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Carbon Nanotube Effect on the Ductility, Flexural Strength, and Permeability of Concrete
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Recently, remarkable types of carbon nanoﬁlaments called carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have raised the interest of many concrete and cementitious composite researchers due to their signiﬁcant mechanical, electrical, thermal, kinetic, and chemical properties. These nanofilaments are considered promising applicants to use in producing high-performance cement-based composite materials. In this research, the effect of CNT use on the ﬂexural strength, strain capacity, permeability, and microstructure of concrete was investigated. Concrete batches of 0, 0.03, 0.08, 0.15, and 0.25 wt.% CNTs were prepared using a mixing method that consisted of a 30-minute solution sonication and a 60-minute batch mixing. On the 28th day, the mechanical properties were determined. The results indicated that concrete prepared using high CNT contents of 0.15 and 0.25 wt.% increased the ﬂexural strength by more than 100% in comparison with 0% CNT concrete. Furthermore, the results showed that CNTs would increase the ductility of concrete beams by about 150%. The permeability test results showed the beneﬁts of CNT inclusion in reducing the permeability of concrete. The permeability coeﬃcient (kT) decreased by at least 45% when CNTs were added to concrete. A qualitative microstructural analysis illustrated the uniform dispersion of CNT ﬁlaments within the concrete hydration products in all batches.

1. Introduction

Concrete is the most well-known material used in building construction. It comprises of water, aggregates, and cement. These ingredients are usually combined with steel reinforcement to achieve the desired mechanical properties. However, a major shortcoming in concrete is related to its brittle nature caused by its cement constituent, which is characterized by its poor resistance to crack formation, low tensile strength, and low strain capacities. In the past decade, researchers [1–9] started testing unique types of carbon ﬁbers with nanosized diameters and micron-sized lengths, called carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and carbon nanofibers (CNFs). Those types of ﬁbers have extraordinary mechanical, electrical, thermal, kinetic, and chemical properties, making them promising applicants in producing high-performance cement-based composite materials. However, findings reported in the literature were contradictory. Some results conﬁrmed the beneﬁts of the tested composites’ mechanical properties [10–15], while others showed no improvement, and in some other cases, there was a reduction in the mechanical properties [16–19]. The main reason for these differences in the obtained results is attributed to the nanofilaments’ weak dispersion in water and cement. Few researchers [20, 21] were successful in obtaining an acceptable dispersion quality in water; however, when they blended their solutions with cement, poor dispersions and agglomerations were observed. Recently, Mohsen et al. [22] succeeded in improving the dispersion of CNTs in cement paste by performing an innovative mixing procedure. The authors tested the effect of different mixing durations of 1.5, 15, 30, and 60 minutes on the ﬂexural strength of cement and CNT composites. It was shown that increasing the mixing duration of the paste that contained CNTs would
result in better dispersion of the constituents and in having lower void percent at the microstructural scale. This improvement resulted in a flexural strength increase of about 100% compared to a plain cement paste. Also, the researchers conducted a set of experiments that investigated the effect of several parameters on the dispersion of CNTs in cement paste, such as CNT weight fractions, diameters, and lengths [23, 24].

Most studies performed in this field investigated the effect of CNTs or CNFs usage on cement paste properties. At this stage, the reasons for investigating the effect of such materials on cement paste only is the simplicity of capturing or tracking the nanofillaments’ dispersion within the matrix compared to mortars and concrete, and the large costs of preparing concrete using the nanofillaments. Few studies investigated the effect of adding carbon nanofillament on the properties of mortar [15, 25–27]. Several mixing procedures and mix proportions were proposed. However, the challenges known with the cement paste studies such as dispersion quality, strength discrepancies, and optimum mix proportions were still observed. The effect of CNT addition on the properties of concrete was recently investigated. Few studies focused on studying the effect of CNTs on the mechanical and physical properties of lightweight foam concrete prepared using small-scale samples, while others investigated the effect of CNTs on the properties of ordinary concrete. Krämer et al. [28] studied the effect of using CNT-to-cement weight fractions of 0.05 and 0.075% with samples of foamed concrete. On the 28th day, their results showed an improvement in the flexural and compressive strengths of about 40 and 7.5%, respectively. In another study, Krämer et al. [29] combined ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC) and three-phase-foams to create mixes that included both carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and titanium oxide nanoparticles (TiO₂). Their results demonstrated a strength improvement compared with the control batches. Luo et al. [30] investigated the effect of using CNT-to-cement weight fractions of 0.05 to 0.1% on several properties of foam concrete. They showed the ability of CNTs to decrease the average pore diameter and to increase the compressive strength by about 30% in comparison with the control batch. Similarly, Wang et al. [31] investigated the effect of MWCNTs on the compressive strength, chloride penetration, and freeze-thaw resistance of ordinary concrete cylinders comprising different water-to-cement ratios. Their results showed an improvement of 108% in the compressive strength of concrete having sodium polycarboxylate-treated CNTs. Qissab and Abbas [32] investigated the behavior of reinforced concrete beams with short and long MWCNTs under monotonic loading. CNT-to-cement weight fractions of 0.03, 0.045, and 0.06% were used. Their results showed an improvement in the compressive, splitting tensile and flexural strength of all batches compared to the control mix. The batch containing 0.045% long CNTs achieved the highest mechanical properties among all other batches. Hawreen et al. [33] analyzed the durability of concrete reinforced by different types and weight fractions of CNTs. The results showed that a compressive strength improvement of about 20% could be achieved using a CNT-to-cement weight fraction of 0.1%.

Up to date, the results obtained from studies that investigated the effect of long CNT weight fractions higher than 0.2% on ordinary concrete mechanical, physical, and microstructural properties were not positive. The proposed research will combine CNT reinforcement in concrete using a prolonged mixing technique to investigate their effect on the concrete mechanical and physical properties.

2. Testing Methodology

2.1. Testing Matrix. In this research, concrete batches containing CNTs of weight fractions ranging between 0.03 and 0.25 wt.% were prepared. Table 1 presents the experimental design matrix of the batches’ compositions. The testing methodology started with the preparation of the batches and the casting of the specimens (100 × 100 × 500 mm), followed by measurements of their flexural strength, deflection, and permeability. Their microstructures were then analyzed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM).

2.2. Materials and Equipment. The cement used in this research was a Portland cement, CEM I, Class 42.5 R, complying with EN 197-1. It was bought from Qatar National Cement Company (QNCC). The mixing water used was tap water attached to a filter. The point-of-use filter fixed on a faucet helps in removing chlorine, lead, and bacterial contaminants. The fine and coarse aggregates used in preparing the concrete samples were bought from Qatar Primary Materials Company (QPMC). The properties of the materials met the requirements of ASTM C-33, Standard Specification for Concrete Aggregates. The fine aggregates consisted of natural sand, while the coarse aggregates consisted of gabbro stones. The used CNTs were multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) produced using the catalytic chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process and provided by US Research Nanomaterials. The physical properties of the nanofillaments are shown in Table 2. Commercial polycarboxylate water-reducing admixture, named ADVa Cast 575, provided by GRACE Products was used as a surfactant to help improve the dispersion of the nanofillaments within the aqueous solution. The equipment used in the experiments included an ultrasonic wave mixer, VCX 750 Model connected to a probe to measure the solution temperature, supplied by Sonics and Materials Inc.; an 85-liter site concrete mixer supplied by Humboldt; a strength testing machine attached to a high-precision linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) device of 2 mm travel provided by Controls Inc.; a concrete vibrator; 100 × 100 × 500 mm steel molds; a permeability tester supplied by Proceq SA; and a scanning electron microscope (SEM), Nova NanoSEM Model, supplied by FEI.

2.3. Mixing Procedure. The mixing procedure was divided into two parts. The first part comprised of CNT dispersion in water, while the second part comprised of mixing the dispersed solution with cement, coarse, and fine aggregate in the concrete mixer. The dispersion was done in nine repetitions consisting of 1.1 liters each. The reasons for performing the sonication in repetitions were related to the capacity of the sonicator available and to ensure having similar sonication...
parameters such as energy, temperature, and amplitude in similar studies performed using smaller-scale mortar and cement paste samples. The surfactant/superplasticizer concentration used in the dispersion process was fixed at 4:1 of the selected CNT weight fraction. This amount was subtracted from the overall batch surfactant/superplasticizer amount that was constant in all batches. The nanofilaments were mixed with water and surfactant in the first mixing phase at the specified percentages (Table 1). Sonication of CNTs was done using an ultrasonic wave mixer for 30 minutes at an amplitude of 20% delivering a total applied energy of about 70 kJ. During sonication, the temperature of the solution was kept less than 45°C to stop whenever the temperature of the solution exceeds 45°C. It is worthwhile mentioning that the CNT weight fraction used at the start of the sonication process should not be considered the effective weight fraction in the composite matrix as the original amount might have some impurities and bundles that remain after the sonication process. After completing the sonication of all solutions, the mixture was then placed in the molds and compacted using a concrete vibrator which was completed in 10 minutes, the mixing then continued for 45 minutes (Figure 1(b)). The mixture was then placed in the molds and compacted using a concrete vibrator (Figure 2(a)). Finally, the specimens were cured in a water tank for 28 days in preparation for testing (Figure 2(b)).

2.4. Flexural Strength and Load-Deflection Measurements. The flexural strength testing of concrete CNT samples was conducted according to ASTM C78/C78M−16, which is the standard test method for flexural strength of concrete using a simple beam with a three-point loading [34]. Depending on the fracture’s location, the flexural strength was calculated using the following equations:

\[ R = \frac{P L}{b d^2}, \] (1)

where \( R \) is the flexural strength (MPa), \( P \) is the maximum applied load indicated by the testing machine, \( L \) is the span length (mm), \( b \) is the average width of the specimen at fracture (mm), and \( d \) is the average depth of specimen at fracture (mm).

\[ R = \frac{3P a}{bd^2}, \] (2)

where \( a \) is the average distance between the line of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch #</th>
<th>Batch name</th>
<th>Cement (kg)</th>
<th>Water (kg)</th>
<th>Fine agg. (kg)</th>
<th>Coarse agg. (kg)</th>
<th>CNTs (g)</th>
<th>CNTs/cement (%)</th>
<th>Total superplasticizer used in the sonication process (g)</th>
<th>Superplasticizer used in CNT solution and concrete mixing process (g)</th>
<th>Remaining superplasticizer used in cement paste samples (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03CNT</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.08CNT</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>178.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.15CNT</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>124.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25CNT</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Composite concrete test batches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CNT type</th>
<th>Aspect ratio</th>
<th>Purity (wt.%)</th>
<th>Outside diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Inside diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Length (μm)</th>
<th>SSA (m²/g)</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Youngs modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (GPa)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-thin</td>
<td>1,333</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: CNT physical properties (https://www.us-nano.com).
Figure 1: (a) Combined CNT solution. (b) Concrete CNT mixing.

Figure 2: (a) Specimen compaction using a vibrator. (b) Specimen after 28 days of curing.

Figure 3: (a) LVDT fixing using a magnetic holder. (b) LVDT vertical alignment at midspan.
fracture and the nearest support measured on the tension surface of the beam (mm).

(3) If the fracture occurs on the tension surface outside of the middle third of the span length by more than 5% of the span length, the results of the test were discarded.

The load-deflection curves were determined using an LVDT that was tightly attached to a magnetic holder (Figure 3(a)) and fixed vertically at the sample’s bottom mid-span (Figure 3(b)). The performed test was a displacement control one with a speed of 2 μm/sec.

2.5. Permeability Measurements. The permeability test is a nondestructive method used to evaluate the durability of concrete. The proposed method complies with the European standard, SN, EN 206-1 [35]. The test methodology comprises of determining the air permeability coefficient (kT) as a function of the variation of pressure with time using a permeability tester (Figure 4(a)). First, the test chamber is placed on the concrete surface and the air is then evacuated using the vacuum pump. The rise of the air pressure with time is recorded. Three measurements were done for each tested surface of the concrete sample (Figure 4(b)).

2.6. Microstructural Analysis. Microstructural analysis of the broken samples was performed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM), model Nova NanoSEM. A secondary electron mode of imaging was used to capture the images with two types of scales. The first scale was a large scale between 0.5 and 1 mm used to examine the void percent, whereas the second scale was a small scale between 1 and 3 micrometers used to investigate the dispersion of the CNTs at the nanolevel. The voltage used was about 5 kV, whereas the working distance varied from 4.5 to 8.5 mm. The preparation procedure consisted of first drying the samples using a vacuum chamber, followed by coating them with a gold-palladium to dissipate any excess charges. The thickness of the gold sputtering coating was 10 nanometers every 40 seconds. After that, the samples were mounted rigidly on a specimen holder using a conductive adhesive and the scanning process was then commenced. The microstructure analysis of the SEM images was done using only qualitative techniques to understand the effect of the tested parameters on the strengths obtained for the strongest and weakest specimens. A total of fifteen images were taken for every batch. It was shown, via the analysis, that samples taken from every selected batch were monolithic.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Flexural Strength Testing. Figure 5 depicts the flexural strength results of CNT concrete batches, including the standard deviation obtained for each batch. The results are the average strengths of six samples prepared for each batch. Low standard deviations in the strength results were observed for the control, 0.03 and 0.08 wt.% CNT batches. On the other hand, high standard deviations were observed for (a) Setting the permeability tester. (b) Measurements of the permeability coefficient on the concrete sample surface.
Figure 6: Load-deflection curves for (a) plain concrete (control) samples and (b) 0.03 wt.% CNT concrete samples.

Figure 7: Load-deflection curves for (a) 0.08 wt.% CNT concrete samples and (b) 0.15 wt.% CNT concrete samples.

Figure 8: Load-deflection curves for (a) 0.25 wt.% CNT concrete samples and (b) strongest sample in each batch.
batches with 0.15 and 0.25 wt.% CNTs. This may be related to the nonuniform dispersion of these batches with the high CNT content. In terms of strength, the results indicated that there was an increase in the flexural strength due to an increase in CNT content. Batches prepared using 0.03 and 0.08 wt.% CNTs exhibited a strength increase of about 40% compared with the control concrete batch. With the increase in the nanofilaments’ weight fraction, the flexural strength continued to increase. Increments of 109% and 123% were obtained in batches prepared using higher CNT contents of 0.15 and 0.25 wt.%, respectively, compared with control concrete.

### 3.2. Load-Deflection Curves

Figures 6–8 show the load-deflection curves for all prepared mixes. Each graph shows the results obtained for the six samples prepared for each batch. In general, it was observed that all batches containing CNTs showed more of an erratic load-deflection behavior compared with the plain concrete batch (Figure 6(a)). As discussed in earlier sections, this may be related to the nonuniform dispersion of CNTs throughout the batch, where a high strain capacity was developed in the locations that contained CNTs and impacted the ability of the composite sample to carry the load and to absorb the energy when it began to fail.

However, to confirm this hypothesis, a microstructural analysis should be performed to investigate CNT dispersion within the concrete constituents. The maximum attained load and deflection for the 0.03 wt.% CNT batch specimens (Figure 6(b)) were 10.4 kN and 2.074 mm, respectively. These values were higher than the corresponding highest load and deflection measurements of the control concrete batches, which were 6.9 kN and 1.571 mm, respectively. The load behavior of the 0.08 wt.% CNT batch (Figure 7(a)) was similar to the 0.03 wt.% CNT batch where larger displacements were recorded after the peak load. The maximum attained load and deflection were 10.7 kN and 4.038 mm, respectively. For batches of high CNT contents of 0.15 and 0.25 wt.% (Figures 7(b) and 8(a)), the load-deflection behaviors were more erratic and showed a high variability in the results obtained for the six samples of the same batch. Again, this may be attributed to the less CNT dispersion within these samples, which resulted in a nonuniform stress distribution in the beams. The maximum attained load and deflection in the 0.15 wt.% CNT batch specimens were 16.7 kN and 3.558 mm, respectively. On the other hand, the maximum attained load and deflection in the 0.25 wt.% CNT batch specimens were 16.8 kN and 2.265 mm, respectively. Figure 8(b) shows the results with the highest strength obtained for each batch. For all batches prepared, the sample with 0.25 wt.% CNTs had the highest loading capacity. Regarding ductility, all CNT-reinforced samples exhibited larger loading and strain capacity compared with plain concrete (more brittle behavior). These findings concur with several earlier studies investigating the effect of CNTs on the ductility of cement paste.

Wang et al. [7] obtained a strain increase of 125% in deflection at the peak load for a batch of 0.08 wt.% CNT weight fraction compared with the control cement paste. They also obtained a strain increase of about 50% in a batch of 0.1 wt.% CNTs compared with the control cement paste batch. However, for the remaining batches of 0.05, 0.12, and 0.15 wt.% CNTs, there was a small or no improvement in the ductility results. Similarly, Xu et al. [13] obtained strain increases at the peak load for some CNT cementitious composites compared with control cement paste. However, such strain increases occurred in the batches of the highest CNT contents of 0.1 and 0.2 wt.%, as opposed to those batches, prepared using lower CNT contents of 0.025 and 0.05 wt.%. These findings highlight the need to further investigate the optimum CNT weight fraction that would provide the highest flexural strength and strain properties of CNT cementitious composites. Furthermore, there is a need to further investigate the erratic behavior and nonuniform results obtained during load-deflection-based tests.

### 3.3. Permeability Test

Figure 9 shows the permeability factor results of control concrete and CNT composite batches, including the standard deviation obtained for each batch. The obtained permeability coefficient values are the average values of 18 readings taken for every batch (3 readings multiplied by six samples). A high standard deviation in permeability was observed in control concrete in comparison with the CNT composite batches. In general, the results showed a reduction in the concrete permeability when CNTs were added. The permeability coefficient (K) decreased 78, 72, 45, and 64%, for the batches prepared using 0.03, 0.08, 0.15, and 0.25 wt.%, respectively, when compared to the control concrete batch. The results also showed that the decrease in the permeability is not correlated with the CNT weight fraction in the batches. The permeability coefficient decreased for the 0.03 wt.% CNT batch and then increased up to a CNT weight fraction of 0.15 wt.%. Again, K decreased in the 0.25 wt.% CNT batch.

### 3.4. SEM Microstructural Analysis

Microstructural analysis of the fractured surfaces showed a few observations related to the behavior of the nanofilaments within the concrete hydration products. In terms of dispersion, it was observed that the quality of CNT dispersion in concrete products is...
good in the batches of low CNT content of 0.03 and 0.08%. However, few agglomerations were seen in the batches with higher CNT content of 0.15 and 0.25%. At small scales images of 1-3 \( \mu \text{m} \), batches of a low CNT content of 0.03\% showed individual fibers at various locations (Figure 10(a)). However, it was seen that these batches contain a number of empty locations that were not occupied by any filaments (Figure 10(b)). On the other hand, batches of higher CNT contents of 0.15 and 0.25\% were relatively denser and the nanoscale voids were filled with CNTs (Figures 11(a) and 11(b)).
11(b)). At large-scale images of 0.5-1 mm (Figures 12 and 13), it was seen that the amount of micron-scale voids is high in the batches of 0.08% (Figure 12(b)) and 0.15% (Figure 13(a)) CNT content. This may be related to the effect of the surfactant amount used to disperse the nanofilaments within the solution. Previous research by the authors on the effect of the mixing duration on the properties of cement paste showed that the largest amount of voids occurred in batches containing 0.08% CNT cement weight fraction [22]. By using qualitative and quantitative microstructural analysis, it was concluded that the larger voids’ content is occurring due to the higher surfactant content remaining in the solution after the sonication process.

To correlate strength results with SEM analysis, it was shown that the effect of higher CNT content on the cohesive-ness of the samples may be the main reason for the incremental increase in the flexural strength of the samples containing CNTs compared to plain concrete. Higher CNT contents mean denser composites that occupied nanovoids, and hence, this will result in higher flexural strengths and strain capacities. To correlate with permeability measurements, it was not possible to understand the decrease and increase of the CNT samples’ permeability via using microstructural analysis. Even though the samples of higher CNT contents of 0.15 and 0.25% were denser, the permeability values of those samples prepared using lower CNT contents of 0.03 and 0.08% were lower.

4. Conclusions

This study illustrated a few conclusions related to the effect of CNTs’ addition on the flexural strength, ductility, and permeability of concrete. The results indicated that high CNT contents of 0.15 and 0.25 wt.% CNTs would increase the flexural strength of concrete by more than 100%. Furthermore, the results also showed that CNTs would increase the ductility of concrete by about 150%. The permeability test results showed the benefits of CNT addition in reducing the permeability of concrete. The permeability coefficient (kT) decreased by at least 45% when CNTs were added to concrete. The relationship between concrete’s mechanical and physical property improvements and the CNT weight fraction was primarily explained. The addition of CNTs to concrete resulted in a denser composite with higher flexural strengths and strain capacity and lower permeability when compared to plain concrete. The findings of this study could be considered one of the few studies that incorporated CNT addition to concrete to produce composite members of enhanced performance.

5. Limitations and Future Work

5.1. Limitations. The limitations observed during this work include the following:

(1) Dispersion of CNTs within the water solution was a time-consuming process due to the need to sonicate limited quantities of a maximum of 1 liter in the repetition

(2) The reduced amount of water in the concrete batches compared to cement paste batches resulted in the nonuniform dispersion of CNTs

5.2. Future Work

(1) The elemental compositions of concrete hydration phases must be investigated using energy-dispersive X-ray analysis to understand the effect of CNTs on these phases

(2) There is also a need to further investigate the erratic behavior and nonuniform results obtained during the load-deflection-based tests
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