Bioactive Glass Nanoparticles as a New Delivery System for Sustained 5-Fluorouracil Release: Characterization and Evaluation of Drug Release Mechanism
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Bioactive glass nanoparticles were synthesized and tested for the first time as a new delivery system for sustained 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) release. They were characterized by TEM, DTA, TGA, and FT-IR. The porosity % and specific surface area of glass nanoparticles were 85.59% and 378.36 m²/g, respectively. The in vitro bioactivity evaluation confirmed that bioactive glass disks prepared from these nanoparticles could induce hydroxyapatite layer over their surfaces in simulated body fluid. The in vitro drug release experiment indicated that glass nanoparticles could serve as long-term local delivery vehicles for sustained 5-FU release. The release profile of 5-FU showed an initial fast release stage followed by a second stage of slower release. The initial burst release of 5-FU in the first day was about 23% (28.92 mg L⁻¹) of the total amount of loaded 5-FU, while the final cumulative percentage of the 5-FU release after 32 days was about 45.6% (57.31 mg L⁻¹) of the total amount of loaded 5-FU. The application of different mathematical models indicated that 5-FU was released by diffusion controlled mechanism and suggested that its release rate was dependent on glass particles dissolution, changes of surface area as well as diameter of glass particles, and concentration of loaded drug.

1. Introduction

Cancer is considered as a serious life threatening condition. Systematic administration of chemotherapy has been the major treatment methodology. However, the efficacy of many anticancer drugs is limited by their abilities to reach the site of therapeutic action. In most cases, a small amount of administered dose reaches the target site, while the majority of drug distributes throughout the rest of the body causing severe side effects to healthy organs. Anticancer drug such as 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) has been used to treat different types of cancer [1]. However, 5-FU has short biological half-life (8–20 min) due to its rapid metabolism. In addition, it has shown sever toxic side effects due to its nonselective action against healthy cells [2]. Therefore, developing a drug delivery system that optimizes the pharmaceutical action of 5-FU, while reducing its toxic side effects, is considered a challenging task.

One approach is to use a drug carrier that can provide site specific drug delivery combined with an optimal drug release profile. Site specific delivery of drugs to the receptor site has the potential to reduce side effects against healthy organs and cells while increasing the pharmacological response of released drugs over diseased cells.

Multiple biodegradable polymeric microspheres and nanoparticles have been used as local drug delivery systems for anticancer drugs [3–7]. However, the degradation of polymers could cause sever inflammatory response due to their acidic degradation products, which might interfere with the intended therapy. In addition, these polymers were not bioactive and could not be used for bone regeneration. On the other hand, sol-gel bioactive glass is known to be bioactive and biocompatible [8–12]. Also bioactive glass is degradable, and thus no need for a second surgery to remove it from body [13]. In addition, it has the ability to induce hydroxyapatite
layer on its surface as a result of immersion in body fluid. This layer is known to be very essential for bone regeneration in vivo [13–17]. Bioactive glass has a well documented ability to regenerate bone tissue in vivo [13, 14]. Recently, bioactive glass nanoparticles in the system (SiO$_2$-CaO-P$_2$O$_5$) have been prepared for bone engineering application [18–22] and osteomyelitis treatment [23–25]. They were highly porous with high surface areas, which could make those nanoparticles suitable as drug carriers. According to our knowledge, bioactive glass nanoparticles were not tested yet as delivery vehicles for sustained 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) release. Therefore, the main aim of this study was testing the possibility to use bioactive glass nanoparticles for sustained 5-FU delivery. These nanoparticles could be useful for localized cancer treatment. The innovative hypothesis of this application was that drug-loaded nanoparticles could provide a sustained release of therapeutic doses of 5-FU which could prevent tumor recurrence after resection. In addition, mechanism of drug release from these nanoparticles was evaluated by fitting its release profile to different mathematical models [26–28]. Those models have been used extensively in the literature to model the release profile of different types of drugs from various delivery vehicles [28–30]. They are (1) Higuchi square root of time model, which was used for modeling diffusion controlled release mechanism of a drug from a porous matrix, (2) first-order time model, which described the release of drug from a system where the release rate was concentration dependent, (3) Baker-Lonsdale model expressing the diffusion controlled release mechanism of a drug from matrices of spherical shape, and (4) cube-root Hixson-Crowell model which was used for modeling drug release profile from systems with dissolution rate limitations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Ultra-pure tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), calcium nitrate tetrahydrate Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O, and triethyl phosphate (TEP), purchased from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland), were used in the synthesis of bioactive glass nanoparticles. 2 M nitric acid and ammonia solutions were prepared from ammonia solution, 53%, and nitric acid, 68% (Merck, USA).

2.2. Sol-Gel Synthesis of Bioactive Glass Nanoparticles. Bioactive glass nanoparticles based on 70SiO$_2$-26CaO-4P$_2$O$_5$ (wt %) were synthesized by a modified sol-gel method [18–20, 23, 24]. In brief, TEOS was added dropwise to a mixture of distilled water, ethanol, and 2 M nitric acid followed by stirring for 1h to achieve the complete acid hydrolysis of TEOS. Thereafter, TEP and Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O were added while stirring was continued for 1h after each addition; then the whole solution was placed in a conventional ultrasonic bath (working at a frequency of 50–60 kHz, 100/200 W). Meanwhile, ammonia solution (2 M) was added dropwise to the mixture while continuous agitation by a mechanical stirring was carried out to prevent the formation of a bulk gel. The solution was completely transformed to a white gel in few minutes. Finally, the prepared gel was dried at 75°C for 2 days in a drying oven and then it was subjected to heat treatment at 700°C for 3 h with rate of 3°C/min to stabilize and convert such gel to glass.

2.3. Characterization. TEM (JEM2010, Japan) working at 200 Kv was used to analyze the morphology and particle sizes of the bioactive glass nanoparticles. Fourier transformer infrared spectrophotometer (FT-IR) (model FT/IR-6100 type A) was used to study the glass structure. The infrared spectrum of the prepared glass was recorded in a wave number range of 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$. The temperature used for the glass stabilization and converting the dry gel to glass powder was determined by thermal analysis. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) were carried out for the dried gel using a computerized 7 series USA Perkin Elmer thermal analysis system. Scans were performed in an air atmosphere at a temperature range of 50–1000°C and a rate of 10°C·min$^{-1}$, using aluminum oxide powder as a reference. The specific surface area, average pore diameter, and total pore volume of the glass nanoparticles were measured with a high-speed gas sorption analyzer (NOVA 2000 series, Chromatic, UK) at 77 K. The porosity % of the glass was determined using the mercury intrusion porosimetry technique (19321, Micrometric, USA).

2.4. In Vitro Bioactivity Evaluation. Disks of glass powder were used to evaluate the in vitro bioactivity of sample in the simulated body fluid (SBF) [31]. The glass powder was shaped into disks by uniaxial compression, under 2 MPA pressure. Such prepared disks were immersed in SBF at 37°C for two weeks. The SBF had a composition and an ionic concentration almost equal to human plasma. The solution was buffered at pH 7.4 with tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and 1 M HCl at 37°C [31]. The precipitation of apatite layer on the surfaces of glass disks was verified using scanning electron microscope coupled with energy dispersive X-ray analysis (JEOL JXA-840A, Electron probe microanalyzer, Japan) and thin-film X-ray analysis (TF-XRD) (Panalytical, X’Pert Pro, The Netherlands), employing Ni-filtered Cu Kα irradiation at 45 kV and 40 mA.

2.5. Nanoparticles Surveying as a Delivery System for 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU)

2.5.1. Loading of Drugs onto Glass Nanoparticles. The glass powder was loaded with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), where 0.3 g of glass powder was immersed, for 2 days, in 10 mL of drug solution (250 mL·L$^{-1}$) as illustrated in Figure 1. Then glass powder was separated from the solution by centrifuge and the uptake of drug by glass was calculated as the difference in drug concentration in the solution before and after sample immersion. 5-FU concentrations were determined using an UV spectrophotometer at $\lambda = 266$ nm.

2.5.2. Determination of the Cumulative Drug Concentration Released from the Glass Nanoparticles. To study the release profile of drug from glass, each sample loaded with 5-FU was immersed in 10 mL of Tris buffer solution (pH = 7.4). At predetermined time, 2 mL of solution was withdrawn...
and replaced by fresh 2 mL Tris buffer solution. The concentration of the released drug was measured using UV spectrophotometer at $\lambda = 266$ nm. The concentration of drug was calculated from standard curve. Solutions of known drug concentrations were prepared and their corresponding absorptions at $\lambda = 266$ nm were measured. A curve was constructed between the known drug concentrations and their corresponding absorptions. From that curve, the unknown drug concentrations in the released samples were determined.

2.5.3. Analysis of Drug Releasing Kinetics. The mechanism of drug release from the nanoparticles was evaluated by fitting the release data to the following mathematical models [26–28]:

1. Higuchi square root of time model:
   \[ Q = k_1 t^{0.5}; \]  
2. first-order time model:
   \[ \ln(1 - Q) = -k_2 t; \]  
3. Baker-Lonsdale model:
   \[ \frac{3}{2} \left[ 1 - (1 - Q)^{2/3} \right] - Q = k_3 t; \]  
4. cube-root Hixson-Crowell model:
   \[ (1 - Q)^{1/3} = -k_4 t; \]

where $Q$ is the fraction of drug released at time $t$, while $k_1$, $k_2$, $k_3$, and $k_4$ are the release rate constants, which were obtained by fitting the drug release profile to (1), (2), (3), and (4), respectively. Then linear regression analysis of the dissolution data was carried out using Microcal (MT) origin version 6, and a straight line was fitted through the data. The slope of that line gave the release rate constant. In addition, correlation coefficient ($R_C$) was determined, which is a statistical measure of how well the regression line approximates the real dissolution data.

2.5.4. Statistical Analysis. Throughout this work, all data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) for $n = 3$ and were analyzed using standard analysis of Student's $t$-test. The level of significance is set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. TEM. Figure 2 shows the TEM micrograph of the prepared glass powder. Agglomerated spherical shaped nanoparticles less than 100 nm in size were seen in the figure.

3.2. Thermal Analysis. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) curves for the prepared dry gel are shown in Figure 3(a). The DTA curve showed a large endothermic peak centered around 67°C, which was due to the elimination of residual alcohol and physically adsorbed humidity water from the pores of the
gel [32]. This was reflected by the first weight loss (27.2%) shown by TGA curve. The second endothermic peak centered around 512°C on the DTA curve was due to the decomposition of nitrates leading to the second weight loss (25.7%) seen in the TGA curve [20]. The results confirmed that all residuals were removed before 700°C.

3.3. FT-IR. FT-IR spectrum of bioactive glass nanoparticles is shown in Figure 3(b). As shown in the figure, a strong band centered at 1099 cm\(^{-1}\) was corresponded to Si–O–Si asymmetric stretching vibration. Furthermore, a small band located at 797 cm\(^{-1}\) was referred to the Si–O–Si symmetric stretching vibration. Moreover, a band centered at 474 cm\(^{-1}\) was corresponded to the [Si–O–Si] bending mode [33, 34]. Meanwhile, a broad band located at about 3451 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to hydroxyl group (–OH) or silanol group (Si–OH) vibrations [35–38].

3.4. Textural Analysis. The porosity and surface area of any material are the mean characteristic textural features that determined its use as drug delivery system. Textural analysis obtained in this study showed that glass powder was characterized by high porous structure and high specific surface area, whereas the porosity % of such glass nanoparticles was 85.59% and the specific surface area was 378.36 m\(^2\)/g. The N\(_2\) adsorption-desorption isotherm curve of glass nanoparticles is shown in Figure 4. From the figure it could be detected that such curve was corresponded to the type IV isotherm according to the IUPAC classification [39]. The characteristic hysteresis loop of that isotherm was clearly shown, where desorption and absorption branches did not follow the same path at relatively high \(P/P_0\) values. This behavior clearly indicated the presence of mesopores in the sample. The pore size distribution was obtained from the desorption branch of the isotherm following the BJH method and is shown by Figure 5. It could be clearly seen that bioactive glass nanoparticles had a broad pore size distribution and most of pores were in the mesorange (2–50 nm). The average pore diameter as determined by BJH method and total pore volume were 2.14 nm and 0.1562 cm\(^3\)/g, respectively. The porous nature of glass nanoparticles could be attributed to water and ethanol evaporation as well as nitrate decomposition during the stabilization process.
3.5. In Vitro Bioactivity Evaluation. Figure 6 shows the surfaces of glass disks after their immersion in simulated body fluid for different time periods (1, 3, and 7 days). The nucleation and growth of spherical particles over the surfaces of glass disks were clearly seen. These particles grew in size and increased in number as the time of immersion in SBF was increased. EDX analysis of these spherical particles indicated that they were carbonated hydroxyapatite with Ca/P atomic ratio of 1.68. In addition, thin film X-ray analysis confirmed that the surface of glass disk was covered with apatite layer after one week of immersion in SBF (Figure 7). Typical crystalline diffraction pattern of hydroxyapatite (matching with ICSD card number 03-0747) could be clearly seen. The reaction mechanism of apatite formation on the glass surface was explained by different studies [9, 40]. They included the following stages: (1) rapid exchange of network modifier ions from glass with H\(^{+}\) or H\(_2\)O\(^{+}\) in solution, (2) loss of soluble silica as Si(OH)\(_4\) to the solution and formation of Si–OH groups at the glass/solution interface, (3) condensation and repolymerization of surface silanols and formation of SiO\(_2\)-rich layer on the glass surface, (4) migration of Ca\(^{2+}\) and PO\(_4^{3-}\) groups to the glass surface through the SiO\(_2\)-rich layer leading to the formation and the eventual growth of an amorphous CaO–P\(_2\)O\(_5\)–rich film by attracting soluble calcium and phosphorous ions from solution, and (5) crystallization of this amorphous film by incorporation of OH\(^-\) and CO\(_3^{2-}\) anions from solution to form carbonated hydroxyapatite.

3.6. Nanoparticles Surveying as a Delivery System for 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU)

3.6.1. Loading of Drugs onto Glass Nanoparticles. The amount of loaded 5-FU onto the glass nanoparticles was 125.75 mg L\(^{-1}\), which was 50.3% of the total amount of 5-Fu used in loading stage (250 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Results suggested that 5-FU was successfully loaded onto glass nanoparticles. This was attributed to the presence of SiO\(_2\) and P\(_2\)O\(_5\) oxides as the main components of the glass structure. Those oxides were known to form SiOH and POH groups upon hydrolysis [32, 33, 40]. Such groups could form hydrogen bonding with drug molecules (see Figure 1) and hence improved drug binding to glass nanoparticles. In addition the prepared glass nanoparticles had a highly porous structure with high surface area which could facilitate the diffusion of drug solution into the interior structure of glass nanoparticles during loading stage. Moreover, glass nanoparticles could host drug molecules inside their pores and act as reservoirs for these molecules.

3.6.2. In Vitro Drug Release Profile. Sustained drug release from a delivery device is achieved when there is an initial release of drug sufficient to provide a therapeutic dose immediately after the implantation of delivery device followed by a gradual release of drug over an extended period of time. Figure 8(a) shows the cumulative concentration of 5-Fu released from sample as a function of immersion time, while Figure 8(b) shows the cumulative percentage of drug released from sample as a function of time. As shown from both figures, the release profile of 5-FU from glass nanoparticles had two stages: an initial fast release stage followed by a second stage of slower release. Therefore a sustained drug delivery profile was achieved by using glass nanoparticles as delivery vehicle for 5-FU in this study. The transition to the second stage of release profile occurred at 24 h and continued till the end of releasing period (32 days). The initial burst release could be explained by the fast release of drug molecules attached to the surfaces of glass nanoparticles. On the other hand, the slower subsequent release was due to the slow diffusion of drug molecules hosted inside the porous structure of glass nanoparticles. This slower subsequent release continued throughout the rest of releasing period and up to 32 days (768 h). The initial burst release of 5-FU in the first days was about 23% of the total amount of loaded 5-FU and the final cumulative percentage of the 5-FU released after 32 days was about 45.6% of the total amount of loaded 5-FU, which were 28.92 and 57.31 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. This revealed that at the end of drug releasing experiment (32 days), glass nanoparticles were still loaded with 54.4% of the total amount of loaded 5-FU (68.44 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and hence, they have the ability to provide sustained drug doses for more than 32 days. The in vitro drug release experiment indicated that glass nanoparticles could serve as drug delivery system for sustained 5-FU release for long-term treatment. These nanoparticles could be used for localized cancer treatment. The initial burst release of 5-FU at the surgical site could kill the rest of tumor cells. These cells might be left after surgery. On the other hand, the long-term sustained release of drug could effectively eliminate the problem of cancer recurrence after resection.

Previously, calcium phosphate granules were developed and used as a 5-FU delivery system. The reported results revealed that 5-FU was completely released from those granules in two days [41]. In addition, alginate based microparticles were prepared for sustained release of 5-FU. The effect of chitosan reinforcement on the drug release behavior from alginate based microparticles was also investigated.

![Figure 5: The pore size distribution curve obtained from the desorption branch of the isotherm.](image-url)
The results revealed that 5-FU was released from chitosan-reinforced alginate microparticles at a much slower rate as compared with unreinforced microparticles during the initial release stage. However, both types of microparticles released more than 80% of their cargo in less than 80 h, that is, less than four days [42]. Moreover, chitosan-coated magnetic nanoparticles (CS MNPs) were prepared as carriers of 5-FU through a reverse microemulsion method [43]. The release behavior of 5-FU from CS MNPs showed that more than 80% of 5-FU was released in 80 h, that is, less than 4 days [43]. Furthermore, poly(acrylamide-methylmethacrylate) copolymeric core-shell microspheres crosslinked with N,N-methylenebisacrylamide were synthesized by free radical emulsion polymerization using varying amounts of acrylamide (AAm), methacrylate (MMA), and N,N-methylenebisacrylamide (NNMBA) [44]. 5-FU was loaded into these microspheres during in situ polymerization (method-I) as well as by the adsorption technique (method-II). The in vitro drug release experiment indicated that release kinetics was dependent upon copolymer composition, amount of cross-linking agent, and amount of 5-FU present in the microspheres. Prolonged and controlled release of 5-FU was achieved when drug was loaded by method-I compared to by method-II. However, all the prepared microspheres released more than 80% of loaded drug in less than two days whatever the method used for drug loading [44]. Recently, ZnAl hydrotalcite-like nanoparticles have been loaded with 5-FU. The release of drug from those nanoparticles was evaluated in different media. Results showed that there was a rapid and burst release during the first hour followed by a slower release of the drug which reached equilibrium in less than 5 hours [45]. Also, dual drug delivery of 5-FU and methotrexate (MTX) through random copolymeric nanomicelles of PLGA and polyethylenimine was carried out.
The release profile indicated their controlled release from those nanomicelles. However, more than 75% of loaded 5-FU was released in less than 17 hours [46]. Comparing between bioactive glass nanoparticles used in this study and the previously mentioned delivery systems indicated the superiority of glass nanoparticles as 5-FU delivery system. Result of this work demonstrated that glass nanoparticles were still loaded with 54.4% of 5-FU at the end of release experiment (32 days) and hence, they have the ability to provide sustained drug doses for more than 32 days, which was fare more timing than those reported by the previously mentioned systems.

3.6.3. Analysis of Drug Releasing Kinetics. To study the mechanism of drug release from bioactive glass nanoparticles, the 5-FU release profile was first fitted to Higuchi square root of time model. This model has been used to express the release of drug from a porous carrier as diffusion controlled process based on Fickian diffusion and as a square root of time dependent process. The application of the Higuchi model to both stages of 5-FU release profile from glass nanoparticles is shown in Figure 9. Using the Higuchi equation, regression analysis was performed for each stage of the release profile. Correlation coefficients ($R_C$) were obtained for stages one and two, and they were 0.981 and 0.969, respectively (see Table 1). These high correlation coefficients ($R_C$ values nearly to unity) revealed that 5-FU was released by diffusion controlled mechanism and that its release profile as well as release rate during each stage could be expressed and predicted by Higuchi equation. It was noticed that the release rate of 5-FU was higher during stage one ($5.18 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-0.5}$) than stage two ($9.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-0.5}$). The higher release rate during stage one was attributed to the fast release of drug molecules attached to the surfaces of glass nanoparticles. On the other hand, the slower release rate during stage two was due to the slow diffusion of drug molecules hosted inside the porous structure of glass nanoparticles. In addition to the Higuchi model, other mathematical models such as Baker-Lonsdale model, Hixson-Crowell cube-root model, and first-order model were used to model both stages of 5-FU release profile from nanoparticles as shown in Figures 10, 11, and 12, respectively. The correlation coefficients ($R_C$) and the release rate constants were obtained by applying these mathematical models and are given in Table 1.

It was important to realize that, during stage one, the correlation coefficient was higher in the case of applying Baker-Lonsdale model ($R_C = 0.998$) than in the case of applying Higuchi model ($R_C = 0.981$). These results suggested that, throughout stage one, the Baker-Lonsdale model which described diffusion controlled drug release from spherical shape sample was more suitable for describing 5-FU release profile and calculating its release rate from glass nanoparticles than the Higuchi model. The release rates obtained by applying Baker-Lonsdale model during stages one and two were $0.42 \times 10^{-3}$ and $0.04 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-1}$, respectively. Moreover, stage one and stage two of 5-FU release profile displayed good fitting with Hixson-Crowell cube-root model (Figure 11). The correlation coefficients ($R_C$) obtained for stage one and two were 0.951 and 0.923, respectively. These high values of correlation coefficients conferred that the drug release profile from nanoparticles was dependent on glass dissolution and changes of surface area as well as diameter of the particles during dissolution. In addition, the 5-FU release rates obtained by applying Hixson-Crowell cube-root model on the release data during stages one and two were $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $0.12 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-1}$, respectively. Finally, the in vitro release profile of 5-FU from glass nanoparticles was found to follow the first-order model during stage one and stage two as the correlation coefficients ($R_C$) were 0.955 and 0.929, respectively, which indicated that the release rate of 5-FU from glass nanoparticles during both stages was dependent on concentration of loaded drug used in the loading stage and suggested that the release rate of 5-FU could be modulated according to the patient need by changing drug concentration during loading stage. The release rates during stage one and
Figure 9: Application of the Higuchi model to both stages of 5-FU release profile from glass nanoparticles.

Figure 10: Application of the Baker-Lonsdale model to both stages of 5-FU release profile from glass nanoparticles.

Figure 11: Application of the Hixson-Crowell cube-root model to both stages of 5-FU release profile from glass nanoparticles.
Table 1: The correlation coefficients ($R_C$) and release rate constants obtained by applying different mathematical models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mathematical models</th>
<th>Stage 1 (0–24 h)</th>
<th>Stage 2 (24 h–768 h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correlation coefficient ($R_C$)</td>
<td>Release rate constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higuchi</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>$k_1 = 51.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ h}^{-0.5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-order</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>$k_2 = 10.95 \times 10^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker-Lonsdale</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>$k_3 = 0.42 \times 10^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hixson-Crowell</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>$k_4 = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 12:** Application of the first-order model to both stages of 5-FU release profile from glass nanoparticles.

Stage two obtained by applying this model were $10.95 \times 10^{-3}$ and $0.41 \times 10^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$, respectively.

Modeling of drug release profile using different mathematical models in this work revealed that the quality of fit for stage one was always enhanced compared to that for stage two; that is, $R_C$ is higher for stage one than stage two. This observation was in agreement with previous studies, as they had reported that significant deviation was possible beyond 50% release [26, 28]. Regression analyses using different models showed that drug release profile from glass nanoparticles during stages one and two was best expressed by Baker-Lonsdale and Higuchi models as the curve fitting of release profile showed higher linearity than the other models. However, other models used in this work were also applicable as they showed fairly good linearity with release profile with relatively high correlation coefficients especially during stage one.

In brief, the major advantages of using bioactive glass nanoparticles as a controlled delivery system for 5-FU were the following:

(i) Bioactive glass nanoparticles that could be prepared on a large scale.

(ii) Degradability of these nanoparticles to a nontoxic product and thus no need for a second surgery to remove these particles from body.

(iii) The simplicity of drug loading method, which could allow modulation of drug release rate according to patient need by using different drug concentrations during loading stage.

(iv) Being able to provide a large concentration of drug molecules (burst release) during the first day after tumor resection, which could help killing any remaining of tumor cells.

(v) Drug-loaded nanoparticles that were able to provide a sustained release of therapeutic doses of 5-FU for long duration time as compared with other systems in the literature, which could prevent tumor recurrence after resection.

(vi) Biocompatibility, bioactivity, and ability to stimulate bone regeneration.

4. Conclusions

Bioactive glass nanoparticles were prepared and used for sustained 5-FU delivery. They were characterized by TEM, DTA, TGA, and FT-IR. In addition, surface area and porosity % were measured by high-speed gas sorption analyzer and mercury intrusion porosimetry technique, respectively. Moreover, SEM and TF-XRD were used to confirm the in vitro bioactivity of glass disks prepared from these nanoparticles in simulated body fluid. Results of this study showed that 5-FU is successfully loaded onto glass nanoparticles. The in vitro drug release experiment indicated that bioactive glass
nanoparticles could serve as long-term local delivery vehicles for sustained 5-FU release. The application of different mathematical models indicated that 5-FU was released by diffusion controlled mechanism and suggested that its release profile was dependent on glass particles dissolution, changes of surface area as well as diameter of glass particles, and concentration of loaded drug.
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