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Fluorescence intensity ratio (FIR) technique, based on the thermal coupling of \textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{11/2} and \textsuperscript{4}S\textsubscript{3/2} energy levels of erbium ions, was used to study the optical heating behavior of rare earth doped yttrium oxide nanophosphors (Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}:Er\textsuperscript{3+}, Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}:Er\textsuperscript{3+}–Eu\textsuperscript{3+}, Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}:Er\textsuperscript{3+}–Tb\textsuperscript{3+}, and Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}:Er\textsuperscript{3+}–Eu\textsuperscript{3+}–Tb\textsuperscript{3+}) synthesized via PVA-assisted sol-gel route. The samples were optically heated by an 800 nm CW diode laser, while the upconverted green emissions were used to measure their temperatures in real time. The experimental results indicate that the studied nanoparticles are promising candidates to applications such as photothermal treatments and hyperthermia.

1. Introduction

Since the discovery that biological tissues are permeable to NIR radiation, characterizing what became known as optical biological windows [1, 2], several studies have been done in order to explore bioapplications involving this spectral region [3]. In particular, the branch of the nanocrystals doped with rare earth ions proved to be quite versatile for this purpose. For example, the phenomenon of frequency upconversion under NIR excitation that occurs in several rare earth ions has proved to be effective for bioimaging applications and temperature sensing with high spatial resolution [4, 5]. More recently, several studies have demonstrated the possibility of using such particles as optical nanoheaters and proposed their use in cancer therapy based on hyperthermia [6–8].

In this emerging field of study, we highlight the nanocrystals which use ytterbium as sensitizer [9, 10], since the doping with this ion increases significantly the efficiency of fluorescent processes. However, the particles’ excitation is restricted to the region around 980 nm, where ytterbium has its single excitation band. This is the same optical region where water has high absorption, which reduces the effectiveness of the process for biological applications [11]. As an alternative, nanocrystals doped with neodymium were already effectively used as nanoheaters and optical sensors with excitation around 800 nm [3, 12], with the advantage that in this spectral region biological tissues are more permeable to NIR radiation [13]. Nevertheless, considering the wide variety of rare earth ions, the number of proposals exploring this excitation region is still small.

In this paper, we examine the influence of different doping (Er\textsuperscript{3+}, Eu\textsuperscript{3+}, and Tb\textsuperscript{3+}) over the optical heating behavior and temperature sensing of Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles, excited by an 800 nm CW diode laser. To estimate the temperature of the nanoparticles, FIR technique based on the upconverting green emissions of Er\textsuperscript{3+} ions was used. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report about the optical heating behavior of rare earth doped Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles under 800 nm CW laser excitation. In our study, the temperatures reached by the particles, as well as the power densities used, are in the regions commonly used for hyperthermia treatments [14], making these nanoparticles promising candidates for this kind of application.
2. Methods

The samples were produced via PVA-assisted sol-gel route, employing Y(NO₃)₃, ErCl₃•6H₂O, TbCl₃•6H₂O, and Eu(NO₃)₃ (Aldrich, grade purity 99.99% or higher) as precursors. For the Y₂O₃:Er³⁺, Y₂O₃:Er³⁺:Eu³⁺, Y₂O₃:Er³⁺-Tb³⁺, and Y₂O₃:Eu³⁺-Tb³⁺ samples, each dopant concentration was fixed at 1mol%. The starting materials were dissolved in distilled water in a concentration of 0.2 g/mL. After that, a 10% (w/v) aqueous solution of PVA was added to the starting solution under continuous stirring at room temperature. After 30 min stirring, the material was dried at 100°C for 24 h, then kept at 200°C for 5 h, and finally calcined at 1000°C for 5 h.

The crystalline phase of the powders was inspected by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku RINT 2000/PC), while morphology and particle size of the samples were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Jeol JSM5500F equipment.

All upconversion (UC) luminescence spectra measurements were performed using a set of lenses for fluorescence collection and an optical fiber connected to an Ocean Optics USB4000 spectrometer with samples being excited by an 800 nm CW diode laser. During these measurements, size and shape of the spot on the samples were kept fixed (elliptical shape with main axes of 1.5 mm and 0.75 mm). On the temperature sensing calibration process, the samples were kept over an adjustable electric hotplate with a thermocouple placed 2 mm apart from the focal spot to temperature recording. To avoid a significant optical heating of the samples during this process, the laser pump power was set to 110 mW (12.4 W/cm² power density). For the measurements using increasing pump power densities, the laser power was adjusted from 211 mW to 1200 mW.

3. Results and Discussion


Figure 1 presents XRD pattern of Y₂O₃:Er³⁺-Eu³⁺-Tb³⁺ after calcination at 1000°C for 5 h. For all the samples studied, the obtained XRD patterns were consistent with single phase Y₂O₃ (ICSD card #0886817). The Rietveld refinement of the pattern confirmed a cubic structure and spatial group Ia3.

The crystallite size was estimated from the FWHM of the diffraction peaks using Scherrer’s equation  
\[ d = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]

where K is the shape coefficient for the reciprocal lattice point (in this work K = 0.89), I is the wavelength of the X-rays (\( \lambda = 0.15405 \text{ nm} \)), \( \beta \) is the peak position, and \( \beta = \sqrt{B^2 - B^0} \) is the width of specimen’s peak (B) corrected by an instrumental broad factor (in this work, \( b = 0.005192 \text{ rad} \) [15, 16]). The average crystallite size was estimated as 43 ± 1 nm for the pattern shown in Figure 1 (sample Y₂O₃:Er³⁺-Eu³⁺-Tb³⁺). The same results were obtained for the other Y₂O₃ samples (pure and doped) produced for this work. Figure 2 presents SEM images for pure and doped Y₂O₃ annealed at 1000°C. The image shows that the particles have homogeneous size distribution with average particle size of about 50 nm, confirming the results obtained from the XRD data.

3.2. Temperature Sensing Performance.

Figure 3 presents the UC spectra produced by transitions \( ^{2}S_{11/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{15/2} \) and \( ^{4}S_{3/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{15/2} \) of Er³⁺ ions for all studied samples at two extreme temperatures. As can be seen, there is a consistent behavior of the emission spectra for all samples in the analyzed temperature region where, with increasing temperature, the intensity of the band around 523 nm (\( ^{2}H_{11/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{15/2} \)) intensifies with respect to the band around 551 nm (\( ^{4}S_{3/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{15/2} \)).

Due to the small difference in energy between the emitting levels \( ^{2}H_{11/2} \) and \( ^{4}S_{3/2} \) (about 770 cm⁻¹) they are thermally coupled [17]; that is, populations of these levels are related to each other by Boltzmann’s distribution and, consequently, do not fluorescence intensities produced by these levels. Therefore, a correlation between the fluorescence intensity ratio (FIR) of these levels and the sample’s temperature can be expressed by [18]

\[ \text{FIR} = \frac{I_H}{I_L} = \frac{c_H(\nu)}{c_L(\nu)} \frac{A_H g_H h \nu_H}{A_L g_L h \nu_L} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta E}{kT}\right) \]

where the indexes H and L refer to the higher \( ^{2}H_{11/2} \) and lower \( ^{4}S_{3/2} \) thermally coupled energy levels of erbium, respectively; \( I_L \) corresponds to the integrated fluorescence intensity of the emission band; \( c_\nu(\nu) \) is a function of the fluorescence collection efficiencies; \( \nu \) refers to the emitted light frequency; \( A_\nu \) is the spontaneous radiative emission rate of each band; \( g_\nu \) corresponds to the level’s degeneracy; \( h \) is the average photon energy of each band; \( \Delta E \) is the energy gap between the levels \( ^{4}S_{3/2} \) and \( ^{2}H_{11/2} \); \( k \) is Boltzmann’s...
Figure 2: SEM image of Y$_2$O$_3$ after calcination at 1000°C/5h, with average particle size of about 50 nm: (a) pure sample, (b) sample doped with Er$^{3+}$-Eu$^{3+}$-Tb$^{3+}$.

Figure 3: Upconversion emission spectra due to $^2H_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ and $^4S_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ transitions of Er$^{3+}$ ions for all studied samples at two extreme temperatures.
constant; and $T$ is the thermodynamic temperature. It is worth mentioning that both preexponential factor and energy gap depend on the host crystalline structure and the dopant concentrations, but fixing the nanocrystals’ morphology, must be undertaken in order to elucidate this issue. Nevertheless, such study is beyond the scope of the present work.

The parameters and linear fit of (2) for all $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ samples are summarized in Table 1. A scan can be seen, different dopant ions result in slightly distinct sensitivity of the FIR.

From the obtained parameters (Table 1) we can also determine the sensitivity of the fluorescence intensity ratio (FIR) to temperature changes. The sensitivity ($S$) is defined as [18]

$$S = \frac{\partial (\text{FIR})}{\partial T} = \text{FIR} \cdot \left( \frac{\Delta E}{kT^2} \right).$$

Naturally, as both $C$ and $\Delta E$ depend on the dopant concentration and host structure, the sensor sensitivity is also affected by these properties [10, 18, 19]. Moreover, the presence of $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ and $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ can provide extra channels to depopulate the erbium’s emission levels by energy transfer mechanisms. Owing to this fact, the radiative spontaneous emission rate of codoped samples can be different from the $\text{Er}^{3+}$-doped nanocrystals, which is implied in distinct preexponential factors and sensors sensitivities [20].

Figure 5 plots the sensitivity versus temperature from the data obtained during temperature sensor calibration and also shows the curve fit based on (3) and the parameters from Table 1. As can be seen, different dopant ions result in slightly distinct sensitivity of the FIR.

From our experimental results, we believe that the presence of distinct ions modifies slightly the crystal field experienced by the $\text{Er}^{3+}$ ions and alters the radiative spontaneous decay rate for the erbium emission levels. However, we could not identify the exact extent of this modification and the role of the pair $\text{Eu}^{2+}/\text{Tb}^{3+}$ in the energy gap between the $^2\text{H}_{11/2}$ and $^4\text{S}_{3/2}$ erbium level’s, as well as in the preexponential factor and the sensor sensitivities. A systematic investigation exploiting samples with different rare earth relative concentrations, but fixing the nanocrystals’ morphology, must be undertaken in order to elucidate this issue. Nevertheless, such study is beyond the scope of the present work.

Table 1: Parameters and linear fit of (2) for all $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Linear fit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}$</td>
<td>825.58</td>
<td>$\text{ln(}\text{FIR}) = 2.80 + (\text{-1187.89})/T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Eu}^{3+}\cdot\text{Tb}^{3+}$</td>
<td>826.24</td>
<td>$\text{ln(}\text{FIR}) = 2.79 + (\text{-1188.84})/T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Tb}^{3+}$</td>
<td>801.77</td>
<td>$\text{ln(}\text{FIR}) = 2.57 + (\text{-1153.63})/T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Eu}^{3+}$</td>
<td>737.62</td>
<td>$\text{ln(}\text{FIR}) = 2.46 + (\text{-1061.33})/T$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Fluorescence intensity ratio as a function of the inverse of the temperature for the investigated samples, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}$ (open red circles), $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Tb}^{3+}$ (solid black circles), $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Eu}^{3+}$ (open orange squares), and $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Eu}^{3+}\cdot\text{Tb}^{3+}$ (solid blue triangles). The solid lines are the fit curves.

Figure 5: Sensitivity of $\text{Er}^{3+}$ transitions ($^2\text{H}_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4\text{I}_{15/2}$ and $^4\text{S}_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4\text{I}_{15/2}$) as a function of temperature to our samples, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}$ (open red circles), $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Tb}^{3+}$ (solid black circles), $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Eu}^{3+}$ (open orange squares), and $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Er}^{3+}\cdot\text{Eu}^{3+}\cdot\text{Tb}^{3+}$ (solid blue triangles). The solid lines are the fit curves using (3).
3.3. Optical Heating Behavior. To determine the optical heating behavior of the samples, the emission bands around 523 nm and 551 nm of Er\(^{3+}\) were monitored and the FIR was determined, while the pump power density focused on the samples was adjusted from 23.9 W/cm\(^2\) to 135.7 W/cm\(^2\) (211 mW to 1200 mW pump power). Based on this data, the relations of Table I were used to estimate the temperature reached inside the nanoparticles.

The comparative graph of sample's heating is shown in Figure 6. As expected, the increase in power density resulted in optical heating with specific result for each group of nanoparticles. The internal heat generation through optical excitation in materials doped with rare earth ions is mainly due to nonradiative decay processes involving emission of phonons to the crystal lattice and subsequent heating. Another factor favoring the heating of nanoparticles is the quantum confinement of phonons that enhances the heating. Another factor favoring the heating of nanoparticles is the quantum confinement of phonons that enhances the heating. Another factor favoring the heating of nanoparticles is the quantum confinement of phonons that enhances the heating. Another factor favoring the heating of nanoparticles is the quantum confinement of phonons that enhances the heating. Another factor favoring the heating of nanoparticles is the quantum confinement of phonons that enhances the heating.

The sample doped only with Er\(^{3+}\) showed the lowest heating performance, with the temperature ranging from 297 K to 321 K, and the sample doped with Er\(^{3+}\)-Eu\(^{3+}\)-Tb\(^{3+}\) reached slightly higher temperature, ranging from 300 K to 327 K, while the sample doped with Er\(^{3+}\)-Tb\(^{3+}\) ranged from 299 K to 336 K. In its turn, the sample doped with Er\(^{3+}\)-Eu\(^{3+}\) showed the highest heating with temperature ranging from 301 K to 358 K for the same variation in pump power density (23.9 to 135.7 W/cm\(^2\)). As the results show, the multiple doping was effective, enabling the codoped samples to achieve higher temperature values under the same optical excitation in comparison to the Er\(^{3+}\)-doped particles.

Indeed, including more than one impurity ion in the samples, we favor energy transfer processes between ions. Therefore, a fraction of the energy absorbed predominantly by Er\(^{3+}\) ions, which play the role of sensitizers, could be transferred to the other ions, which creates other decay channels that include nonradiative processes. This assumption is corroborated comparing the upconverted luminescence spectra of all samples, presented in Figure 7. The addition of Tb\(^{3+}\) and Eu\(^{3+}\) ions, the reduction in the erbium emission should be associated with the energy transfer from erbium to the other rare earth ions present in the samples.

From the experimental results, we believe that the presence of Tb\(^{3+}\) ions increases the optical heating process due to the energy transfer mechanism between the \(^{4}I_{13/2}\) erbium level and the terbium \(^{7}F_{0}\) level [21]. Due to this process, the excitation of \(^{2}H_{11/2}\) and \(^{4}S_{3/2}\) is reduced. The Tb\(^{3+}\) ions excited at the \(^{7}F_{0}\) level decay nonradiatively to the other \(^{7}F_{j}\), transferring this energy to the host, which increases the \(^{2}H_{11/2}\); \(^{4}F_{5/2}\); \(^{4}F_{7/2}\); \(^{2}H_{11/2}\); \(^{4}S_{3/2}\); \(^{5}D_{J}\) levels of Eu\(^{3+}\). Besides, phonon-assisted energy transfer in Er\(^{3+}\) excited at \(^{4}I_{11/2}\) and \(^{4}I_{13/2}\) to the \(^{7}F_{j}\) levels of Eu\(^{3+}\) is also probable [22, 23].

For the sample codoped with erbium and europium ions, more than one energy transfer mechanism can take place. As we detected a luminescence centered at 611 nm, related to the \(^{4}I_{13/2}\) → \(^{7}F_{2}\) levels of Eu\(^{3+}\), and the erbium emission presented quenching, probably energy has been transferred from erbium ions excited at the \(^{2}H_{9/2}\); \(^{4}F_{5/2}\); \(^{4}F_{7/2}\); \(^{2}H_{11/2}\); and \(^{4}S_{3/2}\) levels to the \(^{5}D_{J}\) levels of Eu\(^{3+}\). Besides, phonon-assisted energy transfer in Er\(^{3+}\) excited at \(^{4}I_{11/2}\) and \(^{4}I_{13/2}\) to the \(^{7}F_{j}\) levels of Eu\(^{3+}\) is also probable [22, 23].

The Eu\(^{3+}\) ions excited at the \(^{7}F_{j}\) levels decay nonradiatively to the ground state, heating up the Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocrystals. We believe that this sample presented the best optical heating
performance among the investigated materials in this work, owing to the several nonradiative decays and phonon-assisted energy transfer mechanisms involved in this process.

A more complex analysis must be made in order to understand the optical heating behavior of the \( \mathrm{Y}_2\mathrm{O}_3: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} - \mathrm{Er}^{3+} - \mathrm{Th}^{3+} \) sample. Despite the fact that all proposed energy transfer mechanisms for the \( \mathrm{Y}_2\mathrm{O}_3: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} - \mathrm{Er}^{3+} \) and \( \mathrm{Y}_2\mathrm{O}_3: \mathrm{Er}^{3+} - \mathrm{Th}^{3+} \) are involved in this case, a highly probable energy transfer from \( \mathrm{Th}^{3+} \) to \( \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} \) can also take place [24]. Hence, we believe that the presence of the three ions species creates a competition mechanism, in which \( \mathrm{Th}^{3+} \) and \( \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} \) ions compete to receive energy from the excited erbium ions. Additionally, most of the energy absorbed by \( \mathrm{Th}^{3+} \) is transferred directly to \( \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} \), creating an alternative excitation path to the europium ions. Thus, only part of the \( \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} \) ions are excited by the phonon-assisted energy transfer from \( \mathrm{Er}^{3+} \) ions. As a result, the competition mechanisms between the three rare earth species in the \( \mathrm{Y}_2\mathrm{O}_3 \) samples result in a lower emission of phonons in comparison with the \( \mathrm{Y}_2\mathrm{O}_3: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} - \mathrm{Er}^{3+} \) and \( \mathrm{Y}_2\mathrm{O}_3: \mathrm{Er}^{3+} - \mathrm{Th}^{3+} \) samples, resulting in weaker heating under the same optical excitation. A more detailed investigation of energy transfer mechanisms between the ions in our samples is beyond the scope of this work. Although several mechanisms had been proposed [23, 25, 26], to the best of our knowledge, none of them deeply analyzed the influence of these mechanisms on the optical heating behavior.

4. Conclusion

Nanoparticles of \( \mathrm{Y}_2\mathrm{O}_3 \) doped with \( \mathrm{Er}^{3+}, \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} \), and \( \mathrm{Th}^{3+} \) were synthesized by PVA-assisted sol-gel route, and their structural characterization was carried out. The doping with \( \mathrm{Er}^{3+} \) was effective in sensitizing the nanoparticles to the excitation wavelength of 800 nm from a CW diode laser, allowing us to analyze the FIR of the upconversion bands of the \( \mathrm{Er}^{3+} \) levels \( 2\mathrm{H}_{11/2} \) and \( 4\mathrm{S}_{3/2} \). From this point, we calibrated an optical thermometer and used the codoping with \( \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} \) and \( \mathrm{Th}^{3+} \) ions to allow the nanoparticles to achieve higher temperatures under the same optical excitation in comparison to the values obtained using \( \mathrm{Er}^{3+} \)-doped nanocrystals. The effect of dopants on the temperature sensitivity of the FIR was also determined. The power densities used, as well as the temperatures reached by the samples, are in the regions commonly used in hyperthermia treatments, indicating that these particles can be promising alternatives for this kind of treatment when an 800 nm CW excitation is convenient.
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