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In Situ Synthesis of Structural Hierarchy Flowerlike Zeolite and Its Application for Fluoride Removal in Aqueous Solution
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A three-dimensional hierarchically structured flowerlike zeolite was synthesized using naturally occurring nanohalloysite (HNT) by hydrothermal methods. Halloysite a hydrated aluminum silicate with nanohollow morphology, microporosity, and environmentally friendly properties was chosen to be the sole precursor. The morphology and structure of the composite that was prepared was characterized using XRD, FT-IR, BET, TG, SEM, HRTEM, and NMR. SEM and HRTEM images indicated that the synthesized zeolite has a flowerlike hierarchical structure, with well-defined edges and uniform pore channels. FT-IR and NMR spectra indicated that different species of silicon and aluminum were present in the synthesized zeolite. The zeolite was applied in fluoride (F⁻) removal from aqueous solutions. Single-factor studies, including the initial concentration of F⁻, initial adsorbent concentration, and the effect of pH value on the adsorption properties, were investigated to evaluate the removal behavior of F⁻ by the zeolite. The zeolite exhibited strong adsorption properties for fluoride ions (F⁻), with an adsorption capacity up to 161 mg g⁻¹. The pseudo-second-order kinetics and Freundlich models were the best fit to the kinetics and isotherm experimental data, respectively.

1. Introduction

Zeolites are aluminum silicate crystals with a framework structure of three-dimensional tetrahedral units that have abundant micropores with molecular dimensions. Furthermore, this porous crystalline solid has high surface area for unique reactions and adsorption [1]. This combination of properties makes zeolites suitable as adsorbents for a large variety of pollutants. Various preparation methods have been studied. Usually, zeolites are prepared with various silica and alumina sources as a precursor gel under conditions of high pressure and high temperature. Then, various surfactants were added to obtain uniform porous structure, including cationic surfactant cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) and hexadecyltrimethylammonium chloride (TPHAC) [2–4]. Regardless, the organic/inorganic agents that are typically used are expensive. Therefore, an easy and dependable method is ideally required for in situ synthesis of hierarchical structural adsorbents.

Various morphologies of the nanomaterials [5–8] have been synthesized, and their associated defect properties have been investigated. However, three-dimensional (3D) flowerlike structures composed of hierarchically assembled nanosized building blocks, where the total size of the nanostructures is in the micrometer scale, have several remarkable advantages for adsorption compared with adsorbents with other morphologies, including high surface area, easy mass transformation, and easy separation. A method with lower cost [9, 10], fewer organic/inorganic agents, and easier reaction conditions is required, and the new obtained zeolite must have a hierarchical morphology.

Halloysite, a multiple-layered aluminosilicate clay with a natural nanohollow shape, consists of one alumina octahedron sheet and one silica tetrahedron sheet alternating in a 1 : 1 stoichiometric ratio. The structure and composition of halloysite are similar to kaolinite, except that the unit layers of halloysite are separated by a monolayer of water molecules [6, 11]. Due to its high specific surface area, nanohollow
morphology, uniform micropore structure, and natural resources of silicon and aluminum, halloysite could be a promising candidate for the preparation of highly pure zeolite.

Groundwater is an essential resource for ecosystems and human beings. However, some anions in the groundwater are undesirable and often responsible for serious environmental and health problems [12]. Fluoride (F\(^{-}\)) from natural sources and from anthropogenic activities such as industry and agriculture is one such problematic anion [13–15]. Skeletal fluorosis (including bone deformities), dental fluorosis, and kidney and liver malfunction are some of the consequences of exposure to drinking water high in F\(^{-}\) [16–19]. High F\(^{-}\) concentrations (>1.5 mg/L, the WHO prescribed limit for drinking water) in groundwater that is used for drinking can adversely impact the health of people, which has been occurring in China [20–25].

Industrial and domestic wastewater treatment systems usually employ biological (activated sludges), physical (adsorption, filtration, flocculation, etc.), and chemical (coagulation and electrolysis) processes, which are inefficient in terms of fluoride removal [25, 26]. For fluoride removal, previous studies present various remediation options, including precipitation–coagulation [27, 28], membrane-based processes [29, 30], ion exchange [31, 32], and adsorption [33]. However, most of these adsorbents involve complicated synthesis processes or are not suitable for large-scale application due to their cost. The exception is zeolite, which is a low-cost material and has been widely used [34].

The goals of this work are (i) to find an optimum method for the synthesis of hierarchical flowerlike zeolite using halloysite and (ii) to investigate its adsorption potential for F\(^{-}\). The prepared zeolite was characterized using various methods, including XRD, FT-IR, and NMR. And the prepared zeolite was used as an adsorbent for fluoride removal from aqueous solution. The factors impacting removal efficiency, including pH, initial F\(^{-}\) concentration, equilibrium time, and mass of adsorbent, were evaluated. Kinetics and isotherm experiments were conducted.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Raw Material and Chemicals. Natural halloysite, used as silicon and aluminum sources in this work, was purchased from Clay Mineral in Jiangsu Province, China. All other chemicals were of analytical grade and purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.

2.2. Preparation of Zeolite. The halloysite was dispersed with 0.2 wt% sodium hexametaphosphate (used as a dispersant) and stirred at 60°C for 12 h. After resting for 24 h at room temperature, the impurities (gibbsite, quartz, and feldspar) were removed and the remainder was dried. A certain amount of pure halloysite was added into 2 wt% NaOH solution (60 mL). The mixed solution was magnetically stirred for 10 min until the reaction gel was homogenous. Then, the mixture was placed into a Teflon reactor (80 mL) and crystallized at 120°C for 24 h. The solid powder was filtered and washed with distilled water and then dried in a temperature-controlled oven at 70°C for 12 h. Then, the products were obtained and labeled as zeolite NaA.

2.3. Characterization. The crystalline characteristics of the samples were investigated using wide-angle X-ray diffraction (XRD). The diffraction patterns were obtained using a D/max 2550 X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku, Japan) with Cu Ka radiation (\(\lambda = 0.15406 \text{ nm}\)) from 10° to 70°. The operation voltage and current were maintained at 40 kV and 34 mA, respectively. The morphology of the zeolite NaA was characterized using Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM). FE-SEM was performed using a Hitachi S-4800 SEM (Hitachi), operating in backscatter mode at 20 kV acceleration voltage. The TEM analysis utilized a Tecnai G220 electron microscope (FEI, Czech Republic) operating at an acceleration voltage of 120 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) was performed using a JEM-2010 (HR) (JEOL, Japan) at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV.

Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR) was recorded on an Equinox 55 spectrometer in the range 400 – 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) was performed using a Bruker Avance III at the National Center for Magnetic Resonance in Wuhan. Surface areas and pore distributions were measured by nitrogen adsorption and desorption at 473 K using an ASAP 2020 surface area and pore analyzer (Micromeritics, USA). Thermal gravimetric (TG) data were measured using a STA 449 F3 Jupiter (NETZSCH, Germany) by heating a certain weight of sample in a silica crucible from room temperature to 800°C at a heating rate of 10°C min\(^{-1}\).

2.4. Adsorption Experiments. The prepared zeolite NaA was used as adsorbent for fluoride removal. A certain amount of adsorbent was added into a fluoride solution, which was placed into an Erlenmeyer flask and shaken in a thermo shaker incubator. The initial and remaining fluoride ion concentrations were determined by an ion meter (PXSJ-226, INESA Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd).

A series of single-factor studies were performed by maintaining the volume of the solution at 50 mL, the temperature at 35°C, and the reaction time at 24 h. The influence of pH on the F\(^{-}\) adsorption was investigated by varying the pH from 2.0 to 9.0 using 10 mg of adsorbent and a 20 mg L\(^{-1}\) F\(^{-}\) solution. The pH values were adjusted using 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH solutions. The effect of adsorbent mass was studied by varying the concentrations of prepared zeolite NaA from 0.1 to 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\). The influence of the initial fluoride concentration on F\(^{-}\) removal was studied by varying the initial concentrations from 1.05 to 51.7 mg L\(^{-1}\). The adsorption kinetics experiment was carried out using initial F\(^{-}\) concentrations of 20 mg L\(^{-1}\) (pH 2.0) and by shaking for durations ranging from 5 to 180 min.

The amount of adsorption \(q_e\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) was calculated by the following equation:

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e) \times V}{m},
\]

where \(C_0\) is the initial concentration, \(C_e\) is the concentration at equilibrium, \(V\) is the volume of the solution, and \(m\) is the mass of adsorbent.
where \( C_o \) and \( C_e \) (mg/L) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of \( P \) in solution, respectively, \( V \) (L) is the volume of the solution, and \( m \) (g) is the dosage of adsorbents.

### 2.5. Regeneration of Zeolite NaA

1 g of zeolite NaA loaded with fluoride was shaken with 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH solution for 2 h. The adsorbent was separated by filtration, and the residue on filter paper was washed with deionized water and dried at 70°C for 10 h.

#### 3. Results

### 3.1. Characterization

The X-ray diffraction patterns of unreacted halloysite powders indicate a d001 peak at 12.1° 2θ, which corresponds to a basal spacing of 7.3 Å (Figure 1(a), B). The pattern is in good agreement with JCPDS data of card No. 29-1487. However, the X-ray diffraction pattern of the halloysite sample shows the occurrence of minor impurities, including characteristic peaks of quartz, gibbsite, and feldspar. After purification (Figure 1(a), A), compared with the X-ray pattern of raw material, no additional peaks were observed, indicating that impurities were removed and the crystallization of halloysite was pure.

The XRD patterns of the products obtained from hydrothermal reaction are shown in Figure 2. When treated with 2 wt% NaOH solution by the hydrothermal method at 120°C, the characteristic peaks of halloysite disappeared. All XRD peaks agree well with the characteristic peaks of zeolite NaA (Na type, hydrated) by comparing the d-values of the products obtained with JCPDS data of card No. 39-0222. Zeolite NaA has several common peaks located at 7.14°, 10.10°, 12.38°, 16.20°, 21.58°, 23.92°, 27.00°, 29.82°, and 34.08° 2θ in its XRD patterns. No additional peaks were observed, indicating the crystallization of pure-form zeolite NaA. The XRD pattern of the crystals has a low background, strong intensities, and sharp peaks, indicating that the as-synthesized zeolite NaA crystals are pure [6].

Halloysite crystals are hollow and open-ended nanotubes. The morphological parameters of the halloysite sample, measured from the TEM image (Figure 1(b)), are an average length of 0.5-3 μm, an inner diameter in the range of 20-50 nm, and an average pore diameter of 10-55 nm. The low-magnification SEM image (Figure 3(c)) indicates that the product consists of a large quantity of uniform nanostructures with diameters of ~1 μm. No other morphologies can be observed, implying that a high yield can be achieved using the reaction conditions adopted by this method. Higher magnification SEM images (Figure 3(a)) provide more detailed exterior structural information of the zeolite NaA, which is constructed of numerous interlaced nano- sheets and forms a porous surface with an open hierarchical structure. The TEM image given in Figure 3(b) also shows that the flowerlike hierarchical structure of zeolite NaA is assembled from nanosheets, with the interstitial space of which forming mesopores and the edge morphology of the particle clearly revealing that each particle is composed of many densely packed nanocrystals oriented in the same direction. Moreover, in the growing process from Fig. S1, the morphology changes from hollow rods to sheets and finally to a hierarchical flowerlike morphology. In the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of the zeolite NaA (Figure 3(d)), the 2D lattice fringes can be clearly observed, which confirms the high crystallinity of the sample. The lattice fringe (d-spacing is ~7.1 Å, which is corresponding to plane222) fits the sample, implying good crystallization of the zeolite NaA. Figure 3(e) indicates the main component elements (Al, Si, Na, O). The hierarchical morphology contains uniform pore channels, which provide a greater surface area with active sites than halloysite and provide zeolite NaA with a greater potential for the adsorption of harmful ions.

Figure 4 a is the FT-IR spectra of pure HNTs. The peaks at 3698 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3627 cm\(^{-1}\) are the stretching vibration absorption peaks of external hydroxyl ions on the [SiO\(_4\)] tetrahedral and [AlO\(_6\)] octahedral layers in halloysite [35-37]. The peaks at 1037 cm\(^{-1}\), 754 cm\(^{-1}\), 688 cm\(^{-1}\), and 468 cm\(^{-1}\) are stretching vibration and deformation vibration absorption peaks of the Si-O band. The 912 cm\(^{-1}\) peak is an Al-OH band deformation vibration absorption peak. According to the FT-IR spectrum in Figure 4 b, the characteristic zeolite bands appeared coincidentally with the disappearance of halloysite, including the asymmetric and symmetric T-O (T = Si/Al) stretch [38-40]. The bands in the region of 500-650 cm\(^{-1}\) are related to the presence of the double rings (D4R and D6R) in the framework structure [41]. The bands at 464 and 663 are assigned to the internal linkage vibrations of the TO\(_x\) (T = Si or Al) tetrahedron and to the asymmetric stretching, respectively, of zeolite NaA [42]. The typical bands of zeolite NaA are observed, representing the asymmetric and symmetric stretch (1444 and 1005 cm\(^{-1}\)) and double rings (565 cm\(^{-1}\)). The band at approximately 1647 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the presence of the H\(_2\)O mode and incomplete dehydration of the zeolite NaA samples [43]. Moreover, a broad peak at the 3000-3750 cm\(^{-1}\) range with a maximum at 3455 cm\(^{-1}\) appears in the FT-IR spectra that correspond to Si-OH vibrations. All of the characteristic IR bands of the NaA zeolite are similar to the zeolite LTA studied by Zhao et al. [6, 44] and are in agreement with NMR data.

The \(^{29}\)Si solid-state MAS NMR spectra of the halloysite (Figure 5(a)) reveal the type of silicon atoms in halloysite and zeolite NaA. The sharp -92.3 ppm signal is assigned to Q3-type Si(OSi)\(_2\)(OAl)\(_2\) sites, where each silicon has two-second nearest octahedral aluminum from the nearby aluminum hydroxide layer of pure halloysite. We can see the same signals at zeolite NaA (~88.9 ppm, Figure 5(a), A). This demonstrates that alkali treatment does not change the Si atom structure. The \(^{27}\)Al solid-state magic-angle spinning (MAS) nuclear magnetic resonance (\(^{27}\)Al MAS NMR) spectra of the halloysite and hierarchical structure zeolite NaA samples are shown in Figure 5(b). The spectrum of the halloysite (Figure 5(b), A) exhibits a typical resonance peak at 4.1 ppm with respect to Al(OH)\(_6\)^{3-}, which is assigned to octahedral Al. For the zeolite NaA (Figure 5(b), B), the spectrum shows two peaks at 4.0 ppm and 59.0 ppm, which are assigned to octahedral Al and tetrahedral Al coordinated to oxygen. The transformation suggests that the Al atom structure changes from octahedral Al to tetrahedral Al. It is suggested that alkali will dissolve [SiO\(_4\)] octahedral layers and thus cause the rupture and collapse of [AlO\(_6\)] tetrahedron.
layers. Moreover, the spectrum does agree with the zeolite NaA structure very well.

Nitrogen adsorption and desorption analyses were conducted to investigate the surface area and pore volume of natural and alkali-treated halloysite. According to IUPAC [45], both isotherms can be classified as being of type IV, which are characteristic of materials with well-developed mesoporous structures. The small crystallite size and hollow structure give halloysite a specific surface area of approximately 32.7 m² g⁻¹. Due to the dissolution of [SiO₄] tetrahedral layers [46], the alkali treatment increases the BET surface area of pure halloysite to 61.8 m² g⁻¹ of zeolite NaA. The isotherm of zeolite NaA has a more obvious hysteresis loop than that of pure halloysite, which is due to the capillary condensation of N₂ at a relative pressure P/P₀ of 0.5–1.0 and confirms the coexistence of mesopores in the sample. The pore size distribution of zeolite NaA shown in Figure 6(b) (inset) indicates that most pores are distributed in the size range of 2–50 nm, confirming the mesoporosity features of the material. The size of the mesopores in the flowerlike hierarchical structure zeolite NaA is estimated to be 16.4 nm by using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method.

Thermal gravimetry (TG) curves of pure halloysite and alkali-treated products are shown in Figure 3. Because of the suspension effect of the samples, there are raised parts at the beginning of the curves, but they do not impact the analysis. The TG curve of pure halloysite (Figure 3 a) exhibits two main weight loss stages. The first stage in the TG curve starts at approximately 50°C and ends at about 150°C, which can be attributed to the dehydration of physisorbed water and interlayer water. The second stage of the TG curve corresponds to the dehydroxylation of structurally incorporated water and starts at 400°C and ends at approximately 500°C. The TG curves of the flowerlike hierarchical structure zeolite NaA (Figure 3 b) exhibit only one main weight loss stage, starting at approximately 100°C and ending at about 250°C, which may be attributed to the dehydration and destruction of halloysite during the alkali treatment. It is suggested that the structural hydroxyl groups in the [SiO₄] tetrahedral layer are removed along with the dissolution of Si⁴⁺.

3.2 Batch Adsorption Studies

3.2.1 Effect of pH, Initial F⁻ Concentration, Adsorbent Mass, and Adsorption Time. The impact of solution pH is an important parameter for understanding the interaction between target molecules and adsorbents. The pH variation can promote changes in the surface charges of adsorbents and influence the protonation of functional groups present on the surfaces of materials. The experimental result in Figure 4(a) indicates that the adsorbent exhibited better performance in the strong acidic medium (pH of approximately 2). It can be seen that the adsorption capacity of fluoride decreased with pH values increasing from 2 to 9, which may be attributed to that the hydroxyl ions and fluoride...
would compete on the active adsorption sites. The zeta potential is positive in the pH range of 2–3.7, which indicates that it is beneficial for fluoride removal at lower pH due to the electrostatic attraction between fluoride and adsorbent. When pH is over 3.7, the zeta potential is negative. The fluoride adsorption capacity also decreased due to the electrostatic repulsion that exists between the fluoride and the surface of adsorbent (Figure 9). Initial concentrations of 1.05–51.7 mg L\(^{-1}\) were selected, which are higher than normally found in groundwater. Figure 8(b) shows that the adsorption capacity increases with increasing concentration and the maximum adsorption capacity can reach up to 144 mg g\(^{-1}\). Figure 8(c) shows that under the same initial concentration, adsorption efficiency increases slowly from 52.5% to 68.8%, but the adsorption capacity defined as the amount adsorbed per unit mass decreases. It is readily understood that the number of available adsorption sites increases by increasing the adsorption dose and thus results in an increased removal efficiency. The decrease in adsorption density with increasing adsorbent dose is mainly due to unsaturation of adsorption sites through the adsorption reaction [47]. According to this study, the most suitable adsorbent concentration is approximately 10 mg per 50 mL. Figure 8(d) shows that the adsorption reaches equilibrium in 30 min. Compared to most of previous studies about fluoride adsorbents (Table 1), the absorption property (adsorption capacity and equilibrium time) of zeolite NaA is much better.

3.2.2. Adsorption Kinetics. Kinetics studies can provide useful information regarding the speed and mechanism of an adsorption process. The experimental kinetics data were fitted with nonlinear forms of pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models as described by Equation (2) and Equation (3), respectively.

\[
\ln (q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t, \tag{2}
\]
\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}, \tag{3}
\]

where \(q_t\) is the amount of adsorbed fluoride (mg) per unit mass of adsorbent (g) at time \(t\) (min), \(q_e\) is the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent (at equilibrium), and \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) are the rate constants for the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models, respectively.

Table 2 presents kinetics parameters for both models and shows that the pseudo-second-order model fits well with experimental data and the plots are shown in Fig. S2a, b. The adsorption capacity calculated \((q_{e, \text{cal}})\) by the pseudo-
second-order model was 111 mg g\(^{-1}\), and the experimental adsorption capacity \((q_e, \text{Exp})\) was 106 mg g\(^{-1}\). It was revealed that the pseudo-second-order kinetics model can successfully predict the adsorption capacity.

### 3.2.3. Adsorption Isotherm

Both Langmuir and Freundlich models were used for the evaluation of the experimental results. The linear form of the Langmuir equation is given as

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_{\text{max}}} + \frac{C_e}{q_{\text{max}}},
\]

where \(q_e\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) is the equilibrium amount of F\(^-\) adsorption by zeolite NaA, \(C_e\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) is the equilibrium F\(^-\) concentration in the solution, \(q_{\text{max}}\) (mg/g) is the maximum adsorption of F\(^-\), and \(K_L\) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) is the Langmuir constant related to the enthalpy of the process. The values of \(q_{\text{max}}\) and \(K_L\) can be calculated from the slope and intercept of the plot of \(C_e/q_e\) versus \(C_e\), respectively.

The linear form of the Freundlich equation can be written as

\[
\log q_e = \frac{1}{n} \log C_e + \log K_f,
\]
where $K_f$ (mg g$^{-1}$/mg L$^{-1}$)$^{1/n}$ and $n$ are Freundlich constants related to the adsorption capacity and intensity, and $C_e$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the equilibrium F$^-$ concentration. $K_f$ and $1/n$ can be calculated by plotting log $q_e$ versus log $C_e$. Higher values of $K_f$ reflect a higher affinity for F$^-$. Favorable adsorption is indicated when $0.1 < 1/n < 1$, whereas unfavorable adsorption takes place when $1/n > 2$.

The linear models of Langmuir and Freundlich were fitted to the experimental data (Fig. S3), and their parameters are reported in Table 3. The results compiled in Table 3 show that the Freundlich model fitted very well to the experimental data, showing the higher $R^2$ value (0.989). Additionally, the Freundlich isotherm is an empirical equation assuming that the adsorption process takes place on a heterogeneous surface through a multilayer adsorption mechanism, where stronger binding sites are occupied first, and the binding strength is related to the concentration of fluoride at equilibrium [53].

3.2.4. Adsorption Mechanism. As mentioned in the literature [54], the adsorption mechanism of an adsorption process may be assumed to involve three sequential steps: (i) film diffusion, (ii) pore diffusion or intraparticle diffusion, and (iii) adsorption of the adsorbate ions on the interior surface of the adsorbent.

The diffusion mechanism of fluoride onto zeolite NaA was investigated by applying the intraparticle diffusion model proposed by Weber and Morris [55]. This model is
where \( k_{ff} \) is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1/2}\)) and \( c \) reflects the boundary layer thickness, which can be obtained from the linear plots of \( q_t \) versus \( t^{1/2} \).

If the adsorption process follows the intraparticle diffusion model, then \( q_t \) versus \( t^{1/2} \) will be linear. If the plot passes through the origin, then intraparticle diffusion is the sole rate-limiting step. Otherwise, some mechanism along with intraparticle diffusion is also involved.

Multilinearity is apparent in the plots obtained from the kinetics study (Figure 8 and Fig. S4), and this suggests that intraparticle diffusion was present, but it was not the rate-limiting step of the adsorption of \( F^- \) onto zeolite NaA. These plots indicate that three steps occurred in the process. In the first step (0-10 min), the external surface adsorption or the instantaneous adsorption occurred. In the second portion (10-30 min), there was a gradual adsorption stage where intraparticle diffusion was rate-limiting. In the final equilibrium stage after 30 min, intraparticle diffusion starts to slow down due to a decreasing concentration gradient between the bulk solution and the adsorbent surface [56]. The parameters of the intraparticle diffusion model are shown in Table 4. The \( k_{fi} \) values decreased while the \( c \) values increased from the first stage through the third stage. The increase in \( c \) values is due to the increase in the boundary layer thickness and the driving force for adsorption associated with the initial \( F^- \) concentration [57, 58]

Furthermore, the results of our studies on the adsorption mechanism indicate that the adsorption consists of both physisorption and chemisorption. At pH 2, the charge of zeolite NaA is positive (zeta potential: 19.05 mV), and the adsorption capacity of zeolite NaA is the highest. When the adsorption process is operated at lower pH range, several reactions are expressed in Equations (7), (8), and (9). However, the adsorption capacities at higher pH values of 3-9 were irregular (charges of adsorbent are negative). These data indicate that electrostatic attraction promotes the ion exchange substantially at pH 2. Electrostatic attraction and ion exchange are dominant for the adsorption mechanisms. According to the FT-IR spectrum of zeolite NaA after adsorption (Figure 4 c), the changes of the band intensities and wavenumber shifts mainly appear in the region of 500-750 cm\(^{-1}\), which are related to Al-O groups and double rings (D4R and D6R) in the framework structures. This implies that the strong interaction of fluoride ions with Al-O groups and the framework structure may be the reason for changes in the related band intensities and wavenumber shifts.

\[
\text{Zeo-AIOH} + \text{H}^+ \Leftrightarrow \text{Zeo-AIOH}_{2}^+ \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{Zeo-AIOH}_{2}^+ + \text{F}^- \Leftrightarrow \text{Zeo-AlF} \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{Zeo-AIOH} + \text{F}^- \Leftrightarrow \text{Zeo-AlF} + \text{OH}^- \quad (9)
\]

3.3. Regeneration of Zeolite NaA. The adsorbent was subjected to fluoride adsorption experiment to determine the fluoride removal efficiency after regeneration (Figure 10). The adsorption experiments were conducted by using an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Previous studies for fluoride removal from water.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adsorbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(III)-Zr(III) binary oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regenerated aluminum oxide-coated media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D hierarchical amorphous aluminum oxide microspheres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic Fe-Mg-La trimetal nanocomposite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)-ZrO(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeolite NaA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Kinetics models and related parameters.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( q_{e, \text{Exp}} ) (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{f} ) (min(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Related parameters determined from isotherm models.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isotherm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.558</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Fick’s second law of diffusion, and it can be formulated as follows:

\[
q_t = k_{fi} t^{1/2} + c, \quad (6)
\]
Table 4: Related parameters determined from intraparticle diffusion models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle times</th>
<th>First stage</th>
<th>Second stage</th>
<th>Third stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_p$ (mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1/2}$)</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>3.314</td>
<td>0.4509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85.60</td>
<td>100.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9214</td>
<td>0.8010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10: Effect of regeneration on equilibrium adsorption capacity.

The hierarchy flowerlike zeolite was synthesized successfully from natural halloysite with NaOH by hydrothermal methods. We employed several characterization methods for the raw and as-prepared materials, including XRD, FT-IR, HRTEM, and NMR for investigating the morphology and the structure in detail. It could be seen that silicon atoms dissociate from halloysite, so halloysite changes its internal structure and morphology gradually in the course of the reaction under moderate conditions (2 wt% NaOH, 120°C). The adsorption performance showed that the hierarchical flowerlike zeolite is an efficient material for fluoride removal: the adsorption isotherms showed that the sorption capacity of fluoride is 106 mg/g at the equilibrium fluoride concentration of 20 mg/L, and the maximum adsorption capacity is 161 mg/g in batch adsorption study. The equilibrium data were best described by the Freundlich isotherm model, and the adsorption kinetics were best described by pseudosecond-order kinetics. The adsorption mechanism was studied using the intraparticle diffusion model and FT-IR characterization. Combining the effect of pH and the adsorption isotherm, the results suggested that the mechanism is based on electrostatic attraction and ion exchange. And it is possible to regenerate zeolite NaA with NaOH solution treatment. It is concluded that the prepared zeolite from halloysite can be used as a low-cost and relatively effective adsorbent for the removal of fluoride from polluted water.
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