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Taking elastic anisotropy into consideration, we use a dislocation position dependent model to calculate the preferential formation site of noninterfacial 60° mixed dislocation segment in ellipsoid shaped InAs/GaAs quantum dots (QDs) which is observed in the experiment. From the result, it is clear that the positions near the right edge of the quantum dot are the energy favorable areas for the noninterfacial 60° mixed dislocations.

1. Introduction

In recent years, InAs/GaAs quantum dots have attracted tremendous attention in the field of nanosemiconductor [1–7] because of their great potential for device applications. The two most popular methods to fabricate such quantum dots are classical Stranski-Krastanow (S-K) growth [8] and droplet epitaxy growth [9, 10]. But for both the S-K growth and the droplet epitaxy, with the increasing deposition of InAs on GaAs(001) substrates, the quantum dots begin to release the elastic strain energy by misfit dislocations when their sizes reach a critical value. Because of the harmful effects of dislocations on device performance and reliability, the onset of dislocations in quantum dots must be understood so that devices can be fabricated in fully coherent regimes. The preferential formation sites of interfacial edge and mixed misfit dislocations have been considered theoretically [11]. However, recent reports presented evidence of misfit dislocations located above the island/substrate interface in both S-K-grown GaSb/GaAs(001) system and droplet epitaxy grown InAs/GaAs(001) quantum dots system [12, 13].

In this work, taking elastic anisotropy into consideration, the Finite Element Method (FEM) is used to simulate 3D dislocation position dependent models of noninterfacial dislocated InAs/GaAs quantum dots. The FEM is by far the most widely used numerical technique in science and engineering. It has been proved to be a useful tool in the analysis of the strain and stress state, even for nanoscale materials [14–17]. The stress/strain field and the total elastic energy of InAs/GaAs quantum dots with interfacial and noninterfacial dislocations in different positions are calculated. Comparing the overall energy stored in the quantum dots with dislocations in different positions, we obtain the first nucleation place for the 60° mixed dislocation in quantum dots.

2. Model and Method

The isolated uncapped InAs/GaAs quantum dot heterostructure considered in this paper is shown in Figure 1. The reference point is set to be the left endpoint of the quantum dot in Figure 1. And dislocation positions at the plane perpendicular to the QD growth direction are expressed by “relative distance” (=L/S) which is a ratio of the distance from the reference point to dislocation with respect to the quantum dot base. In the calculation, the base diameter is set as constant which equals 20 nm and the height of the QD is 5 nm. The substrate is large enough compared to the QD. In our simulation, the Descartes coordinate is adopted. The x and y axis correspond to the [−1 −1 0] and [1 −1 0] directions, respectively, while QD growth is along the [001] direction. The InAs quantum dot is assumed to be an ellipsoid shaped quantum dot which has been shown in Figure 1, respectively. Dislocation with line vector [1 −1 0] and the Burger's vector
[01 – 1] for 60° mixed dislocation is produced when the quantum dot was big enough [15, 16]. The stiffness matrices of InAs and GaAs materials in this case can be derived by tensor transformation [18] which has been shown in Tables 1 and 2.

In order to confirm the accuracy of the Finite Element Method, Gatti et al. [19] have already compared the elastic field of a dislocated island as found by FEM methodology with the same result calculated via atomistic simulations. And the good qualitative and quantitative correspondence is evident. So we use the FEM in this work directly and have not compared our results with the ones calculated via atomistic simulations.

We first consider the strain sources in the InAs quantum dot. After the onset of misfit dislocation, the strain form lattice mismatch and dislocation segment are exit simultaneously in the quantum dot. So we set both of them to be the initial strains in InAs quantum dot. The strain obtained from lattice mismatch can be expressed as

\[ \varepsilon_{ij}^{\text{dis}} \]

and

\[ \varepsilon_{ij}^0 \]

\((i, j = x, y, z)\), where \(a_{\text{QD}}\) and \(a_{\text{sub}}\) are the lattice constants of the QD and substrate, respectively. For the strain \(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\text{dis}}\) from dislocation segment, nonsingular, self-consistent formulas [20] are used to simulate the strain field of dislocation segment which makes the strain remain finite everywhere, including on the dislocation lines themselves.

We then move on to the strain sources in GaAs substrate. Because the substrate is big enough, the strain from lattice mismatch can be ignored totally. The initial strain in GaAs substrate only comes from the dislocation segment.

In the calculation, the positions of the dislocation are changed by adjust the strain analytical formulas of dislocation segment. By assigning the proper conditions and reaching convergence, the solver can find the solution for the stress and strain fields directly. The total elastic energy in a volume \(V\) can be given by the well-known relation [21, 22]:

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \sigma_{xx} \varepsilon_{xx} + \sigma_{yy} \varepsilon_{yy} + \sigma_{zz} \varepsilon_{zz} + 2\sigma_{xy} \varepsilon_{xy} + 2\sigma_{xz} \varepsilon_{xz} + 2\sigma_{yz} \varepsilon_{yz} \right) dV.
\]

But because of the existence of the initial stresses in quantum dot and substrate, the total elastic energy in this model should be

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left( \varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{xx}^0 - \varepsilon_{xx}^{\text{dis}} \right) \sigma_{xx} + \left( \varepsilon_{yy} - \varepsilon_{yy}^0 - \varepsilon_{yy}^{\text{dis}} \right) \sigma_{yy} + \left( \varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{zz}^0 - \varepsilon_{zz}^{\text{dis}} \right) \sigma_{zz} + 2 \left( \varepsilon_{xy} - \varepsilon_{xy}^0 - \varepsilon_{xy}^{\text{dis}} \right) \sigma_{xy} + 2 \left( \varepsilon_{xz} - \varepsilon_{xz}^0 - \varepsilon_{xz}^{\text{dis}} \right) \sigma_{xz} + 2 \left( \varepsilon_{yz} - \varepsilon_{yz}^0 - \varepsilon_{yz}^{\text{dis}} \right) \sigma_{yz} \right) dV,
\]

where the \(\sigma_{ij}\) and \(\varepsilon_{ij}\) \((i, j = x, y, z)\) are the stress and strain calculated from the FEM solver; \(\varepsilon_{ij}^0\) and \(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\text{dis}}\) \((i, j = x, y, z)\) are the initial strain from lattice mismatch and dislocation segment.

Compared with other ways, this method avoids discontinuity at the dislocation core and can be extended to any specific material in the conditions of any shaped quantum dots and any types of dislocations with some care.

### 3. Results and Discussions

In this section, we adopt the energy criterion to predict the preferential formation site of misfit dislocations for the InAs quantum dot with GaAs substrate. By using the model and method mentioned above, we calculate the stress and strain fields of the quantum dot system with interfacial and noninterfacial 60° mixed dislocation segments located at different sites. The total energy of the quantum dot system can be obtained from (2).

Firstly, we calculated the strain energy of three different mixed dislocations which located at the island/QD interface, 0.5 nm and 1 nm above the interface. Variation of the energy, as a function of the “relative distance” in ellipsoid shaped InAs quantum dots, is shown in Figure 2(a). From the result, it is clear that the dislocations at interface are more energy favorable than the noninterfacial dislocations. It can explain the phenomenon that most of the misfit dislocations are located at the island/substrate interface. However, in some cases, the dislocations are observed located above the InAs/GaAs interface because of the surface oxidation of the material which resulted in the substrate surface moving down.

When the dislocation position along the QD growth direction is fixed, the most energy favorable position at the plane perpendicular to the QD growth direction is always at a “relative distance” 0.8 for the interfacial and noninterfacial mixed misfit dislocations. It means the positions near the edge of the quantum dot base are the energy favorable area for both interfacial and noninterfacial dislocations and
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energy of the different types of dislocations in InAs/GaAs quantum dot system. From the calculation, we found that the 60° mixed dislocation will nucleate at the island/QD interface preferentially in common. In some special cases, when the misfit dislocations located above the island/substrate interface, the preferential relative position of noninterfacial dislocation is the same as the interfacial one. Since once the dislocation position along the QD growth direction is fixed, the most important factors which influence the relative position of mixed misfit dislocation are the two edge dislocation components of the mixed dislocation rather than the island/substrate interface.
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