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Strategy for Designing Self-Assembling Peptides to Prepare Transparent Nanofiber Hydrogel at Neutral pH
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This study examined the formation of nanofiber hydrogels at neutral pH for 16 types of peptides with different net charges, hydrophobicities, and degrees of polymerization. The peptides formed various hydrogels depending on the arrangement of charged amino acids in the antiparallel β-sheet structure. Circular dichroism (CD) measurement, atomic force microscopy (AFM), visible light spectroscopy, and dynamic viscoelasticity measurement showed that the formation of transparent nanofiber hydrogels in peptides requires at least 2 additional positively or negatively charged amino acids per peptide. When designing the amino acid sequence, it is important to consider both the net charge and position of the charged amino acids, and it should be ensured that basic amino acids do not face other basic ones in the antiparallel β-sheet structure. Peptides that had charged amino acids clustered at the center of the nanofiber formed rigid gels.

1. Introduction

Molecular self-assembly [1–10] is a useful approach for fabricating nanofibers. In this process, molecules, once designed, are dissolved in a solvent, following which they proceed to self-assemble into nanofibers without any equipment or complicated procedures being required. A key point in this process is the positioning of functional groups in the molecule; this is important from the viewpoint of enabling suitable interactions among molecules, such as electrostatic interaction, hydrophobic interaction, and hydrogen bonding. Therefore, molecules need to be designed skillfully, and advanced techniques are required for their synthesis. Peptides are commonly used as a building block to design self-assembling molecules. Peptides are synthesized by combining two or more amino acids from among the 20 naturally occurring ones. Peptides form well-defined secondary structures, such as an α-helix or β-sheet, and therefore, they are used as nanoscale building blocks to construct well-defined structures. In addition, peptides can be modified to exhibit novel functionalities, such as cell adhesion or antimicrobial ability, simply by changing the sequence of their constituent amino acids. Furthermore, because peptides are biocompatible and biodegradable, they hold great promise for medical applications. One of the advantages of using peptides is that methods have already been established for their synthesis [11]. In fact, an automatic peptide synthesizer based on the solid-phase peptide synthesis method is commercially available. A desired peptide can also be obtained from custom peptide suppliers.

Thus far, various self-assembling peptide nanofibers have been investigated [12–23]. Zhang et al.’s pioneering study [24] focused on a part of the amino acid sequence of Zuoitin, a Z-DNA binding protein in yeast [25], and they synthesized various peptides that have alternating charged and uncharged amino acids—for example, the 16-residue peptide RADA16 (CH₃CO-RADARADARADARADA-NH₂) that consists of hydrophobic alanine (Ala, A), negatively charged aspartic acids (Asp, D), and positively charged arginine (Arg, R). In this peptide, an equal number of acidic and
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Peptide. All the peptides in this study were manually synthesized by the standard solid-phase method, using 9-fluorenylmethoxy carbonyl (Fmoc) chemistry. In this synthesis, 400 mg of 4-(2,4-Dimethoxyphenyl-Fmoc-aminomethyl) phenoxycetyl-norleucyl-cross-linked ethoxylate acrylate resin (Peptides Institute Inc.) was placed in a flask of solid organic synthesizer CCS-150 M (EYELA). To the activated group of resin, three equivalents of Fmoc-protected amino acid, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (HOBr·H$_2$O) or 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazolone (HOAt), and N,N′-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIPCI) as a coupling reagent, were dissolved in 5 mL of dimethylformamide (DMF) and stirred for 2 h. Deprotection of the Fmoc group was carried out by stirring the resin in a 20% piperidine in DMF. Amino acids were polymerized by repeating this process. To protect the N-terminal of the peptide with an acetyl group, 10 molar equivalents of acetic anhydride was reacted for 2 h in 5 mL of DMF. After washing the resin with dichloromethane, it was dried. To cleave the peptide from the resin, it was treated in a cleavage cocktail of trifluoroacetic acids (TFAs), 1,2-ethanediol, thioanisole, and water-mixed solution (17:1.7:1 in volume fraction) or TFA, triisopropylsilane (TIPS), and water-mixed solution (38:1:1 in volume fraction) for 3 h. At this time, the C-terminal of the peptide was amidated, that is, both the N- and C-termini remained unchanged. After filtration of the solution, the peptide was precipitated by adding an excess amount of cold diethylether. After repeatedly stirring the peptide in diethylether and removing the supernatant by centrifuging (3500 rpm, 10 min), the peptide was vacuum dried. After dissolving the peptide in Milli-Q water, the solution was filtered using a filtration paper (Advantec) and then freeze-dried. Further purification was not performed. The molecular weight of these crude peptides was measured by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) (Voyager RN BioSpectrometry Workstation, Perceptive Biosystems Co., Ltd.).

A peptide aqueous solution of 0.5 wt% was prepared by adding peptide powder in a 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) and sonicating for 30 s using a homogenizer.

2.2. Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy. A secondary structure of peptide in an aqueous solution was evaluated by CD spectroscopy. An aqueous solution with a concentration of ca. 3 × 10$^{-3}$ M was prepared by diluting the 0.5% (w/v) stock solution with Tris-HCl buffer. When it was difficult to dissolve the peptide in water at neutral pH, it was first dissolved in water at an acidic pH, and then the pH was adjusted to neutral by adding Tris-HCl buffer. A quartz cuvette with a 0.5 mm path length was used for measurement. The molar ellipticity from 195 to 260 nm was measured with a CD spectrometer, J-820 K Spectropolarimeter (JASCO), at room temperature.

2.3. Atomic Force Microscopy. The morphology of peptide assemblies was observed with an atomic force microscope (AFM). First, peptides were dissolved in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer at a concentration of 0.5 w/v%. The pH of the peptide solution was around 7. Then, the solution was diluted 20-fold using 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer, which adjusted the pH to 7.5, and 1 μL of the solution was placed on a mica substrate. After a couple of seconds, to remove excess peptide, the mica substrate was rinsed by dropping 100 μL of Milli-Q water gradually onto it and air-drying it. The surface of the mica substrate was observed with a Nano Scope IIIa (Digital Instruments) AFM operated in Tapping mode at room temperature. An NCH-10 silicon nitride tip (Nano-Device) was used for Tapping Mode. The cantilever length was 125 μm, and the tip radius was ca. 5 nm.

2.4. Visible Light Spectroscopy. The transparency of the peptide gel was measured using a V-550 UV/VIS spectrometer (JASCO). The peptide solution of 0.5 wt% (0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH ~7) was placed in a glass cuvette with a 10 mm
path length, and a transparency from 380 to 780 nm was measured.

2.5. Dynamic Viscoelasticity Measurement. The viscoelastic property of the peptide solution was measured using an AR1000 (TA Instruments) rotational rheometer by the parallel plate method with 600 μm gap distance. For this, 750 μL of peptide solution was placed on the lower plate, and a 40 mm-diameter parallel steel plate was used as the upper plate. The upper plate was covered by a solvent trap to prevent solvent evaporation. A frequency sweep measurement was performed from 0.1 to 100 rad/s. The temperature of the lower plate was 25°C, and 1 μN·m of torque was applied.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Design of Peptides and Their Molecular Models. In this study, we designed sixteen types of peptides with different net charge, degree of polymerization, and hydrophobicity. The amino acid sequence of RADA16, the starting material used to design peptides in this study, causes electrostatic attraction. Each peptide has a different number and position of AABA lanes.

When a peptide with alternating hydrophilic and hydrophobic amino acids forms an antiparallel β-sheet structure, the corresponding amino acid residues are located on opposite sides. It was assumed that each peptide formed an antiparallel β-sheet structure. Figure 2 shows schematic illustrations of the hydrophilic surface. In all peptides except 8RA(+2), the amino acids were arranged such that basic (acidic) amino acids did not face other basic (acidic) ones in the antiparallel β-sheet structure; this prevented electrostatic repulsion in the antiparallel β-sheet structure, in turn preventing self-assembly from being disturbed. A lane was formed in which acidic and basic amino acids were lined up alternately, vertical to the peptide main chain axis in the β-sheet structure. This lane of charged amino acids, called the alternating acidic and basic amino acid (AABA) lane in this study, causes electrostatic attraction. Each peptide has a different number and position of AABA lanes.

3.2. Secondary Structure of Peptides. The secondary structure of peptides was investigated by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy to examine how the changes in the net charge, degree of polymerization, and hydrophobicity of a peptide affect its β-sheet formation (Figure 3). The negative peak at around 215 nm is attributed to the β-sheet structure of peptides, with the peak intensity being dependent on the β-sheet content in the secondary structure of peptides [46].

![Figure 1: Molecular model of peptides (Carbon atoms: green, oxygen atoms: red, nitrogen atoms: blue, and hydrogen atoms: white).](image)

![Figure 2: Schematic illustrations of the hydrophilic surface of the antiparallel β-sheet structure (red circle: acidic amino acid, blue circle: basic amino acid). Each β-sheet structure has AABA lanes perpendicular to the molecular axis, and each has its own pattern of charged amino acids.](image)
1SA(+1) and 10SA(−1) were poorly soluble in aqueous solutions at neutral pH; therefore, their solutions for CD measurement were first prepared at an acidic pH and then adjusted to neutral. Their CD spectra showed a minimum value at 218 nm, which is slightly redshifted compared with the other spectra. This might be caused by both peptides forming aggregates in an aqueous solution.

Spectra based on the β-sheet structure were observed for all peptides except for 12SA(−3). The molar ellipticity of each peptide at 215 nm is shown in Figure 4.

Between 4SA(+2) and 9SA(+3), the former was found to have higher β-sheet content. This result is related to the number of AABA lanes, that is, the strong electrostatic interaction among peptide molecules led to the formation of the β-sheet structure.

Negatively charged peptides 11SA(−2) and 12SA(−3) showed slightly different behavior. The former formed a β-sheet structure, whereas the latter did not. The molecular model of the latter suggests that it might fold via intramolecular interaction among Arg residues at the N-terminal of the peptide and Asp residues near the C-terminal.

Interestingly, 15SA(+1) and 16SA(+2) had comparable molar ellipticities, although the former has more AABA lanes than does the latter. The poor solubility of the former suggests that aggregation may have decreased from its peak intensity.

4SA(+2), 5SA(+2), and 6SA(+2) differ only in terms of the position of the AABA lane (with those of 5SA(+2) being located at the center of the nanofibers), and the β-sheet content decreases in the following order: 5SA(+2) > 4SA(+2) > 6SA(+2).

Among 2AA(+2), 3NA(+2), and 4SA(+2), the β-sheet content decreases in the following order: 3NA(+2) > 4SA(+2) > 2AA(+2). This might be attributable to hydrogen bonds among the –CONH2 group of asparagine (Asn) residues and the Arg residues. The sequence of alternating hydrophilic and hydrophobic amino acids has a high tendency to form a β-sheet structure. However, it is not necessary to arrange hydrophilic and hydrophobic amino acids alternately to form the β-sheet structure.
The 16-residue peptide $4SA(+2)$ had higher $\beta$-sheet content than the 12-residue peptide $16SA(+2)$. This correlated with the number of hydrogen bonds and AABA lanes, which provide electrostatic attraction among molecules.

To evaluate the influence of hydrophobicity on the $\beta$-sheet content, $7SL(+2)$ was designed by replacing all the Ala residues of $4SA(+2)$ with highly hydrophobic leucine (Leu) residues. $7SL(+2)$ is not soluble in a neutral aqueous solution at a concentration of 0.5 wt/v%. Therefore, its solution for CD measurement was first prepared at an acidic pH and then adjusted to neutral. The $\beta$-sheet content of $7SL(+2)$ is slightly greater than that of $4SA(+2)$. Zhang reported that 8-residue peptide ELK8-II (LELEKLKL) formed a $\beta$-sheet structure, although EAK8-II (AEAEAKAK) did not [47]. It seemed that the strong hydrophobicity of Leu residues led to an increase in the $\beta$-sheet content.

3.3. Observation of Nanofibers. The morphology of a peptide assembly on a mica substrate was observed by atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Figure 5). All the $\beta$-sheet structures

The appearance of the peptide aqueous solution. The peptide concentration is 0.5 wt/v% in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5).

Visible light spectra of peptide hydrogels. The peptide concentration is 0.5 wt/v% in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5). Path length of the glass cuvette is 10 mm.

Dynamic viscoelasticity of peptide hydrogels. The storage modulus (squares) $G'$ and loss modulus (triangles) $G''$ are plotted against the oscillatory frequency on log-log scales. The peptide concentration is 0.5 wt/v% in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5).
forming peptides self-assembled into nanofibers with a width of around 10 nm. Considering that the radius curvature of the AFM tip is ca. 5 nm, the nanofiber width corresponds to the molecular length of peptides.

The position and type of uncharged amino acid, type of basic amino acid, and degree of polymerization strongly influenced the nanofiber morphology.

Many nanofiber aggregates were observed for 1SA(+1), 10SA(−1), and 15SA(+1). These nanofibers were relatively short. This might be attributable to the electrostatic interaction among the nanofibers being too strong, that is, short nanofibers aggregated before the peptide molecules self-assembled to grow longer nanofibers.

Between 9SA(+3) and 12SA(−3), the latter, which assumed a random coil structure at neutral pH, had fewer nanofibers. β-sheet formation is necessary to form a nanofiber.

8RA(+2) which has a net charge of +2 at neutral pH formed shorter nanofibers and many aggregates. It is considered that the electrostatic attraction among the nanofibers of 8RA(+2) is too strong to form long nanofibers because it has 6 AABA lanes. Apart from the net charge, the number of AABA lanes is also an important factor to be considered in order to avoid the aggregation of nanofibers.

Between 4SA(+2) and 7SL(+2), the latter, which is poorly soluble in an aqueous solution at neutral pH, has shorter fibers. It is considered that 7SL(+2) aggregated before its nanofibers grew because of strong hydrophobic interaction.

Between 4SA(+2) and 9SA(+3), the latter has higher transparency. It is considered that a stronger electrostatic repulsive force acts among the nanofibers of 9SA(+3) than among those of 4SA(+2), because the net charge of the former is greater than that of the latter. This inhibited aggregate formation and led to the higher transparency of 9SA(+3).

Once 8RA(+2) solution was stirred using a vortex mixer, its transparency decreased and the peptide was precipitated. It is considered that the electrostatic attraction among the nanofibers of 8RA(+2) is too strong. This caused aggregation of the 8RA(+2) nanofibers. However, 8RA(+2) has better solubility compared with 1SA(+1) and 10SA(−1) that have 6 AABA lanes. It is obvious that the net charge influences the solubility of the peptide. Aggeli et al. reported that at least one additional charged amino acid per molecule stabilizes nanofibers against aggregation [34].

Among 4SA(+2), 5SA(+2), and 6SA(+2), the order of transparency is as follows: 4SA(+2) > 5SA(+2) > 6SA(+2). 6SA(+2) tends to aggregate the most. It may be difficult for 6SA(+2) nanofibers to form cross-linkages via electrostatic interaction because their AABA lane is located at the side of the nanofibers. If the nanofibers do not form a 3D network, they may entangle and lead to aggregation.

Among 2AA(+2), 3NA(+2), and 4SA(+2), the order of transparency is as follows: 4SA(+2) > 2AA(+2) > 3NA(+2). The transparency of 3NA(+2) containing hydrophilic Asn residue is lower than that of 2AA(+2) containing hydrophobic Ala residue. This means that 3NA(+2) tends to aggregate more than 2AA(+2). This may be related to hydrogen bonds and side-chain size. Hydrogen bonds among nanofibers may accelerate aggregation. As Zhang pointed out [48, 49], structural compatibility may affect both nanofiber and hydrogel formation because an Asn residue is similar in size to an Asp residue.

The transparency of the 12-residue peptide 16SA(+2) is higher than that of the 16-residue peptide 4SA(+2). This is because the electrostatic interaction among nanofibers of the former, which has fewer AABA lines, is weaker than that among nanofibers of the latter.

3.5. Dynamic Viscoelasticity of Peptide Gel. The viscoelasticity of the peptide solution was investigated using a rotational rheometer (Figure 8 and Supporting Information Figure S2, see Figure S2 in Supplementary Material available online at doi:10.1155/2012/537262). Only those peptides that formed viscous solutions were investigated. The values of the storage modulus G′ and loss modulus G″ at 1 rad/s for each solution are summarized in Figure 9. For gels, G′ and G″ are relatively constant with the oscillatory frequency, and G′ is much greater than zero [37, 50]. Figure 9 indicates that all the tested peptides formed gels.

Between 4SA(+2) and 9SA(+3), the former has a higher G′ value. It is considered that a stronger electrostatic repulsive force acts among 9SA(+3) nanofibers than among 4SA(+2) nanofibers because of their net charges. Therefore, weaker electrostatic attraction at the cross-linking points of 9SA(+3) provides a lower G′ value than that of 4SA(+2).
Figure 10: List of peptides.

**Table: Peptide Net Charge (pH 7) and Amino Acid Sequence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Net Charge (pH 7)</th>
<th>Amino Acid Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RADA16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1SA(+1)</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2AA(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3NA(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4SA(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5SA(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6SA(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7SL(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RLSLRLSLRLSLRLDL-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8RA(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9SA(+3)</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10SA(-1)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11SA(-2)</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12SA(-3)</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16SA(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1SA(+1)</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16SA(+2)</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>CH₃CO-RADARADARADA-NH₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8RA(+2) formed a hydrogel in 0.1 M Tris-HCl aqueous solution. However, when the solution was stirred using a vortex mixer, its viscosity decreased. Therefore, the solution was placed on the rheometer immediately after being sonicated using a homogenizer. 8RA(+2) showed a relatively low G′ value. This suggested that the nanofibers of 8RA(+2) aggregated and did not form a homogenous 3D network. This correlated with the AFM observations.

The viscoelasticity varied according to the position of the AABA lane. Among 4SA(+2), 5SA(+2), and 6SA(+2), 5SA(+2), which has AABA lanes at the center of the nanofibers, showed the highest G′ value. This correlated with its β-sheet structure content. 6SA(+2) tends to aggregate, and it is considered that the G′ value of its solution reflected the rigidity of its aggregated particles.

3NA(+2) showed a higher G′ value than did 2AA(+2) and 4SA(+2). This correlated with its β-sheet structure content. It seemed that the hydrogen bond among the –CONH₂ group of Asn residues and the Arg residues on nanofibers strengthened the physical cross-linkage among nanofibers.

The G′ value of the 16-residue peptide 4SA(+2) is higher than that of the 12-residue peptide 16SA(+2). This correlated with their β-sheet structure content. This is related to the observation that the electrostatic interaction among 4SA(+2) nanofibers is stronger than that among 16SA(+2) nanofibers because the former has more AABA lanes.

Both 4SA(+2) with Arg/Asp amino acids and 13SA(+2) with Lys/Glu amino acids showed similar viscoelastic property profiles. On the other hand, between 11SA(−2) with Arg/Asp amino acids and 14SA(−2) with Lys/Glu amino acids, stirring the former using a vortex mixer made the solution opaque, although this did not occur with the latter. 11SA(−2) did not form a transparent hydrogel, although it formed nanofibers. It may be difficult for 11SA(−2) nanofibers to form cross-linkages via electrostatic interaction. Molecular models in Figure 1 may help us understand these differences. Zhang pointed out that structurally compatible constituents were key factors for molecular self-assembly [48, 49]. 4SA(+2) and 13SA(+2) have similar molecular structure. On the other hand, 11SA(−2) forms bigger bulges on a nanofiber surface comparing with 14SA(−2). A difference between the side chain lengths of positively and negatively charged amino acid residues might affect the interaction among nanofibers. Negatively charged peptides formed a transparent nanofiber hydrogel via the arrangement of appropriate types of charged amino acids. This might be related to the molecular structure of the peptide, although this has not yet been determined. Further research on negatively charged peptides containing a combination of Arg and Glu as well as Lys and Asp is necessary to determine the parameters necessary for forming a hydrogel.
4. Conclusions

We conducted a detailed investigation of the parameters necessary for preparing transparent nanofiber hydrogels at neutral pH. At least two additional charged amino acids (per peptide) are necessary to form such hydrogels. When designing an amino acid sequence, it is important to consider the net charge and position of the charged amino acids, and to not allow basic amino acids to face other basic ones in the antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheet structure.

CD measurement indicated that the \( \beta \)-sheet content was high when the AABA lanes were located at the center of the nanofiber. According to the AFM observation, all peptides except 12SA\((-3)\) formed nanofibers at neutral pH, although there were differences in the nanofiber lengths. A peptide with a net charge of +1 or −1 and highly hydrophobic amino acids formed short nanofibers or it aggregated. RA\(+(2)\), which has 6 AABA lanes on a nanofiber, formed short nanofibers and their aggregates, although it has +2 net charge. Considering that it did not form a transparent hydrogel, adding additional charged amino acids is not sufficient to form a transparent nanofiber hydrogel. Visible light spectroscopy revealed that peptides with a higher net charge formed a more transparent gel. Using a large amount of Leu residue, which has high hydrophobicity, decreases the solubility of the peptide, although it serves to increase the \( \beta \)-sheet content. A rheometric assay showed that a 16-residue peptide with AABA lanes located at the center of its nanofibers had the highest storage modulus. Hydrogen bonding among nanofibers served to increase the mechanical strength of the hydrogels. These results can serve as useful guidelines for designing peptides to form a transparent nanofiber hydrogel.

The properties of self-assembling peptide hydrogels can be controlled by arranging the amino acids appropriately. Because a charged amino acid can be placed precisely on nanofibers, the interaction among nanofibers and chemicals or cells can be controlled more precisely than that among conventional nanofibers. Thus, the peptide library created in this study may be useful for preparing 3D cell culture scaffolds and DDS devices.
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