Influence of Electric Field Coupling Model on the Simulated Performances of a GaN Based Planar Nanodevice
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The performances of a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) based planar nanodevice are studied by a two-dimensional-three-dimensional (2D-3D) combined model and an entirely 2D model. In both models, 2DEGs are depicted by 2D ensemble Monte Carlo (EMC) method. However, electric field distributions in the devices are obtained by self-consistently solving 2D and 3D Poisson equations for the 2D model and the 2D-3D model, respectively. Simulation results obtained by both models are almost the same at low bias while showing distinguished differences at high bias. The 2D model predicts larger output current and slightly higher threshold voltage of Gunn oscillations. Although the fundamental frequencies of current oscillations obtained by both models are similar, the deviation of wave shape from sinusoidal waveform obtained by the 2D model is more serious than that obtained by 2D-3D model. Moreover, results obtained by the 2D model are more sensitive both to the bias conditions and to the change of device parameters. Interestingly, a look-like second harmonic oscillation has been observed at DC bias. We contribute the origin of divergences in simulation results to the different coupling path of electric field in the two models. And the second-harmonic oscillations at DC bias should be the result of the appearance of concomitant oscillations beside the channel excited by strong electric-field effects.

1. Introduction

Continuous miniaturization of semiconductor devices has led to high-speed operations and large-scale integrations of electronics in the past decades. A single silicon chip is now able to contain more than a billion transistors and operate at frequencies higher than GHz. Benefitting from the utilization of planar architecture the operation frequency can be further increased. In planar devices, electrodes are connected side by side to the active semiconductor layer rather than being placed on top of each other, as in conventional multilayered vertical-structured devices. As a result, very low parasitic capacitances are obtainable, leading to a very high operating speed. Planar nanodevices based on 2DEGs in semiconductor heterostructures have been demonstrated to operate at tens of GHz or above [1–4]. In particular, self-switching diodes (SSDs) have been shown to possess zero threshold voltages and be able to detect electromagnetic signals with speed up to 110 GHz and up to 2.5 THz at room temperature and at a temperature of 150 K, respectively [3, 5].

Apart from the experiments on planar nanodevices, there have been theoretical efforts based on analytical models or advanced numerical models, such as MC models, to further understand the device operations [6–8]. In MC models, electrons transporting in 2DEG layer is usually depicted by 2D EMC method, and the electric field distributions are obtained by self-consistently solving 2D Poisson equations. This entirely 2D model not only obtains good agreements with experiments, but also predicts additional features of the planar devices, such as very low noise spectra in the THz range and enhanced THz detections by plasma [9–11]. However, the entirely 2D EMC models are unable to directly deal with electric field coupling beyond the 2DEG layer. To overcome this, a 2D-3D combined EMC model and a fully 3D EMC model have been developed, respectively. The previous model has been used to study the effects of electric
field coupling through the substrate and the nearby dielectric layers on the performances of a side-gated transistor [12]. The later model has been applied to study three-terminal T-branch junctions (TBJs) with a top gate terminal, which is modeled as a side gate in entirely 2D models [13–15]. It is noteworthy that in spite of minimizing the need for parameter fitting and including the effect of electron transfer from channel to other layers, the 3D model leads to almost the same results as those obtained from 2D model for GaAs based devices [16]. However, in order to overcome huge time consuming, the EMC domain of 3D simulation should be limited to a small region.

In this work, efforts are devoted to study the influence of electric field coupling model on the simulation results of GaN based planar nanodevices. Unlike the GaAs based devices, the GaN based devices have much higher working voltage. This should lead to higher sensitivity of the device performances to the electric field coupling in the devices. As such, an entirely 2D EMC model and a 2D-3D combined EMC model are applied here and more attention will be paid to the phenomenon of Gunn oscillations that occur under high-field condition. The particular devices we focus on are SSDs, since they are not only based on electric field coupling but benefit for the propagation of Gunn domains [17]. The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the structure and working principle of the SSDs are firstly introduced and then the entirely 2D EMC model and the 2D-3D combined EMC model are concisely described, respectively. In Section 3, the performances of SSDs with different parameters are studied by both models under various conditions and results are analyzed in detail. In Section 4, the conclusions of this work are summarized.

2. Device Working Principle and Numerical Models

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show schematically the top view and cross-section of a SSD. The device is based on a GaN/AlGaN heterostructure, where a 2DEG is formed at the GaN-AlGaN heterointerface with a carrier concentration of $8.0 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ [18]. The two L-shaped insulating trenches are created by etching, through the 2DEG layer, ensuring that electrons have to pass the narrow channel between the two trenches in order to conduct a current between the left and right terminals. When a positive voltage is applied to the right terminal, positive charge is induced around the trenches, because of field effect, attracting electrons into the channel for the current to flow easily. However, when a negative voltage is applied to the right terminal, the negative charge around the trenches results in depletion of the channel, thus inhibiting the current flow, forming diode-like characteristics, as demonstrated by Song et al. [19].

The entirely 2D model is based on a semiclassical EMC method self-consistently coupled with Poisson equations [20]. As in earlier work, some theoretical assumptions are made in order to enhance the efficiency of the EMC simulations [11, 21, 22]. Firstly, 2D EMC simulations are performed only on the active GaN layer, since it is the 2DEG layer that largely determines the electronic properties of the device. Secondly, to account for the fixed positive charges of the doping layer, a virtual net background doping $N_V = 8.0 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ (without ionized impurity scattering) is assigned to the GaN layer. In order to model the influence of surface states at semiconductor-air interface a uniform negative charge density, $N_s = 0.8 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$, is also added at the edge of the insulating trenches during the simulations. Since the charge density is constant during the whole simulation process, this treatment is called constant charge (CC) model [18]. The SSD was designed with a channel length of $L_c = 450$ nm and a horizontal trench width of $W_h = 30$ nm. Other geometric parameters are defined in Figure 1(a). All simulations are carried out at room temperature, with the left terminal grounded. And the Poisson equations are solved in 5 nm × 5 nm meshes with a time step of 1 fs. More information about the model can be found in [21].

The 2D-3D combined EMC model is developed from the previous entirely 2D model. The 2D-3D model is also based on a semi-classical 2D EMC method but self-consistently coupled with 3D Poisson equations. In this model, we assume that electrons are all confined within the 2DEG layer, ignoring the effect of electron transfer from channel to other layers, so that 2D EMC method is enough to depict the micro behaviors of the electrons in the devices. When solving the Poisson equations, the charge related to the 2DEG is considered as
a surface charge involved in a Neumann boundary condition. It has been shown in [12] that the 3D electric field coupling occurs in an effective distance of about 400 nm away from the 2DEG. As the distance between 2DEG and the device surface (top of the AlGaN layer) is only 30 nm, the Poisson equations should be solved in a domain beyond the geometry of the devices. As shown in Figure 1(b), the GaN substrate and a region (Air) above the device surface, both with height of 1 μm, are included. In principle, the insulating trenches of the devices are only required to pass through the 2DEG. However, previous study showed that when the trench depth was less than 400 nm, the change of the trench depth had nonnegligible influences on the device performances [12]. To avoid the influences coming from the variations of trench depth, all the trenches are assumed to have vertical sidewalls and pass through the whole GaN/AlGaN heterostructures from the device surface to the bottom of the substrate, which is with depth of 1030 nm. Since the transfers of electrons along the z direction are ignored, there should be no physical restrictions on the size of meshes [21]. As such and for reducing the computational effort, nonuniform meshes are utilized in the z direction. Beginning from the 2DEG, with initial value of 1 nm, both to the top of the simulated Air and to the bottom of the simulated substrate, the increase of mesh size obeys a special geometric progression. The common ratio used for the geometric progression changes from 2 to 1.5 and then to 1 when the size of the meshes is larger than 10 nm and 100 nm, respectively. Dielectric constant used in the simulations for Air, AlGaN, and GaN is 1, 8.5, and 8.9, respectively. Other treatments are the same as the entirely 2D EMC model. Details of the above 2D-3D combined model can be found in our recent work [12].

3. Simulation Results and Analysis

Figure 2 shows the time-dependent output current of the SSD shown in Figure 1. During simulations, we set channel width of $W_c = 30$ nm and vertical trench width of $W_v = 30$ nm, respectively. Other simulation parameters can be found in Figure 1. To further study this, we calculated the device current at different bias voltage steps, from 0 to 3.5 V at increments of 0.5 V, for SSDs with different vertical trench widths and different channel widths. Then the right terminal of the SSD is biased to voltage steps from 4 to 24 V on an increase of 2 V for every 10 ps. Red solid line and green dashed line in Figure 2 represent simulation results obtained by the 2D model and the 2D-3D model, respectively. For both results, current peaks with sharp rise and fall can be found after each abrupt change of the applied voltage, which are anticipated as results of the charging of parasitic capacitances in the devices [17]. Apart from the above current peaks, simulation results obtained by both models are almost the same at bias lower than 4 V. And when the bias is beyond 4 V, output current obtained by the 2D model is higher than that obtained by 2D-3D model. However, the threshold voltages of Gunn oscillations are similar, 18 V by the 2D model and 16 V by the 2D-3D model. Since the length of the channel is 450 nm, the threshold electric field for Gunn oscillations would be about 0.4 MV/cm, which agrees with recent experimental results [23].

One can also find that the period of the oscillations at 18 V obtained by both models is about 3 ps, corresponding to a frequency of about 0.3 THz. However, the waveform of the oscillations obtained by the 2D-3D model is more sinusoidal than that by 2D model. This phenomenon becomes more obvious at higher bias, when a small additional peak emerges in every circle of oscillations obtained by the 2D model.

To understand the above bias-dependent divergence in simulation results, one should come back to the difference between the two models. As described in Section 2, in the 2D model, electric field couplings are well confined within the conducting layer (2DEG). However, in the 2D-3D model, part of electric field couples through the device substrate with longer path and through the Air with lower dielectric constant. As such, electric field couplings in the 2D-3D model are lower than those in the 2D model. Since SSDs are based on electric field effect [2, 8, 21], it is reasonable that the device performances obtained by the 2D model are more sensitive to the bias conditions. Moreover, unlike the electric field couplings within the device, those through the Air are seldom affected by the device structure, so that results obtained by 2D-3D model would be less sensitive to the change of device geometry. To confirm this, SSDs with different geometrical parameters should be further studied by both models.

According to the above simulation results, the two models present large differences at high bias especially when Gunn oscillation occurs. As such, we focus on studying the waveforms of oscillations at bias of 26 V. Shown in Figure 3 are the results for SSDs with different vertical trench width of $W_v = 30$ nm (red curves), 50 nm (green curves), and 70 nm (blue curves) obtained by (a) the 2D model and (b) the 2D-3D model. Other needed parameters are the same as those used
Figure 3: Waveforms of oscillations of SSDs with different vertical trench width of $W_v = 30$ nm (red curves), 50 nm (green curves), and 70 nm (blue curves) at bias of 26 V obtained by (a) 2D model and (b) 2D-3D combined model (other simulation parameters are the same as those used in Figure 2).

In Figure 2. Comparing the results shown in Figure 3, one can find that the waveforms obtained by both models for narrow vertical trench, such as $W_v = 30$ nm, show similar harmonic components, both with a small additional peak between two large major peaks. However, the amplitude of the additional peak obtained by the 2D-3D model is much lower than that obtained by the 2D model. Moreover, when the vertical trench width increases, the waveforms obtained by the 2D-3D model show just a small reduction in the amplitude. This reduction of the oscillation current is understandable. Since the vertical trench is widened, field effects applied on the channel are weakened [19]. As a result, the resistance of the channel increases, then the output current of the device reduces. In contrast, the waveforms obtained by the 2D model show not only a larger reduction in the amplitude, but also a nonnegligible change in the harmonic components, more additional peaks emerging.

In order to further reveal the divergences of simulation results obtained using different models, the influences of channel width on the waveforms of the oscillations have also been studied. Results are shown in Figure 4(a) using the 2D model and Figure 4(b) using the 2D-3D model. Simulation parameters are the same as those used in Figure 2, except that different channel widths with value of 50 nm (red curves), 60 nm (green curves), and 70 nm (blue curves) are chosen. One can find that additional peaks are also observed in Figure 4, which grow up with the increment of channel width. Once again, results obtained using the 2D model are more sensitive to channel width than those obtained using 2D-3D model. When the channel width is 70 nm, the additional peak obtained by the 2D-3D model is only as high as 30% of the major peak, while that obtained by the 2D model is almost as high as the major peak. Since the additional peak happens to be in the middle of two nearby major peaks, the waveform looks like second harmonic (blue curve in Figure 4(a)) with frequency of about 0.6 THz.

It is noteworthy that similar phenomenon of second harmonic oscillations at DC bias was also observed in GaN based SSDs using an entirely 2D model [18]. In that work, based on a CC model to include the influence of surface states at semiconductor-air interface, the frequency of Gunn oscillations at DC bias had been proved to lie within the second generation band of oscillations taking place in the presence of an additional AC bias. Furthermore, a self-consistent charge (SCC) model proposed in [24] was also used to make a deeper study of the influences of surface charges on the performances of SSDs. Results obtained using such advanced charge model showed good agreements with experimental data under any bias condition. In the SCC model, the local value of the surface charge was dynamically adjusted depending on the surrounding carrier density resulting in an increase of surface charge density with bias, which suppressed Gunn oscillations in narrow channel devices. Similar suppressions of Gunn oscillations are also observed in our simulations when higher surface charge density is used (results not shown). Moreover, for wide channel devices results obtained based on SCC model exhibited qualitative dependencies and basic operation, including second harmonic oscillations at DC bias, as those obtained using CC model. For the authors who focused on the AC features of the SSDs, little attention was paid to understand the origin of second harmonic oscillations at DC bias.

It is known that for SSDs electrons surrounding the channel play an important role in the properties of electron transport in the channel. This is because the horizontal trenches used to define the channel are on the scale of
nanometers resulting in strong electric field couplings of electrons on both sides of the trenches. As such, electron density fluctuations with time in the channel and in the monitored area indicated in Figure 1(a) have been studied for further understanding the device performances, especially the origin of second harmonic oscillations at DC bias. The monitored area is a rectangle with length and width of 420 nm and 35 nm, respectively. Results are shown in Figure 5(a) in the channel and Figure 5(b) near the channel (i.e., in the monitored area). Red curves and green curves are obtained by the 2D model and the 2D-3D model, respectively. For convenience, results are normalized to time-averaged value. One can find that the changes of electron density with time in the channel obtained by both models are similar, showing obvious oscillations with the frequency about 0.3 THz. Such frequency is just the same as the fundamental frequency of the current oscillations, strongly implying that Gunn oscillations in the channel contribute to the appearance of the major peaks (or fundamental components of the current oscillations) shown in Figure 4. It is interesting

Figure 4: Waveforms of oscillations in the SSDs with different channel width of $W_c = 50$ nm (red curves), 60 nm (green curves), and 70 nm (blue curves) at bias of 26 V obtained by (a) the 2D model and (b) the 2D-3D model (other simulation parameters are the same as those used in Figure 2).

Figure 5: Normalized electron density fluctuations with time (a) in the channel and (b) near the channel (i.e., in the monitored area shown in Figure 1(a)) at bias of 26 V obtained by the 2D model (red curves) and the 2D-3D model (green curves). Other simulation parameters are the same as those used for obtaining blue curves in Figure 4.
that electron densities near the channel (in the monitored area) also show oscillations with frequency about 0.3 THz. These electron density oscillations are expected to impose an additional AC electric field on the channel, which should give rise to an increase of second harmonic component of the oscillations in the channel. At the same time, oscillations near the channel are just out of phase with those oscillations in the channel, resulting in a counteraction of the fundamental component of oscillations. These two mechanisms should be responsible for the origin of the second harmonic oscillations at DC bias observed in the simulations. Since DC bias only leads to constant distributions of electron potential around the channel, the above electron density oscillation near the channel should only be excited by electric field induced by the Gunn domains in the channel. Moreover, the amplitude of electron density oscillations near the channel obtained by the 2D-3D model is only half of that obtained by the 2D model. Recalling that the additional current peaks (shown in Figure 4) obtained by the 2D-3D model are also lower than those obtained by the 2D model, the understanding of the second harmonic oscillations at DC bias as a result of an accompanying of an oscillation near the channel can be further confirmed.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have carried out both entirely 2D EMC model and 2D-3D combined EMC model to analyze the performances of GaN based SSDs under different conditions. We find that both models give almost the same output current at bias lower than 4 V. However, the output current obtained by the 2D model shows a larger increment at bias higher than 4 V and reaches oscillation state at bias of 18 V that is 2 V higher than that obtained by the 2D-3D model. We also find that current oscillations obtained by both models exhibit similar fundamental frequencies, but much different waveforms, especially at high bias. Moreover the deviation of waveform from sinusoidal wave with voltage and device parameters obtained by the 2D model is more distinguished than that obtained by the 2D-3D model. The above divergences in simulation results should come from the different coupling path of electric field in the two models. Interestingly, a look-like second-harmonic waveform has been obtained by the 2D model at DC bias. Further investigations show that electric field induced by Gunn domains in the channel has excited electron density oscillations outside the channel. It is these concomitant oscillations that contribute to the appearance of second harmonic oscillations at DC bias. Our studies also imply that for nanodevices with dominant electric field coupling and working at high bias, electric field distribution should be obtained by 3D Poisson equations; otherwise additional treatments should be introduced for an entirely 2D model.
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