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In this work, we report for the first time the photocatalytic activity of Co₃O₄ nanostructures for the reduction of aqueous CO₂ to methanol (MeOH). This could be considered a simple example of artificial photosynthesis. The photocatalysis experiments were developed under simulated solar light of 100 mW/cm² and without using any sacrificial agent. To carry out this study, nanostructured mixed valence cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄) powders, with porous nanoparticle aggregates of different morphologies, have been prepared by two synthesis methods. The characterization of structural (PXRD, XPS, SEM, and TEM) and optical (UV-vis-NIR, Raman, and FT-IR) properties, magnetization curves, and surface area (BET) was accomplished.

1. Introduction

Global warming is the major issue that humanity has to face in the next 50 years. Some effects that could be devastating for humankind are the increase of global temperature, rise of sea level, extreme droughts, devastating floods, destructive storms, among others [1–5]. The reduction of gases that contribute to the Earth’s surface warming can solve some problems that we are experiencing right now as the weather changes and the global temperature is rising. A great number of authors claim that the main cause of global warming is CO₂ [6–9]. Almost all the excess of this gas in the atmosphere is produced by the combustion of mineral fuels, like gasoline or coal. Many efforts have been done in the last years aiming to reduce CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere: imposition of taxes for CO₂ emissions, CO₂ sequestration using different methods [10–20], or the conversion of this gas using different techniques to obtain value added products, e.g., fuels [21–33]. In order to reduce CO₂ quantity in the atmosphere and produce fuels using this gas and water, different materials and methods have been applied: metal complexes [21], microalgae [28, 33], syngas procedure [24], polymers [31], electrochemical transformations [26, 32], photothermal and thermochemical conversions [23, 25], semiconductors [27, 30], and several others.

One of the most attractive ways of producing fuels from CO₂ and water is through photocatalytic semiconductor-mediated solar energy conversion. This fascinating fundamental and technological problem has challenged the scientific community for many years. A great variety of semiconductors, sometimes combined with metal nanostructures, carbon-based materials, and/or organometallic molecules have been tested with the mentioned purpose, and important progresses have been reached [34]. However, typical semiconductors with an adequate band gap for solar energy absorption (Si, CdSe, CdTe, GaAs, GaP, PbS, SbS, among others) are unstable in contact with electrolytes, and those with higher stability (TiO₂, ZnO, SnO, ZnS, inter alia) cannot absorb a huge component of solar radiation [35]. A group of semiconductors, such as CuO, Fe₂O₃, or BiVO₄, display both a wide spectral range of solar light absorption as well as stability when in contact with aqueous solutions of electrolytes. This last group of semiconductors has been largely studied in photocatalytic water splitting and/or CO₂ photoreduction [36]. Another interesting semiconductor is Co₃O₄; it is stable in aqueous
suspensions and oxygen-rich atmospheres and thus can be prepared and easily manipulated under usual laboratory conditions. This material has unique optical properties because it shows several band gaps in different regions of the electromagnetic spectrum (0.7–4.4 eV) [37–39]. Because it absorbs light in the UV, visible, and infrared regions, it can be a good candidate to carry out photocatalytic reactions when Co₃O₄ interacts with light. In addition, the preparation of different sizes and shapes of this material could potentially enhance the photocatalytic activity, since the optical properties may change and the area and surface defects of the material can increase with regard to its bulk counterpart. Despite the ad hoc properties of this material, only two studies have been reported regarding nanostructured Co₃O₄ as an active photocatalyst for CO₂ reduction [40, 41]. Formic acid and formaldehyde were considered as major products for CO₂ reduction assisted by randomly oriented Co₃O₄ nanocrystals [40], while formate was the only product using highly texturized [121] nanostructured Co₃O₄ [41]. However, Mendoza et al. [40] only used a small wavelength range in the visible spectrum (510 to 620 nm), neglecting the much wider absorption range (from UV to NIR) of this material. In the work of Huang et al. [41], the CO₂ photocatalytic reduction was assisted by an external electric potential in a photocatalytic cell configuration, adding additional energy to that of the radiation. These reasons make it interesting to explore the possibilities that can be offered by an abundant, inexpensive, and stable material such as Co₃O₄ when it is only excited with solar light irradiation. Thus, here we report the properties of Co₃O₄. Herein, two different morphologies of this oxide were prepared based on synthesis procedures previously published. They were used as a heterogeneous catalyst for photochemical reactions with CO₂ and H₂O under artificial solar light irradiation, in order to evaluate their catalytic capabilities to generate hydrocarbons. In this work, the photocatalytic activity of Co₃O₄ (nanoparticles and porous structure) was evaluated for CO₂ reduction in water and under visible light. The photocatalytic reactions were carried out in aqueous dispersions without the use of sacrificial agents, under normal conditions, i.e., room temperature and atmospheric pressure.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of Co₃O₄ Nanoparticles (Co₃O₄-NPs) Photocatalyst. The synthesis of these nanoparticles was accomplished using an analogous method to that reported by Xie et al. [42]. Briefly, 1.19 g of CoCl₂·6H₂O (Mallinkrodt Pharmaceuticals, 99.63%) was added to 30 mL of ethylene glycol (EG) (Analytyka, 99.1%), and the suspension was stirred and gradually heated to 80°C. Then, 100 mL of a 0.2 M solution of Na₂CO₃ (ReproQuin, 99% min.) in deionized water (15 MΩ cm) was added dropwise and the mixture was further aged for 1 h under argon gas (Praxair, grade 4.8, extra pure, 99.998% min.). The purple solid obtained was filtered, washed several times with deionized water until neutralization, and dried overnight at 100°C under vacuum. The obtained purple powder was characterized using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and infrared (IR) spectroscopy. As shown in Figure S1 it corresponds to cobalt hydroxycarbonate [43]. Finally, the solid was calcined for 4 h at 450°C, obtaining a black powder.

2.2. Preparation of a Porous and Nanostructured Co₃O₄ (Co₃O₄-P-NS) Photocatalyst. This sort of cobalt (II, III) oxide was synthesized using the gel-combustion method, similarly to that previously reported by Makhlof et al. [44]. In our case, the quantities used were 1.46 g of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Fermont, 99.6%) and 2.5 g of glycine (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%). Both powders were dissolved in 100 mL of deionized water under vigorous stirring. 3 mL of concentrated HNO₃ (J. T. Baker, 65.8%) was added when both powders were completely dissolved, and the heating of this reaction mixture started at 300°C. A purple gel was formed when almost all the water was evaporated, and then the temperature was increased up to 400°C. Finally, the combustion of the organic material took place and a black solid was obtained. The PXRD pattern is shown in Figure S2. After that, the solid was calcined for 4 h at 700°C in order to obtain the final material.

2.3. Characterization Techniques of the Photocatalysts. PXRD patterns were taken on a Bruker D2-Phase diffractometer equipment using Cu Kα radiation (10 mA, 30 kV, λ = 1.5418 Å) and an integration time of 0.5 s. Raman spectra were recorded with an ERSRAMan-N (Enwave Optronics Inc.) Raman analyzer coupled to a Leica DM300 microscope with a 40x objective, using an excitation laser source of 532 (~30 mW) or 905 (~160 mW) nm wavelengths. The infrared spectra were collected on a PerkinElmer Spotlight 400 FT-IR-FIR spectrometer with a Universal ATR sampling accessory. UV-visible-NIR electronic absorption spectra (under a diffuse reflectance regime) were recorded on a Varian Cary 5E, and the Kubelka-Munk function was computed. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) micrograph and the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern were obtained using a JEOL JEM-2012 microscope operating at a voltage of 200 kV. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a field-emission scanning electron microscope, JEOL JSM-5900-LV, operating at 20 kV. This microscope is equipped with an Oxford-ISIS for elemental analysis using energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). The magnetic hysteresis loops (M–H) were measured in a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM-LDJ 9600, 30,000 Oe) at room temperature with a standard magnetization curve routine. BET measurements were carried out by N₂ at ~196°C in a Quantacore Nova 2000. Before the physisorption, the samples were degassed for 12 h at 150°C. XPS was performed in a spectrometer equipped with an Al Kα X-ray source of 1486.6 eV. The analyzer energy was 23.5 eV and the base pressure was about 4.5 × 10⁻⁸ Pa.

2.4. Light Irradiation of CO₂ Bubbled in Water in the Presence of a Photocatalyst. In a general procedure, 20 mL of deionized water in a Schlenk vessel were bubbled for 1 h with gaseous CO₂ (Praxair, grade 3.0, 99.9%) in order to saturate water with CO₂. Then, 4.15 × 10⁻² mmol of a photocatalyst (Co₃O₄-NPs or Co₃O₄-P-NS) was suspended in the CO₂ solution. A stirring bar was introduced, the glass vessel was
sealed, and the irradiation was carried out with a 150 W arc xenon lamp (Osram XBO 150 W/CR OFR), which roughly simulates the solar spectrum. The lamp was located at a distance from the vessel so that 100 mW/cm² of intensity was reached; a calibrated silicon photodiode (Thorlabs SM05PD2B) was used for that purpose. The experiments were accomplished at room temperature. After 6 hours of irradiation, the produced gases were collected in a Tedlar® bag and the resultant gaseous mixture was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) in an Agilent 6890 series gas chromatograph with a 30-meter PerkinElmer PE-5 column ((5%-phenyl)-methylpolysiloxane). The temperature of the injector was 100 °C, the oven was at 100 °C, and the FID detector was at 150 °C. Note that all the dispersions were prepared in deionized water. All the experiments were performed at least twice.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Co₃O₄-NPs and Co₃O₄-P-NS.

The powder diffraction pattern of the Co₃O₄-NP sample, displayed in Figure 1(a), agrees well with that of Co₃O₄ (PDF no. 42-1467). The synthesized nanoparticles have cubic symmetry and its space group is Fd-3m. In this material, the lattice is formed with mixed-valence cobalt: Co²⁺ (33%) and Co³⁺ (66%). It has a spinel structure where the atoms of Co²⁺ are in a tetrahedral coordination and the atoms of Co³⁺ are in an octahedral coordination with oxygen atoms. The average crystallite size of Co₃O₄-NPs estimated from the full width at half maximum of the (311) diffraction peak by applying the Scherrer equation is about 15 nm. The PXRD pattern of the prepared particles indicates the formation of the single spinel phase without any observable traces of cobalt hydroxide or cobalt monoxide. When Co₃O₄-P-NS was synthesized by combustion, the dark grey solid obtained was also analyzed by PXRD. A mixture of Co₂O₃, CoO, and Co was identified in the corresponding diffraction pattern (Figure S2). This is due to the presence of reducing and oxidizing species in the combustion reaction. The average crystallite size, obtained by the same method used for the Co₃O₄-NPs, was 21 nm. After the solid was calcined for 4 h at 700 °C, it can be observed in Figure 1(b), the diffractogram only shows the Co₃O₄ cubic phase (PDF no. 42-1467) and its space group is Fd-3m. Due to the calcination process, the crystallite size increased to 45 nm. When the sample was annealed, the presence of Co and CoO was not detected, showing the formation of the single spinel phase. It is important to mention that the shape of the baseline in both diffractograms is due to the mathematical subtraction of the background, in order to remove the distortion produced by the fluorescence generated in the interaction of the Cu Kα radiation with the Co atoms.

The electronic absorption spectrum of Co₃O₄-NPs in the UV-visible-NIR region, using the diffuse reflectance mode displayed in Figure 2(a), shows different bands. Using Tauc’s plot, different band gap energies were calculated. In the present study, the best fit of \((ahv)^{1/n}\) versus photon energy was obtained for \(n = 1/2\) for Co₃O₄-NPs, which corresponds to direct band gap transitions. Then, the obtained gap energy values for Co₃O₄-NPs were 0.78, 1.55, and 2.19 eV (see Figure 2(b)). The transition at 0.78 eV was recently attributed to a direct dipole-dipole forbidden d-d transition between the tetrahedral site of Co²⁺ cations [38]. The gaps at 1.55 and 2.19 eV are direct allowed transitions. These transitions are assigned to a charge transfer \(O^2- \rightarrow Co^{3+}\) (the former) and a transition \(O^2- \rightarrow Co^{2+}\) (the latter) [45–49]. The corresponding spectrum of Co₃O₄-P-NS in Figure 2(c) shows, as in the case of Co₃O₄-NPs, different direct band gap transitions. Using Tauc’s plot, the calculated band gap energies for Co₃O₄-P-NS (Figure 2(d)) were 1.96, 1.48, and 0.76 eV. The band gap values found for the Co₃O₄-P-NS sample are slightly higher than those of the Co₃O₄-NP sample. This fact could be due to a small quantum confinement effect in the Co₃O₄-NPs.

![Figure 1: PXRD patterns of pure (a) Co₃O₄-NPs and (b) Co₃O₄-P-NS.](image-url)
this is in accordance with the smaller crystallite size observed in the PXRD analysis. In addition, the colors of the two powders are different (Figure S5): the nanoparticles are black and the porous material is dark grey.

A representative SEM micrograph of the Co$_3$O$_4$-NP sample is shown in Figure 3(a). Considering the crystallite size obtained for this sample (15 nm), the observed microstructures in Figure 3(a) must be composed of “nanoblocks.” In the SEM image of Co$_3$O$_4$-P-NS (Figure 3(b)), large nanostructured arrays (crystallite size about 45 nm) with different pore sizes are observed. In this sample, the size of the observed pores are in the order of microns; this can be due to...
3.2. Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction. After characterization, the materials were dispersed in CO₂-saturated water and irradiated. The purpose of our work was to evaluate the catalytic activity of the catalysts under normal conditions of temperature, pressure, and quantity of CO₂ determined by the solubility of the gas in water at atmospheric pressure. The reader can find elsewhere [52] more information about the different equilibria related to the solubility of CO₂ in water at different pH values; thus, the starting pH of the reaction solution was between 4.5 and 5. It is important to note that no sacrificial agent was used in the reaction. The collected gases were analyzed with a gas-chromatograph with a FID detector. Figure 5(a) shows the sharp band of the gas obtained after irradiation of the reaction suspension containing either Co₃O₄-NPs or Co₃O₄-P-NS. These peaks matched well with those corresponding to the methanol standard. As can be seen, the gas obtained with the two materials is the same, since the signal appears at the same retention time as that of methanol. Though this material has been widely used for water splitting and water oxidation, this is the first time that deuteration of CO₂ and the production of methanol can be seen in Figure 5(b). As it is well known, the reduction of CO₂ to methanol needs protons and electrons. In our experimental conditions, there are two possible proton sources: (1) the oxidation of water with Co₃O₄ and the production of H⁺ [61] and (2) the dissociation equilibrium of H₂CO₃/HCO₃⁻ [62, 63]. For the initial pH values encountered in the solution reaction (4.5–5), the expected species are CO₃(aq), H₂CO₃, and a small quantity of HCO₃⁻ in equilibrium with the carbonic acid. In the literature, it is reported that the concentration of CO₃(aq) in a solution is approximately 500 times the concentration of H₂CO₃ in standard reaction conditions [63]. As mentioned above, the pH determines the species you can find and their concentration in the solution. That is, the concentration of H₂CO₃ in a solution is from 23 to 71 times greater than the concentration of HCO₃⁻; consequently, the concentration of CO₃(aq) is from 11,500 to 35,500 times larger than the concentration of HCO₃⁻ (the calculations are developed in Appendix A of the supporting information). Considering the different carbon-containing species present in the reaction medium and their corresponding potential reduction (see Figure 6), we suggest that at least two pathways in the formation of methanol are possible. One path is where
CO$_2$(aq) is combined with protons and photogenerated electrons to produce methanol, and the other path is where carbonic acid is combined with protons and reduced to give MeOH. In terms of the concentration of the two species, the former pathway is favored because the concentration of CO$_2$(aq) is 500 higher than that of the H$_2$CO$_3$ in solution; however, in thermodynamic terms, the second path is preferred because the reduction potential of the H$_2$CO$_3$/CH$_3$OH pair is more positive than that of CO$_2$/CH$_3$OH (Figure 6). We do not have enough experimental evidences to establish which of these two possible mechanisms has a higher contribution.

For the Co$_3$O$_4$-NPs, the highest direct gap energy transition is 2.19 eV, while that of Co$_3$O$_4$-P-NS is 1.96 eV. In Figure 6, the redox potentials of different possible products are shown. Taking into account the band gap obtained for our materials, methanol production, and possible water oxidation [61], it is possible to assume that the conduction band could be more reductive than the redox potential of the CO$_2$/CH$_3$OH pair and the valence band might be more oxidizing than the oxidation potential of the water.

By preparing two different morphologies of Co$_3$O$_4$, it was expected to obtain different products, quantities, or both. Nevertheless, no significant differences were observed between the two morphologies regarding their photocatalytic behavior. It is important to mention that CO$_3^{2-}$ anions are not formed in the pH range of our experiments. At the end of the experiment, the pH of the remnant solution was 7, which means that the concentration of dissolved CO$_3$ (or the H$_2$CO$_3$/HCO$_3^-$ pair) significantly decreased in the solution.

Once the reaction was completed, the materials were collected with a centrifuge and dried in a vacuum oven at 80°C overnight. After that, the PXRD of the two materials were recorded again (Figure S16(a) and S16(b)). When the average size of a crystallite was calculated for Co$_3$O$_4$-NPs, it increased from 19 nm to 21 nm. For Co$_3$O$_4$-P-NS, the
crystallite size increased from 45 nm to 51 nm. Considering that the smallest particles could be retained in the final reaction solution, the increase of the crystallite was not significant in the two cases. In addition, no signal of cobalt hydroxides or carbonates was observed, so the materials did not change in composition or in size by means of PXRD. No changes were observed in their Raman spectrum either (Figure S16(c) and S16(d)). These results indicate that no significant changes in the structure of the catalysts were produced after the first cycle. Moreover, the optical properties remained unaltered (not shown).

The reaction was carried out again under the same conditions as the experiment mentioned above, using the recovered catalytic materials. Even more interesting was to corroborate that those materials conserved their activity for the reduction of CO2. As can be seen in Figure 7, the chromatograms revealed that methanol was obtained again with the reutilized materials.

After the second cycle, we tried to recover the catalysts in order to characterize the structural and optical properties to evaluate possible changes, but we did not succeed. The solids remained stuck on the walls of the reactor.

It is worth mentioning that the viability of these catalysts for long-term use is still under investigation.

4. Conclusions

We have an unprecedented report of methanol production using a photocatalytic system with a very simple architecture working under ordinary reaction conditions. Co3O4 nanostructures showed photocatalytic activity in the CO2 reduction process, and methanol is the only detected reaction product. It is possible to assert that this is a simple example of artificial photosynthesis. Co3O4 has been widely used for water splitting and water oxidation, but this is the first time MeOH production is reported when this material is used as a photocatalyst.

Co3O4-NPs and Co3O4-P-NS were satisfactorily synthesized by adding changes to two reported methods. No impurities were detected in the synthesized Co3O4-P-NS powder. A small quantum confinement was found for the two samples compared with the bulk material, being higher for Co3O4-NPs. No significant differences were observed between the two reported morphologies regarding the photocatalytic behavior.
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