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Surface-Initiated Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization of Magnetite Nanoparticles with Statistical Poly(tert-butyl acrylate)-poly(poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate) Copolymers
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This work presented the surface modification of magnetite nanoparticle (MNP) with poly[(t-butyl acrylate)-stat-(poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate)] copolymers (P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA]) via a surface-initiated “grafting from” atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP). Loading molar ratio of t-BA to PEGMA was systematically varied (100:0, 75:25, 50:50, and 25:75, resp.) such that the degree of hydrophilicity of the copolymers, affecting the particle dispersibility in water, can be fine-tuned. The reaction progress in each step of the synthesis was monitored via Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The studies in the reaction kinetics indicated that PEGMA had higher reactivity than that of t-BA in the copolymerizations. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) indicated that the molecular weights of the copolymers increased with the increase of the monomer conversion. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealed that the particles were spherical with averaged size of 8.1 nm in diameter. Dispersibility of the particles in water was apparently improved when the copolymers were coated as compared to P(t-BA) homopolymer coating. The percentages of MNP and the copolymer in the composites were determined via thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and their magnetic properties were investigated via vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM).

1. Introduction

Magnetite nanoparticle (MNP, Fe₃O₄) has been extensively studied over the past decade for uses in various biomedical applications including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast enhancement [1–6], targeted drug delivery [7–10], and hyperthermia [11–16]. However, because of their large surface area-to-volume ratio, these particles tend to agglomerate into large clusters and lose the specific properties associated with their initial nanometer dimensions [17]. Therefore, their surfaces must be coated with organic polymers [18–21] or inorganic materials [22–24] to obtain necessary stability for use in various applications. There are two approaches typically employed for surface modification of MNP with polymers. One is a “grafting to” method, involving the reaction of reactive functional groups in the polymer with complementary active groups on the particle surface [25, 26]. In general, the grafting density of “grafted to” polymer chains is limited because of the steric hindrance effect. Another method is a “grafting from” approach, involving the use of active species on the surface to initiate polymerization and usually resulting in polymers with high grafting density [17, 27].

The recent progress in polymerization methods gives rise to the molecular design and synthesis of well-defined polymers
by controlled/living radical polymerizations (CRPs), including nitroxide-mediated radical polymerization (NMRP) [28], reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerizations [29], and atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) [30, 31]. Among these methods, ATRP has become one of the most successful methods for polymerization and block copolymerization of a wide range of monomers in a controlled manner. Therefore, ATRP was widely employed to modify MNP surface with well-controlled polymer because of the facile functionalization of MNP with ATRP initiating species (alkyl halides) for a surface-initiated controlled polymerization [32–34].

In the current work, we focused on the surface modification of MNP with poly[(t-butyl acrylate)-stat-(poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate)] copolymers (P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA]) with varied monomer loading ratios (100:0, 75:25, 50:50, and 25:75, resp.) via ATRP using a “grafting from” technique (Figure 1). It was hypothesized that the hydrophilic PEGMA in the P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymers allowed the particles to well disperse in aqueous media, which is a minimum requirement for biomedical applications, while the t-BA groups can be cleaved in acidic dispersion to obtain the carboxylate groups on the particle surface. These negatively charged carboxylate groups serve as additional electrostatic stabilization to the particles and also allow for further functionalization with various bioentities, such as peptide, protein, DNA, or drugs. The analysis of the MNPs with carboxylate-enriched surface and their use as nanosupports for coupling with bioentities are underway and will be discussed in the future report. In the present report, the initiator for ATRP was first covalently bound onto the surface of the particles through the combination of a ligand exchange reaction and condensation of triethoxysilane to obtain ATRP initiating sites on their surface. Then, the surface-initiated ATRP of P(t-BA) homopolymer and P(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA copolymer mediated by a copper complex was carried out. Kinetic studies were performed to reveal the reactivities of t-BA and PEGMA in the copolymerization. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) was also conducted to investigate the change of the molecular weights of the copolymers as a function of time. The particle size and size distribution of the copolymer-MNP composites were evidenced using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The magnetic properties of the composites were also investigated via vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were used without further purification: iron(III) acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)₃), 99% (Aldrich), benzyl alcohol, 98% (UNILAB), oleic acid (Fluka), ethyl-2-bromoisobutyrate (EBIB), 98% (Aldrich), 2-bromoisobutyryl bromide (BIBB), 98% (Acros), 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APS), 99% (Acros), triethylamine (TEA), 97% (Carl Roth), copper(II) bromide (CuBr₂), 99.99% (Aldrich), and N,N,N′,N,N′,N′-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA), 99% (Acros). Poly(ethylene glycol)methyl methacrylate (PEGMA, Mₐ = 300 g/mol) (Aldrich) was used after removing inhibitors by a column filtration through basic and then neutral alumina and stored at −4°C until used. tert-Butyl acrylate (t-BA, 99% stabilized) (Acros) was stirred overnight over CaH₂ and distilled under reduced pressure prior to use. 2-Bromo-2-methyl-N-(3-(trithiyloxyisilyl)) propyl)propanamide (BTPAm) was prepared according to the previously reported procedure and the details of the syntheses are provided in the supporting information [35] in the Supplementary Material available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/121369. Toluene, 1,4-dioxane, and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) (UNILAB) were used after distillation over CaH₂.

2.2. Characterization. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H NMR) spectra were obtained from a Bruker AC 200-MHz spectrometer using CDCl₃ as a solvent. Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) experiments were performed on a Perkin-Elmer Model 1600 Series FTIR Spectrophotometer. The samples were prepared by mixing dried solid samples with KBr. The molecular weights and molecular weight distributions were measured by GPC (Spectra System ASI000 autosampler) using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as a solvent and polystyrene standard. Magnetic properties of the particles were determined using a Standard 7403 Series at Lakeshore VSM. Samples for TEM analysis were prepared by drop casting the samples onto a carbon-coated copper grid and the images were taken using a Philips Tecnai 12 operated at 120 kV equipped with a Gatan model 782 CCD camera. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on SDTA 851 Mettler-Toledo at the temperature ranging between 25 and 600°C at a heating rate of 20°C/min under oxygen atmosphere.

2.3. Syntheses

2.3.1. Synthesis of MNP Immobilized with ATRP Initiators (BTPAm-Immobilized MNP). BTPAm-immobilized MNP was synthesized via a three-step reaction: (1) synthesis of MNP core, (2) immobilizing the MNP with oleic acid, and (3) immobilizing BTPAm onto the oleic acid-immobilized MNP. MNP was prepared via a thermal decomposition reaction of Fe(acac)₃ (5 g, 14.05 mmol) in benzyl alcohol (90 mL) [36]. The mixture was set at 180°C for 48 h with nitrogen flow. After the reaction, the precipitant was removed from the dispersion using an external magnet and washed with ethanol and CH₂Cl₂ repeatedly to remove benzyl alcohol and then dried under reduced pressure. To prepare oleic acid-immobilized MNP, MNP dispersion (0.8 g of dried MNP in 30 mL of toluene) was sonicated for 1 h followed by dropwise additions of oleic acid (4 mL) into the dispersion and sonicated for 3 h under nitrogen atmosphere. To immobilize BTPAm onto the MNP surface, the oleic acid-immobilized MNP dispersed in toluene was mixed with BTPAm using TEA and the reaction was carried out at room temperature for 24 h under nitrogen atmosphere. The dispersion was precipitated in methanol and washed with toluene to remove oleic acid and ungrafted BTPAm from the dispersion.
Figure 1: Surface modification of MNP with P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymer via a surface-initiated "grafting from" ATRP reaction.
2.3.2. Surface-Initiated ATRP of P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] Copolymers on MNP. In the present work, MNP coated with P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymers with various molar ratios of each composition (100:0, 75:25, 50:50, and 25:75 molar ratios of t-BA/PEGMA, resp.) was prepared. An example for the reaction loaded with 50:50 molar ratio of t-BA/PEGMA was explained. Other copolymer-MNP composites were prepared in a similar fashion with appropriate amounts of reagents used. In the typical procedure, BTPAm-immobilized MNP (0.1 g), t-BA (1.45 mL, 0.01 mol), PEGMA (2.86 mL, 0.01 mol), and EBiB (0.03 mL, 0.0002 mol), used as a sacrificial initiator, were mixed in 1,4-dioxane (2.5 mL, 60% w/v) by ultrasonication for 15 min. Then, the mixture was degassed by ultrasonication for 10 min, followed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. PMDETA (0.04 mL, 0.0002 mol), CuBr (0.03 g, 0.01 mol), and DMF (0.22 mL, 5% v/v), used as an internal standard, were added into the solution under nitrogen atmosphere, and it was then stirred at 90°C at a given time to initiate the ATRP reactions. The (co)polymer-MNP composite was removed from the dispersion by precipitation in diethyl ether, centrifugation (35,000 rpm for 10 min), and magnetic separation and finally it was dried under reduced pressure.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis of MNP Immobilized with the ATRP Initiator (BTPAm-Imobilized MNP). To prepare MNP, Fe(acac)$_3$ was used as iron precursor and benzyl alcohol as both reducing agent and reaction solvent. Fe$^{3+}$ of Fe(acac)$_3$ was partially reduced to Fe$^{2+}$ by hydroxyl groups of benzyl alcohol to obtain the crystal structure of magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$ or Fe$_2$O$_3$-FeO) [36]. During this process, the initial red-brown complex of Fe(acac)$_3$ changed to black upon heating to 180°C for 48 h, indicating the formation of MNP. After the purification process, FTIR showed a characteristic absorption peak of the Fe-O bond at 578 cm$^{-1}$ (in the supporting information). According to TEM, the particle size was in the range of 5–11 nm with the average of 7.78 ± 1.58 nm in diameter.

Bare MNP was then immobilized with oleic acid to form well-dispersible MNP in toluene. BTPAm, an ATRP initiator, was immobilized onto the oleic acid-immobilized MNP through the combination of a ligand exchange reaction and condensation of triethoxysilane to obtain the particles with ATRP initiators on their surface. Figure 2(A) exhibits FTIR characteristic absorption peaks of BTPAm-immobilized MNP: 1648 cm$^{-1}$ (-NH-CO- carboxyl stretching), 1111–1019 cm$^{-1}$ (Si-O stretching), 1529 cm$^{-1}$ (N-H bending), and 3341 cm$^{-1}$ (N-H stretching). In combination with a strong and broad signal of Fe-O bonds (578 cm$^{-1}$), this showed that BTPAm was immobilized to the MNP surface.

3.2. Surface-Initiated ATRP of P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] Copolymers on MNP. BTPAm immobilized on the particle surface was thought to be able to trigger ATRP reaction. Because the polymers grafted on the particle surface were not detectable via an NMR technique due to inherent magnetic properties of MNP, utilization of EBiB as a "sacrificial initiator" was employed to monitor the reaction progress. The conversion versus time plots and ln([M$_0$]/[M]) versus time plots of ATRP of P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymer were determined from the free polymers via $^1$H NMR.

Figure 2 shows FTIR spectra of the copolymer-coated MNP having the molar ratio of 100:0, 75:25, 50:50, and 25:75 of t-BA/PEGMA, respectively (Figures 2(D)–2(G)). FTIR spectra of BTPAm-immobilized MNP, t-BA monomer, and PEGMA oligomer were also provided for comparison in Figures 2(A)–2(C). The success of the grafting reaction of the copolymers onto the particle surface was signified by the presence of the sharp and strong signals of ester groups of PEGMA and t-BA repeating units: ~1726 cm$^{-1}$ (C=O) and 1147–1100 cm$^{-1}$ (C-O stretching) (Figures 2(D)–2(G)). In addition, the symmetric vibration of t-butyl groups (C-H bending) in P(t-BA) as indicated by a doublet ranging between 1390 and 1365 cm$^{-1}$ was clearly observed in the spectra. In all cases, a broad and characteristic signal of Fe-O bonds from the MNP cores was obviously apparent (~587 cm$^{-1}$).

Kinetic studies of ATRP of P(t-BA) homopolymer (100:0 of t-BA/PEGMA) were plotted as a function of the reaction time to show that the reaction was initially fast and its propagation rate decreased after 8 h of the reaction (480 min) (Figure 3(a)). The polymerization was the first-order kinetics during the first 8 h of the reaction (76% conversion after 8 h reaction), indicating a constant concentration of active radical species. The rate of monomer conversion started to deviate from linearity at higher monomer conversion (after 8 h reaction), indicating the presence of irreversible terminations.

In the case of the copolymerizations, the percent monomer conversions of the reactions having different molar ratios of t-BA/PEGMA were determined from $^1$H NMR spectra using the similar procedure to those of the P(t-BA) homopolymer. Figures 3(b)–3(d) show the individual monomer conversion and the first-order kinetics plots of the copolymerization having various monomer molar ratios in feed. From these three copolymerizations, it can be seen that t-BA and PEGMA were consumed rapidly at the beginning period and slow down at the ending period. It was apparent that PEGMA was consumed more quickly than t-BA as indicated by the higher monomer conversion of PEGMA than that of t-BA at the same reaction time. For example, in the copolymerization with 50:50 molar ratio of t-BA/PEGMA, the conversion of PEGMA was 88%, while that of t-BA was only 64% at 180 min of the reaction (Figure 3(c)). When the reaction was prolonged, the monomer consumption deviated from linearity, indicating that the rate of the ATRP was not directly proportional to the monomer concentration. This was again probably due to the premature termination of active radicals during the copolymerization due to the decrease of the monomer concentration. This phenomenon was confirmed by the rather broad PDI for a controlled radical polymerization when the reaction time was extended (Table I).

In addition, it was also observed that increasing PEGMA molar ratio in the dispersions seemed to promote the rate
Figure 2: FTIR spectra of (A) BTPAm-immobilized MNP, (B) t-BA monomer, (C) PEGMA oligomer, and the copolymer-coated MNPs having (D) 100:0, (E) 75:25, (F) 50:50, and (G) 25:75 molar ratios of t-BA/PEGMA.

Table 1: The monomer conversion, $M_n$, and PDI of P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymer (50/50 molar ratio) using 50/50/1/1/1 molar ratio of [t-BA]₀/[PEGMA]₀/[EBiB]₀/[CuBr]₀/[PMDETA]₀ in 1,4-dioxane at 90°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Reaction time</th>
<th>% conversion a</th>
<th>$M_n$ b (exp) (g/mol)</th>
<th>$M_n$ c (theo) (g/mol)</th>
<th>PDI b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5,898</td>
<td>5,655</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13,604</td>
<td>9,788</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>18,300</td>
<td>14,968</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>21,419</td>
<td>18,564</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a It is determined via $^1$H NMR (monomer depletion monitored relative to DMF signal, which was used as an internal standard).

b It is measured via GPC (calibrated with polystyrene standard).

c $M_{n,meas} = ([t-BA]₀/[EBiB]₀ × conv_{t-BA} × M_{t-BA}) + ([PEGMA]₀/[EBiB]₀ × conv_{PEGMA} × M_{PEGMA})$.
Figure 3: The percent conversion versus time plots and ln([M₀]/[M]) versus time plots of ATRP of the copolymers having (a) 100/0, (b) 75/25, (c) 50/50, and (d) 25/75 molar ratio of t-BA/PEGMA, respectively; t-BA (▲, △) and PEGMA (■, □).

Figure 4: GPC chromatograms showing molecular weight distributions of P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymers with 50:50 molar ratio after (A) 2 h, (B) 45 min, and (C) 15 min of the ATRP reactions.

of the ATRP reactions. For example, the copolymer with 25% PEGMA loaded (75:25 ratio of t-BA/PEGMA) reached 58% PEGMA conversions after 2 h of the reaction. When PEGMA content in the copolymerization increased to 50% (50:50 ratio of t-BA/PEGMA) and 75% (25:75 ratio of t-BA/PEGMA), the PEGMA conversions were 78% and 91%, respectively, at the same reaction time (2 h). The accelerated rate of the monomer conversion was also observed in P(t-BA) when increasing the PEGMA percentage in the copolymerization reaction. This was attributed to the increase in the solution polarity due to the increment of the PEGMA concentration in the mixture, resulting in the improvement in the copolymer solubility in 1,4-dioxane, the reaction solvent, and thus facilitating the reaction progress.
Table 2: Reaction time, conversions, and the compositions of P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of copolymer</th>
<th>Reaction time (min)</th>
<th>% conversion&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>% in the copolymer&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t-BA/PEGMA 100:0</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-BA/PEGMA 75:25</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>66, 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-BA/PEGMA 50:50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-BA/PEGMA 25:75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>22, 78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conversions were calculated from <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

<sup>b</sup>%P(t-BA) in the copolymer = [%Conv<sub>t-BA</sub> × %feed<sub>t-BA</sub>] / [%Conv<sub>t-BA</sub> × %feed<sub>t-BA</sub> + %Conv<sub>PEGMA</sub> × %feed<sub>PEGMA</sub>]. % PEGMA in the copolymer was calculated in a similar fashion.

![Figure 5: TEM images of (a) BTPAm-immobilized MNP and (b) P(t-BA)-coated MNP prepared from toluene dispersions and TEM images of (c) P(t-BA)-coated MNP and (d) P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA]-coated MNP (50:50 molar ratio) prepared from aqueous dispersions.](image)

Table 1 reports <sup>1</sup>M<sub>n</sub> and PDI of P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymer (50:50 molar ratio) at four different time intervals during the ATRP copolymerization. It was found that <sup>1</sup>M<sub>n</sub> gradually increased as the monomer conversions increased, indicating the growth of the copolymer chains. <sup>1</sup>M<sub>n</sub> calculated from <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy agreed well with those obtained from GPC, indicating the shift of <sup>1</sup>M<sub>n</sub> toward higher values when increasing reaction time (Figure 4). These <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra are provided in the supporting information. The experimental <sup>1</sup>M<sub>n</sub> obtained from GPC experiments at different time intervals was comparable to the theoretical <sup>1</sup>M<sub>n</sub> calculated using the equation shown in the footnote of Table 1.

Table 2 summarizes the reaction time, percent conversion, and the estimated composition of each copolymer. The ATRP reactions were ceased at a given time corresponding to the selected percent conversion (Figure 3). These selected conversions were based on the fact that their conversions were less than 65% in order to lessen feasible reaction termination due to the depletion of the monomer concentration in the mixture. The copolymer compositions were calculated from the feed composition of the monomers, taking its percent conversion into account. Percent PEGMA in the copolymers was calculated in a similar fashion and the results were shown in Table 2. It was found that t-BA/PEGMA molar ratios in the copolymers were comparable to the feed compositions. Interestingly, the percentage of PEGMA in the copolymers was found to be slightly higher than its percent feeding in every composition. This result agrees well with the conversion versus time plots (Figure 3) indicating the higher reaction reactivity of PEGMA than that of t-BA. These copolymers would be then used for TEM, TGA, and VSM studies.

3.3. Properties of the MNP and the Polymer–MNP Composites. TEM images of BTPAm-immobilized MNP (from toluene), P(t-BA)-coated MNP (from toluene and water), and P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA]-coated MNP (50:50 molar ratio) (from water) are shown in Figure 5. The particle size was in the range of 5–11 nm with the average of 8.1 nm in diameter,
Table 3: Percentages of MNP and the copolymers in each composite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of composite</th>
<th>% char yield \textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>% in the composite MNP</th>
<th>MNP</th>
<th>BTPAm</th>
<th>The copolymer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bare MNP</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTPAm-immobilized MNP</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA]-coated MNP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100:0)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(75:25)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50:50)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25:75)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}It is determined via TGA technique.

regardless of the dispersing media. BTPAm-immobilized MNP and P(t-BA)-coated MNP were well dispersed in toluene due to the presence of hydrophobic BTPAm and P(t-BA) coating on the particle surface (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). Interestingly, P(t-BA)-coated MNP apparently aggregated when dispersed from aqueous media due to the hydrophobic P(t-BA) coating (Figure 5(c)). Dispersibility of the particles in water seemed to be much improved when P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymers were used for the coating due to the increase in polarity of the coated polymer as compared to P(t-BA) homopolymer (Figure 5(d)).

TGA studies were carried out to investigate the mass loss of the organic components in the polymer-MNP composites. The MNP in each step of the reaction showed the distinctive TGA curves, giving rise to the information of the amounts of BTPAm, the copolymers in the coated MNP (Figure 6). The slight loss in mass of bare MNP was probably due to the remaining benzyl alcohol used as the reaction solvent in the MNP preparation step. Using an assumption that % char yield was the weight of iron oxide in the form of magnetite remaining at 600°C, the weight loss of the surface-modified MNPs was thus attributed to the decomposition of organic components including BTPAm and the polymers that were coated to the particle surface. Hence, percent char yield of bare MNP and BTPAm-immobilized MNP were first determined to obtain the percentage of BTPAm in the composites, followed by the polymer-MNP composites. According to the TGA results, the percentage of BTPAm in the composites was about 5–7 wt%, and the percentages of the copolymers in the composites ranged between 23% and 36%, and those of MNPs were in the range of 59–71%. The percentages of MNPs and the polymers in each composite are shown in Table 3.

Magnetic properties of the MNP composites were investigated via VSM technique. \(M-H\) curves of bare MNP, BTPAm-immobilized MNP, and the MNP coated with P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymer (50:50 molar ratio) were presented in Figure 7. They showed superparamagnetic behavior at room temperature as indicated by the absence of magnetic remanence \((M_r)\) and coercivity \((H_c)\) upon removing an external magnetic field. According to the results in Figure 7, the decrease of saturation magnetization \((M_s)\) from 56 emu/g of bare MNP to 54 of BTPAm-immobilized MNP was attributed to the existence of a thin layer of BTPAm on the
particle surface, resulting in the decrease of the percentage of MNP core in the composite. Similarly, the $M_t$ values of the MNP coated with the copolymer were much lower than those of bare MNP, which was again attributed to the decrease in MNP content in the composites because of the presence of the polymeric surfactant on their surface [35]. Increasing the reaction time from 15 min to 120 min further decreased the $M_t$ values of the particles (35–50 emu/g) due to the increase in polymer percentages in the composites, resulting in the decrease in their MNP compositions and a drop of magnetic sensitivity.

4. Conclusions

This work presented a "grafting from" method to modify MNP surface with P[(t-BA)-stat-PEGMA] copolymer via ATRP reactions. The systematic adjustment of molar ratio of t-BA to PEGMA in the loading was carried out in the will of fine tuning of water dispersibility of the copolymer-coated MNP. The reaction reactivity of PEGMA in the ATRP reactions was higher than that of t-BA. Increasing PEGMA composition in the copolymerization seemed to promote the rate of the ATRP reaction under the condition used in this work (1:1 CuBr : PMDETA mol : mol in 1,4-dioxane at 90°C). $M_t$ gradually increased as the monomer conversions increased, indicating the steady growth of the copolymer chains in a controllable fashion. TEM indicated that the particles were well dispersible in water due to the presence of hydrophilic PEGMA in the copolymers as compared to those coating with P(t-BA) homopolymer. There were about 23–36% of the polymers and 59–71% of MNP cores in the copolymer-MNP composites. After the surface modification, they retained the superparamagnetic properties with the decrease in magnetic responsiveness due to the presence of the copolymers.
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