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Formation of Mesoporous Materials from Silica Dissolved in Various NaOH Concentrations: Effect of pH and Ionic Strength
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We describe the effects of NaOH/SiO2 ratio and pH on the formation of mesoporous materials, which was synthesized via an alkali-metal hydroxide fusion method, from amorphous silica dissolved in NaOH. Physical properties (e.g., specific surface area, pore volume, and pore size) of mesoporous materials synthesized at different conditions (i.e., pH, NaOH/SiO2 ratio) were evaluated through X-ray diffraction, nitrogen adsorption-desorption, and transmission electron microscope analyses. The results showed that, at the NaOH/SiO2 ratios of 0.5, 1, and 2, gels were successfully synthesized while no product was formed at the NaOH/SiO2 ratios greater than 2. Additionally, mesoporous materials were found to be formed at both pH 10 and 11 while they were unstable under more alkaline conditions. The adsorption/desorption isotherm results for the mesoporous materials synthesized at around pH 11 and with NaOH/SiO2 ratios of 0.5–0.8 showed a hysteresis loop characteristic of the bottle-neck pore shape. Furthermore, mesoporous materials with good physical properties were synthesized from all gels at pH 10 regardless of sodium concentration.

1. Introduction

The field of mesoporous inorganics, which have the favorable characteristics of high specific surface area, ordered pore structure, and large pore volume, has grown rapidly. This is due to their potential applications as catalyst supports and environmental absorbents (e.g., [1–4]). Moreover, mesoporous materials have attracted much interest as their tunable pore size can be controlled from 1.5 to 10 nm by cationic surfactants with different chain lengths or swelling agents such as mesitylene [5, 6].

Mesoporous silica has been synthesized from a variety of silicate sources, including tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), sodium silicate, fumed silica, colloidal silica, and fly ash (e.g., [7–11]). One would expect the formation of mesoporous silica may not be sensitive to the silicate source. Rather, the motivating factor in choosing a silicate source for mesopore synthesis has been the primary particle size as this affects silicate dissolution. Provided the particles were extremely small and dissolved in water, as in the hydrolyzed state, the silicate source will be irrelevant.

Amorphous silica is capable of forming sufficient silanlates for the subsequent micelle formation at NaOH/SiO2 molar ratios greater than 0.5, even with the low energy input of stirring at room temperature. Thus, one can feasibly add no more NaOH than half the molar amount of silica during the synthesis of mesopores. Until now, only amorphous silica with a small enough primary particle size such that all of it is dissolved in a NaOH/SiO2 molar ratio of less than 0.5 was used. These sources included fumed silica, fused silica, and colloidal silica.

Chang et al. [12] attempted to synthesize mesoporous materials by dissolving silicate in fly ash with NaOH concentrations greater than 0.5. However, the result showed that the synthesized mesoporous and amorphous material mixture was imperfect. Although fly ash contains impurities such as Fe, Ca, K, and S, these did not dissolve to any significant amount and thus the imperfect mesoporous
material could not be attributed to the impurities. Chang et al. [12] concluded that sodium ions may disturb the synthesis of mesoporous material. The effects of Na$^+$ or Cl$^-$ ions on the synthesis mechanism is not clear; however, several previous reports indicate that these guest ions do play an important role in the organic-inorganic templating mechanism [12–15]. In fact, Ryoo and Jun [14] reported that salt addition improved hydrothermal stability of MCM-41. They also reported that the self assembly mechanism was based on charge density matching and it was described by the so-called $[S^+, I^-]$ pathway [14]—adding guest ions changed the charge density between the anionic silica surface and the cationic surfactant surface, and the change resulted in the synthesis of better mesoporous materials.

Research on the effects of ions on the pore structure of mesoporous materials synthesized will broaden the choices of silicate source materials in the application of the aluminometa1-hydroxide fusion method from various minerals. Thus, the central parameters in the synthesis of mesoporous materials reported here were pH and ion strength in solution [13]. We considered these to be the key parameters for the preparation of better mesoporous materials. The effects of the amounts of hydrochloric acid (HCl) added during synthesis and the concentrations of Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ions on the synthesis of mesoporous silica were investigated through X-ray diffraction (XRD), nitrogen adsorption-desorption, and transmission electron microscope (TEM) analyses.

2. Materials and Methods

Amorphous silica microspheres (purity 99%, Dongyang Chem. Co.) with a median particle size of 2.5 μm were used as the silicate source for the synthesis of mesoporous materials. Sixty grams of silica was first dissolved in 0.5–3 M NaOH solution (1000 mL) by vigorous stirring at room temperature for 48 h. The synthesis procedure of the mesoporous material was as follows: 13.8 g distilled water was added to 4.6 g hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (surfactant, CTAB, Fluka) and it was stirred until the solution became clean. The silica-containing solution was then combined with the surfactant solution, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. Mixing the two solutions generated white gels which later became mesoporous materials. The mixture was sealed in an autoclave. Following a 24 h-aging period in the autoclave, the white gel disappeared in solutions with NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratio of 0.5, were clear. A white gel was formed on the addition of more water rather than HCl for controlling the pH at NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratio of 0.5 and pH 11.1 versus NaOH/Si = 0.5 and pH 11.3) with a Hitachi H9000-NAR electron microscope operating at 200 kV.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mesoporous Silica Synthesized at High pH ($pH > 11$)

Dissolution of silicate at the NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratio of 0.5 did not yield a clear solution. This suggests that presumably the solid silicate was not fully dissolved. However, all of the silicate solutions with NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratios greater than 0.5, were clear. A white gel was formed on the addition of CTAB to the solutions with NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratios of 0.5, 0.8, and 1. For NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratios of 2 or 3, the solutions became clear with stirring. After heating at 110°C for 24 h in an autoclave, the white gel disappeared in solutions with equimolar NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratios but it remained in solutions with NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratios of 0.5 and 0.8.

Figure 1 shows the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm characteristics of the samples that were synthesized at a pH greater than 11.1. The samples were synthesized at a H$_2$O/SiO$_2$ molar ratio of 100, and the pH was maintained in the range of 11.1 to 11.3 by the addition of either HCl or more water (final H$_2$O/SiO$_2$ molar ratio = 400) after heating at 110°C for 24 h. Although the samples’ initial NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratio was 0.5, the final NaOH/SiO$_2$ molar ratios were found to be 0.5, 0.49, and 0.45 after the adjustment to a pH of 11.3, 11.2, and 11.1, respectively (HCl additions of 0, 0.01, and 0.05). We conclude that the sample at pH 11.1 (final NaOH/SiO$_2$ = 0.45) was a typical MCM-41 as a sharp adsorption curve by capillary condensation of N$_2$ gas was observed in the $P/P_0$ range of 0.15 to 0.3 (solid triangle in Figure 1). The size and shape of the adsorption/desorption isotherms (Figure 1) and TEM images (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)) indicate that lowering the pH favors mesoporous silica synthesis. The results for samples that were produced by the addition of more water rather than HCl for controlling the pH are not so easy to interpret. More noteworthy observation was that the synthesis at pH 11.1 for both H$_2$O/SiO$_2$ = 100 and HCl/SiO$_2$ = 0.05 yielded a mesoporous sample (solid triangle in Figure 1) while the synthesis for H$_2$O/SiO$_2$ = 400...
the presence of a great amount of NaOH. Even though undesirable in the mesoporous material synthesis. This can be explained that high NaOH concentration before the acid treatment is likely due to the reduction of hydrophilic polar head area of the surfactant. Therefore, the formation of a hexagonal mesophase from CTA⁺ micelles is expected to require some amount of Cl⁻ for tuning the surfactant’s polar head charge density. Recall the samples that were synthesized at pH 11.1 with the same NaOH concentrations: nonporous silica resulted from H₂O/SiO₂ = 400 (solid circle in Figure 1), and a mesophase from H₂O/SiO₂ = 100 (solid triangle in Figure 1). With the decreasing water content, it is expected that the concentration of guest ions such as Na⁺, Br⁻, and Cl⁻ increased and the charge density was altered. Thus, it can be concluded that the water content influences mesophasic synthesis.

Figure 3 shows the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of samples produced in various initial NaOH concentrations but at constant pH 11.1. Both the yield of solid samples and the amount of the adsorbed nitrogen gas were found to decrease with an increase in the NaOH/SiO₂ ratio. At the highest NaOH/SiO₂ ratios (2 and 3), the samples had low-pore volumes and their yields were less than 7% and 5%, respectively, based on the dissolved silica weight. Micropores exceeded mesopores for NaOH/SiO₂ ratios of 0.8 and 1. Moreover, a typical MCM-41 was obtained for the NaOH/SiO₂ ratio of 0.5. Taken together, these suggest that high NaOH concentration before the acid treatment is undesirable in the mesoporous material synthesis. This can be attributed to the disturbed condensation of silanolate ions in the presence of a great amount of NaOH. Even though the pH of the samples was identical, as the NaOH/SiO₂ ratio increased, the amounts of NaCl and excess OH⁻ ions in solution increased. The amount of HCl added for the pH adjustment was proportional to the NaOH/SiO₂ ratio before the acid treatment. The silanolates cannot be polymerized by the surplus OH⁻ ions. The increase of NaCl molar concentration over that of silicate induced an increase in solution viscosity by neutralizing the charge of silicate oligomers and micelles. This increase in solution viscosity apparently reduced the diffusion of molecules and prevented silicate oligomer collision, which consequently did not allow for polymerization. In fact, solutions with NaOH/SiO₂ ratios of 2 and 3 were so viscous and nothing was produced in solution. A white gel was formed immediately in all the sample solutions as soon as the silicate solution contacted the cationic CTAB solution during synthesis. However, for the NaOH/SiO₂ ratio of 1 the gel disappeared at high temperature (110°C). Furthermore, mesoporous silica was not formed in the case of high water content (H₂O/Si = 400) without Cl⁻ ions (solid circle in Figure 1) or the case of NaOH/SiO₂ ratios greater than 1 (Figure 3). These results suggest that all the initially and instantaneously aggregated gel mixtures may be unstable and that silicate condensation rate, which can be controlled by NaOH concentration, likely played a key role in the mesoporous material synthesis.

The products synthesized from various NaOH/SiO₂ ratios are compared in Figure 4. These materials were synthesized at a slightly higher pH (11.2) and lower water content (H₂O/SiO₂ = 70) than those in Figure 3. The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of the products that were synthesized with NaOH/SiO₂ ratios of 0.5 and 0.8 showed a step in the desorption branch for the pressure range of (P/P₀) 0.4 to 0.5 (Figure 4(a)), suggesting a hysteresis loop which was possibly formed from pores of the so-called bottle neck shape [17]. Figure 4(b) shows the pore size distribution obtained from the desorption curves of samples in Figure 4(a). The result for the NaOH/Si ratio of 0.5 gave the broadest distribution of pore sizes with the modal pore size of 4 nm (perhaps the mouth of a bottle). This value is to some extent larger than the narrow pore diameter (2.7–2.9 nm) for typical MCM-41 (the product synthesized with NaOH/SiO₂ = 0.5 in Figure 3). Nevertheless, the specific surface area was determined to be ca. 900 m² g⁻¹, which is quite similar to that of MCM-41 (solid triangle in Figure 3). For the samples synthesized with NaOH/SiO₂ ratios of 0.8 and 1.0, the specific surface areas were determined to be about 590 and 380 m² g⁻¹, respectively. These values were found to be quite low in comparison to those of MCM-41 (900 to 1400 m² g⁻¹). Previous studies also reported similar hysteresis to that in Figure 4(a) in which the samples were synthesized at high temperatures (160°C) or with the addition of salt [18, 19]. The collapse of the pore structures during calcination in those cases was attributed to a large pore formation in the samples. However, much remains unknown regarding the mechanism of the formation of such a pore structure. For example, the hexagonal rod-like pores been generated by the collapse of the pore walls, they would have shown wide rather than narrow pore distributions. The modal pore size in Figure 4(b) which was...
calculated from the desorption curve data, was determined to be consistently 4 nm, indicating some form of systematic structural change. Mokaya [20] reported from TEM observation of silicate condensation over long times that the pore structure of MCM-41 was reconstructed from an initial rod-like pore shape to a fiber during the hydrothermal synthesis. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm of a sample, which exhibited a fibrous-like structure, also showed a De Boer type V hysteresis loop (bottle neck shape pore) after restructuring from the synthesized type I structure (tube shape pore) [15, 20]. The restructure of a mesopore was related to the silicate growth, and the silicate growth was favored at pH greater than 11 and low salt concentrations. These together facilitated the dissolution of small silicate oligomers as well as their condensation onto a large silicate or concave solid surface. High temperatures caused silicate depolymerization. The accompanying change in the concentration of silanolate ions in solution will necessarily cause a change in the charge density on the silica surface, and this can prevent the condensation of the mesopore silica walls. This also explains the reason for the disappearance of the white gel from some samples after heating. The addition of sodium ions neutralized the silicate surface and removed the driving force for further aggregation of small oligomers. An increase in the quantity of small oligomers was seen as the growth rate of silicate rapidly decreased. According to the Thompson-Gibbs effect, small silicate oligomers are expected to be easily depolymerized. This is yet another factor that increased the amount of dissolved silicate in the solution. In summary, high temperature (150 °C) and salt (sodium ion) shift the polymerization/depolymerization equilibrium of silicate oligomers away from condensation. It is speculated that the reconstruction of the mesophase during hydrothermal synthesis is related to the degree of silica condensation. The pore structure of the samples in Figure 4(b) was reconstructed by the addition of the specific quantities of sodium ions. The condensation of silicate will be indispensable for the generation of silica walls between the mesopores. As higher synthesis temperatures and salt concentrations prevent the silicate condensation and instead catalyze silicate reconstruction, the conditions are expected to effectively prevent the mesophase formation.

3.2. Effect of pH. It is generally known that the hexagonal MCM-41 materials are synthesized at a pH greater than 11 and NaOH/Si ratio less than 0.5 [9]. Lindlar et al. [21] suggested that at a pH less than 11, amorphous silica precipitated during the pH adjustment procedure, further dissolution of silicate did not occur, and the best synthesis
Figure 4: Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms (a) and pore size distributions (b) for hexagonal mesophase products synthesized under various NaOH/SiO2 ratios. The synthesis pH and H2O/SiO2 were kept as 11.2 and 70, respectively.

Table 1: Summary of the synthesis conditions for the samples in Figure 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial NaOH/SiO2</th>
<th>HCl/SiO2 after 24 h</th>
<th>pH after HCl addition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SiO2/H2O = 1/400.

of MCM-41 was prevented. This suggests that the synthesis of MCM-41 with minimal amorphous silica should be done at high pH. In fact, most previous studies reported that mesoporous materials were produced at a pH greater than 11.

The XRD patterns of calcined materials obtained by the adjustment of the pH from 11.3 to 10.0 in the NaOH/Si = 1 solution, showed that lower pH values provided a better hexagonal mesophase of MCM-41 type (the increase in the peak intensity of d100 spacing in Figure 5). The best product was obtained at pH 10. Table 1 showed the synthesis pH and the amount of HCl added to the sample solutions for the synthesis of the samples in Figure 5. Similar results for the synthesis of mesoporous structures at relatively low pH (10–10.2) have been reported [6, 22]. These studies suggested that lowering the pH by acid adjustment can lead to the protonation of negatively charged silicates that surround the micelle. The electrostatic repulsion between silicate species would then be reduced. Thus, the coagulation of silicate species on the micelle template mixture and the condensation of Si–O–Si bonds are expected to be facilitated and a mesoporous material be formed. The silica wall thickness around the micelle at pH 10.7 was determined to be about 17 Å. The thickness was roughly 19 Å at both pH 10.4 and 10.0. The similarity in wall thickness indicated that most silanlates were condensed at pH 10.4 (Figure 5(a)).

The pH of mesophase synthesis varied according to the kind of counter ion used [18]. In the presence of a chloride ion (acidification using HCl), the lamellar phase was produced within the range of 11.1 to 11.6, with a silicate dissolution at pH greater than 11.6 [18, 23]. According to the previous studies [18, 24], the hexagonal phase was synthesized from the lamellar phase without the appearance of the intermediate cubic phase by lowering the pH from 11.6 to 10.4 at NaOH/SiO2 ratios less than 0.5. Nevertheless, the XRD pattern characteristic of the lamellar phase was not observed for the samples at pH 11.3 and 11.0 in Figures 5(a) and 5(b). We speculate that the high water content and the presence of guest ions, especially the relatively large amount of Na+ versus Cl−, caused a change in the charge densities of micelle and silicate oligomers, suggesting that the results did not satisfy the criteria for lamellar phase formation. The XRD peak of the product at pH 11.3 is broad through the 2θ range of 2 to 5° although its intensity is very small (A in Figure 5(b)). The peak for pH 11.0 is also broad (B in Figure 5(b)), but narrower than that for pH 11.3 (A in Figure 5(b)). The specific surface areas of these two products were determined to be around 600 m² g⁻¹, so it is unlikely that they are simply nonporous amorphous silica.

There has been a recent report for a mesopore synthesis mechanism whereby post acid treatment caused a disordered phase that had been generated in the initial synthesis stage to be converted to the hexagonal phase over a period of several days [18, 25]. One concedes that the formation of the
MCM-41 structure may have another mechanistic pathway for self ordering from any intermediate phase (whether disordered, rod-like, or other mixed phase) to the hexagonal phase, in addition to the generally-known pathway of phase transition from lamellar to hexagonal that accompanies a decrease in the pH.

Figure 5(a) shows that as the synthesis pH decreased from 10.7 to 10.0, the main peak (d_{100} spacing) shifted slightly to the left. This indicated an increase in the pore size. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) also show that the pore size and the pore volume of products increased as the pH decreased. It is readily understandable that low pH favors the formation of well-ordered mesoporous silicate as the amount of silicate binding to micelles increases with decreasing pH. However, the explanation for the increase in the pore size with decreasing pH remains unknown. The increase in the pore sizes is often attributed to the shrinkage of the silicate walls via silicate condensation as the hydrothermal time increases. However, the condensation-shrinkage of silicate seems hard to explain the phenomena as the pore size increased only slightly with decreasing pH. Furthermore, the hydrothermal times were equal for the samples at pH 10.4 and 10.0. Moreover, the products had the same wall thickness. It has been suggested that the pore size increased with decreasing pH as the addition of HCl increased the concentration of Cl\(^{-}\), which reduced the electrical repulsion of the cationic surfactants. Furthermore, the reduced electrical repulsion increased the aggregation number of the surfactants, which favored the formation of larger micelles [26].

3.3. Effect of NaOH/SiO\(_2\) Molar Ratio. In order to synthesize mesoporous materials using natural minerals such as fly ash, clay, or low grade solid silicate species as opposed to alternative high grade sources such as fumed silica, dissolving the silicate in an aqueous solution of NaOH is required and thus the molar ratio of NaOH to SiO\(_2\) must be at least 0.5. A high concentration of NaOH is expected to favor the dissolution of solid state silica; however, the more NaOH a silicate solution contains, the more acid is required to maintain a constant pH during synthesis. There is a further complication; the post acid adjustment leads to an increase in the concentrations of dissolved silicate species and guest ions.

Figure 7 shows the pore size distributions of mesoporous samples with various NaOH/SiO\(_2\) ratios subject to various acid adjustments. The corresponding pore characteristics are also presented in Table 2. For the samples with NaOH/SiO\(_2\) ratio of 0.5, the best mesoporous products were obtained at pH 10.5 (solid diamond in Figure 7(a)). The modal pore size of the mesoporous products for pH 10.8, 10.7, and 10.4 was determined to be 2.5 nm, 2.5 nm, and 2.9 nm, respectively. However, contrary to this trend the product for pH 10.2 had a smaller modal pore size (2.5 nm), pore volume, and specific surface area (Figure 7(a) and Table 2). For samples with NaOH/SiO\(_2\) of 1 and 2, synthetic silicas obtained at pH greater than 11 were only slightly microporous or non-mesoporous (Figures 7(b) and 7(c)). The best ordered hexagonal mesophasic at the NaOH/SiO\(_2\) ratios was obtained in the pH range of 10.5 to 10.6. The poorest hexagonal mesoporous materials were formed with the decrease in the pH in the range of 10.5 to 10.2. A similar trend for decreasing pH was seen for the samples with NaOH/SiO\(_2\) of 0.5. When the pH was controlled between 10.5 and 10.6, the hexagonal mesoporous material was obtained regardless of the initial synthesis concentration of NaOH. In those conditions, the presence of Na\(^+\) ions seemed to have no significant effect.
Figure 6: Pore size distributions (a) and Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms (b) for the mesophase products synthesized under various pH values. The NaOH/SiO$_2$ was kept as 1.0.

Figure 7: Pore size distributions for the mesophase products synthesized under various NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratios prior to the pH adjustments: NaOH/SiO$_2$ = 0.5 (a), NaOH/SiO$_2$ = 1.0 (b), and NaOH/SiO$_2$ = 2.0 (c).
Figure 8: A schematic illustration for the silicate condensation phenomenon with base catalysis.

on the mesopore synthesis. The synthesis conditions and specific surface areas of samples produced at NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratios of 0.5, 1, and 2 are presented in Table 2. Although the concentration of the remaining OH$^-$ was higher for the sample with NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratio of 2 than for the sample with NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratio of 0.5, the pH of the solutions was same. Although the sample for the NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratio of 2 is not expected to yield a mesophase as it will presumably condense less than the NaOH/SiO$_2$ ratio of 0.5, the mesophase was obtained in both cases. We attribute this result to the increased amount of NaCl that likely led to an increased condensation of the silicate despite the excess NaOH. The increase in ionic strength as NaCl was introduced could reduce the surface charge and promote spontaneous aggregation among the micelle-silicate mixtures due to little or no repulsive forces between them. When the micelle-silicate mixtures collide and aggregate with little or no charge repulsion, OH$^-$ ions in the solution play an important role in catalyzing the formation of interparticle siloxane bonds. A schematic representation of this phenomenon is given in Figure 8 [27]. Ryoo and Jun [14] previously suggested an alternative explanation for the improved mesophase synthesis. They reported that the increase in NaCl led to better synthesis due to the improved silicate condensation.
Table 2: Experimental conditions for the materials synthesized under various pH values and NaOH/SiO₂ ratios and their pore characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Initial NaOH/SiO₂</th>
<th>Contained NaCl/SiO₂</th>
<th>Remained NaOH/SiO₂</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BET surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the pH was decreased from 11 to 10.2 by the addition of HCl, the degree of silicate condensation increased. This seems to go against our assertion that the addition of salt at pH greater than 11 caused a reduction in the polymerization of silicate. When a micelle and silanlates interact prior to the main mesophase synthesis at pH greater than 11, the presence of salt reduces the charge density of the silicate oligomers and stabilizes them as small species. Silicate growth is unlikely favored, rather small oligomers promote silicate depolymerization. Without salt, the polymerization of silicate would be promoted at pH less than 11 after the formation of a micelle-silicate mixture. The effect of salt on silicate polymerization thus would depend on the pH and the stage of synthesis.

4. Conclusions

Hexagonal mesoporous materials were obtained at different NaOH/SiO₂ ratios by the adjustment of the pH of the synthesis gel to examine the effects of guest ions and pH on the mesophase formation. The experimental results reported here suggest that the formation of the hexagonal mesophase likely depended on the charge density of the interface between organic and inorganic molecules. Thus, important parameters to synthesize mesoporous materials were all those that affected charge density: water content, guest ion concentration, and pH. The best hexagonal mesoporous material was synthesized by lowering pH to the range from 10.4 to 10.6 due to the improvement of the condensation of silicate. Additionally, good mesoporous materials were obtained despite having NaOH content twice than that of silica. The findings from this study suggest that if anions and cations can be carefully balanced, formation of the mesophase may only depend on the degree of silicate condensation and not on the amount of guest ions in the solution.
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