Nanocomposites Based on Technical Polymers and Sterically Functionalized Soft Magnetic Magnetite Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Processing, and Characterization
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This experimental study deals with the synthesis, processing, and characterization of highly filled nanocomposites based on polyvinyl butyral/magnetite (PVB/Fe₃O₄) and polymethylmethacrylate/magnetite (PMMA/Fe₃O₄). The nanoparticles are synthesized in an aqueous coprecipitation reaction and show a single particle diameter of approximately 15 nm. The particles are sterically functionalized and covered by PVB and PMMA in a spray drying process. The synthesized compound particles are further processed by injection molding to test specimens with filler contents up to 14.5 vol.-%. PVB and PMMA specimens are processed as a reference as well. The distribution of the nanoparticles is characterized by microscopy. Besides a minor number of agglomerates and aggregates the nanoparticles are distributed homogeneously in the PVB composites. Furthermore, the injection molded specimens are characterized with regard to their thermal degradation, polymer structure, and their mechanical and magnetic properties. The presence of nanoparticles capped with ricinoleic acid shows significant decrease in degradation temperature and in glass transition temperature of PVB. The degradation temperature of PMMA is increased by adding nanoparticles capped with oleic acid. Dynamic-mechanical properties as well as the magnetic permeability of PVB and PMMA are improved significantly by adding nanoparticles.

1. Introduction

Soft magnetic materials based on iron oxides are often used for electromagnetic screening devices, in electrical machines, for magnetic shielding, and in magnetorheological areas [1–3]. The restrictions of the application of these materials are the relatively high hysteresis losses that can be reduced by using soft magnetic particles in a thermoplastic matrix [4].

The incorporation of nanosized particulate matter within a polymer matrix to create nanocomposites is a well-known technique to enhance or advance material properties. This fact has been employed for decades starting with the well-known material Bakelite used in tires for cars around 1900 [5]. Nevertheless, the homogenous distribution and deagglomeration of the nanoparticles is still a current research topic. In the last twenty years there have been numerous studies on novel nanoparticle systems and a growing understanding in the synthesis, functionalization, and handling of nanoparticles. Unique “nano-” properties like superparamagnetic behavior for magnetite nanoparticles or extreme tensile strength for nanotubes have been described [6–9].

Nowadays, a growing interest lies in the engineering and processing of polymeric nanocomposites to create both high-quality and affordable materials incorporating nanoparticles [10]. The goal is to produce composites with a high dispersity—the uniform distribution of primary nanoparticles within the polymer matrix—preserving the unique properties of the primary particles [11]. Polymer composites filled with nanoscaled particles can be produced either by bottom-up [12–15] or top-down [16, 17] processes. In the bottom-up process the nanoparticles will be integrated in the polymer matrix by synthesis or solution process. Amongst a variety of others one method to incorporate especially a high amount
of nanoparticles in a soluble polymer is the solution method, which has been introduced by Banert and Peuker [18]. These highly filled composites exceed a filling ratio of the particles phase of 30 wt.-%.

Nanoparticles show a high affinity to agglomerate or aggregate. Therefore, a process has to be found to separate the particles from each other. In order to reach high filler contents in a nanocomposite with several components (nanoparticle, e.g., Magnetite, surfactant, e.g., fatty acids and polymer, e.g., PVB and PMMA) one has to investigate the theoretical limitations from the packing of spheres, which are 68 vol.-% and 74 vol.-% for body-centered cubic and face-centered cubic particle packing, respectively. In case of microparticle-filled composites, a maximum packing density up to 70 vol.-% can be processed by extrusion, kneading, and (micro) injection moulding processes [19, 20]. The challenge in using nanoparticle-filled composites is the very large specific surface of the fatty acid capped primary particles, which has to be surrounded by the polymeric matrix. However, the filler content of body-centred cubic and face-centred cubic particle packing cannot be reached in nanocomposites up to now.

Despite previous experiments with PMMA polymer matrices, PVB was found to stabilize the dispersion considerably caused by stronger interactions with the fatty acid coated magnetite particles [21, 22]. The excellent adhesive and film-forming properties of PVB makes it applicable as safety glass interlayers, paints, lacquers, vanishes, printing inks, temporary binder, and adhesives. The injection moulding and thermal as well as magnetic analysis of PVB/Fe3O4 nanocomposites has not been reported in the literature up to now.

2. Materials and Methods

The precipitation reaction and the phase transfer (PT) are carried out in conventional laboratory glassware. Iron salts (FeSO4·7H2O, FeCl3·6H2O) purchased from Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) and 26% ammonium hydroxide purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Munich, Germany) are used.

The solvent is dichloromethane (DCM) in technical quality since it is the aim to scale up the process. Both ricinoleic (RA) and oleic (OA) fatty acids are in technical quality as well and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Munich, Germany). Polyvinyl butyral (Mowital B 30 T, Kuraray Europe GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany) and polymethylmethacrylate (Diakon CLG902, Lucite International, Rozenburg, The Netherlands) are used as matrix polymer. The solved polymer exists in coils of about 5 nm in diameter as measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS). Spray drying is accomplished by a laboratorycocurrent spray dryer (Büchi B-290, Büchi Labortechnik GmbH, Essen, Germany) with solvent recovery. The organosol is atomized with an external mixing two fluid nozzles with nitrogen as atomizing gas. A low drying temperature of 60°C is applied. In a cyclone the polymer-nanoparticle composite micro particles are separated from the gas stream with a median size of about 5 μm as measured with laser diffraction.

The spray dried composite particles are characterized using a scanning electron microscope (Phenom, FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) with a back scatter electron detector. For further processing purposes an injection molding machine (Arburg Allrounder 220S 150-60, Lossburg, Germany) is used to produce various test specimens (35 × 10 × 4) mm3 for characterization. The distribution and agglomeration of the nanoparticles in the injection moulded composite samples are characterized using a microscope (Zeiss AxioLab A1, Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Jena, Germany) and a scanning electron microscope (Helios NanoLab 600, FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) with inverted back scatter electron detector. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is performed using a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA Q5000 IR, TA Instruments, Alzenau, Germany) starting at 25°C up to 600°C in high-resolution mode (HiRes 5) with modulated heating rate from 10°C/min to 0.2°C/min under nitrogen atmosphere with respect to [23]. The balance measurement resolution accuracy is 0.1%. For temperature calibration the well-known Curie temperature of a nickel standard sample has been measured as a reference.

Dynamic scanning calorimetry (DSC Q2000, TA Instruments, Alzenau, Germany) is done in temperature range between 0°C and 150°C with a heating rate of 10°C/min under nitrogen atmosphere. For temperature calibration the melt temperature of indium, lead, tin, and zinc standards has been measured to achieve a minimum temperature deviation of 0.2°C.

For mechanical characterization a dynamic mechanical thermal analyzer (DMTA) (DMA Q800, TA Instruments, Alzenau, Germany) in forced vibration single cantilever mode is used. The temperature ranges from −30°C to 150°C at a heating rate of 3°C/min and an induced frequency of 8 Hz. An amplitude of 5 μm (equal to a maximum strain of ε∼1.4 × 10−4) is applied for standard experiment. The temperature has been calibrated using indium, lead, tin, and zinc standards to achieve a minimum temperature deviation of 0.2°C. The resolution of the loss factor (tanδ) is 1 × 10−4 which is the ratio of the loss modulus to the storage modulus. The repeating accuracy of storage modulus is 3%.

The magnetic measurements are performed with a computer controlled hysteresis measurement system (Hystereograph, IMVT, TU Clausthal, Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany) similar to the one described in [24, 25]. For all materials, permeability measurements versus magnetic field strength are carried out at ambient temperature and a frequency of 1 Hz in the region of magnetic field from 200 to 50000 A/m with 50 measurement points. Furthermore, the low field permeability (at 3% of maximum polarization induction) and the maximum permeability versus filler fraction are analyzed. Due to the low reachable polarization of a composite, which is linearly dependent on the filler fraction and the saturation polarization of the magnetic filler, the dependency of coercivity on filler fraction is measured at 0.07 T for the purpose of comparability.
3. Experimental

In order to synthesize highly filled polymeric nanocomposites, the concept of a modular built process chain is investigated, as shown in Figure 1.

The first step can already be the nanoparticle synthesis for example in a well-understood precipitation reaction. In a further project a continuous precipitation reactor is being developed to allow for higher throughput [26]. The transfer of the synthesized nanoparticles in aqueous suspensions into an organic solvent is achieved in a unit process called liquid-liquid phase transfer [8]. The aggregates and agglomerates are partially physically-chemically dispersed and the particle surface hydrophobized by fatty acid adsorption at the liquid-liquid interface. Furthermore, still existing aggregates and agglomerates can be mechanically dispersed in a media mill or in the shear gradient of ultrasonic cavitation or rotor-stator devices. The colloidal stability is achieved entropically by steric hindrance of the grafted fatty acid molecule layers. After or before the latter step the solved unbranched polymer is added. The polymer forms coils that are in the size order of the nanoparticles, depending on the solvent (good or bad) and the chain length [22]. Depending on whether the polymers adsorb on the nanoparticles surface the colloidal stability is the bottleneck of the chain of synthesis. In a previous study it was shown that PMMA as a nonadsorbing polymer leads to depletion flocculation whereas PVB will adsorb on the particles and furthermore stabilize the system [22]. The complex organosol with high dispersity—ideally homogeneous distribution of sterically stabilized primary nanoparticles—is then spray dried to “freeze” the high dispersed state and form a nanoparticle-polymer composite powder. In an agglomeration step, the fine powder from the spray dryer is processed to improve bulk flow by high-pressure tabletizing and granulation.

The synthesized polymeric nanocomposites are further processed by injection molding to produce test specimens for material characterization. The processing parameters are shown in Table 1 for PVB and PMMA as well as their nanocomposites. The injection molded test specimens are microscopically, thermoanalytically, and magnetically characterized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PVB</th>
<th>PMMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melt temperature [°C]</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate [cm³/s]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection pressure [bar]</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packing pressure [bar]</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mold temperature [°C]</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the synthesized and spray dried PVB/Fe₃O₄ and PMMA/Fe₃O₄ composite particles. The PVB/Fe₃O₄ composite particles in Figure 2(a) are characterized by a wide particle size distribution and wrinkled surfaces. The particle shape of the PMMA/Fe₃O₄ composite particles in Figure 2(b) appears more spherical, and the particles sizes are slightly smaller. However, the width of the particle size distribution is similar, which is due to the droplet formation with the nozzle.

Following injection molding the composites show different agglomeration of the nanoparticles at constant filler content, described by the black areas in Figure 3. The PVB/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite in Figures 3(a) and 3(b) shows uniform distributed nanoparticles with minor number of agglomerates compared to the PMMA/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite in Figures 3(c) and 3(d), which is characterized by a large number of agglomerated particles. The appearance of such agglomerate structures is due to the polymer nanoparticle interactions in the dispersion before spray drying [22, 27]. Because of the beneficial attractive interactions between the fatty acid coated magnetite nanoparticles and PVB colloidal stability is improved.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is carried out starting from room temperature up to 600 °C with modulated heating rate (HiRes 5) to determine the degradation behavior of PVB, PMMA, and their nanocomposites as well as to establish the filler content of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in the composites. The results are shown in Figure 4.

TGA results of PVB and its nanocomposites in Figure 4(a) show a weight loss of about 2 wt.-% at around 100 °C induced by the melting of PVB and vaporization.
Figure 2: Synthesized and spray dried (a) PVB/Fe₃O₄ and (b) PMMA/Fe₃O₄ composite particles (back scattering SEM, magnification 2.000x).

Figure 3: Injection molded (a, b) PVB/Fe₃O₄ and (c, d) PMMA/Fe₃O₄ composite samples at comparable nanoparticle filler content ((a, c) inverted bright field optical microscopy, magnification 350x, (b, d): inverted back scattering SEM, magnification 35.000x).
of volatiles as components of the technical grade fatty acids. Thermal degradation of PVB nanocomposites starts at lower temperature (230°C) compared to pure PVB (302°C). However, the opposite behavior is obtained for PMMA and PMMA/Fe3O4 nanocomposites (Figure 4(b)). Hence, adding Fe3O4 nanoparticles reduces the thermal stability of PVB and increases thermal stability of PMMA. This can be explained by the increased amount of microsized agglomerates in PMMA nanocomposites, which reduces the mobility of polymer chains while heating, which has also been described for microparticle filled polymeric composites [19, 20]. The well-distributed nanoparticles in the PVB nanocomposites surround the polymer chains homogeneously and may act as lubricants, which increases the mobility of the polymer chains and consequently reduces thermal stability of PVB. Regarding the filler content of Fe3O4 nanoparticles, the residual mass at 600°C is determined considering [26] 39 wt.-% (equal to 12 vol.-%) and 44 wt.-% (equal to 14.5 vol.-%), respectively. The residue of PVB at 600°C is about 3 wt.-%.

Dynamic scanning calorimetry (DSC) is determined to explain the influence of nanoparticles on the glass transition temperature of PVB. DSC is done in temperature range between 0°C and 150°C using heating rate of 10°C/min and nitrogen atmosphere. The results are shown in Figure 5.
Dynamic scanning calorimetry of PVB and PVB/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites in Figure 5(a) reveals a significant decrease of glass transition temperature ($T_g$) with increasing nanoparticle filler content. The $T_g$ of PVB is reduced about 9°C and 18°C for PVB+12 vol.% Fe₃O₄ and PVB+14.5 vol.% Fe₃O₄, respectively. Regarding the TGA results in Figure 4(a), increasing filler content of well-distributed Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles may increase the mobility of the polymer chains in PVB whereas the glass transition temperature will be reduced. In case of PMMA-based nanocomposites the glass transition temperature of PMMA is not significantly affected by adding Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (see Figure 5(b)).

The mechanical behavior of PVB and PMMA as well as their nanocomposites is assessed using dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) in a temperature range from −30°C to 100°C and 150°C, heating rate of 3°C/min, displacement amplitude of 5 μm, and frequency of 8 Hz. Figure 6 shows the storage modulus $E'$ and loss factor (tanδ) of the examined materials.

Figure 6 shows that the storage modulus $E'$ of PVB and PMMA is significantly increased by filler content. However, adding 14.5 vol.% nanoparticle reduces storage modulus compared to PVB with 12 vol.% nanoparticle. The nanoparticles cause failures in the polymer matrix. With increasing filler content the number of failures increases and the mechanical strength decreases. In general, storage modulus of PMMA and its nanocomposite shows significantly higher values than that of PVB and its nanocomposites in the examined temperature range caused by higher glass transition temperature of PMMA compared to PVB. In the temperature range of glass transition temperature of PVB and PMMA storage modulus strongly decreases by softening of the polymer material. Furthermore, the decrease in storage modulus induced by the glass transition of PVB takes place at lower temperatures with increasing filler content. The decrease in glass transition temperature correlates to the DSC results in Figure 5. The loss factor describes the damping behavior of the composites. The peak temperature of the loss
factor of PVB is shifted to lower temperature with increasing filler content. In case of PMMA the opposite behavior is determined. Hence, adding nanoparticles to PVB reduces damping behavior, whereas an improvement of damping behavior was found by adding nanoparticles to PMMA.

Magnetic properties are investigated by magnetic hysteresis to show the influence of the incorporated soft magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles on the magnetic behavior of the nanocomposites. Results of magnetic characterization are illustrated in Figure 7 and listed in Table 2.

Magnetic hysteresis in Figure 7 shows lower saturation polarization of PVB/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites compared to PMMA/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites at constant filler content and equal magnetic field. Furthermore, the enclosed surface area of the hysteresis loops characterizes the magnetic (hysteresis) losses of the nanocomposites. PVB/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites show significant lower surface area and consequently lower magnetic losses compared to PMMA/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites. Table 2 summarizes the magnetic properties of PMMA/Fe$_3$O$_4$ and PVB/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites at constant filler content of 12 vol.-%.

Magnetic properties of the examined nanocomposites in Table 2 show lower coercivity, saturation polarization, and magnetic losses of PVB/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites compared to PMMA/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites. Remanence and maximum permeability of the nanocomposites are comparable. According to Herzer [28], lower particle size of the well-distributed nanoparticles in PVB/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites induces lower coercivity compared to the agglomerated PMMA/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites.

5. Conclusions

PVB/Fe$_3$O$_4$ and PMMA/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites with filler content up to 14.5 vol.-% were synthesized, spray dried, and further processed in injection molding process. The well-dispersed Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles show well-distributed nanoparticles in the injection molded PVB/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites. Thermal stability, glass transition temperature, and peak temperature of the loss factor of PVB will be reduced by adding nanoparticles. The opposite behavior was determined for PMMA/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites. Storage modulus of PVB and PMMA increases below glass transition temperature by adding Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The softening of PVB and PMMA nanocomposites starts at lower temperature compared to the unfilled polymer. Magnetic losses, coercivity and saturation polarization of PVB/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites were reduced compared to PMMA/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites caused by the uniform distribution of nanoparticles in PVB.
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