similar to that of control cells. Thus, BLM deficiency is not associated with sensitivity to HU in terms of SCE induction. We also showed that BLM-deficient cells were insensitive to HU induced replication stress (2 or 5 mM HU for 16 h), consistent with the normal sensitivity to HU of the BLM-defective chicken DT40 cells [29]. By contrast, Davies et al. [31] showed that BS cells were hypersensitive to prolonged exposure to HU. We also found that BLM-downregulated HeLa cells were significantly more sensitive to treatment with HU for 48 h than control cells were. We investigated the reasons for this sensitivity of BLM-deficient cells to 48 h of HU treatment but not to 16 h of treatment, by analyzing the effects of HU on DSB induction. We found that 48 h of HU treatment generated numerous DSBs whereas
Figure 2: Levels of HU-induced DSBs are similar in BLM-deficient cells and control cells. (a) HeLaV and HeLash-BLM cells were transfected as in Figure 1(a). Upper panel: After 24 h, cells were left untreated (0) or were treated with 2 mM HU for the indicated times. Protein extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE. The membrane was probed with anti-BLM (C18), anti-Chk1 pS345, anti-Chk2 pT68, and anti-γ-H2AX antibodies, and with anti-β-actin antibody, as a loading control. The membrane was stripped and reblocked between successive antibody incubations. Lower panel: After 24 h, cells left untreated or treated with 2 mM HU for 16 h were harvested, fixed, and analyzed by FACS. (b) BS-GFP and BS-GFP-BLM cells were left untreated or were treated with 2 mM HU for the indicated times. Protein extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE and the bands were transferred to a membrane. The membrane was probed with anti-BLM (C18), anti-γ-H2AX antibodies, and with anti-GAPDH antibody, as a loading control. Lower panel: After 24 h, cells left untreated or treated with 2 mM HU for 16 h were harvested, fixed, and analyzed by FACS. (c) Representative images of HeLa cells in the comet assays. (Left) Untreated cell. (Right) Example of cell displaying an increase in DNA migration after 48 h of HU treatment, due to DNA breaks. (d) The effect of 2 mM HU treatment on DNA migration (tail moment) in the comet assays with HeLaV and HeLash BLM cells transfected as in Figure 1(a). After 24 h of transfection, cells were left untreated or were treated with 2 mM HU for 16 h or 48 h. The cells were subjected to comet assays (see Section 2). Two independent experiments were analyzed for each set of conditions. Between 100, and 110 cells were scored for each set of conditions, in each experiment. Error bars represent standard errors of the mean. (e) As in (d), using BS-GFP-BLM or BS-GFP cells left untreated or treated with 2 mM HU for 16 h or 48 h.
16 h of treatment did not, as expected [35]. However, the DSB levels determined on the basis of γ-H2AX detection or in comet assays were found to be similar in BLM-deficient cells and in control cells treated with HU, indicating a lack of association between BLM deficiency and an increase in DSB induction by HU. These results are consistent with the data reported by Rao et al. [39] showing that despite the delay in H2AX phosphorylation on serine 139 observed in BLM-deficient cells treated with HU (1 mM) or camptothecin (1 μM), the number of γ-H2AX foci per nucleus reaches that of control cells 6 h after either of these treatments. Camptothecin induces replication-associated DSBs [40], and BS cells are hypersensitive to camptothecin [39]. These results indicate that the reported differences in the sensitivity of BLM-deficient cells to HU reflect differences in their sensitivity to HU-induced DSBs; this sensitivity depends on the numbers of DSBs generated by the HU treatment, but not on HU-induced replication stress per se that is associated with few DNA breaks. Indeed, unstressed BLM-deficient cells have DNA replication defects, resulting in spontaneously arrested replication forks and a decrease in the distance between origins [8, 9]. Such inhibition of the progress of replication forks may render BS cells susceptible to some DNA breaks, accounting for constitutive endogenous ATM-Chk2-γH2AX checkpoint activation detected only by immunofluorescence [9]. Such checkpoint activation has been found in clinical specimens from human tumours at various stages and in cultured cells subjected to replication stress [41, 42]. Endogenous ATM-Chk2-γH2AX activation in BS cells is thus thought to reflect a precancerous state, with replication stress associated with few DNA breaks [9]. If BS cells were hypersensitive to such replication stress, they would not survive this constitutive replication defect. We therefore suggest that surviving BLM-deficient cells have been selected on the basis of their ability to survive in the presence of a constitutive replication stress associated with few DNA breaks.

5. Conclusion

We showed that the clonogenic survival of BLM-deficient cells was insensitive to hydroxyurea (HU)-induced replication stress. Gamma-H2AX detection and comet assays revealed the numbers of DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) generated by HU treatment to be similar in BLM-deficient cells and control cells. Moreover, BLM deficiency did not further increase the frequency of HU-induced sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs). We propose that BLM-deficient cells are selected to survive with an endogenous replication stress induced by replication fork slowing, resulting in insensitivity to HU-induced replication stress.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Nathan Ellis for providing them with the BLM-GFP construct. They also thank Dr. Marie Dutreix (Institut Curie) for advice and helpful discussions and Christophe Roulin (Institut Curie) for expert assistance with comet assays. This paper was supported by Grants from the Institut Curie (PIC), the CNRS, the INCa (PL333), the Cancéropôle/Région Ile-de-France, and the ARC, and by a fellowship from the Cancéropôle/Région Ile-de-France, Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer, and Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer (Comité de l’Essonne) (K. L. B-B).
References


Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com