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1. Introduction

Worldwide scientific community has invested much attention in dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) with possibilities of high energy conversion efficiency and low fabrication cost [1]. In DSSC, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is one of the most promising materials used for nano-porous thin film due to its appropriate energy levels, dye adsorption ability, low cost, and easy preparation [2]. Extensive research on the photochemistry and photophysics of TiO₂-based DSSCs has shown that the light harvesting efficiency of TiO₂ is influenced by its crystalline phase, particle size, surface area, dye affinity, and film porosity. TiO₂ exists in two major phases: anatase and rutile. The anatase phase (α-TiO₂) gained much attention due to its more active surface chemistry and smaller particles for more dye adsorption. Anatase is metastable and can be transformed irreversibly to thermodynamically more stable and condense rutile phase at higher temperature. The rutile phase TiO₂ (r-TiO₂), due to the high refractive index, has excellent light-scattering characteristics, which is a profitable property of the perspective of effective light harvesting. Combination of anatase and rutile TiO₂ can be more effective than the pure phase owing to the electron-holes separation at the interface between phases and the formation of interband gap trap which may influence interparticle carrier transportation. The commercial Degussa P-25 TiO₂ (DP-25) composed of α-TiO₂ and r-TiO₂ at a ratio of 80:20 is known to be one of the most active photocatalysts due to the synergic effect [3]. In this paper, the phase pure α-TiO₂ and r-TiO₂ nanoparticles were first synthesized [4, 5]. The photoanodes from mixed-TiO₂ with α-TiO₂-to-r-TiO₂ ratio of 80:20 (A8R2) which resemble the phase ratio in DP-25 were then fabricated. Finally, the film characteristics and the DSSC performance of the A8R2 photoanodes were investigated and compared to those made of commercial DP-25.

2. Experimental Details

2.1. Preparation and Characterization of α-TiO₂, r-TiO₂, and Spin-Coated TiO₂ Electrodes. The preparation of TiO₂...
nanoparticles involves controlled hydrolysis of Ti precursor followed by peptization. Detailed descriptions of the temperature dependent TiO$_2$ nanoparticles formation can be found in our previous works [6, 7]. Briefly, titanium (IV) n-butoxide (TNB) was mixed with 2 M acetic acid (for a-TiO$_2$) or 3 M hydrogen chloride (for r-TiO$_2$) at room temperature and carefully stirred until a translucent TiO$_2$ sol was obtained. The TiO$_2$ sol was then transferred to a Teflon-lined autoclave to perform the hydrothermal treatment at 200 °C for 5 h (for a-TiO$_2$) or 220 °C for 8 h (for r-TiO$_2$) followed by drying at 150 °C for 8 h. These procedures resulted in the formation of oval-shaped a-TiO$_2$ and bar-shaped r-TiO$_2$ (see below). The TiO$_2$ samples were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Hitachi) for particle shape and size, X-ray diffraction (XRD, Japan MAC Science) for crystal phase and domain size, and Brunauer, Emmett and Teller method (BET, Micromeritics Gemini V) for surface area analysis.

The TiO$_2$ films were made by spreading TiO$_2$ pastes on the fluorine-doped SnO$_2$ conducting glass (FTO, Solaronix, sheet resistance 8 Ω/□) by spin coating technique. Two kinds of TiO$_2$ pastes containing A8R2 and DP-25 were prepared by mixing-grounding the TiO$_2$ sample with distilled water, acetylace tone, and Triton X-100. Extensive stirring and sonication was proceeded to ensure complete dispersion of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles and to facilitate the spreading of the colloid on FTO. Droplets of each paste were placed onto the FTO substrate mounted on the turning table of a spin coater (IVY Semiconductor). Adhesive tapes were placed on the edges of FTO to form a guide for spreading the pastes with spin rate of 1000 rpm. After drying in air the TiO$_2$ electrodes were heated at 100 °C for 15 min followed by annealing at 450°C for 30 min. The resulted electrodes were cooled to 80 °C and immediately soaked in a 0.3 mM solution of N719 dye in ethanol for overnight. Thickness of the TiO$_2$ film was controlled by multiple coating processes in which the coated substrates were subjected repeatedly to spin-coating and drying steps. The thickness of TiO$_2$ films were measured by an Alpha-step profiler (Perthometer S2). The surface morphology and crystal phase of TiO$_2$ films were characterized by SEM (Hitachi S-2400) and XRD (PANalytical X’Pert PRO MPD), respectively.

2.2. Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell Assembly and Performance Measurement. To assemble the DSSCs, the electrolyte of 0.1 M NaOH and analyzed by UV-visible spectrophotometer (JASCO V-630).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Preparation and Characterization of a-TiO$_2$, r-TiO$_2$, and Mixed-Phase TiO$_2$ Photoelectrode. The parameter-controlled sol-hydrothermal reactions employed in the present work led to the formation of a-TiO$_2$ and r-TiO$_2$ nanocrystallites. Various kinds of techniques were used for characterization of the products which was demonstrated in our previous works [6, 8]. After mixing a-TiO$_2$ with r-TiO$_2$ and spin-coating on FTO substrate, a porous TiO$_2$ film was obtained. The TiO$_2$ layers were characterized by SEM (Hitachi S-2400) and XRD (PANalytical X’Pert PRO MPD), respectively.

Figure 1: A series of XRD patterns for A8R2 powder (a), DP-25 powder (b), A8R2 film (c), and DP-25 film (d).
The phase ratio and crystallite sizes of a-TiO\(_2\) and r-TiO\(_2\) in A8R2 and DP-25 calculated by Scherrer equation are summarized in Table 1. Figure 2 shows the TEM micrograph of pure a-TiO\(_2\) (b) with distinct irregular oval structure and r-TiO\(_2\) (a) with characteristic nanobar structure. The average of particle size is \(\sim 16\) nm for a-TiO\(_2\) and \(40 \times 20\) nm in width \(\times\) length for r-TiO\(_2\). The DP-25 TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles are polygonal in shape with average size of \(30\) nm (not shown). The particle size of nanocrystals can also be estimated from BET surface area measurement. The a-TiO\(_2\), r-TiO\(_2\), and DP-25 nanoparticles possess specific surface area of \(116, 27,\) and \(50\) m\(^2\)/g, respectively. Based on an assumption of all anatase phase spherical particles and use of TiO\(_2\) solid density of \(3.84\) g/cm\(^3\) to convert the BET specific surface areas to particle diameters, the corresponding particle dimension for a-TiO\(_2\), r-TiO\(_2\), and DP-25 is \(13, 56,\) and \(29\) nm, respectively, which is consistent with the TEM size measurement. The smaller particle size of a-TiO\(_2\) in A8R2 is on account of the more dense and compact structure of A8R2 film compared to the DP-25 film (see below SEM images). The results of TiO\(_2\) particle size derived from TEM and BET are also summarized in Table 1 and compared with the crystalline size obtained from XRD. The results show good agreement in TiO\(_2\) particle size within the experimental error.

The spin-coated A8R2 films appeared to be smooth under visual inspection although the film showed some inhomogeneity at the edges. The SEM micrograph of TiO\(_2\) film made of A8R2 sample (Figure 3(a)) shows a rough surface layer containing large TiO\(_2\) chunks in which the individual TiO\(_2\) particles are hardly visible. The chunk structure is likely formed through the aggregation of bar-shape r-TiO\(_2\) arranged in a side-by-side configuration. Another possible reason for the appearance of irregular chunks on the A8R2 layer is the stress-induced surface rumpling caused by the fast cooling after \(450^\circ\)C calcination. The chunk-free and almost smooth area exhibits more compact structure than the DP-25 based film as shown in Figure 3(b). Presumably, this is due to the small a-TiO\(_2\) particles forming the high density A8R2 film. It is also noted from Figure 3(b) that the film made from DP-25, even with high porosity, showed appearance of breaks which may influence the electron transport and result in lower cell efficiency than that of A8R2 (see below). The A8R2 nanoparticles, on the other hand, can restrict the constriction resulting in the continuous less-crack and porous films upon calcination. Nevertheless, for both TiO\(_2\) films, the crack produced voids in the preceding layer can be filled by the A8R2 and DP-25 paste during the next spin-coating process.

3.2. Application of Mixed-Phase TiO\(_2\) Photoelectrodes to DSSCs and the Photoelectrochemical Performance Measurement. The above prepared A8R2 and DP-25 photoelectrodes were used to fabricate the solar cells for photoelectrochemical performance study [10, 11]. Figure 4 showed the typical current-voltage characteristics of N719-sensitized DSSCs for various film thickness of A8R2 films (a) and DP-25 films (b). Table 2 lists the photoelectric data of the DSSCs in Figure 4 including the amount of dye adsorbed on TiO\(_2\) (\(A_{\text{dye}}\)), the short-circuit photocurrent density (\(J_{\text{sc}}\)), the open circuit voltage (\(V_{\text{OC}}\)), the fill factor (FF), and the conversion efficiency (\(\eta\)). It is apparent that the DSSC performance largely depends on the TiO\(_2\) film thickness because changing the film thickness changes \(A_{\text{dye}}, J_{\text{sc}},\) and, \(\eta\) owing to the change of total TiO\(_2\) surface area. To clarify relationship between the thickness, surface properties of the TiO\(_2\) films, and the photoelectrochemical characteristics of the cells, comparison of \(\eta\) of DSSCs and the corresponded \(J_{\text{sc}}\) using A8R2 TiO\(_2\) and DP-25 as a function of film thickness is plotted and shown in Figure 5(a). It is found that there is a parallel increase of efficiency for A8R2 cells (solid squares) and DP-25 cells (open squares) for TiO\(_2\) film thickness.
up to \(\sim 9 \mu m\). Further increase in the thickness stabilizes the efficiency at an almost constant value upon increasing the thickness of TiO\(_2\) thin films. The maximum efficiency acquired in DSSC using the A8R2 TiO\(_2\) with film thickness of 12 \(\mu m\) was 5.20% with \(J_{sc}\) of 10.67 mA/cm\(^2\), \(V_{OC}\) of 0.73 V, and FF of 0.69; while \(\eta\) of the cell using DP-25 with film thickness of 16 \(\mu m\) reached 3.76% with \(J_{sc}\) of 8.63 mA/cm\(^2\), \(V_{OC}\) of 0.74 V, and FF of 0.59. The FF of DSSC is manipulated by device assembling technique which would affect the efficiency. Table 2 shows reasonable FF values compared with the other cases reported in the literatures [12, 13] and guarantee us a basic device fabrication technology.

It is generally believed that thicker TiO\(_2\) film would uptake more N719 molecules leading to the enhancement in the photocurrent of the DSSC. As shown also in Figure 5(a), the influence of film thickness on \(J_{sc}\) of DSSCs constructed with A8R2 (solid triangles) and DP-25 (open triangles) demonstrates the consistent dependence of the film thickness on \(\eta\). However, the variation of \(A_{dye}\) shows a continuous increase upon increasing of film thickness as shown in Figure 5(b) for both A8R2 (solid triangles) and DP-25 (solid squares). This result indicates the limited electron transport for thick films due to the increase of recombination centers and requisite path length of the injected electron to be collected by FTO. Moreover, when the TiO\(_2\) films are thicker, the films become less transparent which is detrimental to the light harvesting as well as DSSC performance.

Based on Figure 5, the A8R2 cells in general have superior performance than DP-25 cells. The efficiency and current density from average of seven A8R2 cells present in Table 2 are \(\sim 27.7\%\) (\(\eta\)) and 29\% (\(J_{sc}\)) higher than those from average of six DP-25 cells. Higher efficiency and current density might be attributed to, first, the higher amount of adsorbed N719 for the A8R2 cell, owing to larger surface area of A8R2 powder (71 and 50 m\(^2\)/g for A8R2 and DP-25, resp.) and more compact structure of A8R2 film (Figure 3). Higher UV absorption intensity of the N719 adsorbed on A8R2 film than DP-25 film (not shown) also supports this conclusion. Secondly, it could be due to the less cracks of A8R2 films (see above) which improved the short-circuit photocurrent [14]. Finally, with similar phase ratio of anatase to rutile TiO\(_2\), the electron transport of A8R2 film containing the one dimension (1D) bar-shape r-TiO\(_2\) is expected to be faster than the DP-25 film containing polygonal TiO\(_2\). The electron transport properties of the DSSCs with TiO\(_2\) electrodes made of A8R2 and DP-25 films were investigated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). According to the EIS spectra shown in Figure 6, the charge-transfer resistance at DP-25 electrode interface is larger than that at A8R2 interface. After the impedance data analysis by Zahner

#### Table 1: Phase ratio from XRD of TiO\(_2\) samples (A8R2 and DP-25) before (powder) and after (film) calcination, comparison of TiO\(_2\) crystallite size (A8R2 and DP-25) of anatase (A) and rutile (R) from XRD, and particle size (A8R2 and DP-25, powder only) obtained from TEM and BET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TiO(_2) Sample</th>
<th>Phase ratio (%)</th>
<th>Crystallite size (nm)</th>
<th>TEM (nm)</th>
<th>BET (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8R2 powder</td>
<td>80.4 (A(^a))</td>
<td>20.0 (R(^b))</td>
<td>14.8 (A)</td>
<td>26.0 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8R2 film</td>
<td>63.0 (A)</td>
<td>37.0 (R)</td>
<td>13.1 (A)</td>
<td>26.3 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-25 powder</td>
<td>80.7 (A)</td>
<td>19.3 (R)</td>
<td>22.5 (A)</td>
<td>25.6 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-25 film</td>
<td>73.4 (A)</td>
<td>26.5 (R)</td>
<td>22.6 (A)</td>
<td>27.3 (R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\): anatase phase. \(^b\): rutile phase.
Table 2: I-V characteristic data for all DSSCs fabricated by A8R2 and DP-25 electrodes with various film thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TiO2 Sample</th>
<th>Thickness (μm)</th>
<th>A_dye</th>
<th>J_sc</th>
<th>V_OC</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8R2-1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8R2-2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8R2-3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8R2-4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8R2-5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8R2-6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25-1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25-2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25-3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25-4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25-5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25-6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


software through fitting the data with appropriate equivalent circuit [15], the estimate electron transport resistance for DSSCs with DP-25 and A8R2 is 0.239 Ω and 0.105 Ω, respectively. This result is consistent with the superior efficiency of A8R2 cell than the DP-25 cell.

To confirm that the A8R2 cell has the optimized a-TiO2-to-r-TiO2 ratio for cell performance, we have fabricated DSSCs based on the mixed a-TiO2 and r-TiO2 composite films with weight ratio (wt%) of 9:1 (A9R1), 8:2 (A8R2) and 7:3 (A7R3). It was found that for the similar thickness of TiO2 films, the A8R2 cell showed higher efficiency (η) by about 6.0% and 3.4% respectively, compared to A9R1 and A7R3 cells. With the increase of the r-TiO2 content from A9R1 to A8R2, η was enhanced due to the faster electron transport rate as envisaged above. Upon further increase of r-TiO2 content to A7R3, the cell efficiency decreased, presumably because less dye molecules had been adsorbed. This result could be attributed to the decrease of total surface area for sensitizers due to the smaller specific surface area of r-TiO2 (27 m2/g) compared to that of a-TiO2 (116 m2/g). A more precise fine-tuning of the a-TiO2-to-r-TiO2 ratio to optimize DSSC performance would require further experimental investigation.

4. Conclusion

Irregular oval-shape a-TiO2 and bar-shape r-TiO2 nanoparticles were prepared by hydrolysis and peptization of TNB followed by hydrothermal treatment. The cell efficiency of DSSCs made from mixed-phase A8R2 and DP-25 showed
Figure 5: Influence of film thickness on conversion efficiency ($\eta$ (a)), current density ($J_{sc}$ (a)), and amount of adsorbed dye ($A_{dye}$ (b)) on TiO$_2$ films.

Figure 6: EIS spectra of the DSSCs made of DP-25 and A8R2 films.
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