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Preparation and Characterization of a Collagen-Liposome-Chondroitin Sulfate Matrix with Potential Application for Inflammatory Disorders Treatment
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Smart drug delivery systems with controllable properties play an important role in targeted therapy and tissue regeneration. The aim of our study was the preparation and in vitro evaluation of a collagen (Col) matrix embedding a liposomal formulation of chondroitin sulfate (L-CS) for the treatment of inflammatory disorders. Structural studies using Oil Red O specific staining for lipids and scanning electron microscopy showed an alveolar network of nanosized Col fibrils decorated with deposits of L-CS at both periphery and inner of the matrix. The porosity and density of Col-L-CS matrix were similar to those of Col matrix, while its mean pore size and biodegradability had significantly higher and lower values ($P < 0.05$), respectively. In vitro cytotoxicity assays showed that the matrix system induced high cell viability and stimulated cell metabolism in L929 fibroblast cell culture. Light and electron micrographs of the cell-matrix construct showed that cells clustered into the porous structure at 72h of cultivation. In vitro diffusion test indicated that the quantity of released CS was significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) after embedment of L-CS within Col matrix. All these results indicated that the biocompatible and biodegradable Col-L-CS matrix might be a promising delivery system for local treatment of inflamed site.

1. Introduction

Drug delivery systems (DDS) are designed for targeting the injured site with increased specificity and selectivity, for local efficient release of high drug concentration and, some of them, for initiation of tissue regeneration [1]. Liposomes (L) are drug delivery vehicles used in 13 medical products on the market; more than 25 liposomal drug formulations are currently in clinical trials [2]. Their successful application in treatment protocols depends on L composition and physical properties, including size, dispersity, morphology, and surface charge. Several studies have demonstrated L ability to protect drug from biological environment, to enhance drug intracellular uptake, and to modulate drug pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and controlled release, thus representing a superior mode of drug administration compared to conventional forms [3]. In particular, for articular cartilage treatment, it was shown that empty L prepared from natural phospholipids improved joint lubrication and prevented degenerative changes [4]. Biologic active substances entrapped in L intra-articularly administered in inflamed joints targeted the phagocytic cells from synovium, suppressed proinflammatory cytokines, prolonged their residence time in the joint, and had decreased side effects [5]. Our previous studies showed that negatively charged L entrapping lactoferrin prolonged the anti-inflammatory effect in DBA1 mice with collagen-induced arthritis, compared to free lactoferrin [6].
The versatility, attractive biologic properties, and higher uptake of drug liposomal formulations are benefits that recently boosted their use instead of drug alone, but problems related to L permeability were reported [7]. A new generation of smart DDS combines the controlled release of drug encapsulated in nanocarriers with the structural advantages of 3D polymeric networks, for enhancing lipid bilayer stability and drug efficiency. Hydrogel matrices were designed in various compositions, from natural and synthetic polymers, as carrier systems for intra-articular treatment of joint disorders. They provided several advantages compared to other colloidal DDS, such as control of drug release, a suitable microenvironment for cell proliferation, and initiation of new cartilage matrix synthesis [8]. The main limitation of hydrogels was lack of mechanical strength due to random alignment of fibres and high content of water [9]. Polymeric electrospun mats, analyzed as delivery systems of nanoparticles, hindered cell infiltration due to pore diameter size smaller than 10 µm [10]. Carbon nanotubes were used in drug delivery applications to take advantage of their high conductivity and stability, but a key problem was their biosafety [11]. Therefore, composites of stimuli-responsive polymers and carbon nanotubes were fabricated, and they showed a good cell response [12]. Freeze-dried porous scaffolds have ideal attributes as depots for drug-loaded nanocarriers, presenting controllable mechanical properties, biomimetic structure that favored cell adhesion, and control of drug delivery [13].

It is known that collagen (Col) is a natural, biodegradable polymer with low immunogenicity. Several compositions based on Col were used both as DDS for bioactive molecules and scaffolds that guide cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation to stimulate new extracellular matrix components synthesis during regenerative processes [14, 15]. Chondroitin sulfate (CS), the main glycosaminoglycan (GAG) of articular cartilage extracellular matrix, is currently used as a chondroprotective agent in clinical studies. In vitro and in vivo reports have showed CS anti-inflammatory activity and, as a result, its role in reducing several parameters of synovitis, including cell infiltration, fibrosis, and proliferation of synovial lining cells [16]. We previously showed that a liposomal formulation of CS protected fibroblast cells from inflammation process [17].

The present study aimed to prepare a DDS consisting of CS encapsulated in L (L-CS) embedded in a natural polymeric matrix of Col (Col-L-CS) for local treatment of inflammatory and degenerative disorders, specifically osteoarthritis (OA). Col-L-CS was structurally and physicochemically characterized. Its in vitro cytotoxicity was evaluated in two models of direct and indirect contact with L929 mouse fibroblast cells. CS release was assessed spectrophotometrically at different periods of time.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. CS-A sodium salt from bovine trachea, L-α-phosphatidylcholine type XVI-E from egg yolk (PC), dioleoyl-phosphatidyl-ethanolamine (DOPE), stearylamine (SA), cholesterol (Chol), Triton X-100, bacterial collagenase type IA (E.C. 3.4.24.3), Minimum Essential Medium (MEM), fetal calf serum (FCS), L-glutamine, trypsin (E.C. 3.4.21.4), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), and all other chemicals and solvents of analytical grade were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals (Germany). Sephadex G-25 column was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals (Germany). Blyscan kit was purchased from Bicolor Ltd. (Northern Ireland, UK). A mouse fibroblast cell line (NCTC clone L929) was purchased from ECACC (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany).

2.2. Preparation and Characterization of L-CS. Lipid vesicles entrapping CS were prepared using the thin film hydration method, as previously described [18]. Briefly, a lipid phase (14 mg total lipids/mL) was prepared from a mixture of lipids PC:DOPE:Chol:SA, in 4:2:3:1 molar ratio, and dissolved in a solution of chloroform/methanol (95:5, v/v). The solvents were removed in an IKA RV 10 rotary evaporator (Ika-Works Inc., Germany), at 150 rpm, 40°C, for 30 min, under reduced pressure. The resulted thin, dry lipid film was hydrated in PBS, pH 7.4, containing 10 mg/mL CS, and L-CS suspension was incubated at room temperature, for 5 h, to allow complete swelling of the lipid film. In order to obtain a homogeneous population, the liposomal suspension was sonicated under inert atmosphere of N₂ first in a bath-type sonicator (Grant, UK), at 37°C, for 2 × 30 min and then in a probe-type sonicator (Bandelin Sonopuls, Germany), at 70% amplitude, for 3 × 10 s. L-CS were separated from nonencapsulated CS by size exclusion chromatography using a Sephadex G-25 column and stored at 4°C until use. For cell culture experiments, L-CS were sterile filtered through 0.2 µm filters.

The size and polydispersity index (PI) of L-CS population was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique using a ZetaPlus instrument (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, Holtsville, NY) operated at 658 nm, 90° angle, at 25°C, as previously described [19].

The encapsulation efficiency (EE) was assessed by CS quantification using Blyscan GAG kit after L-CS solubilization in 0.1% Triton X-100, as previously described [20]. The optical density (OD) of the colored solution was measured at a wavelength of 656 nm, in a Sunrise microplate reader (Tecan, Austria). Entrapped CS concentration was determined on the calibration curve in the range 0–5 µg GAG. EE was calculated as percentage from total CS using the following equation:

\[
EE(\%) = \frac{\text{entrapped CS}}{\text{total CS}} \times 100\%.
\]  

The results were expressed as mean of three independent determinations ± standard deviation (SD).

2.3. Preparation of Col-L-CS Matrix. A solution of Col type I was prepared from bovine tendons by pepsin treatment, in a dry tissue: enzyme ratio of 1:10 (w/w). Then, the solution was purified by precipitation at a salt concentration of 2.4 M
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NaCl and dialyzed against distilled water to remove salt, as previously described [21]. Col type I gel (0.75%, w/w) was mixed with L-CS suspension, in a ratio of 1:1 (w/w), using a manual speed-stirrer (Xenox, Germany), at 1,000 rpm. The mixture was poured in glass molds (20 mm diameter), put on the shelf of a Christ freeze-dryer (Germany), and frozen to −35°C. The ice crystals formed during freezing were removed by a drying process using a step program consisting of 0°C stage, at 0.26 mbar, for 17 h and 30°C stage, for 10 h, as previously described [22]. This controlled process resulted in pore formation in the 3D Col sponge matrix. The samples of Col-L-CS matrix were sealed in plastic bags and irradiated by UV exposure in a Scie-Plas cabinet (UK), for 8 h, to sterilize and strengthen them. A Col matrix was prepared in the same conditions for control.

2.4. Structural Characterization. Histological examination of Col-L-CS matrix was performed after fixation in Ciaccio solution, dehydration in ethanol, clarifying in toluene, and embedding in paraffin. Sections of 5 μm were stained with 0.1% (w/w) Oil Red O, mounted in glycerol, and observed at an AxioCam MRc5 microscope equipped with camera driven by AxioVision 4.6 software (Carl Zeiss, Germany).

The porous matrix ultrastructure was examined by SEM. Matrix samples were examined at surface and cross-sections with a razor blade, mounted on carbon pads attached to aluminium stubs, and visualized in the low vacuum mode, 25 kV, at a FEI Quanta 400 Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (ESEM, Philips, The Netherlands). The pore size was calculated by image analysis on at least 100 pores for each sample using ImageJ software.

2.5. Physicochemical Characterization

2.5.1. Porosity and Density. Matrix porosity (ε) and density (d) were measured by the water displacement method, as previously described [23]. Briefly, a sample with a known weight (w) was immersed in a graded test tube holding a known volume of water (V1). The sample was kept in water for 3 h and pressed to force air from the matrix and allow water to penetrate and fill the pores. The total volume of water plus the water-impregnated matrix was recorded as V2. The water-impregnated matrix was removed from the test tube and the residual water volume was recorded as V3. The following equations were used:

\[ \varepsilon = \left( \frac{V_1 - V_3}{V_2 - V_3} \right) \times 100, \]

\[ d = \left( \frac{w}{V_2 - V_3} \right) \times 100. \]

The results were reported as mean of three measurements ± SD.

2.5.2. Biodegradability Tests. For in vitro degradation measurement, a sample of Col-L-CS matrix was weighed (~10 mg) and was preincubated in 5 mL TES buffer, pH 7.4, containing 50 mM CaCl2, at 37°C, for 30 min. Then, 100 μL TES buffer containing or not 100 μg/mL collagenase type IA was added and the degradation took place in a water bath, at 37°C, for 6 h. The reaction was stopped by addition of 0.2 mL 0.25 M EDTA and cooling on ice. After centrifugation at 6,000 rpm, for 10 min, 200 μL of supernatant was analyzed for amino acids content by ninhydrin method, as previously described [24]. The results were calculated as percentage from the completely degraded matrix (100%) and were reported as mean of three independent experiments ± SD. A Col matrix processed in the same conditions served as control.

2.6. In Vitro Cytotoxicity Tests

2.6.1. Cell Culture. Mouse fibroblasts from NCTC clone L929 cell line were grown in T75 flasks in MEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% mixture of antibiotics. The culture was maintained in an incubator with humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air, at 37°C. For all experiments, cells were harvested from subconfluent cultures using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution and were resuspended in fresh serum supplemented MEM before plating.

2.6.2. Indirect Cytotoxicity Test. Cell suspension was seeded at a density of 5 × 104 cells/well, in 24-well culture plates, and plates were incubated in 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere, at 37°C, for 18 h, to allow cell adhesion. Then, sterile samples of Col-L-CS matrix and L-CS suspension were added in the culture medium to give a final CS concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. Plates were incubated at 37°C, in standard conditions of cultivation, for 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h, respectively. Cell viability was assessed by MTT assay, and cell morphology was observed by light microscopy, as described below.

The effect of possible toxic compounds released from the samples on the mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenases activity was evaluated using MTT assay, as previously described [25]. Briefly, the culture medium was replaced with fresh medium containing MTT solution, in a 10:1 (v/v) ratio, after each period of incubation, and the plates were incubated at 37°C, for 3 h. Then, 500 μL of isopropanol was added to each well to dissolve the formazan crystals by gently being shaken on a platform, for 3 h. The colored solution was transferred to a 96-well plate and the OD was read at 570 nm, using a Sunrise microplate reader (Tecan, Austria). The measured OD is directly proportional to cell viability and the results were calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{Cell viability (\%)} = \frac{\text{OD}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{OD}_{\text{negative control}}} \times 100. \]

The cells cultured in complete culture medium served as negative control, while cells treated with 50 μM hydrogen peroxide served as positive control. A Col matrix and CS solution were also used as controls. Three separate experiments were conducted and the results were expressed as mean ± SD.

For cell morphology observations, the medium was discarded after 72 h of cultivation and the cells were fixed in methanol and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E). The
cultures were photographed at a Zeiss AxioStar Plus microscope equipped with a digital camera driven by AxioVision 4.6 software (Carl Zeiss, Germany).

2.6.3. Direct Cytotoxicity Test. Sterile samples of Col-L-CS matrix (0.5 × 0.5 × 0.5 cm\(^3\)) were placed in the wells of a 24-well culture plate. L929 mouse fibroblast cell suspension (200 μL) containing 1 × 10\(^6\) cells was injected into each sample, followed by incubation for 2 h. Then, 500 μL MEM supplemented with 10% FCS was added into each well to cover the matrix, and the plate was incubated in standard conditions, 5% CO\(_2\)-95% air, at 37°C, for 72 h.

For histological evaluation, the cell-matrix constructs were fixed in 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Transverse sections of 6 μm were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E).

For ultrastructural observation, the constructs were fixed in 2% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in PBS, pH 7.4, at 4°C, for 2 h, dehydrated in serial concentrations of ethanol, transverse cut, and mounted and visualized in the low vacuum mode at a FEI Quanta 400 ESEM (Philips, The Netherlands), at a voltage of 25 kV.

2.7. In Vitro Diffusion Test. In vitro diffusion of the entrapped CS through the dialysis membrane was assessed as previously described [26]. Briefly, the determination was comparatively carried out by placing a known quantity of L-CS suspension and equivalent amount of Col-L-CS into separate dialysis tubes (molecular weight cut-off 12,000 Da), sealed at both ends. They were placed in test tubes containing 10 mL PBS, pH 7.4, and were gently shaken at 60 rpm, in a thermostated water bath at 35°C, for 72 h. Aliquots of 300 μL solution were withdrawn, at different intervals between 0 and 72 h, and replaced with fresh PBS. The amount of CS released from liposomal formulations was determined using Blyscan kit. Three separate experiments were conducted and the results were reported as mean ± SD.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. Data were expressed as mean value ± SD for three independent samples (n = 3). Statistical analysis of the data was performed using the one-tailed paired Student’s t-test, on each pair of interest. Differences were considered statistically significant at \(P < 0.05\), as a minimal level of significance.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Preparation and Structural Characterization of Col-L-CS. In the first stage, we prepared liposomal vesicles encapsulating CS and carried out DLS measurements of their size and polydispersity. The results for size distribution in the population of L-CS indicated a mean diameter of 523.83 nm ± 10.2, for a PI value of 0.40. CS encapsulation efficiency in the lipid vesicles was high (68.2% ± 5.6), probably due to an ionic attraction between O-sulfate groups of encapsulated CS and the amino end of the cationic lipid SA present in the lipid bilayer.

Col type I was obtained using an enzymatic method to remove the nonhelical ends (telopeptides) of the triple helix region to result in a nonimmunogenic polymer of 308 kDa, similar to the molecular weight of tropocollagen (300 kDa), as we previously showed [21].

Col-L-CS matrix delivery system obtained by freeze-drying a mixture of Col type I gel and L-CS suspension had the macroscopic appearance presented in Figures 1(a) and 1(b). The distribution of L-CS vesicles within the 3D porous matrix was histochemically investigated using the lipid specific Oil Red O staining (Figure 1(c)). The micrographs showed that L-CS occurred separately or in groups along COL fibrils.

The ultrastructure of the surface (Figure 2(a)) and transverse cross-section (Figure 2(b)) of COL-L-CS observed by SEM presented an alveolar network of COL fibrils with heterogeneous sized pores, compared to the honeycomb appearance of Col matrix (Figure 2(c)). Varying amounts of L-CS white deposits decorated in a greater extent the matrix surface than its center (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)).

Previous reports using TEM imaging by freeze-fracture sample preparation revealed L entrapped in a COL gel; at high magnification, Col fibrils attached to the lipid bilayer of the vesicles were observed [27]. Many studies investigated the effect of Col type I solution on L with different composition (PC, Chol, or lecithin), charge (neutral, positive, or negative), type (SUV or MLV), and their complex interaction. Biophysical studies on Col-L interaction demonstrated that Col could...
Figure 2: SEM micrographs showing a high amount of L-CS (white dots) distributed on Col-L-CS matrix surface (a) and L-CS (white dots) sparsely disposed on inner COL fibrils of Col-L-CS matrix transverse section (b). SEM micrograph of Col matrix transverse section ultrastructure (c).

Table 1: The porosity, density, mean pore size, and biodegradability of Col-L-CS matrix delivery system compared to Col matrix. Data were calculated as mean ± SD (n = 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Mean pore size (µm)</th>
<th>Biodegradability (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-L-CS</td>
<td>93.22 ± 7.41</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.11</td>
<td>231.41 ± 35.53</td>
<td>14.56 ± 3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col (control)</td>
<td>97.53 ± 8.72</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.09</td>
<td>137.52 ± 30.92</td>
<td>24.51 ± 5.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05, compared to Col control matrix.

either form a protein layer at the liposomal surface, or it could be incorporated within the lipid bilayer via its hydrophobic residues [28]. It was also demonstrated that Col coating of lipid vesicles improved their chemical stability, had no effect on their fluidity, and decreased their permeability, due to electrostatic forces between positive charges of Col and the phospholipids from charged liposomes [29–31].

The porosity and density of Col-L-CS matrix are presented in Table 1. The calculated values (93.2% and 1.31 g/cm³, resp.) were similar to those of COL matrix, indicating that L-CS were tightly attached to Col fibrils, without modifying the porosity of the network. The microporous structure of Col-L-CS had uneven pore sizes, varying between 50 and 255 µm. The mean pore size calculated for Col-L-CS (231.41 µm) was significantly higher (P < 0.05) compared to that of Col matrix (137.52 µm) (Table 1).

The porosity and pore size of 3D matrices are important structural characteristics for their medical use as temporary scaffolds. The porosity of a collagenic matrix could be affected by the extraction parameters, such as solvent/enzyme type and pH, on one side, and by the processing parameters, such as freezing temperature during lyophilization, on the other side [32]. Previous studies showed that the final pore size of a lyophilized matrix could be controlled by varying the freezing temperature in the range of −20°C to −196°C; namely, the lower the temperature, the smaller the pore size [33]. Recent reviews reported that polymeric scaffolds with pore size range between 50 and 200 µm provided a favorable cell infiltration, adhesion, and proliferation, initiating new extracellular matrix secretion at the damaged site [34]. At the same time, they could also facilitate the release of loaded biofactors [35]. In our study, Col-L-CS matrix had a high value of porosity (>90%) and mean pore size around 200 µm, which could favor tissue regeneration.

3.2. Biodegradability of Col-L-CS Matrix. The biodegradability is an important parameter for characterizing a medical device intended for transport and release of bioactive molecules. In our study, the results of biodegradability test in TES buffer showed that Col-L-CS matrix was stable (14.56%),
while in the presence of collagenase, the matrix was partially degraded (34.95%), after 6 h of incubation (Table 1). It was observed that Col-L-CS was significantly less degraded ($P < 0.05$) than Col control, using in situ mimicking conditions. The results indicated a good candidate for both controlled CS release and cell infiltration into the matrix. It is possible that the attachment and interaction between L and Col could increase Co resistance to solubilization by partially hindering the site of collagenase action, namely, the amino bond of glycine in the specific sequence X-Gly-Pro. Other porous matrices based on Col showed their metabolic stability and active role in articular cartilage tissue engineering [36].

### 3.3. In Vitro Cytotoxicity of Col-L-CS

According to the International Standard ISO 10993-5, there are several specific methods to analyze the cytotoxicity of medical devices, which involve different aspects of cell function, like cell viability and proliferation, loss of membrane integrity, cell adhesion, and morphology. In our study, we used two in vitro models, one for indirect cytotoxicity testing by cultivation of Col-L-CS samples in the culture medium of L929 cells and one for direct cytotoxicity testing by cell cultivation after injection in Col-L-CS matrix, for 72 h. In the first model, we analyzed the cells for viability by MTT assay and morphology by H&E staining. In the second model, we analyzed the cell-matrix construct by light and electron microscopy.

#### 3.3.1. Indirect Cytotoxicity

Cell viability was quantified by MTT test, indicator of living cells metabolism, in particular, of mitochondrial enzymes activity. We compared the cytotoxicity of Col-L-CS matrix to that of L-CS, Col alone, and free CS cultivated in the same conditions. MTT results presented in Figure 3 showed that the percentage of viable cells varied between 124.82% in Col-L-CS group and 90.16% in CS group, after 72 h of cultivation, indicating that all tested samples were not cytotoxic, according to ISO 10993-5. Moreover, the values were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than in untreated cells (negative control), excepting CS group, Col matrix at 24 h, and L-CS at 72 h. Statistic examination of the 72 h records for each sample-control pair showed that the viability of L929 fibroblasts was similar in Col-L-CS group to that of Col group (no statistical significance, $P > 0.05$). The values were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in Col-L-CS group than in CS group, at 72 h of cultivation. L-CS group had also significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) values of cell viability compared to CS group, at 72 h of cultivation, but significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) than Col-L-CS group. These results indicated that Col-L-CS matrix had the ability to stimulate L929 fibroblast cell metabolism.

Cell morphology was observed at the membrane, cytoplasm, and nucleus level after specific staining. Representative light micrographs of cells cultivated in the presence of studied samples (Col-L-CS, Col, L-CS, and CS) for 72 h are shown in Figure 4. The matrices floated in the culture medium without contact with the adhered cell layer. Cells cultivated in the presence of Col-L-CS matrix showed the same morphology as untreated cells (control), with a spindle shape morphology, clear cytoplasm, and euchromatic nuclei with 1-2 nucleoli. The cell density reached an almost complete monolayer after 72 h of cultivation. Also, fibroblast cells cultivated with Col matrix maintained their specific morphology. In the presence of L-CS and CS solutions, cells with normal morphology were observed, but with a slightly lower density than that of Col-L-CS treated cells.

There was a good correlation between the morphological observations and the quantitative data of cell viability. Both methods indicated that Col-L-CS was a biocompatible matrix that could stimulate cell growth and metabolism in a higher degree than the therapeutic agent CS.

#### 3.3.2. Direct Cytotoxicity

The effect of Col-L-CS matrix on the viability and distribution of cells injected and cultivated in direct contact was analyzed by SEM and light microscopy observations. SEM micrographs showed that a high number of cell groups were distributed on the matrix surface, after 72 h of cultivation (Figure 5(a)). Histological staining of cell-matrix construct showed that the cells clustered into the pores and presented normal morphology (Figure 5(b)). Moreover, the cells were located along Col fibrils. All these microscopy observations indicated that Col-L-CS porous matrix promoted cell encapsulation and clustering within its alveolar structure.

Previous studies reported that a Col type I gel was very efficient in filling small cartilage defects, either as cell-free matrix or cell carrier and Col-based matrices which promoted in vitro migration and proliferation of chondrocytes [37]. Col-GAG lyophilized scaffolds were fabricated for bone tissue repair, and certain pore sizes induced osteogenic behavior of different cell types [32]. Also, Col-GAG scaffolds seeded with keratinocytes led to regeneration of both dermis and epidermis [34]. Col is known as a matrixcellular protein
Figure 4: Light micrographs of L929 cells cultivated with Col-L-CS and Col matrices and L-CS and CS solutions, in standard conditions, for 72 h, showing specific fibroblast morphology and a good cytocompatibility of the samples. Untreated cells and cells treated with 50 μM H$_2$O$_2$ were used as controls (H&E staining) (bar = 10 μm).

Figure 5: SEM micrograph presenting a high number of cell groups (arrows) on Col-L-CS matrix surface, after 72 h of cultivation (a). Histological observation of Col-L-CS matrix showing cell colonization (arrows) within the pores, after 72 h of cultivation (b) (H&E staining).

acting as cellular signaling molecule via ligands in the form of Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) binding sequences [38]. In our study, the enzymatically prepared Col type I preserved its triple helical conformation that it is known to promote integrin-mediated cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation [39]. Based on its structural characteristics and functional properties, we consider that this Col-L-CS hydrophilic matrix might be a useful scaffold for initiating tissue regeneration.

3.4. In Vitro CS Release. The profile of CS release from Col-L-CS matrix delivery system was studied in physiological conditions (PBS, pH 7.4, 37°C), comparatively to its liposomal formulation, L-CS, as presented in Figure 6. Both samples gradually released CS, but not to a constant rate. In the first 360 min (stage I), CS release profiles had the highest slope, while a smaller profile slope was observed in the second stage between 360 and 1800 min (II). The third stage (III) almost reached a plateau and presented the highest difference between the two profiles. The data showed that Col-L-CS matrix system presented a significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) quantity of CS released than L-CS, after 4320 min of incubation. After the first day of incubation, only 33% CS was released by the matrix delivery system, compared to 57% CS released by the liposomal formulation. At the end of the incubation period, 55% CS was released from Col-L-CS matrix and 93% CS was released from L-CS formulation. These results indicated that embedment of L-CS within Col matrix significantly decreased ($P < 0.05$) the released CS quantity, even after 120 min of incubation (1.76% from Col-L-CS and 12.14% from L-CS).

Previous studies showed that drug liposomal formulations provided greater solubility, longer circulation times, focused delivery, and better therapeutic index, compared to drug free form [40]. Our observations on Col-L-CS matrix delivery system supported the reports on interaction between the lipid bilayer and Col fibrils that could offer both a better stability and a lower permeability of L [30, 31]. On the
other side, the structural parameters of the matrix (porosity, biodegradability) could further modulate the drug release process. These factors and their complex interrelation might provide a better controlled release of drug from Col-L-CS compared to L-CS. The proposed DDS improved CS diffusion rate and, thus, could lead to a sustained delivery at the injured site and prolongation of its action duration. In turn, orally administered CS analysis over 48 h showed a maximum drug concentration (22%) in the first 2–6 h, indicating poor drug bioavailability at the injured site [41].

4. Conclusion

A 3D porous matrix of Col embedding the liposomal formulation of CS was prepared by freeze-drying and was physico-chemically and biologically characterized. Its morphological appearance was similar to that of Col scaffold, presenting a network of interconnected Col fibrils unevenly covered with white deposits of L-CS. The porosity and density were similar to those of Col control scaffold, but its biodegradability was significantly lower ($P < 0.05$). In vitro cytotoxicity tests highlighted Col-L-CS advantage over CS alone, namely, a significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) cytocompatibility in L929 cell culture and cell metabolism stimulation. Due to cell encapsulation facility, Col-L-CS might also exert a potential regenerative action at the site of inflammation, unlike L-CS delivery system. CS release was better controlled from Col-L-CS matrix, compared to the liposomal formulation, L-CS. In conclusion, Col-L-CS matrix system reported here could have potential use in local delivery of therapeutic agents. Furthermore, investigations are required to confirm its in vitro and in vivo anti-inflammatory and regenerative capacity.
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