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A series of poly(L-lactide-co-ε-caprolactone)/polyethylene oxide/hydroxyapatite (PLCL/PEO/HA) composite fibrous membranes were prepared by electrospinning technology for guided bone regeneration. The morphology, water permeability and mechanical properties of the membranes were investigated. The HA nanocrystals can be well distributed in the PLCL/PEO matrix. And the diameter of composite nanofiber is larger than that of pure PLCL. The fibers with uniform size and large diameter were obtained when the contents of PEO and HA were 0.4% and 0.03%, respectively. In this condition, the obtained membrane presents the best water permeability. Furthermore, the nanofibrous membrane with largest tensile strength was obtained when the contents of PEO and HA were 0.5% and 0.03%, respectively.

1. Introduction

Guided bone regeneration membranes (GBRMs) are able to promote bone repair for their physical barrier function, separating defects with surrounding tissues and creating necessary growth spaces to bone. Therefore, considerable attention has been paid on GBRMs for the applications in biomedical field [1–3]. The common materials of GBRMs are non-bioabsorbable materials such as expanded-polytetrafluoroethylene [4, 5], and bioabsorbable materials such as collagen [6–10]. However, the non-bioabsorbable GBRMs have to be removed by secondary surgical procedures after new bone regeneration. In contrast, the bioabsorbable GBRMs are more and more widely researched and applied for avoiding secondary surgery to alleviate patients’ sufferings and limit risks of tissue infection [11–14]. However, the natural bioabsorbable GBRMs are usually lack excellent mechanical performance and degraded rapidly which hinder bone recovery. Therefore, developing of new GBRMs composed of synthetic polymeric materials, such as polyester, or their composites with inorganic materials which have good osteoconductivity, is attracting more and more attention [15–18].

Different methods also have been developed to prepare GBRMs [19–21]. And the main technologies are solvent-casting, phase inversion, and electrospinning [22–25]. Among them, nanofibrous membranes prepared by electrospinning have large specific surface area and porosity to mimic natural extracellular matrix (ECM). The thickness and pore size also can be adjusted by the control of electrospinning parameter [26–28].

In this paper, PLCL/PEO/HA composites were used to fabricate nanofibrous GBRMs by electrospinning because of their proper biodegradation rate, good biocompatibility, mechanical performance, and hydrophilic properties. The morphology, porosity, water permeability, and mechanical properties of the membranes were investigated by SEM, contact angle measurement system, and tensile tester.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. e-Caprolactone (CL) was obtained from Acros Organics. L-Lactide (L-LA) was purified by recrystallization with ethyl acetate. PEO was used as received (Changchun Dadi Fine Chemical Co., Ltd, P. R. China). Nano-HA [29] and random copolymer of PLCL [30] [L-LA/e-CL=6:4 (mol/mol)] (Mw = 240,000 g/mol) were produced by ourselves. Dichloromethane, chloroform, and N,N-dimethylformamide were used without further purification.

2.2. Solvents and Electrospinning. PLCL solutions were prepared by dissolving 1.2 g of PLCL in 20 mL of chloroform/dichloromethane/DMF (5/3/2, v/v/v) mixed solvent and stirred for 6 hours. Then typically 0.08 g of PEO and 0.006 g of HA were mixed into PLCL solution sequentially. The mixed solutions were stirred for additional 12 hours and finally vibrated by supersonic oscillator for 10 minutes. The 20 mL of solution were loaded into a 20 mL syringe (the needle diameter was 0.9 mm) and injected into the Al collector under a high field strength (12 kV/18 cm) at a maintained flow rate of 3 mL/h. The schematic of the general electrospinning setup was shown in Figure 1.

2.3. Composite Nanofiber Morphology. The morphology of PLCL/PEO/HA fibers was observed with an S-2360N scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Hitachi, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and H-600 TEM (Hitachi, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 75 kV. Electrospun fibers were sputter-coated with gold prior to SEM analysis. TEM samples were loaded on copper grids. The average diameter of electrospun fibers was determined by measuring the diameters of nanofibers at 70 different points.

2.4. Surface Tension of the Solution and Surface Contact Angle of Membrane. Surface tension of the solution and surface contact angle of the membrane were measured by a contact angle measurement system (OCA, Dataphysics, Germany). A single drop of distilled water was dropped on the membrane samples.

2.5. Mechanical Properties of Membrane. Mechanical properties of electrospun PLCL/PEO/HA membranes were measured using a CMT6104 tensile tester (TUV, Germany) at a cross-head speed of 10 mm/min at room temperature. All samples were 80 × 100 mm2 with a thickness of 50–120 μm. The tensile strength and elongation at break were both averaged over three samples.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphology of Composite Nanofibers. A series of PLCL/PEO/HA composite fibrous membranes were prepared by electrospinning technology for investigating the effects of PEO and HA contents on the performance of the composite membrane. The concentrations of PEO or HA are shown in Table 1. The tensile strength and elongation at break were both averaged over three samples.

Table 1: The contents of PLCL, PEO, and HA in electrospun solutions, respectively, and the surface tension of these solutions and the average diameter of composite fiber.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>PLCL/PEO/HA concentration (% g/mL)</th>
<th>Average fiber diameter (μm)*</th>
<th>Surface tension (mN/m)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6/0/0</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.25</td>
<td>32.42 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6/0.2/0.03</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.29</td>
<td>31.75 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6/0.3/0.03</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.43</td>
<td>31.52 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6/0.4/0.03</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.28</td>
<td>31.47 ± 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/0.5/0.03</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.71</td>
<td>31.46 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/0.6/0.03</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>26.55 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6/0.4/0.01</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.22</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6/0.4/0.05</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.14</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6/0.4/0.07</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.19</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6/0.4/0.1</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.22</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The data are representative of seventy samples and expressed as mean ± SD (n = 70).
** The data are representative of five samples and expressed as mean ± SD (n = 5).
changed slightly (Table 1) while viscosity increased obviously with the increase of PEO concentration. Generally, proper PEO can improve the spinnability [33]. However, excess PEO may result in the excessive entanglement of PLCL and PEO which has negative effect on forming fibers. Obviously, the optimal concentrations of PLCL and PEO are 6% and 0.4%, respectively, in the range of this investigation.

So the contents of PLCL and PEO were fixed at 6% and 0.4%, respectively, while the HA content was varied from 0.01 to 0.1%. These fibers were all uniform (Figure 3). HA with nanoscale (0.01–0.1%) did not have obvious an effect on fiber diameter (Figure 4 (b)) and morphology of membrane. With the decrease of HA and PEO contents, the dispersion of HA was improved as shown in Figure 5 (the dark areas). Low HA content can reduce the aggregation of HA nanoparticles and less PEO content can reduce the viscosity of the solution which are both beneficial for the dispersion of HA.
3.2. Water Permeability. Good hydrophilicity is beneficial for the biocompatibility and flowing of nutrition liquid in vivo. PEO is a kind of hydrophilic polymer, so the membranes containing PEO exhibit better hydrophilicity than pure PLCL membrane (Table 2 and Figure 6). Generally, larger fibers result in larger pore size membrane. So a membrane with 0.4% PEO and 0.03% HA has the better water permeability than others because of its larger and more uniform fibers.

3.3. Mechanical Properties. The relationship between tensile properties and PEO or HA content in PLCL/PEO/HA nanofibrous membranes is shown in Table 2, Figures 7 and 8. The elongation at break increased below 0.3% of PEO content, which may be attributed to the good toughness of PEO, and decreased afterwards, which may be because the excess PEO has negative effects on fiber arrangement and bonding as shown in Figure 2. So the toughness of nanofibrous membranes is reduced with excess
Figure 4: The effect of PEO and HA contents on average fiber diameter. (a) The effect of PEO content on average fiber diameter; (b) the effect of HA content on average fiber diameter.

Figure 5: TEM images of the electrospun PLCL/PEO/HA nanocomposite fibers. (a) PLCL/PEO/HA: 6/0.4/0.01; (b) PLCL/PEO/HA: 6/0.4/0.03; (c) PLCL/PEO/HA: 6/0.4/0.07; (d) PLCL/PEO/HA: 6/0.2/0.03; (e) PLCL/PEO/HA: 6/0.6/0.03.

Figure 6: The change of contact angles of the PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.4/0.03) composite fibrous.
Table 2: Mechanical properties and contact angles of the PLCL/PEO/HA composite fibrous membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials and their concentration (g/mL)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)*</th>
<th>Elongation at break (%)*</th>
<th>Contact angle in 5 minutes (°C)</th>
<th>Contact angle in 5 seconds (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLCL (6)</td>
<td>9.88 ± 0.64</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.43</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.3/0.03)</td>
<td>10.64 ± 0.38</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.35</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.4/0.03)</td>
<td>13.97 ± 0.74</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.5/0.03)</td>
<td>17.19 ± 0.32</td>
<td>2.79 ± 1.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.6/0.03)</td>
<td>10.47 ± 1.24</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.46</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.4/0.01)</td>
<td>8.83 ± 0.28</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.4/0.03)</td>
<td>13.97 ± 0.74</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.4/0.05)</td>
<td>12.50 ± 1.03</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>109.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCL/PEO/HA (6/0.4/0.1)</td>
<td>8.22 ± 1.01</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.22</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The data are representative of three samples and expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3).

4. Conclusion

PLCL/PEO/HA nanofibrous membranes were prepared by electrospinning. Addition of PEO and HA with suitable content to PLCL solution can improve the GBRMS’s hydrophilic and mechanical properties effectively. According to the SEM images, more uniform fibers and larger average fiber diameter were obtained when the contents of PEO and HA were 0.4% and 0.03%, respectively. So the composite membrane in this constitute had the best water permeability. Furthermore, the mechanical properties of membrane were improved by adding HA nanocrystals. And the largest tensile strength of nanofibrous membrane can be obtained with 0.5% content of PEO and 0.03% content of HA.
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