The Effect of High Loaded Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes in Natural Rubber and Their Nonlinear Material Constants
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1. Introduction

The extent of improvement in material properties mostly depends on the filler size, aspect ratio, state of dispersion, processing technique, and others [1]. Silica (SiO₂) and carbon black are conventional reinforcing fillers used to improve mechanical properties of various rubbers [2]. The inclusion of small amount of nanofillers may render the resultant composite with great enhancement in modulus and strength. Carbon black filler provides an enhancement in tensile strength, tear strength, elastic modulus, abrasion resistance, and electrical property. The silica filler gives a unique improvement in tear strength, abrasion resistance, aging resistance, and adhesion properties. Nanofillers have recently attracted a huge scientific interests due to resulting high surface-to-volume, high stiffness, low density, high modulus, high damping, and others. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene have been used to fabricate polymer nanocomposites to gain improvement in electrical and mechanical properties. The fillers are added to the rubber matrix according to the requirement of material properties. Several methods including solution casting, melt-mixing, and in situ polymerization of monomers with presence of CNTs have been attempted to prepare polymer nanocomposites [3–12]. The common method in dispersion of filler in the rubber matrix is sonication in which pulsed ultrasound exfoliates agglomerates and disperses MWCNTs in the rubber matrix. The challenge that the researchers face is synthesizing composite with superior properties. It is because of nonhomogeneous dispersion of CNTs in rubber matrix and low interfacial interaction between them that lead to inefficient load transfer between matrix and the filler.

Zhang et al. [11] reported that the inclusion of 1 wt% of nanotubes into nylon-6 (PA6) results in about 115% increase in tensile modulus, 120% in tensile strength, and 67% in hardness. Chen et al. [12] attempted to disperse double wall carbon nanotubes (DWCNT) into epoxy resin matrix. Gojny et al. [13] studied the effect of CNTs in thermal conductivity of polymer composite. Rattanasom et al. [2]
reported the reinforcement of NR with silica/CB hybrid filler at various ratios. Li et al. [14] attempted to prepare silicone nanocomposites with 1, 2, 3, 5, and 5 wt% of MWCNTs and reported that 5% has enhanced the mechanical property. Takeuchi et al. [15] reported that the reinforcing performance improves as diameter of MWCNT decreases, while thermal resistance improves as heat-treatment temperature decreases. Pinto and Rezende [16] prepared a conducting composite with poly(o-methoxyaniline) (POMA) and CNT of 0.1 and 0.5 wt% and incorporated them in epoxy resin. They concluded that inclusion of CNTs increased the conductivity of the composite. Li [17] attempted with MWCNTs and hydroxyl (HO) modified MWCNTs to increase the mechanical property of Polypropylene (PP) through twin-screw method. He concluded that HO modified MWCNT has shown high dispersion than MWCNT. Phomrak and Phisalaphong [18] attempted with bacterial cellulose (BC) to reinforce the NR latex and concluded that the tensile strength was increased 94% higher than pure NR latex. Medina et al. [19] prepared a glass fiber reinforced composite using CNTs doped epoxy and concluded that incorporation of CNTs increased the hardness by 6% and decreased the fracture toughness by 17%.

Tarawneh et al. [20] prepared thermoplastic natural rubber composite with MWCNTs through melt blending method. They melt blended polypropylene (PP), NR, and liquid natural rubber (LNR) in a ratio of 70%, 20%, 10%, respectively, and MWCNTs in the ratio of 1, 3, 5, and 7 wt%. They noticed that the mechanical strength increases with 1 and 3% of MWCNTs and decreases with 5 and 7% of MWCNTs. Azira et al. [21] attempted with 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 wt% of MWCNTs dispersed in toluene by sonicating method and further added natural rubber latex into the solution. They reported that the strength decreases beyond 3 wt% of MWCNTs. Bokobza [22] also attempted to study mechanical and electrical properties of NR/MWCNT composite through sonication method. He used 1, 2, 3, 5, and 10 wt% of MWCNTs and reported that there is negative effect in mechanical properties due to filler loading. It is drawn from the above literatures that only a low loading (up to 10%) of MWCNTs has been attempted, the mechanical strength was increased up to inclusion 3 wt% of MWCNTs, and compression molding technique has not been mostly attempted. This research focuses on high loading of MWCNTs into NR matrix through compression molding technique and investigates the effect of fillers in mechanical properties.

2. Two-Roll Mill Process and Rheological Test

The fillers, NC7000™, used in the current research are thin multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) produced via the Catalytic Chemical Vapor Deposition (CCVD) process by NANOCYL®, Belgium. They are tube-shaped materials, exclusively composed of carbon atoms, having a nanometric diameter of 9.5 nm, length of 1.5 μm, and density of 1.3 g/cm³. They generally look like a black powder and spaghetti like structure at nanoscale. Figure 1 shows TEM image of MWCNT. It is obvious that the addition of different weight fractions of filler prepares nanocomposites with different material properties. Table 1 shows amount of NR and phr (parts per hundred units of rubber) of MWCNT used to synthesize NR/MWCNT nanocomposites and Table 2 depicts the mixing cycle of the composite.

Initially, NR of predetermined quantity was wrapped around in a two-roll mill running at 20 rpm with roll gap of 1 mm for about 20 minutes to render more radicals as the molecular weight decreases. The predetermined quantity of ingredients was slowly added to NR matrix with the roll gap of 0.1 mm and wrapped further continuously for another 20 minutes. The mixer was then kept at room temperature for 24 hours. In the second stage of mixing, the predetermined quantity of MWCNTs was added to NR kneaded mixer at the roller speed of 20 rpm with 0.1 mm of roller gap to open up MWCNT aggregates. Sulphur and TMT as accelerators were added to the kneaded mixture to speed up the mastication and the mixing was continued for about 20 minutes to prepare the sheet of 2 mm thickness. After ensuring the homogeneous mixing of materials through optical microscopy, the rheological tests were carried out in oscillating disc rheometer as per ASTM D 2084-01 (ISO 3417).

The oscillating disc type rheometer is an efficient, simple, and reliable testing machine from which the cure curve is obtained. The “cure curve” is a fingerprint of the compound’s vulcanization and processing character. 200 g of compound in each mixing was considered in the tests. The standard frequency of the rotary oscillation of the disk and temperature were set as 1.7 Hz and 150°C, respectively. The following data were measured from the tests:

(a) The minimum torque (ML) which is a measure of the stiffness of the unvulcanized test specimen taken at the lowest point of the curve. It is proportional to the viscosity of the uncured compound.

(b) The highest torque (MH) which is a measure of stiffness or shear modulus of the fully vulcanized test specimen at the vulcanization temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural rubber (47 Shore A)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC7000 MWCNT phr</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The amount of NR and MWCNTs.
Table 2: Mixing cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing and working condition</th>
<th>0–20 minutes</th>
<th>21–40 minutes</th>
<th>24 hours</th>
<th>0–20 minutes</th>
<th>21–40 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roller speed</td>
<td>Roller speed = 20 rpm</td>
<td>Roller speed = 20 rpm</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>Roller speed = 20 rpm</td>
<td>Roller speed = 20 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Temperature = 50°C</td>
<td>Temperature = 50°C</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>Temperature = 50°C</td>
<td>Temperature = 50°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water cooling</td>
<td>Water cooling</td>
<td>Water cooling</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>Water cooling</td>
<td>Water cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roller gap</td>
<td>Roller gap = 1 mm</td>
<td>Roller gap = 0.1 mm</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>Roller gap = 0.1 mm</td>
<td>Roller gap = 0.1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>Kneading of natural rubber</td>
<td>Addition of ingredients; 4020, TDQ, zinc oxide, stearic acid, CBS to the kneaded mixture</td>
<td>Cooling of kneaded mixture at room temperature</td>
<td>Addition of predetermined quantity of MWCNTs to NR matrix</td>
<td>Addition of predetermined quantity accelerators; TMT and sulphur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(c) Induction time (tS2) which is equal to 2 dN·m. It rises above ML and is used with 3° amplitudes.

(d) Scorch time (tS5) which is a measure of the time at which vulcanization begins.

(e) The optimum cure time to obtain 50% of cure, known as tc50.

(f) The optimum cure time to obtain 90% of cure, known as tc90.

(g) Cure rate which is a derived parameter that measures the rate of vulcanization. It is calculated as $CR = 100/(tc90 - ts2)$.

Table 3 shows the data obtained from rheological tests and Figure 2 shows the rheological curves of the composites. The scorch time is a measure of process safety. A drop of the scorch safety is noticed as a consequence of the addition of MWCNTs in NR matrix. Interestingly, the scorch time is increased in 20 phr and 30 phr samples, regarded as the increase in the process safety. In order to ensure this unexpected phenomenon, the test was repeated two more times and found no change in the results. Scorch time is also considered to be the time at which the vulcanization starts. It is observed from the results that the time at which vulcanization starts depends on the amount of filler added.

The cure rate (CR) index is the rate at which the cross-linking and the development of stiffness of the compound occur after the scorch point. As the compound is heated beyond the scorch point, the properties of the compound change from the soft plastic to a tough elastic material required for use. Cross links are introduced which connect the long polymer chains of the rubber together during the curing phase. As more cross links are introduced, the polymer chains become more firmly connected and the stiffness of the compound increases. It is evidently seen in the difference between maximal and minimal torque. The full curved torque is a measure of shear modulus or stiffness of the compound. From the results of rheology tests, the maximum torque, difference in torque, and cure index are increased due to addition of fillers, which can be regarded as a consequence of an increasing network density. These phenomena show the increase in stiffness of the resultant composite, compared to pure NR. But, there is a drop in maximum torque and torque difference of 30 phr composite compared to 20 phr composite, which is considered to be a loss in stiffness.

3. Preparation of Samples and Experimental

The mixer prepared from the two-roll mill process was placed into a mold of 150 mm × 150 mm × 2 mm dimension. The compression molding was carried out for about 20 minutes at 160°C and the slab of size 150 × 150 × 2 mm in each
Table 3: Parameters measured from rheology tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Min. torque (ML)</th>
<th>Max. torque (MH)</th>
<th>Induction time (tS2) Hr: minute</th>
<th>Scorch time (tS5) Hr: minute</th>
<th>Optimum cure time 50% (tc50) Hr: minute</th>
<th>Optimum cure time 90% (tc90) Hr: minute</th>
<th>Cure rate (CR)</th>
<th>Difference in torque (MH-ML)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unfilled NR</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>66.11</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>2:13</td>
<td>2:53</td>
<td>3:59</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 phr MWCNT filled NR</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>81.19</td>
<td>1:35</td>
<td>1:51</td>
<td>2:24</td>
<td>4:01</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>77.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 phr MWCNT filled NR</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>92.42</td>
<td>1:06</td>
<td>1:16</td>
<td>1:41</td>
<td>3:02</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>82.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 phr MWCNT filled NR</td>
<td>29.36</td>
<td>138.64</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>1:18</td>
<td>1:43</td>
<td>2:58</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>109.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 phr MWCNT filled NR</td>
<td>51.83</td>
<td>127.08</td>
<td>1:22</td>
<td>1:26</td>
<td>1:46</td>
<td>3:19</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>75.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Repeatability and reproducibility of experimental data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Mean tensile strength $\bar{x}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>Variance $\sum (x - \bar{x})^2$</th>
<th>Standard deviation $S$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR/5 phr MWCNT</td>
<td>Machine 1: 5.6025</td>
<td>0.01103</td>
<td>0.105002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Machine 2: 5.5097</td>
<td>0.01493</td>
<td>0.122205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average of laboratory average $\bar{x} = 5.5561$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD of the laboratory averages $S_x = 0.0657$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/10 phr MWCNT</td>
<td>Machine 1: 7.5198</td>
<td>0.01613</td>
<td>0.126985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Machine 2: 7.5511</td>
<td>0.01233</td>
<td>0.111058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average of laboratory average $\bar{x} = 7.5354$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD of the laboratory averages $S_x = 0.0222$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/20 phr MWCNT</td>
<td>Machine 1: 14.788</td>
<td>0.04631</td>
<td>0.213592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Machine 2: 14.682</td>
<td>0.03282</td>
<td>0.181159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average of laboratory average $\bar{x} = 14.735$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD of the laboratory averages $S_x = 0.0753$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/30 phr MWCNT</td>
<td>Machine 1: 23.385</td>
<td>0.04913</td>
<td>0.221651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Machine 2: 23.317</td>
<td>0.05935</td>
<td>0.243615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average of laboratory average $\bar{x} = 23.351$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD of the laboratory averages $S_x = 0.0484$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Composition was prepared. The postcuring was done on the molded slabs for about 4 hours at 200°C in a digital oven. The dumb bell samples as per ASTM D412-06a (ISO 37) standard as shown in Figure 3 were then cut from vulcanized nanocomposite slabs. Ductility decrease was observed during high load of fillers. The resultant composite became more brittle and not usable when the weight percentage of filler exceeded 30 phr.

The tensile tests were conducted in two different laboratories, but with the same test conditions. The equidistant of 33 mm from the centre of the sample was marked in each sample and the uniaxial tensile test was conducted in Instron® 3366 universal tensile testing machine in VIT University, India, at quasi-static strain rate of 250 mm/minute. The tensile modulus, tensile strength, and elongation at break were recorded for each sample. The test was repeated 5 times for each weight fraction to ensure the repeatability of the measured data. The same set of experiments were also conducted in tensile testing machine in Aerospace Engineers Pvt. Ltd., India, to ensure the reproducibility of the measured data. The mean, variance, standard deviation of the measured data, repeatability, and reproducibility are tabulated in Table 4. Repeatability refers to the variability between independent test results obtained within a laboratory with a specific set of testing equipment using random samples. Reproducibility deals with the variability between single test results obtained from different laboratories, each of which has applied the test procedures to random samples. The smaller standard deviation represents the closeness of the measured data to the mean of the measures. The standard deviation of the measured data in each laboratory and the standard deviation of laboratory averages are very small, which ensured the repeatability and reproducibility of the measurements, respectively. The Shore hardness of the resultant composites was measured using type-A durometer as per ASTM 2240.

3.1. Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) Examinations. SEM examinations were done on unfractured samples and fractured samples of different weight fractions. It shows good wetting properties between the MWCNTs and rubber matrix for all the unfractured samples. No visible voids nor pull out was observed even for high fiber loading. The rolling process realigned the fiber in a uniform direction and MWCNT was found aggregated in the clumps, scattered randomly in the matrix. Figure 4 shows SEM images taken on unfractured samples, and Figures 5(b)–5(e) show the fractured surface of filled NR samples. The MWCNT aggregates as circle marked are seen in the images, particularly more in high fiber loading samples. The crack initiation at fiber aggregates is very visible and pull outs for the fracture are observed. It is persuaded that the effective load transfers from rubber matrix to MWCNT.

![Figure 3: Dimensions of dumb bell specimen as per ASTM D412-06a (ISO 37) Type C.](image-url)
fibers caused the stress concentrated at the fibers. The fracture surface of MWCNT showed star shape at low magnification, possibly experienced shearing, or friction of fiber-fiber prior to fracture. At higher fiber loading percentage (30 phr), MWCNT became reinforced mesh embedded in the matrix, transferring the elastic rubber matrix favors to brittle behavior. The inefficient load transfers from matrix to MWCNT fibers caused the fracture at matrix.

3.2. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR). The FTIR tests on unfilled NR, MWCNT, and 5, 10, 20, and 30 phr MWCNTs filled samples were carried out in Thermo Scientific™ Nicolet™ iN10 Infrared Microscope. The IR spectra of the samples are depicted in Figure 6 and the peak values are tabulated in Table 5. The peak values 455 and 519 cm\(^{-1}\) are observed in MWCNT which represents C-Br stretch bonds. The wave number 2854.14 in NR sample indicates the presence of polyisoprene and other sharp peaks at 1519.18, 1443.73, 1242, 969, and 829.16 =CH stretching vibration, C=C stretching vibration, and =CH have been altered in all other 5, 10, 20, and 30 phr MWCNTs filled NR samples while the alterations are considerably increased with increase in the percentage of MWCNTs. Accordingly, NR/5 wt% MWCNT showed the characteristic absorption bands of polyisoprene including the tripeak at 2958, 2916, and 2850 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to CH\(_3\) asymmetric stretching vibration, CH\(_2\) asymmetric stretching vibration, and CH\(_2\) symmetric stretching vibration, respectively. Moreover, peaks at 3035, 1654, and 835 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to =CH stretching vibration, C=C stretching vibration, and =CH out-of-plane bending vibration, respectively. It should be noted that absorption bands at 1373 and 1444 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to CH\(_3\)
asymmetric deformation and CH2 deformation, respectively. Addition of nanocarbon to the polymeric matrix introduced some new absorption bands to the spectrum (specifically distinguishable at higher loading, e.g., 30 phr) including the peaks at around 1247, 1535, and 1737 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to C=C stretching vibration, stretching vibration of C-C bonds of aromatic rings, and C=O stretching of aromatic rings, respectively. The mentioned peaks indicate the presence of MWCNTs in the composite. Though significant changes were observed in MWCNTs filled NR, particularly as the filler percentage increases, for further understanding on disruption of carbon filled NR, samples were examined using atomic force microscopy (AFM).

3.3. Atomic Force Microscopic (AFM) Examinations. AFM is one of the most powerful tools for the analysis of surface morphologies as it renders three-dimensional images at angstrom and nanoscale. The qualitative AFM examination was done in Nanosurf easy Scan 2 AFM machine to analyse the dispersion of nanometric fillers in NR matrix. The standard method of rendering AFM data is a two-dimensional (2D) image using a color scale to represent height information. But it is difficult for some viewers to understand the features based on 2D images. The other way is to render the height information as a pseudo-three-dimensional image. Figure 7 shows 2D and 3D topography of unfilled and filled samples. The white spot as circle marked in the topography line fit images is considered to be MWCNT fiber, which evidently shows the change in phase as arrow marked in topography mean fit images. 3D deflection images show the surface roughness of the samples in terms of peaks and valleys. It is noticed from the deflection images of filled composites that the deflection of the probe is low which is regarded as the high surface finish of the samples. It obvious that the magnitude of deflection is high when the probe detects the fiber or some aggregate. The surface roughness of the sample can be estimated from the sum of the mean of maxima profile valleys depths calculated over the surface and the mean of maxima profile peak height calculated over the surface. The steady peak is almost observed for all filled samples which is regarded to be good wetting of MWCNTs with NR matrix.

3.4. Model of the Filler Reinforced Composite. Einsten equation, Einsten and Guth equation, modified Guth equation,
Kerner equation, parallel and series model, Rule of Mixtures model, Hirsch model, Halpin-Tsai model, modified Halpin-Tsai model, Cox model, and Bowyer and Bader’s model are some existing models for evaluating mechanical properties of the composite.

Halpin-Tsai model (H-T model) [23] is a semiempirical model used for aligned fiber reinforced composites. The tensile strength of the composite is predicted as

$$T_c = T_m \frac{1 + A \eta V_f}{1 - \eta V_f},$$

(1)

where $T_c$ is the tensile strength of the resultant composite, $T_m$ is the tensile strength of the base matrix, and $T_f$ is the tensile strength of the filler. The parameters $\eta$ and $A$ are calculated from Einstein coefficient $K$. The parameter $K$ is calculated from the length ($l$) and the diameter ($d$) of the filler.

$$\eta = \frac{T_f/T_m - 1}{T_f/T_m + A},$$

$$A = K - 1,$$

(2)

The filler volume fraction $V_f$ can be obtained from the weight fraction of the filler, density of the base matrix, and the density of the filler.

$$V_f = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\rho_f/\rho_m\right)\left(1/W_f - 1\right)},$$

(3)

where $W_f$ is the weight fraction of the filler, $\rho_f$ is the density of the filler, and $\rho_m$ is the density of the matrix.

Equations (1)–(3) of H-T model were used to predict the tensile strength of filled NR of different weight fractions and further compared with the experimental results.

4. Extended Tube Model-A Nonlinear Material Model

The ability to predict the failure of an engineering component depends on two main factors: the choice of material model...
Figure 7: AFM images taken on (a) unfilled and (b)–(e) MWCNTs filled samples.
and the reliability of the data input into the model. Elastomers, biological tissues, rubbers, foams, and polymers are often modelled using hyperelastic models. The hyperelastic materials are special case of Cauchy-elastic material. A hyperelastic model defines the stress-strain relationship using a scalar function—a strain energy density function \( W \). The strain energy potential can be either a direct function of the principal stretch ratios \( W(I_1, I_2, I_3) \) or a function of the strain invariants, \( W(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \). The uniaxial and/or biaxial test as per ASTM standards is to be conducted to obtain stress-strain data for the various load conditions. Curve fit of experimentally measured strain-stress data into the respective hyperelastic material model will result in appropriate material constants of the material. There are many popular nonlinear hyperelastic material models: Mooney-Rivlin [24], Ogden [25], Arruda-Boyce [26], Yeoh [27], Gent [28], and Neo-Hookean [29]. These models have been used to model soft materials [30–33]. All these characteristic models well suit the unfilled rubber materials that elongate in the range of 100 to 700.

Kaliske and Heinrich [34] recently proposed a hyperelastic model called extended tube model for filler reinforced rubber composite. The extended tube model is a physics-based polymer model, which introduces the physical consideration on the molecular scale into the formulation of the strain energy potential. The model considers the topological constraints as well as the limited chain extensibility of network chains in the filled rubbers. The chains are constrained to remain in a tube formed by surrounding chains, referred to as the tube model. This assumption is characterised to the high degree of entanglement of rubber network. In the unfilled polymer networks with elastic characteristics are considered as disordered systems with a constant topology of crosslinks and entanglements. According to theory of elasticity, the crosslinks and entanglements are originated from vulcanization process and they do not change during the deformation process. The filled elastomers show nonlinear stress-strain dependencies, stress softening ( Mullins effect), rate dependent inelasticity (Payne effect), and rate independent inelasticity. The framework of this model considers the tube-like topological constraints (packing effects) and finite chain extensibility of the filler reinforced polymer networks. It considers the topology \( T \) given by a set of worm like network chain paths \( R(s) \). The worm like network chain paths are parameterized by the contour variable \( s \) and crosslink positions \( R_i \), where crosslink positions can be interpreted as average conformations or positions [35]. The readers may refer to [34, 35] to further understand the basics of the extended tube model and how the parametrization is being done.

The general form of extended tube model is

\[
W = \frac{G_c}{2} \left[ \frac{(1 - \delta^2)(I_1 - 3)}{1 - \delta^2(I_1 - 3)} + \ln \left(1 - \delta^2 \left(I_1 - 3\right)\right) \right] + \frac{2G_c}{\beta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\lambda_i^\beta - 1\right) + \frac{1}{\delta} (J - 1)^2. \tag{4}
\]

There are 5 material constants involved in this strain energy function. \( G_c \) represents crosslinked network modulus, \( G_e \) represents constraint network modulus, \( \beta \) is empirical parameter \((0 \leq \beta \leq 1)\), and \( \delta \) and \( d \) are extensibility and incompressibility parameters, respectively. \( \beta \) represents the influence of the global rearrangement of crosslinks upon deformation and in consequence the release of topological constraints. It is physically derived for a given polymer network as a function of the amount of solvent, solution fraction, network defects, and filler and it indicates the completeness of the cross-linking reaction [35]. The incompressible parameter for the rubber material is considered to be zero and hence only four parameters are to be evaluated. The initial shear modulus of the material is given by \( G = G_c + G_e \). Finite element (FE) analysis software such as ANSYS, Marc, and ABACUS provides the options to curve fit the strain-stress data to derive the material constants of different nonlinear models.

Markmann and Verron [36] conducted a benchmark of twenty hyperelastic models using Treloar’s and biaxial extension data obtained experimentally for isoprene rubber vulcanize. The ranking was done based upon the complexity of their mathematical formulation and ability of accurately reproducing the test data. Gorash et al. [37] conducted a benchmark of all existing hyperelastic models with the experimental data from rubber cylinder under compression proposed by Lindley [1967]. Behnke et al. [38] conducted a thermomechanical analysis of critically loaded particle-reinforced elastomer component. In all the above case studies, the analytical solution and FEA results of the case study problems were compared. They revealed that extended tube model and response function model are more efficient models than conventional models for filled rubber.

Extended tube model was attempted to evaluate nonlinear material constants of the resultant NR/MWCNT nanocomposites of different weight fraction. The strain-stress data measured from uniaxial tensile tests were input in ANSYS 18.0 APDL and the corresponding nonlinear material constants were computed. Figure 8 shows a curve fit of strain-stress data of NR/30 phr MWCNT composite into extended tube model. It seems that the model well matches with the experimental data of the composite.

There are several material constants of different weight fractions of nanocomposites are presented in Table 6. These data can further be used in the soft material-component design and its nonlinear FE analysis.

### 5. Results and Discussion

Figure 9 shows the tensile strength of unfilled NR and NR/MWCNT composites. It is observed from the results that the reinforcement of MWCNTs in NR has shown drastic increase in the mechanical strength and hardness, but decrease in ductility. When more than 30 phr of fillers was added, the green rubber mixer became more brittle, which could not be further vulcanized.

The tensile strength of unfilled NR is observed as 5.1 MPa. The inclusion of 5 phr and 10 phr MWCNTs into pure NR has increased its strength by 9.19% and 32.49%, respectively.
Table 6: Nonlinear material constants and shear modulus of resultant nanocomposites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>phr of MWCNT</th>
<th>( G_c ) (MPa)</th>
<th>( \bar{G}_c ) (MPa)</th>
<th>( \delta )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0.0688528</td>
<td>0.8874444</td>
<td>2.3433769</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.0361318</td>
<td>1.890291</td>
<td>2.0894656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0.0425993</td>
<td>2.8320059</td>
<td>2.0372378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0.2271428</td>
<td>3.6135025</td>
<td>0.0001507</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Extended tube model curve fit of NR/30 phr MWCNT composite, done in ANSYS 18.0 APDL.

Figure 9: Tensile strength of unfilled and filled NR composites.

The adding of 20 phr has increased its strength by 65.49%. The tensile strength of NR/30 phr MWCNTs is observed as 23.38 MPa, which is 78.18% of increase in the mechanical strength. This is in contrast to the results reported by [20–22]. In the result of Tarawneh et al. [20] and Azira et al. [21], 1% and 3% MWCNTs have increased the mechanical property of NR, but 5%, 7%, and 10% have decreased the mechanical property. In the result of Bakobza [22], the degradation of mechanical property occurred even in a small load of MWCNTs. The degradation of mechanical property could be on account of the presence of some agglomerates. It is interestingly noticed from them that the enhancement of mechanical property of NR is up to 3 wt% of MWCNT filler, when solution mixing or sonication or melt blending technics are used. But the compression molding process used in this research has given a uniform distribution of filler into NR matrix and increased the mechanical properties very well. The drastic increase in mechanical strength, increase in stiffness, and ductility loss are noticed during the high load of MWCNTs in NR matrix. The similar kind of increase in strength was noticed by Blighe et al. [39], when they high loaded (75 phr) single wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) in the silicone rubber matrix. Figure 10 shows the comparison between the predicted tensile strengths from Halpin-Tsai model and the experimental results. The predicted values look close to the experimental results, particularly at higher loaded (30 phr) composite.

The elongation at break of 5, 10, 20, and 30 phr composites is observed as 280, 173, 169, and 156, respectively, as shown in Figure 11. It decreases as expected upon the increase of the fillers. Interestingly noticed from the trend line that the loss of ductility is drastic up to 10 phr, beyond which it seems to be minimal. Figure 12 shows the hardness of the resultant nanocomposite against the percentage of filler used. It is observed that Shore A hardness of 30 phr MWCNTs filled NR is 90, which is about 91.5% increase compared to unfilled NR. The hardness impart ratio of MWCNT is estimated as 2 for NR matrix. In a nutshell, there is a great improvement in
6. Conclusions

The aim of this paper is to investigate the effect of high loading of MWCNTs into NR matrix. The samples are prepared with different weight fractions (5%, 10%, 20%, and 30%) of MWCNTs through two-roll mill. Rheology tests are done to measure the scorch time, cure time, minimum torque and maximum torque, and cure rate. A drop of the scorch safety is noticed as a consequence of the addition of MWCNTs in NR matrix. Interestingly, the scorch time is increased on 20 phr and 30 phr samples, regarded as the increase in the process safety. The dumb bell samples as per ASTM D412-06a (ISO 37) standard are prepared and tensile tests are conducted in Instron machine. From the results, it is noticed that NR/30 phr MWCNT has the highest tensile strength of 23.38 MPa, which is 78.18% higher than the pure NR. It is also observed from hardness test as per ASTM 2240 that Shore A hardness of 30 phr MWCNT filled NR is 90, which is about 91.5% higher than pure NR. It is drastic increase in the mechanical strength and hardness upon increase of the filler weight percentage. Meanwhile, it is observed that the ductility loss and decrease in elongation at break upon filler increase. The elongation at break of 5, 10, 20, and 30 phr composites is observed as 280, 173, 169, and 156, respectively. The tensile strength of the composites is predicted from Halpin-Tsai model and compared with the experimental results. The close agreement of result is observed, particularly at higher loading (30 phr) of filler. SEM, FTIR, and AFM examinations are also conducted for qualitative analysis of the composites. The significant change in IR spectrum and AFM images are observed which is regarded as the good wetting of MWCNTs in NR matrix. The SEM results also show high dispersion of nanofillers and strong interfacial interaction with rubber, which is responsible for overall enhancement in mechanical properties of the nanocomposites. The experimentally measured strain-stress data are used to evaluate nonlinear material constants of extended tube model—a nonlinear hyperelastic material model that well suits the filler reinforced composites. The nonlinear constants are presented for the readers and designers to use them in design and FE analysis.
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