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Synthesis and Characterization of Nanostructured Nickel Diselenide NiSe$_2$ from the Decomposition of Nickel Acetate, (CH$_3$CO$_2$)$_2$Ni
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Solution processed NiSe$_2$ nanorods were synthesized by a modified colloidal synthesis technique, by chemical reaction of TOPSe and nickel acetate at 150$^\circ$C. The rods exist as an oleic acid ligand stabilized solution, with oleic acid acting as a capping group. Structural characterization by X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy indicates that the particles are rod-like shaped crystals with a high and relatively constant aspect ratio (30:1). TEM shows that the width and the length of the nanorods are in the range 10–20nm and 300–350nm, respectively. XRD indicates that the nanorods are pure and well crystallized. The size of nanorods based on the Debye-Scherrer effect, was 150nm, the average value of length and width. They display thermal stability over prolonged heating times (<100 hours) at 150$^\circ$C, for which the average particle size is roughly constant. After about 100 hours of heating time there is an onset and growth of micron sized cubes and concurrent decomposition of NiSe$_2$ to Ni and NiSe at 150$^\circ$C.

1. Introduction

Nanoparticles and nanowires, typically containing from hundreds to tens of thousands of atoms, high surface/volume ratios, size-dependent properties, and the possibility of arranging them in micro-(and nano)assemblies, have remained the focus of intensive research due to their numerous applications in diverse fields [1–3]. Nanoscale chalcogenides have attracted considerable attention due to their remarkable properties and application prospects [4]. Various interesting magnetic properties and crystallographic studies have been reported on transition metal dichalcogenides MX$_2$ (M = Fe, Co, and Ni; X = S, Se, and Te) with a pyrite structure, which is cubic, of space group T$_h^6$ (Pa$_3$). As typical representatives of the great number of transition metal chalcogenides, the selenides have been investigated extensively. MSE$_2$ are important direct band gap materials. Various methods have been developed to prepare transition metal selenides [5].

Nanoscale selenides are interesting to study the variation of a material’s property with size and the ability to perform shape control. In recent years, the popularity of metal chalcogenide synthesis has soared due to (i) the discovery of graphene and an extension of pursing 2D atomic monolayer physics on van der Waals layered materials [6] and (ii) the observation of unique transport behavior in selenides such as Bi$_2$Se$_3$—materials identified as topological insulators [7]. NiSe$_2$ is one selenide that can be studied in this context for synthetic control over nanostructure [8–12]. Due to their unusual morphologies, the nickel selenides are also expected to find unique applications in energy research, such as electrochemistry, energy storage, and catalysis [13–15]. Elevating the temperature or pressure and extending the reaction period may create bigger single crystals, which provide an opportunity to investigate its fundamental properties [5,16].

NiSe$_2$ has a pyrite structure (space group T$_h^6$ (Pa$_3$)). Each metal atom is surrounded octahedrally by six metalloid atoms, and each metalloid atom is surrounded tetrahedrally by one metalloid atom and three metal atoms. NiSe$_2$ is a good electrical conductor. Its magnetic susceptibility is weakly paramagnetic (1 × 10$^{-6}$ emu/g) and increases very
weakly with temperature and is therefore described as a Pauli paramagnetic metal. Now, NiSe₂ has been regarded as typical material for studies of the physical characteristics associated with a narrowband electron system [17–20].

Nickel diselenide was described for the first time by Fonzes-Diacon [20]. In 1900 a gray black mass of NiSe₂ was prepared by the action of hydrogen selenide on nickel(II) chloride at about 300°C. Nickel selenides have since been prepared by precipitation by the action of hydrogen selenide or potassium selenide on a nickel salt solution containing acetic acid and sodium acetate [21]. The structure of NiSe₂ was originally established by de Jong and Willem by X-ray diffraction studies on a sample prepared by heating a mixture of NiSe and selenium [22, 23].

Crystalline transition metal dichalcogenides can be synthesized by the direct stoichiometric combination of elements in evacuated silica tubes [24]. But due to the limit of slow diffusion, the complete reaction requires intermittent grinding and heating at high temperature from 500 to 1200°C. Parkin and coworkers improved direct elemental reactions by conducting them in liquid ammonia at room temperature, but the obtained product was a mixture of amorphous nickel selenide and crystalline element Ni [25, 26]. Novel organometallic precursors were also used to prepare transition metal diselenides: Steigerwald and coworkers reported that the reaction between bis(cyclooctadiene) nickel and tri(ethylphosphine selenide) at 270°C produced crystalline Ni₃Se₄ and elemental Ni that were difficult to be removed from the product [20, 27]. Wang et al. synthesized one-dimensional monoselenides by the reaction of metal chlorides, KBr, and selenium in ethylenediamine [28]. Zhang et al. synthesized NiSe₂ through a solvothermal reaction at low temperatures [29]. Han et al. reported the synthesis via the reaction of NiC₂O₄·2H₂O and elemental Se [30]. In that case, the molar ratios of reactants (Ni/Se) and temperature played important roles in determining the phase distribution. Adequate NiC₂O₄·2H₂O and higher temperature favor the formation of compounds with higher nickel contents. Excess selenium is essential to NiSe₂ and Ni₈Se₅ in pyridine, THF, and En. In water, sufficient nickel is necessary for NiSe₂. Zhang et al. reported a facile one pot synthesis to produce hollow spheres of PbSe and Ni₅Se₃ [9]. Star [10, 31] and tubular [14] morphologies are also reported.

In this report we demonstrate that the reaction of nickel acetate stabilized with oleic acid and further reacted with trioctylphosphine selenide (TOPSe) which can be used to produce nanostructured NiSe₂.

2. Methods

2.1. Chemicals. The tri-n-octylphosphine (TOP), phenyl ether (DPE), oleic acid, selenium pellet, and nickel acetate ((CH₃CO₂)₂Ni·4H₂O) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Reagents were of analytical grade and were used without any further purification.

2.2. Stock Solution. Trioctylphosphine selenide (TOPSe) was prepared by adding appropriate mass of selenium pellet directly in sufficient stirring TOP in a dry box to produce 1.0 M stock solution of TOPSe. TOPSe was stored in the dry box.

2.3. Synthesis Procedure. 0.003 mol nickel acetate, 75 mL DPE, and 0.009 mol oleic acid (3 equivalent) were combined with nitrogen flow. The solution is dried and degassed in the reaction vessel by heating up to 150°C, flushing with 1 atm argon. All H₂O is removed during this process and (CH₃CO₂)₂Ni results. The syringe containing 0.009 mol stock solution is quickly removed from the dry box and its contents delivered to the vigorously stirring reaction flask by a single injection through a rubber septum. The rapid introduction of the reagent mixture produces a gray solution. This is also accompanied by a sudden decrease in temperature. Heating is restored to 150°C. After maintaining the solution stirring at 150°C for 12–48 hr, the solution gradually turns to black.

Reaction was maintained at 150°C under 1 atm Ar. Aliquots of the reaction solution are removed at regular intervals. The reaction solution is cooled to 60°C. Addition of 10 mL methanol, 10 mL ethanol, and 2-3 mL butanol to the aliquot results in the reversible flocculation of the nanocrystals. The flocculate is separated from the supernatant by centrifugation, which results in a clear solution containing methanol, ethanol, butanol, and byproducts of the reaction and black precipitates containing nanoparticles. The addition of 25 mL of hexane and 2-3 drops of oleic acid brings the particles back into solution. The particles are washed by methanol and ethanol two or three times until an optically clear solution is observed. After a final rinse of the flocculate with 50 mL methanol, the resulting particles are brought back into hexane for analysis and manipulation as suspension.

The reactions of (CH₃CO₂)₂Co·4H₂O and (CH₃CO₂)₂Fe·4H₂O under identical conditions did not produce a nanostructured material. To prepare FeSe as a comparison, Fe(CO)₅ was heated under the same solvent conditions to 230°C; then TOPSe was injected into the reaction vessel. FeSe nanoparticles quickly precipitated from the solution upon injection.

2.4. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD). X-ray powder diffraction patterns for different samples were determined on a Scintag X2 X-ray diffractometer using nanochromated Cu-Kα1 radiation (λ = 1.504 Å), employing a scanning rate of 0.02 deg·s⁻¹ in the 2θ range from 20° to 60°. The samples for XRD measurements were prepared by dispersing particles in hexane and dropping onto the silicon wafer. Particles’ sizes were estimated by the Debye-Scherrer formula according to XRD spectrum. Lattice parameter was also calculated.

2.5. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). The morphology and particle size were studied by Phillips EM430 transmission electron microscope using an accelerating voltage of 300 kv. Samples for TEM were deposited onto 400 mesh Cu grids coated with amorphous carbon films. To avoid the harmful effect of organic matter to the TEM, the grids were
NiSe₂ nanorods are precipitated from the solution. Oleic acid, [CH₃(CH₂)₇CH=CH(CH₂)₇CO₂H]⁻, and TOP act as an actual precursor of the synthesis. The solution of nickel oleic acid, which is also noted to be the final product was nickel oleic acid, which is also noted to be the actual precursor of the synthesis. The solution of nickel oleic acid is observed to be green due to the color of Ni²⁺. As soon as the TOPase was mixed with nickel oleic acid in DPE, a homogeneous nucleation of NiSe₂ occurred in the solution, which became gray/black (see Scheme 3).

NiSe₂ nanorods are precipitated from the solution. Oleic acid, [CH₃(CH₂)₇CH=CH(CH₂)₇CO₂H]⁻, and TOP act as a n 2− reaction was maintained at 150°C for 20 hours and 150 hours.

Results and Discussion

NiSe₂ nanorods were synthesized by chemical reaction of TOPSe and nickel acetate at 150°C. The rods, with a high aspect ratio (30:1), exist as an oleic acid ligand stabilized solution in the presence of oleic acid as a capping group. Injection of TOPSe stock solution into the reaction flask yields a gray solution. The solution gradually turns to black indicating that large quantities of particles were produced after 20-hour reaction at 150°C. No obvious change was observed until some red precipitate was observed after 100 hours.

From the beginning of the reaction until 100 hours, all the samples share a similar X-ray diffraction pattern as shown in Figure 1, indicating a good crystallization and purity of the NiSe₂ particles. All peaks in the pattern can be indexed to cubic NiSe₂. No impurity phase was detected except the peak of Si (211), which was the background of the silicon wafer. The broadening of the peaks is due to Debye-Scherrer effect. The results of X-ray diffraction showed that, from the beginning of the reaction to 100 hours, pure and well-crystallized NiSe₂ crystals were precipitated. Calculated by Debye-Scherrer formula, the average particle size was 150 nm, which is in agreement with microscopy characterization. No obvious change was detected within 100 hours. However, as shown in Figure 1, the X-ray diffraction pattern of 150 hours consisted of peaks of NiSe and Se besides peaks of NiSe₂, which indicated that the NiSe₂ crystal was partially decomposed into Ni and NiSe. Also, the average particle size increased to 250–500 nm. With the longer reaction times an amorphous phase appeared. In this case, the X-ray substrate...
surfactants, coating the surface of NiSe₂ to prohibit the growth and agglomeration of the particles. It should be considered whether NiSe or NiSe₂ is the product, or a mixture results. If the valence state is considered, we deduce that NiSe₂ is the likely product. In this reaction, selenium loses two electrons, becoming Se²⁻; the only donator could be Ni²⁺, which becomes Ni⁴⁺. So this reaction should proceed as Ni²⁺ + Se⁰ → Ni⁴⁺ + Se²⁻. Second, Ni⁴⁺ + 2Se²⁻ → NiSe₂ ↓. Whether it is possible to synthesize NiSe₂ or other transition metal dichalcogenides by this method is therefore determined by the ability of the electron donating power of metal cation. A similar reaction (metal oleate + TOPSe) was
performed using cobalt acetate to see whether or not CoSe$_2$ can be synthesized. Cobalt acetate was used in place of nickel acetate and the chemical reaction in the presence of TOPSe was conducted in an identical manner. Interestingly, in the case of cobalt acetate, no particle nucleation and growth was observed by this method. This is a striking contrast between the behaviors of Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ under identical reaction environments. The explanation may possibly be because of the higher energy barrier from Co$^{2+}$ to Co$^{4+}$. The standard reduction potential of Co$^{3+}$ to Co$^{4+}$ is +3.34, which is more than twice that of Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^{4+}$ (+1.59). In the case of cobalt, it is very difficult for Se$^{2-}$ to capture two electrons from Co$^{3+}$. An explanation for the differing behavior of Ni and Co can be given, based on crystal/ligand field theory, in which the ligands are considered as point negative charges to be arranged in specific geometries (e.g., octahedral, tetrahedral). The splitting of the 3d orbitals within an octahedral crystal field expresses the splitting of energy levels in terms of the $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ or 10Dq, the $t_{2g}$ orbital symmetry set being relatively stabilized by $-0.4\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ or $-4\text{Dq}$ while the $e_{g}$ set is destabilized by $-0.6\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ or $-6\text{Dq}$. Since the compound forming is a selenide ion (weak field ligand) complex, growing into a crystal, it is reasonable to assume a small value of $\Delta_{\text{oct}}$ and generally high spin complexes. But in the case of Ni$^{2+}$ (3d$^8$) a $t_{2g}^6$ configuration is likely favored—the $t_{2g}$ is full and $e_{g}$ is empty. So Ni$^{2+}$ is more likely to donate two electrons, becoming Ni$^{4+}$ and combining with Se$^{2-}$. However, for Co$^{2+}$ (3d$^7$), the d-orbital electrons are described as $t_{2g}^5e_{g}^0$ (Figure 4(b)) or $t_{2g}^6e_{g}^1$. However both are not particularly favorable since neither case yields a fully occupied subshell. The formation of CoSe$_2$ via oxidation of Co$^{2+}$ to Co$^{4+}$ can therefore be considered a less favorable route than that of nickel.

To test further whether a range of selenides can be formed by this process we applied the reaction chemistry to iron precursors in order to attempt the preparation of iron selenides. It was again found that the reaction of iron acetate, (CH$_3$CO$_2$)$_2$Fe, did not produce nucleation and growth, but that the decomposition of iron pentacarbonyl, Fe(CO)$_5$, under the same solvent conditions at higher temperature produced nanoparticles of FeSe (Figure 4). The particles are determined by TEM to be roughly cubic nanoparticles of 10 nm size, while X-ray powder diffraction confirms the hexagonal NiAs-type structure of FeSe. In this instance an oxidation of Fe$^0$ to Fe$^{II}$ likely occurs in the event of reaction to produce FeSe.

For the synthesis of NiSe$_2$, interesting nucleation, growth, and precipitation occur alongside a change in phase and chemical exchange. The particles initially precipitate as NiSe$_2$ and nanorods form. In this stage, particles grow rapidly and the solution gradually became dark black. Decomposition of NiSe$_2$ particles appears to occur >100–125 hours reaction time at 150°C:

$$\text{NiSe}_2 \rightarrow \text{NiSe} + \text{Se}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Besides the black precipitate, some red color was observed. The red color is believed to be from Se$^{2-}$ for [SeO$_3$]$^{2-}$ or other polyselenide ions. It was concluded that NiSe$_2$ is kinetically stable, especially in the nanoscale, oleic
acid stabilized form, but ultimately not thermodynamically stable at high temperature 150°C. Interestingly NiSe$_2$ is considered a more stable phase than NiSe, but in this reaction some NiSe$_2$ definitely decomposed to Ni and NiSe. With longer reaction times, these particles eventually became amorphous phase, with the color of the solution changing to black again.

5. Conclusion

In summary, NiSe$_2$ nanorods, with a large aspect ratio (30:1), have been synthesized by chemical reaction of TOPSe and nickel acetate, Ni(CH$_3$CO$_2$)$_2$, at 150°C. The rods exist as an oleic acid ligand stabilized solution in the presence of oleic acid as a capping group. After prolonged reaction times >100 hours at 150°C, the NiSe$_2$ became unstable and appeared to decompose to NiSe and Se. Reaction of Fe(CH$_3$CO$_2$)$_2$ Co(CH$_3$CO$_2$)$_2$ was also tested under identical reaction conditions. No particle formation was observed in the case of the acetates, whereas FeSe was formed with the use of zero valence Fe(CO)$_5$. These findings supported hypotheses that the reaction depends upon the ability of the metal cation to donate electrons to selenium in order to generate Se$^{2-}$ and that the reduction potential of the ions will influence the outcome, whether MSe or MSe$_2$ is formed, or no reaction occurs.
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