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Effect of Nano-ppy/OMMT on the Physical and Electrochemical Properties of an Ionic Liquid Gel Polymer Electrolyte
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A new type of nanopolypyrrole/organically modified montmorillonite-ionic liquid gel polymer electrolyte (ppy/OMMT-ILGPE) is prepared based on nanopolypyrrole/organically modified montmorillonite (ppy/OMMT), N-butyl-N-methylpyrroli
dinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (PP14TFSI), lithium-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) (LiTFSI), polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF), methyl methacrylate (MMA), and benzoyl peroxide (BPO) by an in situ method. The effect of nano-ppy/OMMT on the physical and electrochemical properties of an ionic liquid gel polymer electrolyte is demonstrated. The results show that nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE has a porous structure with a large surface area, and the diameter of the pores on the surface is approximately 1-2 \(\mu m\). The \(Li^+\) transference number of 0.72 is achieved, and the ionic conductivity reaches up to \(1.2 \times 10^{-3}\) S/cm at room temperature. Nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE has good thermal stability and mechanical properties. Meanwhile, nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE has fine cycle performance in the \(Li||nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE||LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2\) coin cell. The good electrochemistry performance of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE means that it can act as an ideal gel polymer electrolyte material for lithium ion batteries.

1. Introduction

The gel electrolyte plays an important part in lithium ion batteries (LIBs). However, the organic electrolyte is volatile, toxic, and explosive to the environment, and the development of LIBs has been limited. Therefore, ionic liquid gel polymer electrolytes (ILGPEs) that do not leak and have good electrochemical stability as well as high conductivity have increasingly drawn the attention of researchers in recent years [1–4]. With respect to ILGPEs, ionic liquids have more advantages than other liquid electrolytes, such as a higher dielectric constant, no combustibility, higher thermal stability, and less volatility [5]. PVDF, which has a high degree of crystallization, is a common organic polymer support material in the field of ILGPEs [6]. However, the high degree of crystallization may hinder the migration of lithium ions and increase the internal resistance of the LIB [7–9]. Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) also serves as a common organic polymer support material. PMMA with liquid electrolyte can form gel phases. Thus, the PMMA support material can adhere to the electrode and has a high ionic conductivity with liquid electrode well [10,11]. However, the crystallization of PMMA is reduced after adding a liquid electrolyte, affecting the mechanical strength of PMMA [9, 10].

To improve the mechanical strength and electrochemical properties of the gel polymer electrolyte, nanolayered silicates are added to the gel polymer electrolyte and are called nanocomposites. Nanocomposites that have functional advantages and structures have a more promising future than other conventional gel polymer materials [11]. Among the commonly used inorganic layered structures, nanomontmorillonite (MMT) has been preferentially considered due to its special features, such as its length scale (clay channel width = 16 \(\times 10^{-10}\) m), high cation-exchange capacity (CEC \(\sim 80\) mequiv./100 g), high aspect ratio (\(~1000\)), large specific surface area (\(~31.82\) m\(^2\)/g), and appropriate interlayer charge (\(~0.55\)), which makes MMT suitable for nanocomposites [12]. During charge/discharge processes, the interaction between MMT and impurities from the polymer electrolyte and electrode improves the interfacial stability of the electrode and electrolyte [13, 14]. The high cationic
exchanges of MMT that act as Lewis acid centres on the surface can retard the transformation of cation and polymer segments into complexes [13], leading to changes of the MMT structure and the formation of conduction pathways. The interaction between the liquid electrolyte and MMT changes the micropore diameter of the polymer, enhancing the mechanical strength and absorbency rate of the liquid electrolyte [15, 16]. Thus, nano-MMT doped into an ILGPE would be beneficial to enhance the mechanical strength and electrochemical properties of the ILGPE.

In this work, under the action of FeCl$_3$ as the oxidant and sodium p-toluene sulfonate (TSANa) as the swelling agent, pyrrole (py) monomer was polymerized between the molecular layers of nano-organic modified montmorillonite (OMMT) by an in situ method. This method was applied to prepare nano-ppy/OMMT, as shown in Figure 1(a) [15, 17, 18].

First, MMT was dissolved and stirred vigorously in deionized water for 12 h at 60°C until it was fully dispersed. Then, ODAC, an intercalating agent, was added (MMT and ODAC at a ratio of 5:1 by mass) and stirred for 15 h at 60°C until a homogeneous solution was formed. Second, the solution TSANa and py monomer were mixed at the appropriate ratio and were dissolved and vigorously stirred.

The microstructure and electrochemical performance of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE are discussed in detail.

2. Experimental

2.1. Modified Principle and Preparation of Nano-ppy/OMMT. OMMT is prepared by the cationic exchange reaction between octadecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride (ODAC, AR, Tianjin Guangfu), as an organic intercalation agent, and Al$^{3+}$ in nano-MMT (AR, Aladdin). The grain diameter of nano-OMMT became smaller and the layer spacing expanded. This enhanced the access of py monomer (AR, Beijing J&K) to the space between the molecular layers. To further expand the OMMT layer spacing, py monomer was polymerized between the molecular layers during the reaction with FeCl$_3$ (AR, Tianjin Guangfu), as the oxidant, and TSANa (AR, Tianjin Guangfu), as the swelling agent. This method has been applied to prepare nano-ppy/OMMT, as shown in Figure 1(a) [15, 17, 18].

First, MMT was dissolved and stirred vigorously in deionized water for 12 h at 60°C until it was fully dispersed. Then, ODAC, an intercalating agent, was added (MMT and ODAC at a ratio of 5:1 by mass) and stirred for 15 h at 60°C until a homogeneous solution was formed. Second, the solution TSANa and py monomer were mixed at the appropriate ratio and were dissolved and vigorously stirred.
for 1 h at room temperature. Thirty millilitres of 1 mol/L FeCl₃ was added and stirred for 6 h at room temperature. Finally, the product was washed, separated by a centrifuge, and then placed into a high vacuum drying oven for 15 h at 80°C (see Figures 1(b) and 1(c)).

2.2. The Preparation of Nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE. PVDF (Aladdin Chemistry Co., Ltd.) was dissolved in N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP AR, Tianjin Guangfu) at a mass ratio of 1:8 and was stirred vigorously for 4 h. PPI4TFSI (AR, Beijing HWRK Chemistry Co., Ltd., ionic liquid), LiTFSI (AR, Beijing J&K), MMA (AR, Tianjin Guangfu), and BPO (AR, Aladdin Chemistry Co. Ltd.), at a mass fraction of 1.0 wt.% as an initiator, were added at the appropriate ratio (PVDF: PPI4TFSI: MMA = 1:1:1:1 (mass ratio)), and the mixture was stirred for 6 h until it became transparent and a homogenous solution formed. Nano-ppy/OMMT was added to the solution (its mass ratios with PVDF were 0.04:1, 0.06:1, 0.08:1, and 0.1:1). The mixture was continuously stirred for 12 h until a homogenous solution formed. The above process was performed at room temperature, and, then, the solution was heated in vacuum oven at 80°C for 10 h. This method was applied to prepare nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE (see Figure 1(d)) [19–21].

2.3. Electrode Preparation and Cell Assembly. The positive electrode was prepared by adding a LiNi₀.₃Co₀.₃Mn₀.₄O₂ (Shenzhen Kejing Co., Ltd.) active material powder, acetylene black (Shenzhen Kejing Co., Ltd.), and PVDF binder at a mass ratio of 8:1:1 to NMP with constant stirring for 10 h at 100°C until a viscous slurry formed. Then, the resulting viscous slurry was cast onto an aluminium foil (14 mm thickness), as a current collector, and dried at 100°C in a vacuum oven for 10 h. A cell was assembled by sandwiching nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE between the LiNi₀.₃Co₀.₃Mn₀.₄O₂ positive electrode and lithium metal negative electrode. Then, the cell was pressed with a hydraulic press at a pressure of 10 MPa. All of the manufactured cells were manufactured in a dry argon atmosphere glove box.

2.4. Characterization. IR spectra were effective at describing the characteristics and interactions of different groups. These were recorded in the spectral range of 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ at room temperature. The chemical structures of MMT and nano-ppy/OMMT were investigated using the IR spectrometer (FTIR-1500) and Raman spectrometer (RENISHAW-inVia reflex). The interlayer spacing and crystallization of MMT, OMMT, and nano-ppy/OMMT samples in the Raman spectra, which confirm the organic modification of the MMT. The several characteristic peaks at 1156, 1577, and 971 cm⁻¹ represent the bending vibration of O-Al in the MMT lattice. The polymerization reaction of the py monomer (Figure S1) between the layers of MMT has a small effect on the vibration absorption peaks. This indicates that the structure of MMT cannot be changed after organic modification [23]. ppy has the characteristic peaks of N-H and C=C. The vibration absorptions of N-H appear at 3460.22 and 1460.12 cm⁻¹, and the stretch vibration of C=C appears at 1640.23 cm⁻¹. This suggests that py monomer becomes ppy between the MMT layers.

Figure 2(b) shows the obvious differences of the MMT and nano-ppy/OMMT samples in the Raman spectra, which confirm the organic modification of the MMT. The several characteristic peaks at 1156, 1577, and 971 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to ring-stretching mode in the curve of nano-ppy/OMMT. They corresponded to the D-band (C=C, the disordered graphite structure), G-band (C=C, sp²-hybridized carbon), and C-H in-plane deformation of ppy, respectively [24, 25]. It is in line with the result of FTIR (Figure 2(a)).

The interlayer spacing is calculated by using the following Bragg equation:

\[ d = \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta} \]  

3. Results and Discussion

In Figure 2(a), 1020.98 and 1026.07 cm⁻¹ represent the vibration absorption of Si-O; 472.09 and 469.31 cm⁻¹ represent the bending vibration of O-Al in the MMT lattice. The polymerization reaction of the py monomer (Figure S1) between the layers of MMT has a small effect on the vibration absorption peaks. This indicates that the structure of MMT cannot be changed after organic modification [23]. ppy has the characteristic peaks of N-H and C=C. The vibration absorptions of N-H appear at 3460.22 and 1460.12 cm⁻¹, and the stretch vibration of C=C appears at 1640.23 cm⁻¹. This suggests that py monomer becomes ppy between the MMT layers.

Figure 2(b) shows the obvious differences of the MMT and nano-ppy/OMMT samples in the Raman spectra, which confirm the organic modification of the MMT. The several characteristic peaks at 1156, 1577, and 971 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to ring-stretching mode in the curve of nano-ppy/OMMT. They corresponded to the D-band (C=C, the disordered graphite structure), G-band (C=C, sp²-hybridized carbon), and C-H in-plane deformation of ppy, respectively [24, 25]. It is in line with the result of FTIR (Figure 2(a)).

The interlayer spacing is calculated by using the following Bragg equation: 

\[ d = \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin \theta} \]
where $d$ is the layer spacing, $\theta$ is the semi-diffraction angle, and $\lambda$ is the incident X-ray wavelength.

Figure 2(c) shows the XRD patterns of MMT, OMMT, and nano-ppy/OMMT. MMT exhibits the (0 0 1) diffraction peak at $2\theta = 6.18^\circ$, corresponding to an interlayer spacing of 1.42 nm. The (0 0 1) diffraction reflection shifts to $2\theta = 4.78^\circ$ for OMMT and corresponds to an interlayer spacing of 1.84 nm. This can be explained by the cationic exchange reaction between ODAC and MMT. The long alkyl chain of ODAC can increase the interlayer spacing of OMMT by up to 1.84 nm. The shape of the OMMT peak is broader, meaning that the crystallization of OMMT is weakened. Thus, it is easier for the py monomer to gain access between the layers of OMMT. Via interactions with FeCl$_3$, as the oxidant, and TSANa, as the swelling agent, py monomer undergoes the polymerization reaction in situ between the molecular layers. For nano-ppy/OMMT, the (0 0 1) diffraction reflection shifts to $2\theta = 2.68^\circ$, corresponding to an interlayer spacing of 3.61 nm. Meanwhile, the diffraction peaks are substantially broader, indicating that the amorphous state of nano-ppy/OMMT is enhanced. This can improve the ionic conductivity and other electrochemical properties of the ionic liquid gel polymer electrolyte [26–28].

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) shows the surface morphologies of MMT and nano-ppy/OMMT by TEM. SEM images of the ILGPE and nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE are shown in Figures 3(c) and 3(d). In Figure 3(a), MMT has a particle size, with a diameter of 1-2 $\mu$m. However, the lamellar structure of nano-ppy/OMMT, which has a diameter of approximately 50–100 nm, is evident in Figure 3(b). This provides the basis
to construct the porous structure of the gel-type composite electrolyte. As shown in Figures 3(c) and 3(e), the sizes of the pores on the ILGPE surface are approximately 5–15 μm. The sizes and the number of pores are affected by the content and properties of the additive in the casting solution [29, 30]. Figures S2, 3(d), and 3(f) show the porous structure and its diameter of about 1-2 μm. When nano-ppy/OMMT is added to the composite membrane, the tiny aggregates are formed during the reaction between polymer and nano-ppy/MMT on the surface and cross section of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE. The aggregates can prevent polymer segment from closing and crystallizing. The free volume expands and
the proportion of amorphous region increases. Meanwhile, many micropores are formed among aggregates, which are staggered and interconnect into the membrane [30]. Thereby, nano-ppy/OMMT can decrease the diameter of pores and create a porous structure. Nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE could effectively promote Li\(^+\) migration and avoid negative effects on the surface of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE [31]. Thus nano-ppy/OMMT has a significant effect on the average diameter of the pores, which would be beneficial to the migration of Li\(^+\) and further enhance the ionic conductivity.

To test the mechanical capacity of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE, the tensile test is used to separately test nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE and the ILGPE. The mechanical parameters derived from Figure 4(a) are listed in Table 1. From Table 1, the tensile strength of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE (1.82 MPa) is higher than that of ILGPE (0.88 MPa) without nano-ppy/OMMT. The elongation at sample breakage of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE (5.87 mm) is shorter than that of ILGPE (10.46 mm) without nano-ppy/OMMT. Since there are many large pores in ILGPE, the polymer segment can stretch and shift under the action of external force. When nano-ppy/OMMT is uniformly distributed in the electrolyte membrane, the distance between molecular chains is decreased. The structure of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE gets tighter. When the sample of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is

---

**Figure 4:** (a) Displacement-load curves of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE and ILGPE; (b) TG curves of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE and ILGPE; (c) the fracture section of ILGPE; (d) the fracture section of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE.

**Table 1:** Tensile properties of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE and ILGPE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE</th>
<th>ILGPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum load (N)</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectional area (m²)</td>
<td>5.07 × 10(^{-7})</td>
<td>4.65 × 10(^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength (MPa)</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum displacement (mm)</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>10.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pulled, nano-ppy/OMMT hinders the extension and mobility of macromolecular chains in Figures 4(c) and 4(d). Therefore, elongation at sample breakage is decreased. In addition, both its tensile strength and elasticity modulus are increased. Thus, nano-ppy/OMMT is uniformly distributed in the electrolyte membrane. The mechanical strength of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE can be improved.

It can be seen from Figure 4(b) that ILGPE decomposes in two steps. From 100°C to approximately 300°C, the curves of ILGPE and nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE show the evaporation of the NMP solvent. For the curve of ILGPE, the decomposition of both the copolymer and LiTFSI starts at approximately 310°C, and 90% weight loss is detected at 410°C. For the nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE, the decomposition of ppy ranges from 350°C to 410°C [32]. The copolymer and LiTFSI of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE begin to decompose at approximately 350°C, and 70% weight loss is detected at 500°C [33, 34]. Because of the addition of nano-ppy/OMMT, the intermolecular force between macromolecular chains and nano-ppy/OMMT is larger than that between individual chains; nano-ppy/OMMT is fully dispersed in the electrolyte membrane. As external heat is transmitted to the inner region of the electrolyte membrane, the layer structure of nano-ppy/OMMT can block the heat transmission. Therefore, ILGPE with nano-ppy/OMMT can delay the evaporation of the NMP solvent and decomposition of the copolymer and LiTFSI. The thermal decomposition temperature of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is increased, which means that nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE has good thermal stability for the preparation of LIBs.

The ionic conductivity of the electrolyte membrane strongly depends on Li⁺ migration. The AC impedance technique at room temperature reveals that different addition ratios of nano-ppy/OMMT affect the ionic conductivity of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE. Figure 5(a) shows the Nyquist plots of gel polymer electrolyte with different addition ratios of nano-ppy/OMMT, and the values are less than those of ILGPE without nano-ppy/OMMT. Equation (2) is used to calculate the ionic conductivity:

\[
\sigma = \frac{d}{R_b \times A},
\]

where \(R_b\) is the bulk resistance, \(d\) is the film thickness, \(A\) is the surface area of the electrode, and \(\sigma\) is the ionic conductivity. The results are listed in Table 2.

ILGPE without nano-ppy/OMMT has an ionic conductivity of 9.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S/cm}, while the conductivity of the ILGPE reaches 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S/cm} when the nano-ppy/OMMT reaches 8%. From Figures 5(b) and 5(c), it can be concluded that nano-ppy/OMMT, with its high surface area and layer structure, can inhibit accumulation and crystallization of polymer segments, as well as the closure of pores. More conducting pathways are formed and the ionic transfer ability is enhanced by expanding the free volume, reducing the crystallinity and increasing the amorphous region [31]. By contrast, the ionic conductivity of 10% nano-ppy/OMMT is lower than that of the 8% nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE. The former is attributed to the presence of excess nano-ppy/OMMT, and a denser structure of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is formed, impeding the conducting pathways and retarding ion migration. When 8% nano-ppy/OMMT is added, the ionic conductivity of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is optimized.

The conductivity of ILGPE mainly depends on the migration of the free ions produced in the electrolyte. The lower the apparent activation energy is, the easier the free Li⁺ migration is. The bulk resistance (\(R_g\)) of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is tested by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) from 20°C to 80°C. Conductivities (\(\sigma\)) at different temperatures are obtained according to (2). The detailed parameters are listed in Table 3, and the linear relationship of log \(\sigma\) value and 1000/\(T\) conforms to Arrhenius conductive behavior presented in Figure 6 [33]. The correlation coefficient \(R^2 = 0.96\) of the straight line is obtained:

\[
\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{RT} \right),
\]

where \(E_a\) is apparent activation energy, \(T\) is the absolute test temperature, \(R\) is the gas constant, and \(\sigma\) is the ionic conductivity.

From Figure 6, the fitting result of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is extracted from the Arrhenius equation; \(E_a\) is 30.10 kJ/mol. The apparent activation energy is lower than the values ever reported [33], which is conducive to the free migration of Li⁺. This demonstrates that nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is good for lithium ion batteries. Meanwhile, the linear relationship of \(\sigma\) and temperature conforms to the Vogel-Tamman-Fulcher (VTF). The detailed parameters and fitted curve are exhibited in Table S1 and Figure S3.

Figure 7 displays impedance behavior of ILGPE and nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE at room temperature. The bulk resistance (\(R_g\)) is calculated using a semicircle with a real axis intercept at high frequency. The interfacial resistance (\(R_I\))
between the electrode and electrolyte is calculated using the line at low frequency [35, 36]. There is no significant change in $R_b$ before and after the test. However, $R_f$ clearly increased after the test, which may be related to passive film forming between the electrolyte membrane and lithium electrode. The diameter expansion of the $Z''$-$Z'$ semicircles shows an increase in the interface resistance after polarization, thereby restraining the diffusion of lithium ions and the subsequent transfer reaction. The Li-ion transfer number ($t_{\text{Li}^+}$) represents the charge of the interface resistance before and after polarization and is calculated using (4). The values of the parameters are listed in Table 4.

\[
t_{\text{Li}^+} = \frac{I_S (\Delta V - I_0 R_0)}{I_0 (\Delta V - I_S R_S)},
\]

(4)

**Table 4:** The transference number ($t_{\text{Li}^+}$) calculated from Figure 6 based on (4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R_0$</th>
<th>$R_S$</th>
<th>$I_0$</th>
<th>$I_S$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{Li}^+}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ILGPE</td>
<td>1001.8</td>
<td>1372.57</td>
<td>$2.11 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$8.16 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>884.82</td>
<td>$1.52 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.08 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $I_0$ and $I_S$ are initial and steady-state current values, $\Delta V$ is the applied potential difference (10 mV), and $R_0$ and $R_S$ are the initial interfacial resistance and steady-state interfacial resistance, respectively.
In Figure 7 and Table 4, when nano-ppy/OMMT is doped into ILGPE, the 1-2 μm pores appear on the surface which stagger and interconnect into the membrane in Figure 3(f). The porous structure of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE can get a high surface area. In some way, the Li-ion intercalation and deintercalation abilities are increased, and the transfer resistance of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is decreased [31]. The Li-ion transport of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is effectively improved. The porous structure of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is significantly improved. Therefore, nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE can contribute to the improvement of Li-ion transport in the LIBs.

In Figure 8(a), the reduction peak and oxidation peak appear at 3.4 V and 4.2 V in the 1st cycle, respectively. The cathodic peak shifts to a lower potential in the 2nd cycle, which indicates that a passive film is formed between the electrolyte membrane and cathode material during the 1st cycle [37]. After activation and formation of the passive film in the 1st cycle, the reduction peak shifts to 3.25 V, which could be ascribed to the diffusive phenomena between the cathode and membrane during the 2nd cycle. The peak positions are invariable in the 3rd and 4th cycles. In the following cycles, nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE exhibits better compatibility, stability, and reversibility.

In Figure 8(b), the 1st charge/discharge capacity of the Li|LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2 cell is 144.8 mAh/g/121.3 mAh/g (0.5 C) and the coulombic efficiency is 83.8% (0.5 C). In the 2nd charge and discharge cycle, the specific capacity of the cell rises [38]. After 100 cycles, the discharge performance of the Li|LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2 cell is 142.5 mAh/g (0.5 C) and the coulombic efficiency is quite close to 100% (0.5 C). However, comparing ILGPE with nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE (see Figure 8(c)), the 1st charge/discharge capacity of the Li|ILGPE|LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2 cell is 143.1 mAh/g/107.4 mAh/g (0.5 C) and the coulombic efficiency is 74.8% (0.5 C). After 100 cycles, the discharge performance of the Li|ILGPE|LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2 cell is 105.8 mAh/g (0.5 C). The charge/discharge performance and the coulombic efficiency of ILGPE are less than those of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE for the charge and discharge processes, which indicates that nano-ppy/OMMT could restrain negative effects between the electrode and impurities so that the thickness of the passive film is reduced [13, 39]. Therefore, the specific capacity and coulombic efficiency of the cell with nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE are better than those of the cell with the ILGPE.

To confirm the difference in the thicknesses of the passive films on the surfaces of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE and ILGPE subjected to charge and discharge tests at room temperature, the EIS values of the cells before and after 100 cycles at 0.5 C are shown in Figures 8(d) and 8(e). In Figure 8(d), the bulk resistance (R_b) and charge transfer resistance (R_t) of the cell assembled with nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE are smaller than those of the cell with ILGPE before 100 cycles because the porous structure of the electrolyte membrane is improved when nano-ppy/OMMT is doped into ILGPE. The porous structure of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE with a high surface area can absorb more electrolyte and form more conducting pathways, thus improving the ability of lithium to migrate. Therefore, the porous structure of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE can reduce the R_b and R_t of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE. After 100 cycles, nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE and ILGPE present two separate semicircles at the high and low frequencies, which are caused by the solid electrolyte interface resistance (R_SEI) and R_ct (see Figure 8(e)) [40]. Compared with the R_SEI of ILGPE, the R_SEI of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is significantly lower because nano-ppy/OMMT reacts with impurities to inhibit any negative effects between the surfaces of the electrode and membrane. The Li-ion deintercalation ability increases, which could reduce σ and make the passive film thinner [41]. R_ct of the nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is substantially smaller than that of ILGPE because the improved porous structure of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE increases the Li-ion deintercalation ability and reduces R_ct to some extent. This reveals that the porous structure of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is beneficial to the increase of the transfer ability of lithium ions and to the improvement of the cycle stability of the lithium ion battery.

To confirm the electrochemistry performance of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE, the reversible discharge capabilities of the cells with nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE and ILGPE at different C-rates are evaluated, as shown in Figure 8(f). The testing voltage is between 2.7 V and 4.2 V, and the charge/discharge current density ranges from 0.2 C to 2 C. The reversible discharge capacities of the cells with nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE and ILGPE are 129.1 and 120.1 mAh/g (0.2 C), 114.6 and 103.5 mAh/g (0.5 C), 106.3 and 88.9 mAh/g (1 C), and 96.2 and 71.3 mAh/g (2 C), respectively. The reversible discharge capacity gradually decreases, while the current density increases. The differences between the electrolyte membranes become more evident at the higher current densities. The reversible discharge capacity of the cell with nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is 96.2 mAh/g (2 C), meaning that the discharge performance of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is better at a high current density. When the current density is further...
Figure 7: (a) AC impedance of ILGPE, (b) chronoamperometry of ILGPE, (c) AC impedance of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE, (d) chronoamperometry of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE.

decreased to 0.2 C, the reversible discharge capacity of the cell with nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is 118.7 mAh/g. However, the reversible discharge capacity of the cell with ILGPE is 91.6 mAh/g. It is found that the discharge performance of the cell with ILGPE is optimized after the addition of nano-ppy/OMMT [42].

4. Conclusions

Nano-ppy/OMMT is prepared in situ during the polymerization reaction of the py monomers between the molecular layers of MMT. The diameter of nano-ppy/OMMT is approximately 50–100 nm, and it has a flake structure and large interlayer spacing. Nano-ppy/OMMT is considered to be a good inorganic doping agent for the preparation of a composite gel polymer electrolyte with a porous structure. Nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE has a porous structure with an approximately 1-2 μm aperture, relatively high transference number of lithium ion (0.72), and high conductivity (1.2 × 10⁻³ S/cm) at room temperature. The good electrochemical stability of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE is favourable for use in Li/LiNi₀.₃Co₀.₃Mn₀.₄O₂ cells. Nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE exhibits better charge/discharge cycling at 0.5 C and is superior to ILGPE in specific capacity and cycle stability. Therefore, nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE has many advantages, such as good thermal stability, mechanical performance, and environmental friendliness, as well as a high energy density and cycling stability, and can be used as an ideal gel polymer electrolyte material for lithium ion batteries.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary Materials

Figure S1: mechanism of oxidative chemical polymerization of ppy. Figure S2: the microstructures of (a) ILGPE and
Figure 8: (a) Cyclic voltammetry curves of Li[nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE]|LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> cell at a scan rate of 0.1 mV/s and the potential between 2.7 V and 4.5 V. (b) The charge/discharge curve of Li[nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE]|LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> cell at 0.5 C. (c) The charge/discharge curve of Li[ILGPE]|LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> cell at 0.5 C. (d) EIS spectrum of cell before the 100 cycles. (e) EIS spectrum of cell after the 100 cycles. (f) Electrochemical performance of ILGPE and nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE at variable discharge current density tests between 0.2 C and 2 C.
(b) nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE at the same magnification. Table S1: conductivities ($\sigma$) of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE at different temperatures. Figure S3: VTF fitting curve of nano-ppy/OMMT-ILGPE. (Supplementary Materials)
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