Synthesis of Y-Tip Graphitic Nanoribbons from Alcohol Catalytic Chemical Vapor Deposition on Piezoelectric Substrate
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1. Introduction

Graphitic Nanoribbons (GNRs) are unique materials that belong to the family of carbon nanostructures and were first discovered by Murayama and Maeda in 1990 [1]. They have superior thermal, electronic, and mechanical properties that make them suitable materials in the semiconductor industry and they are predicted to be used together with Si in the next generation of electronic devices. They also have potential applications in conductive films, sensors, and photonic devices [2].

Different techniques have been employed for the synthesis of highly crystalline GNR. There are the bottom-up approach and the top-down approach. The top-down approach includes lithographic patterning [3], plasma etching of carbon nanotubes (CNT) [4], mechanical exfoliation [5], and sonochemical unzipping of CNT [6–8], while the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and chemical synthesis are included in the bottom-up approach [9]. Each of these methods produces different GNR with varying widths, thicknesses, and lengths which gives them their unique interesting properties like high mechanical and electronic strengths that are suitable for many applications. Although the top-down approach is widely used for the synthesis of GNR, the disadvantage of these techniques is that it is an indirect method of preparation and therefore the formation and structure of the GNR are solely dependent upon the type of synthesized carbon nanostructure. This makes the control of the GNR structure limited and rather difficult.

Using the CVD technique, the first GNRs were synthesized by Murayama and Maeda [1] from a chemical oxidation/reduction reaction of carbon monoxide at a temperature of 400–700°C in the presence of Fe(CO)5 under the flow of CO/H2 gas. The ribbon-like structures were 10 μm in length, 0.1–0.7 μm in width, and 10–200 nm thick, and the catalyst iron nanoparticle was visible at the tip of the ribbon. GNRs...
were also synthesized using CVD technique as reported by Campos-Delgado et al. [10] in which aerosol pyrolysis of ferrocene and thiophene in ethanol was used for the process. Argon was used as a carrier gas and the reaction temperature was 950°C. Rippled GNRs of micrometers in length, 20–300 nm in width, and less than 15 nm thick were produced. Mahanandia et al. [11] also reported the pyrolysis of ferrocene and tetrahydrofuran (THF) at a temperature of 950°C to produce GNR and MWNT using iron catalyst. The estimated widths of the ribbons were approximately 200 nm with length of tens of microns. All these methods require high temperature and do not require any template for the synthesis.

In this paper we report the direct synthesis of long and bulk GNR on piezoelectric substrates which to the best of the authors’ knowledge has not been reported in the literature. Gallium orthophosphate (GaPO₄) which is piezoelectric in nature was chosen due to its stability at high temperature so as to explore its potentialities when employed as a substrate for the synthesis using ACCVD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Fabrication of Synthesis Platform. The platform that was used for the synthesis comprises 3 layers: gallium orthophosphate (GaPO₄) which is a piezoelectric material and zirconium under layer which is sandwiched between the GaPO₄ and the platinum. This under layer serves to promote adhesion between the substrate and platinum metal. GaPO₄ was chosen as a platform for direct synthesis of the carbon nanostructure. The direct synthesis is one of the techniques used which include spin coating and spraying. Platinum was chosen so as to act as a metal layer for the electrodes when used as a sensor. However, GaPO₄ was preferred because future work will focus on the application of GNR for gas sensing in which it would serve as a sensing platform. With the direct synthesis of carbon nanostructure on the GaPO₄, there is no need to transfer to another suitable substrate for sensing like SiO₂ which makes it relatively simple and economical [12]. Figure I shows the cross section of the sample.

2.2. Synthesis of GNR. The substrate was first immersed in acetonitrile so as to remove dust and impurities. After thorough cleansing, 4 g of iron III nitrate was mixed with 100 mL of ethanol to form a solution [13]. The solution was stirred gently until the iron nitrate dissolved completely. The substrate was then dip-coated into the prepared solution and left for about 10 minutes. Then, it was left to dry under ambient temperature for 24 hours. After drying, the sample was placed in the oven for calcination at a temperature of 200°C for 1 hour. The sample was then placed in a ceramic boat and inserted into a horizontal ceramic furnace for the CVD process. The furnace was then heated gradually to a temperature of 700°C under argon flow which was set at a flow rate of 100 sccm. The reaction took place for a period of 20 minutes under the flow of argon gas and a vapor pressure of 6 mbar for the formation of GNR. Figure 2 shows the illustration of the experimental set-up for the ACCVD. The ethanol serves as the carbon source, while the argon gas serves as the carrier gas. The reaction was stopped and cooled down under argon flow to room temperature. The sample was collected from the ceramic boat and the cross section of the sample is as shown in Figure 2.

2.3. Characterization of GNR. After the sample was collected, different characterizations were carried out for morphological analysis. A Renishaw inVia microscope with different wavelengths of 532 and 633 nm, respectively, and laser power of 1 mW was used to scan an area of 250 × 250 μm for the Raman spectroscopy analysis. Microscopy analyses for structural and morphological investigations were carried out using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM, S-3400N Hitachi), Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM, LEO 912AB), and High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HRTEM, Libra 200FE). Atomic Force Microscope with noncontact Silicon Cantilever probe NSG10 was used to scan an area of 3 × 3 μm of the GNR to obtain the 2D topography. The catalyst was also characterized using X-Ray Diffraction (XRD).

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. GNR Characterization. The GNR morphology was characterized with FESEM. The micrograph shown in Figure 3(a) revealed the structural morphology of the synthesized bulk Graphitic Nanoribbons. From the micrograph, it can be seen that the GNRs were densely grown, long, and helical and have broad widths ranging from about tens to hundreds of nm. Further characterization was done using TEM to determine the shape and size of the GNR. The image shown in Figure 3(b) revealed the flat 2D structure confirming the ribbon-like morphology. The widths of the synthesized GNR correspond well with the FESEM data showing a width range of about tens to hundreds of nm. Figure 3(c) shows a HRTEM image of the sample which revealed a Y-shaped 2D flat structure and also some flat 2D tape-like structures that are transparent and conform with the TEM images of Figure 3(b). The width of the GNR as revealed from the HRTEM is narrow and has a width of few nm.

Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDX) was employed for qualitative analysis of the GNR. Based on the EDX analysis, quantitative analysis of the spectrum revealed the percentage constitution of each of the elements present in the sample. Carbon constitutes a high percentage of 89.15% while iron constitutes 10.85% and the percentage of oxygen is negligible. This shows the high percentage of carbon in the synthesized GNR.

Further characterization was done using AFM in order to obtain the 2D topography and also to determine the thickness...
Figure 2: Experimental set-up for ACCVD.

Figure 3: (a) SEM micrograph of the as-grown GNR. (b) TEM image of GNRs showing an inset of Y-tip. (c) HRTEM of GNR showing Y-tip structure as pointed by the black lines.

of the GNR. Figure 4(a) shows an image of an isolated Y-tip GNR which is further analyzed as shown in Figure 4(b). Section analysis of the isolated GNR was carried out on two different spots. The section analysis using the AFM revealed that the isolated GNR corresponds to a width of 560 nm. Since the synthesized GNRs have a width that lies between less than 100 and few hundred nm, this isolated ribbon has a width that corresponds well with the SEM and TEM micrographs shown in Figure 4. Further AFM analysis was carried out on the Y-intersection as shown in Figure 4(b).
The same ribbon was analyzed but on a different spot which revealed a width of 556 nm and a corresponding thickness of 2.9 nm.

The topographical curve as shown in Figure 4(a) showed that the GNR with a width of 560 nm has a corresponding thickness of 2.8 nm. Similarly, the width of the second spot was found to be 556 nm with a thickness of 3 nm (Figure 4(b)). As the thickness of a GNR is a measure of number of layers of the ribbon, we can conclude that with a thickness of approximately 3 nm the GNR have 10 multiple layers assuming a typical $d$-spacing of 0.3 nm for carbon.

Raman spectroscopy was employed as a tool for qualitative analysis of the as-grown GNR. The Raman spectrum of GNR is depicted in Figure 5. In order to study the effect of the excitation wavelength on the Raman spectra, two different lasers with excitation wavelengths of 532 nm and 633 nm were used as shown in the spectra. The same power of 1 mW was used for both spectra but with different gratings of 2400 l/mm and 1800 l/mm for 532 nm and 633 nm, respectively. With reference to Figure 5, it could be seen that a small peak which is located at about 480 cm$^{-1}$ is visible and more intense in case of the red laser. The presence of this peak becomes difficult to explain because it has never been reported in Raman spectroscopy of GNR but in analogy to MWNT as shown by [14, 15]. It could be speculated to arise due to the presence of iron in the synthesis process as shown by [16].

It was also observed that the peak positions of the D-band and G-bands are the same for both laser excitations but their intensities are different. For the case of 633 nm laser wavelength the D-band intensity is higher than the 532 nm laser wavelengths. The D-band is a measure of disorder defects in the sp$^2$ carbon. In the case of bulk GNR, there are lots of defects arising from the edges of the ribbon. Similarly, the G-band positions remain the same at 1600 cm$^{-1}$ but the intensity
of the 532 nm wavelength is greater than that of 633 nm. The G-band is a measure of the graphitic nature and crystallinity of the $sp^2$ hybridized carbon. Another band called the 2D band is well pronounced and is described as a fingerprint for GNR [17]. The position of the 2D band is different for the two lasers, with 532 nm at 2700 cm$^{-1}$ position and 633 nm lasers at 2650 cm position.

Raman spectroscopy analysis was carried out on an area of 250 $\times$ 250 microns. However, since the ribbons are of varying widths and the analysis was done in a specified area, it shows that it was not done on a single isolated nanoribbon. It is speculated that these varying widths and thicknesses could also lead to different crystallinity behaviors as shown in the significant difference of the I$_D$/I$_G$ ratios with different laser excitation. The I$_D$/I$_G$ ratio is used to determine the crystallinity of carbon nanostructures: the higher it is, the more defects are present in the structures. Therefore, from Figure 5 it could be seen that the I$_D$/I$_G$ ratios are 0.7 and 1.03 for 532 nm and 633 nm wavelengths excitation, respectively. This shows that the I$_D$/I$_G$ ratio for 532 nm showed a higher degree of crystallinity.

Similarly, the I$_{2D}$/I$_G$ ratios were computed to be 1.03 and 1.04 for 532 nm and 633 nm laser wavelengths, respectively. As reported by [17] since the I$_{2D}$/I$_G$ ratio is used to investigate the number of layers, then from our data we can conclude that with a ratio of 1.03 our ribbons are multiple-layered in nature and are less defective with an I$_{2D}$/I$_G$ ratio of 0.7. The multiple-layered characteristic of our GNR could be supported by the work from other authors, whereby few layered GNRs were synthesized using CVD but with Cu as the catalyst precursor. In their work, few-layered Graphitic Nanoribbons were synthesized directly on a dielectric surface using CVD and their I$_{2D}$/I$_G$ ratio was found to be 0.7 [12]. It could be seen that we have a small peak corresponding to the D + G band at 2940 cm$^{-1}$ and the intensity is higher for the green laser and almost negligible for the red laser. Another small peak at 3240 cm$^{-1}$ which is described as 2D’ peak is visible and more pronounced in the green laser than in the red laser. The high value in this case could be a result of the bulk synthesis of the ribbons with varying widths because the area selected consisted of bulk GNR with varying widths and not on an isolated ribbon. Due to the defects resulting from the edges of the bulk GNR, the presence of the high intensity D-band is clearly visible.

According to the obtained results, a hypothesis of the growth mechanism of GNR can be explained as follows (Figure 6). The mechanism of the growth is that during calcination the iron nitrate starts to decompose to form iron particles. However, the size of these iron nanoparticles is not homogeneous. With continuous heating, the nanoparticles agglomerate and transform into different iron crystal phase and orientation. Some crystals become cubical whilst others become pyramidal as indicated by the SEM micrograph shown in Figures 7(a) and 7(b). During synthesis these iron nanoparticles agglomerate and form bundles of different clusters of diameters which emerged into GNRs of varying widths and thicknesses.

The prepared samples were characterized with an XRD so as to obtain the spectrum and reveal the crystal orientation and phase of the catalyst precursor deposited on the substrate by dip-coating technique on the substrate. The prepared catalyst was analyzed by XRD analysis in order to identify the active oxide phases which may contribute to catalytic activity. The type of metal oxide in the catalyst is one of the factors that influence the catalytic activity and morphology of the deposited carbon [18] in the synthesis of GNR. The XRD spectrum in Figure 8 showed that the degree of crystallinity of the catalyst was very high. XRD diffractogram revealed the presence of Fe with a cubic crystal phase in the catalyst at 2θ around 44.6° and 65.02°.
Besides, Fe has been recognized as the domain species in the growth of GNR based on the high intensity peak of the XRD diffractogram, while a low detection on another peak is detected which is attributed to the hexagonal GaPO$_4$ at 2$\theta$ around 24.14$^\circ$ and 49.44$^\circ$. The average crystallite size of Fe was calculated using the Debye-Scherrer formula [17]. The average crystallite size of iron nanoparticles was determined as about 27.8 nm. On the other hand, platinum has been identified as lead platinum in the sample. Since the intensity of the peak is low, thus the contribution of platinum as catalyst in the direct formation of GNR is very low. This can be related to the low carbon solubility possessed by platinum which has effect on the width or diameter of the carbon nanoparticles. It is speculated that our Y-tip GNR emerged from cubic crystal iron nanoparticles based on the analysis of the XRD findings. Since the morphology and structure of the final product are determined by the catalyst, the formation of the Y-tip GNR could be supported by [19] in which Y-branched carbon fibres were synthesized with nickel nitrate and iron nitrate as the catalyst precursors.

The crystal phase of the iron crystal is cubical as revealed from the XRD analysis (Figure 8). However, GaPO$_4$ is also a favorable substrate for the formation of the cubical iron crystal structure due to hexagonal crystal structure as revealed from the XRD findings. Subsequently when the ethanol is purged during the reaction, these iron nanoparticles grow from the base and tip to form long tape-like and Y-branched structure and the GNRs emerge with different widths due to the nonhomogeneity of the diameters of the iron nanoparticles.

4. Conclusion

The synthesis of bulk GNR directly on a piezoelectric substrate using the ACCVD was reported. Characterizations of the as-grown ribbons on gallium orthophosphate revealed a homogeneous growth of bulk GNRs with varying widths ranging from a few nm to hundreds of nm and few microns in length. Raman spectroscopy was employed as a tool for qualitative analysis and the result showed a value of $I_{D}/I_G$ ratio of 0.75 indicating a highly crystalline GNR. Thus, the effect of different laser excitation was investigated. It could be deduced that the lower the laser excitation wavelength, the lesser the $I_{D}/I_G$ ratio and the higher the $I_{2D}/I_G$ ratio obtained. Therefore, we can conclude that our ribbons are multiple-layered due to the high intensity of the 2D peak with an $I_{2D}/I_G$ of 1.03 which is in good agreement with the AFM profiling indicating a ribbon width of 2.776 nm and 2.990 nm, respectively. For quantitative analysis, Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis was carried out and the result showed high percentage composition of carbon. XRD characterization of catalyst showed that the crystal symmetry of iron nanoparticles is cubical and this could be used to explain why the Y-tip GNR emerged from the synthesis. With the successful synthesis on a piezoelectric substrate, future work will focus on exploring the potential application of the GNR as an active material in the sensor industry and semiconductor application.
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