Research Article

Effects of Nitrogen-Doped Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes on Murine Fibroblasts

J. G. Munguía-Lopez, 1 E. Muñoz-Sandoval, 2 J. Ortiz-Medina, 2,3 F. J. Rodríguez-Macias, 4 and A. De Leon-Rodriguez 1

1 Molecular Biology Division, Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (IPICYT), Camino a la Presa San José 2055, Colonia Lomas 4a Sección, 78216 San Luis Potosí, SLP, Mexico
2 Advanced Materials Department, Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (IPICYT), Camino a la Presa San José 2055, Colonia Lomas 4a Sección, 78216 San Luis Potosí, SLP, Mexico
3 Research Center for Exotic Nanocarbons (JST), Shinshu University, Wakasato 4-17-1, Nagano 380-8553, Japan
4 Department of Fundamental Chemistry, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Avenida Professor Luiz Freire, 50740-540 Recife, PE, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to A. De Leon-Rodriguez; aleonr@ipicyt.edu.mx

Received 13 July 2015; Accepted 11 August 2015

Academic Editor: Faik Oktar

Copyright © 2015 J. G. Munguía-Lopez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The effect of nitrogen-doped multiwall carbon nanotubes (CNx) on the proliferation of NIH-3T3 murine fibroblasts is presented. CNTs were dispersed in distilled water and incubated with mammalian cells in order to evaluate their toxicity. Also, the influence of factors such as dosage (7 and 70 μg/mL), exposure time (24 to 96 h), and the exposure route (before and after cell liftoff) on the cell proliferation was evaluated. When the CNx were simultaneously incubated with the cells, the control culture reached a maximum cell concentration of 1.3 × 10^5 ± 3.4 × 10^4 cells per well at 96 h, whereas cultures with 7 μg/mL reached a concentration of 2.6 × 10^4 ± 5.3 × 10^3 cells. In the case of 70 μg/mL of CNx, most of the cells were dead. The CNx that were added 24 h after cell dissociation showed that live cells decreased, with a cell concentration of 9.6 × 10^4 ± 9 × 10^3 for 7 μg/mL and 5.5 × 10^4 ± 9.5 × 10^3 for 70 μg/mL, in contrast to control cultures with 1.1 × 10^6 ± 1.5 × 10^4. The results showed that the CNx had cytotoxic effects depending on the concentration and exposure route.

1. Introduction

A wide range of nanomaterials has been developed for several applications over the past few years. Due to their physical, chemical, electrical, and thermal properties, and since their discovery in 1991 [1], carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have shown a potential for use in drug delivery, biosensor, antimicrobial nanocomposite film, and cellular scaffolding. CNTs are tiny hollow cylinders, made from a single, double, or several layers of graphene that are concentrically arranged and capped by fullerene hemispheres. They have diameters ranging from 0.4 to 2 nm for single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and from 2 to 200 nm for multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), and lengths ranging from hundreds of nanometers to micrometers [1–3]. Since CNTs have an asbestos-like shape, research into their toxicity and potential risks to human health has been intensified [4–7].

Studies on cellular response in nonfunctionalized or functionalized (addition of functional groups on a graphite surface) MWCNT have been extensive. Chemical doping (carbon atoms substitution) with nitrogen of CNTs (CNx) was suggested to have positive effects on mice survival [8] and showed an improvement in cell-adhesion strength, viability, and proliferation of mammalian cells [3, 9], in contrast with the MWCNT. However, cytotoxic effects of CNx have also been reported, where long length CNx were more toxic than other functionalized CNTs [10]. Researches have demonstrated that cells exhibited variable responses to CNTs depending on different factors such as the method of synthesis, impurities, length and diameter, type (pristine,
functionalized, and doped), degree of dispersion/agglomeration, dispersant, CNT concentration, time exposure, cellular type, and protein adsorption [2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12]. Due to the inconsistency in CN\textsubscript{x} biocompatibility, more studies regarding cell response to these nanomaterials are necessary.

In the body, cell motility and wound healing are carried out by cell detachment, which is generated by proteolytic processes using endogenous proteases [13]. One of the most common enzymatic methods used for cell detachment in adherent-cell-subculture is trypsinization; trypsin cuts adhesion proteins to yield disaggregated cells with a rounded appearance. Although many cells are able to tolerate trypsin digestion during a short period of time, trypsinization causes cell stress affecting cytoskeleton proteins that are involved in regulating cell adhesion, stability, and elasticity [14–16].

CNTs have the capacity to adsorb a wide range of proteins, especially those rich in histidine, tryptophan, and phenylalanine [12], and also adhesion proteins from extracellular matrix (fibronectins, collagen) and transmembrane-proteins (integrins) [17]. Since enzymatic cell detachment can produce residual fragments of adhesion proteins, these fragments could interact with CNTs altering the extracellular matrix metabolism which is regulated by a complex mechanism including cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions [13, 17]. For this reason, the knowledge of cell-CNTs interactions is essential for cell scaffold development that is used in tissue regeneration.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the possible toxic effect of CN\textsubscript{x} on NIH-3T3 murine fibroblast stressed by enzymatic detachment and nonstressed cells, in which a natural cell detachment stress was simulated by a trypsin incubation during a short period of time. Exposure route was defined in this work as the way to add nanomaterials to cell cultures (stressed and nonstressed cells). Besides several parameters are required to determine if new materials are safe for biomedical use, the effects of CN\textsubscript{x} concentration and exposure time were also evaluated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis, Purification, and Characterization of CN\textsubscript{x}. In this way, CN\textsubscript{x} were synthesized by using the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method. As a chemical precursor 2.5 wt% ferrocene in benzylamine was used; the solution was placed in a quartz tube 100 cm in length, placed inside of two tubular furnaces heated at 850˚C. After 30 min of synthesis, the quartz tube was then cooled at room temperature and the CN\textsubscript{x} were collected by internal scraping. Then, the pristine CN\textsubscript{x} were purified and dispersed by using a pulsed probe sonicator in water under reflux, followed by a reflux in 6 M HCl and filtration.

Consequently, the purified CN\textsubscript{x} were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) as follows: first, the nanomaterials were poured into a whole powder and separated into equal portions. Then, each portion was loaded into pins and visualized by SEM (Philips-XL 30 SFEG; Dual Beam (FIB/SEM) FEI-Helios Nanolab 600 equipped with an EDX detector) to determine lengths, diameters, and chemical composition of CN\textsubscript{x}. Raman characterization was performed using a laser of 633 nm in Raman Renishaw Micro-Raman equipment.

2.2. Preparation of Dispersion of Purified CN\textsubscript{x}. Stocks of purified CN\textsubscript{x} were dispersed in distilled water at 1 mg/mL. Then, the samples were sonicated by an ultrasonic bath at 42 kHz and 100 W (Branson 2510 Ultrasonic Cleaner), at 40˚C for 8 h, having as a result stable dispersions; these conditions were strong enough to obtain no visible agglomerates of purified CN\textsubscript{x}. Finally, all the stocks were stored at 4˚C until further use.

2.3. Cytotoxicity Assays. The effects of purified CN\textsubscript{x} on the viability of NIH-3T3 murine fibroblast were evaluated by using the Trypan-blue exclusion method. Briefly, the cells were defrosted and cultured in a basal-IMDM (Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium, SIGMA) at pH 7.2 and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 0.25 μg/mL amphotericin B (SIGMA), using 24-well plates (Corning) over a period of 72 h in a humidity chamber at 37˚C and CO\textsubscript{2} 5% (Shell-Lab). After three passes, when 80% of cellular confluence was reached, the cells were washed twice gently with PBS (pH 7.2) and then harvested by incubation with trypsin-EDTA (0.25%-1X, GIBCO) for 10 min. Cell suspensions with a density of 2 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cells per well were added into 96-well plates in absence or presence of purified CN\textsubscript{x} at final concentrations of 7 and 70 μg/mL. For exposure route experiments, purified CN\textsubscript{x} were added (1) immediately after cell dissociation (stressed cells) or (2) fibroblasts were firstly incubated for 24 h and then purified CN\textsubscript{x} were added into each well (nonstressed cells). Samples were washed twice gently with PBS, incubated with trypsin for 6 min, and cells were counted by using the Trypan-blue method. During the 96 h of exposure with the nanomaterial, samples were taken each 24 h. NIH-3T3 cell cultures without nanomaterials were used as control. To avoid variation on purified CN\textsubscript{x} concentration in cell cultures when medium was changed, kinetics were carried out using a working volume of 250 μL without medium replacement.

2.4. Statistics. The data is presented as the mean ± standard deviation, with a statistical comparison of one- and two-way ANOVA. We used Dunnett’s posttests to compare treatments with control groups, and \( p \) values <0.05 were considered significant. All experiments were done in triplicate.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Determination of Length and Diameter of Purified CN\textsubscript{x}. Figure 1 shows SEM micrographs and size distribution of purified CN\textsubscript{x}. Micrographs by the XL30 and Helios are shown in Figures 1(a) and 1(c), respectively. A few bundles were found in purified CN\textsubscript{x} samples to determine the lengths of nanomaterials (Figure 1(a)). The length range was 10 to 130 μm, being the most abundant lengths of 40–50 μm (Figure 1(b)). In micrographs of purified CN\textsubscript{x}, the nanomaterials seemed to have similar diameters (Figure 1(c)), but, after
Figure 1: SEM micrographs of CNx morphology and size distribution. Lengths were obtained from bundles of purified CNx ((a), pointed with yellow arrows) and plotted to generate distribution patterns. Diameters were obtained from individual tubes from Helios SEM images (c) and distribution was plotted (d).

Figure 3 shows the Raman spectra of pristine and purified CNx plotted between 100 and 3000 cm⁻¹. The bands D (defect mode), G (graphite mode), and G’ (second order mode) situated at 1340, 1592, and 2686 cm⁻¹, respectively, are the typical peaks corresponding to carbonaceous materials. In the case of purified CNx, the shifting to higher frequencies of G band suggests that nitrogen doping decreased. The $I_D/I_G$ values were 1.1488 and 1.2815 for pristine and purified CNx, respectively. This increasing in $I_D/I_G$ ratio has been suggested as an evidence for sidewall functionalization of CNTs [18, 19].

With respect to chemical composition of our CNx (pristine and purified), EDX analysis was carried out. Figures S1 and S2 (see Supplementary Material available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/801606) show the SEM images and their respective EDX graphs. The average quantity of iron in pristine samples was of 2.22 wt% (Figure S1), which decreased after purification process to 0.61 wt% (Figure S2), indicating the elimination of this contaminant (see Supplementary Material for the quantities of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen elements).

3.3. Effect of Purified CNx on Murine Fibroblasts Nonstressed and Stressed. 3T3 murine fibroblasts were used as a model for stromal cells, which can be found in matrix and connective tissue throughout the body. Figure 4 shows the kinetics of
Figure 2: Representative SEM images of whole sample dry purified CNx. (a–c) Different parts of sample from whole sample; (d–f) the same sample different fields. Yellow arrows pointed to bundles of purified CNx. Big structures are agglomerates of CNTs which were easy to disperse in water.

Figure 3: Raman spectra of pristine and purified CNx at 633 nm.

fibroblasts growth with water-dispersed purified CNx. When the cells were incubated simultaneously with the purified CNx, the control culture (without purified CNx) reached a maximum live cell concentration of $1.3 \times 10^5 \pm 3.4 \times 10^4$ cells per well at 96 h; meanwhile cultures with 7 $\mu$g/mL reached $2.6 \times 10^4 \pm 5.3 \times 10^3$ cells, and a drastic no cell survival was at 70 $\mu$g/mL of purified CNx, (Figure 4(a)). Purified CNx added after 24 h of cell dissociation showed a decreased live cell, with a cell concentration of $9.6 \times 10^4 \pm 9 \times 10^3$ for 7 $\mu$g/mL and $5.5 \times 10^4 \pm 9.5 \times 10^3$ for 70 $\mu$g/mL, compared to control culture with $1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 1.5 \times 10^4$, at 96 h of exposure (Figure 4(b)). Results suggest that nanomaterials exhibited toxic effects, in concentration and exposure route-dependent manner. No effects concerning time exposure were observed.

Murine fibroblasts were susceptible to purified CNx in concentration and exposure route-dependent manner. As previously mentioned, toxicity/biocompatibility of CNTs (SWCNT, MWCNT, and functionalized CNTs) on mammalian cells depends on different factors [6, 20, 21]. A lot of data research has shown the toxicity of CNTs [22–25] in human mesenchymal stem cells [26], 3T3 L1 fibroblasts [27], 3T3 fibroblast, telomerase, immortalized human bronchiolar epithelial cells, RAW 264.7 macrophages [6], mouse fibroblast cell L929, and mouse adipose-derived stem cells [3], but, to our knowledge, no experiments about the effects of CNTs have been reported on mammalian cells stressed by enzymatic detachment, which is a natural process in the body. Treatments with water-dispersed purified CNx immediately added after cell liftoff (stressed cells by trypsin) were more toxic than purified CNx added after 24 h of cell dissociation, suggesting that exposure route factor had negative effects on cell proliferation. This could have been caused by interaction of CNTs with residual fragments of adhesion proteins generated after cell trypsinization [12], which can still adversely affect cytoskeleton proteins that are involved in regulating cell adhesion, stability, and elasticity [14–16, 28]. However, in this work, only the cell proliferation was evaluated as a first approach to determine the purified CNx toxicity; therefore, more studies are required and are currently underway.

Specific growth rate ($\mu$) was calculated from exponential growth phase of fibroblasts and used as a parameter to evaluate the effect of purified CNx on cell growth. In 7 $\mu$g/mL of dispersed-water purified CNx incubated simultaneously with cells, $\mu$ was lower ($0.031 \pm 0.004$ h$^{-1}$) than control cultivation
Figure 4: Effects of water-dispersed purified CN<x> on NIH-3T3 murine fibroblast proliferation. Purified CN<x> were incubated with fibroblast immediately after cell dissociation (a) or 24 h after cellular liftoff (b). Data are presented as mean ± SD. * indicates significant difference compared to untreated controls (p < 0.05); n ≥ 3.

Figure 5: Variations in pristine CN<x> length (a) and diameters (b) obtained from three different fractions of the same batch.

(0.048 ± 0.004 h<sup>-1</sup>); since no cells survived at 70 µg/mL, µ was not determined. Concerning incubation of cells with nanomaterials for 24 h after cell liftoff, values of µ were 0.044 ± 0.002 h<sup>-1</sup> for control culture and 0.037 ± 0.002 h<sup>-1</sup> and 0.035 ± 0.001 h<sup>-1</sup> for purified CN<x> at 7 and 70 µg/mL, respectively; both concentrations affected negatively µ. Results confirm a cytotoxic effect that is concentration and exposure route dependent.

3.4. Morphology Diversity of Pristine CN<x>. In several investigations about cytotoxic effects of CNTs, these nanomaterials are purchased from companies, which are synthesized by CVD. However, researchers have reported different patterns in the bulk growth of CNTs during their synthesis, showing that the CVD method produces a wide range of CNTs morphologies with varieties of lengths and diameters [29]. In preliminary results, different fractions from the same batch were analyzed by SEM showing a wide collection of pristine CN<x> sizes (Figure 5), with lengths of range between 30 and 250 µm (Figure 5(a)) and diameters of 24–60 nm (Figure 5(b)). Figure 6 shows a SEM micrograph gallery of the morphology of the different pristine CN<x> fractions, where the variations in lengths (Figures 6(a)–6(c)) and diameters (Figures 6(d)–6(f)) among three fractions are clear. The morphology variation of CNTs could be the reason behind having contradictory results regarding cytotoxicity/biocompatibility of CNTs reported in several researches, and this issue should be studied in order to understand the relationship between CNTs and mammalian cell response.
4. Conclusion

Finally, purified CN\textsubscript{x} have a cytotoxicity effect that is directly dependent on their concentration; also purified CN\textsubscript{x} showed a more toxic effect in enzymatic stressed cells than in the non-stressed ones. Since cells in the body are exposed to enzymatic processes of detachment, the present study of the effects on overstressed cells by enzymatic digestion is important for the development and potential uses of these nanomaterials in the biomedical field. On the other hand, chemical synthesis of pristine CN\textsubscript{x} yields heterogenic product with substantial differences on length and diameter size, which have distinctive cytotoxic effects on the proliferation of NIH-3T3 cells. There is still a long path that we must take in order to understand the relationship between nanomaterials and mammalian cells. However, concentrations up to 7\textmu g/mL of nanotubes are well tolerated by the cells, and they could be used in biomedical applications.
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