Enhancing Charge Transfer and Photoelectric Characteristics for Organic Solar Cells
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The main purpose of this work is to analyze the effect of steric hindrance on the photoelectric performance of three different donor sensitizers (ZHG5, ZHG6, and ZHG7) by molecular theory simulation engineering. Photoelectric physical and photoelectric chemical parameters are investigated by means of frontier molecular orbital, global reactivity descriptors, optical absorption properties, fluorescent lifetime, charge density difference, and influence of external electric field. The results showed that the performance of the quinoxaline sensitizer was deteriorated by gradually increasing the steric hindrance to auxiliary donors. The optical properties of the hybridization of cir-coronene graphene quantum dot (GR) with the three dyes have been revealed, and the results show that graphene quantum dots can indeed improve the optical properties of solar cells. In addition, nine new molecules were designed by inserting six functional groups; it is found that inserting -CN in the acceptor part of the molecular structure is beneficial to the performance of the sensitizer.

1. Introduction

Energy is the driving force for the development of human society. However, due to the depletion of fossil energy, human society is facing an energy crisis. Therefore, the development and utilization of clean energy are particularly important. Solar cells have many advantages, such as clean and pollution-free, low cost, and renewable and have gradually become a hot research object. Since the advent of dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) in 1991, high-performance sensitizers represented by D-π-A type structure have been a hot topic among researchers [1]. The common dye-sensitized solar cells are mainly composed of five parts: transparent conductive optical (TCO) glass, transparent nanocrystalline semiconductor thin films (photoanode), photoactive layer (dye and electrolyte solution without photoanode), redox electrolyte, and counter electrode (photocathode), which forms the sandwich’s structure [2, 3]. As a core component of dye-sensitized solar energy, the photoactive layer of different components directly affects the performance of the cells. As the filling material of the photoactive layer, the sensitizer can be divided into two types: metal sensitizer and nonmetal sensitizer. Nonmetallic sensitizer and polymers have the advantages of nonpollution, stability and well performance, which can be used as a potential candidate in the field of optoelectronics [4, 5].

The diversity of sensitizers has always been a research hotspot in the field of DSSCs, and the performance of sensitizers represented by D-π-A type structure is particularly outstanding, which has been unanimously recognized by the academic community [6–8]. Xu et al. [9] synthesized a new sensitizer with D-π-A structure and applied it to the modified photoanode and found that the photoanode with 3D inverse opal nanostructure was more favorable to the photoelectric properties of DSSC. Huang and his collaborators [10] synthesized two novel π-conjugated moieties that could be part of π-bridge for the construction of D-π-A-configured photosensitizers for DSSC applications. The results demonstrated that lateral π-conjugated expansion can improve device performance better than the linear π-conjugated extension. Duerto and his collaborators [11] synthesized four new sensitizers for DSSCs with a tert-butylidimethylsilyl ether in order to test their physical
and photovoltaic properties. The experimental results verify that the performance of the device is greatly improved when thiophene is inserted into the \( \pi \)-conjugated bridge part.

Many achievements have been made in the research on the performance of sensitizers in experiments, and the theoretical part has been widely used with the improvement of the density functional theory (DFT) technology [12–16]. In addition, graphene quantum dots have also been studied theoretically and experimentally as fillers of photosensitive layers [17, 18]. Dong et al. [19] used DFT to investigate the tentative calculation with graphene sheet through \( \pi \)-conjugated bridge and transition along with graphene sheet through \( \pi^* \) orbitals to achieve interfacial separation of electron-hole. Gao and coworkers [20] used theoretical and experimental methods to simulate the optical properties of four sensitizers and cirkoronene graphene quantum dot nanocomposites. The results demonstrate that the optical properties of all the nanocomposites have enhanced compared with those of isolated sensitizers. Li et al. [21] used the method of quantum chemistry calculation to simulate a series of sensitizers with rigid fused \( \pi \)-conjugated bridge. The calculated results indicate that reducing the aromaticity of the \( \pi \)-conjugated bridge can promote intramolecular electron delocalization and enhance the electronic injection at the Dye/TiO\(_2\) interface.

In this work, based on the research results of Chen and his collaborators [22], we used the method of quantum chemistry to further analyze how the steric hindrance affects the performance of the sensitizer (ZHg5, ZHG6, and ZHG7). In addition, sensitizers ZHG6 and ZHG7 were synthesized by adding auxiliary donors based on the molecular structure of ZHG5. The photophysical and photochemical characteristics of three sensitizers in tetrahydrofuran (THF) were simulated. Meanwhile, the optical properties of cirkoronene graphene quantum dot (we use GR to indicate the cirkoronene graphene quantum dot mentioned below) hybridization with ZHG5, ZHG6, and ZHG7 have been revealed. Subsequently, nine new molecules based on the molecular structure of ZHG5 have been designed to study the optical characteristics of sensitizers and the interface electron injection from the photoactive layer to semiconductors.

2. Computational Details

The DFT [23, 24] and TD-DFT [25–27] were used to analyze the ground-state and excited-state performance of the sensitizers. Moreover, the optimized geometries and frontier molecular orbitals of dyes were simulated by using B3LYP/6-31g(d) [28–30]. In order to make the theoretical simulation results more convincing, the functional used in the excited state needs to be screened. Based on sensitizer ZHG5, the UV-Vis absorption spectra simulated by six functionals (Cam-B3LYP, \( \omega \)B97X, PBE0PBE, MPW1PW91, LC-\( \omega \)PBE, and B3LYP [31–36]) were compared with the experimental spectra. Functional Cam-B3LYP, whose performance was closest to the experimental results, was selected for subsequent calculation of the properties of excited states, and the results of the simulation are summarized in Table S1. Meanwhile, the excited-state properties of all sensitizers were calculated in THF solution by means of the conductor polarizable continuous model (C-PCM) [37].

In addition, adiabatic electron-transfer time (\( \tau_\text{ad} \)) and rate (\( k_{\text{ET}} \)) from sensitizers to semiconductor were simulated in THF solution. The electron-transfer time could be calculated by the following formula [38, 39]:

\[
\hbar \Gamma = \sum_i p_i |\epsilon_i - E_{\text{LUMO}}|,
\]

\[
\tau (\text{fs}) = \frac{658}{\hbar |\Gamma|} \text{(meV)},
\]

where \( \hbar \Gamma \) is the broadening width, \( p_i \) and \( \epsilon_i \) represent the adsorbate part of \( i \)th molecular orbital for the sensitizer and its corresponding molecular orbital energy, and \( E_{\text{LUMO}} \) is the sum of the energies of the adsorbate in the unoccupied orbitals. All the theoretical results were obtained by Gaussian 09 [40].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Spatial Structures. The geometry structures of three investigated sensitizers ZHG5, ZHG6, and ZHG7 were optimized in tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution without symmetry constraint, and their spatial structures are available in Figure 1 and Scheme 1. With the donor part based on ZHG5, a benzene ring was inserted into the auxiliary donor portion of ZHG5 as a secondary electron-donating group, so we get sensitizer ZHG6. Based on the structure of ZHG6, a 2-(4-ethylhexyloxy)naphthalene \( (D_{2}^*) \) as the steric hindrance was inserted into the auxiliary donor group and we get molecule ZHG7. Some dihedral angles for all the optimized structures are summarized in Table 1. The interfacial angles (\( \theta_1 \)) between the donor and auxiliary donor for three dyes are -34.03\(^\circ\), -34.23\(^\circ\), and 33.28\(^\circ\), respectively. The twisted angles (\( \theta_2 \)) between the donor section and the \( \pi \)-conjugation part for three dyes are 43.88\(^\circ\), 43.83\(^\circ\), and 39.77\(^\circ\), respectively, which means that the juncture section is distorted. Combining previous research in this area, we conclude that the donor portion of the dye molecule has a distorted structure; it inhibits the intermolecular \( \pi-\pi \) aggregation on the semiconductor films. The \( \pi \)-spacers show good coplanarity with the acceptor unit with the dihedral angles (\( \theta_1 \)) less than 1.8\(^\circ\) in the three dyes. Thus, the \( \pi \)-bridges make excellent \( \pi \)-conjugation with the acceptor part, which facilitates for ICT and red shift of absorbance range. The dihedral angle \( \theta_2 \) between two benzene rings is unique to dye ZHG7, and its value is 82.05\(^\circ\). This approximately right-angled two \( D_2 \) planar structure indicates the existence of a steric hindrance effect by donor T-type stacking interaction, which results in the distortion of two structures that should be coplanar.

3.2. Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO) Analysis. The molecular orbitals’ transition ability can be reflected by the orbitals’ energy levels. The calculated energy levels are shown in Table 2 and Figure 2. In addition, FMOs and charge density difference (CDD) of ZHG5, ZHG6, and ZHG7 are presented.
in Figure 3. The energy levels of sensitizers need to meet the levels of I$_3^-$ /I$^-$ couple and TiO$_2$ conducting band edge. LUMO levels of the sensitizer are laying above the conduction band of titanium dioxide (-4.0 eV) [41], demonstrating that electrons can be transferred easily from the excited-state sensitizer to semiconductor. The HOMO levels of the sensitizer are under the redox potential of I$_3^-$ /I$^-$ electrolyte (-4.6 eV) [42], which indicates that the electron-deprived sensitizers can quickly replenish the lost electrons from the electrolyte.

For ZHG5, the electron densities at HOMO are mainly occupied in the donor and auxiliary parts (see Figure 3). The electron densities aggregate the acceptor section at LUMO. The CDD can directly reflect the difference of electron density between the ground state and the first excited state. In Figure 3, the green (red) sections represent the negative (positive) electron density. As sensitizer ZHG5 is stimulated by light, electrons are transferred from the donor section to the acceptor moiety. As the acceptor group of the sensitizer

**Figure 1:** Chemical structures of ZHG5, ZHG6, and ZHG6.
is easily adsorbed on the semiconductor substrate, the electron transfer from the photosensitive layer to the photoanode is facilitated. The electron distributions of ZHG6 at the HOMO and LUMO are similar to those of ZHG5. As sensitizer ZHG7 is stimulated by light, intramolecular charge transfer occurs. Table 2 shows that HOMO energy levels in investigated sensitizers is ZHG5 > ZHG6 > ZHG7, and the order of energy gaps is ZHG7 > ZHG6 > ZHG5, which indicates that the insertion of the benzene ring and 2-(4-ethylhexyloxy)naphthalene as steric hindrance caused the HOMO level of the photosensitizer to decrease, and it is not conducive to absorption spectrum bathochromic shift. The insertion of these functional groups into molecules leads to an increase in the energy gap, which is not conducive to photoexcitation.

### Table 1: Selected bond lengths and dihedral angles based on the optimized geometries of the three dyes in THF solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ZHG5</th>
<th>ZHG6</th>
<th>ZHG7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d₁</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>1.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d₂</td>
<td>1.478</td>
<td>1.478</td>
<td>1.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d₃</td>
<td>1.425</td>
<td>1.424</td>
<td>1.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d₄</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>1.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedral angle (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ₁</td>
<td>-34.03</td>
<td>-34.23</td>
<td>33.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ₂</td>
<td>43.88</td>
<td>43.83</td>
<td>39.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ₃</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ₄</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>82.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This may be the reason for the degradation of the overall performance of sensitizers, so the simulation results are in line with the experimental conclusions.

3.3. Global Reactivity Descriptors. On the basis of Koopmans’ theorem [43–45], different global reactivity descriptors, i.e., electronegativity (χ), hardness (η), softness (S), chemical potential (µ) and electrophilicity index (ΔE), are computed by means of the energies of ionization potential (IP) and electron affinity (EA). The smaller ionization potentials are favorable for electron transfer to semiconductor substrate, and the larger electron affinities inhibit electron transfer from photo-anode to photosensitive layer. The electron affinities of the three sensitizers had no obvious changes. From Table 3, the ionization potentials are 4.813 eV, 4.826 eV, and 4.827 eV for ZHG5, ZHG6, and ZHG7, which is indicating that sensitizer ZHG5 is more efficient at injecting electrons into the semiconductor substrate. Moreover, the total molecular energies \( E_{\text{TOTAL}} \), chemical hardness (η), chemical softness (S), and electrophilicity index (ΔE) of the three dyes in a solvent phase were calculated. As shown in Table 3, chemical hardness is a measure of electron transfer resistance, and the results show that ZHG5 is 3.316 eV, which is the lowest value relative to ZHG6 (3.328 eV) and ZHG7 (3.330 eV). Chemical potential can reflect the ability of photosensitive molecules to transfer electrons to an equilibrium state after photoexcitation, it can be seen from Table 3 that the escaping ability of ZHG5 is strongest. The electrophilicity index is the property of a molecule to obtain electrons from the environment or to provide electrons to the environment. All three molecules have a negative electrophilicity index, which means all three dyes have the ability to provide electrons to the environment.

3.4. Optical Characteristics. The UV-Visible absorption spectra were simulated via TD-DFT in THF solvent. The wavelength of the maximum molar extinction coefficient

![Scheme 1: Brief structure of D–D–π–A dyes (θ₁–θ₄ represent the dihedral angle; d₁–d₄ represent the bond length; D₂* represents the 2-(4-ethylhexyloxy)naphthalene).](image)
is 451.50 nm and an oscillator of 1.499. In addition, the sensitizer can be used as the photosensitive layer of DSSC. When the auxiliary donor does not change the absorption property of the sensitizer. The molecular orbitals HOMO (left), LUMO (middle), and charge density difference (right) between the excited- and ground-state diagrams of three original molecules.

### Table 3: Ionization potentials (IP), electron affinities (EA), electronegativity (χ), hardness (η), softness (S/EV⁻¹), chemical potential (μ), electrophilicity index (ΔE), and total molecular energies (E_{TOTAL}/Hartree) of ZHG5, ZHG6, and ZHG7 (eV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ZHG5</th>
<th>ZHG6</th>
<th>ZHG7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>4.813</td>
<td>4.826</td>
<td>4.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>2.995</td>
<td>2.996</td>
<td>2.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>χ</td>
<td>6.311</td>
<td>6.324</td>
<td>6.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η</td>
<td>3.316</td>
<td>3.328</td>
<td>3.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>-3.904</td>
<td>-3.911</td>
<td>-3.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE</td>
<td>-1.818</td>
<td>-1.830</td>
<td>-1.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_{TOTAL}</td>
<td>-3639.847</td>
<td>-3793.493</td>
<td>-4567.906</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ZHG5) and maximum oscillator strengths (f) were listed in Table 4 and Table S2. In addition, the absorption spectra of the three molecules are displayed in Figure 4. For ZHG5, the λ_{abs} and f of the first excited state are 452.36 nm and 1.450. Compared with ZHG5, the λ_{abs} simulated by ZHG6 is 452.00 nm and an oscillator of 1.499. In addition, the λ_{abs} of ZHG7 is 452.00 nm with the oscillator of 1.516. The simulated UV-Vis absorption spectrum curves agree with the experimental data, and it can be seen that the addition of auxiliary donor does not change the absorption property of the sensitizer.

Moreover, the fluorescence lifetime of the sensitizers can be derived by the following formula [46]:

$$\tau = \frac{c^2}{2(E_{flu})^2 f},$$

(3)

Here, f represents the oscillator of the fluorescence spectrum. c stands for the velocity of light and E_{flu} means the vertical emission energy. τ is the fluorescence lifetime. The τ of the three sensitizers in S1 state is shown in Table 5, and it can be seen that the fluorescence lifetime of the sensitizers decreases with the increase of the auxiliary donor group. The specific relationship between molecules is ZHG5 (3.00 ns) > ZHG6 (2.93 ns) > ZHG7 (2.91 ns), which indicates that sensitizer ZHG5 is more suitable to be the photosensitive layer of DSSC than the other two sensitizers.

### 3.5. External Electric Field Analysis. Electron transfer is affected by the electric field, and the appropriate direction and intensity of the electric field can enhance the electron transfer of the sensitizer to the semiconductor, so it is particularly necessary to simulate the optical properties of sensitizers in the electric field. The external electric field of different strengths \((F = 0, 5 \times 10^{-4}, 10 \times 10^{-4}, 15 \times 10^{-4}, 20 \times 10^{-4}, 25 \times 10^{-4}, 30 \times 10^{-4} \text{au})\) along the x-axis (The direction of the donor to the acceptor) was applied to the three sensitizers. Since the oxygen-containing group can be easily anchored to the semiconductor substrate, the direction of the external electric field is perpendicular to the semiconductor substrate [47, 48]. The energy levels and gaps of the three sensitizers in the applied electric field of different intensities are summarized in Table S3. Comparing with the HOMO energy level without the intensity of the external electric field (~4.94 eV for ZHG5), it can be found that when the applied external electric field intensity is between 0 and 15 × 10^{-4} au, the energy level increases with the increase of the external electric field. In addition, the LUMO level and energy gap decrease as the

Figure 3: The molecular orbitals HOMO (left), LUMO (middle), and charge density difference (right) between the excited- and ground-state diagrams of three original molecules.
The optical properties of the three sensitizers in electric fields of different intensities are shown in Figure 5 and Table S4. For three molecules, the absorption peak red shifts as the strength of the external electric field increases, and the oscillator strength of S1 decreases as the external electric field increases. By means of the absorption spectrum, it can be found that the absorption interval of dye in the visible region increases with the increase of the external electric field intensity, which is favorable for the sensitizer to be excited by light.

### 3.6. Molecular Electrostatic Potential (MEP)

In order to examine the differential mutagenicities among three dyes, the molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) was calculated, and the results are shown in Figure 6. The MEP maps can directly reflect the reaction area of nucleophilic attack and electrophilic attack on molecules, and the different colors at the surface represent the different values of MEP. The electrostatic potential is in increasing order red < pink < buff < pale green < mazarine. The color gradient of electrostatic potential ranges from -0.06 au (red) to 0.06 au (mazarine). The MEP maps of all sensitizers showed that the hydrogen atom with hydroxyl groups in the acceptor had the highest positive potential compared with other parts of the molecule, indicating the preferred site for nucleophilic attack. The relatively negative potential regions are observed on nitrogen atom with the cyano group and oxygen atom with the carbonyl group in the acceptor part, which is the preferred area of electrophilic attack. It can be seen from the MEP maps that both nucleophilic attack and electrophilic attack are concentrated in the acceptor part of the sensitizers, indicating that the acceptor parts are the unstable region for three dyes. Therefore, the graphene quantum dot can be inserted in the acceptor parts to simulate the optical properties of the doped graphene on the device.

### 3.7. Properties of Dye/Graphene (Dye/GR) Complex

In order to prevent the sp² hybridization network structure of graphene from being destroyed by the chemical functionalization of graphene oxide, inhibition of graphene oxidation has been applied to the preparation of Dye/GR nanomaterials. The cir-coronene graphene with D_{sh} planar reticular structure was adopted as the quantum dot for anchoring sensitizers. The C-C single bond is formed between the sensitizer...
molecules and quantum dots through dehydration and condensation. The C-C bonds formed between the three sensitizers and the quantum dots range from 1.498 to 1.499 Å. This is a stable state compared to the length of the C-C bonds in the molecule (1.39-1.55 Å).

The energy levels and energy gaps of Dye/GR are given in Table 2. As can be seen from the chart, the HOMO level of the complex did not change significantly after the sensitizer was hybrid with graphene quantum dots, but the LUMO levels of the three complexes decreased to different degrees, which leads to a smaller gap, and which facilitates the transition of the molecular orbital.

The frontier molecular orbitals and optical properties are plotted in Figure S1, Figure 4 and Table S5. Table S5 shows that the oscillator intensity reaches its maximum when the molecular orbitals are transferred from HOMO-3 to LUMO, indicating that S2 is analyzed in detail. For ZHG5/GR, the maximum absorption peak in S2 state is 470.85 nm, which is red shifted about 18 nm compared with the isolated sensitizer. Moreover, the oscillator strength of the sensitizer doped with graphene quantum dots also rises to 2.248, which is very beneficial to the light capture efficiency. In the excited-state S2, electrons are photoexcited from HOMO-3 to LUMO. On HOMO-3, the electron densities are distributed over the entire molecule, except for the second donor and graphene quantum dot. Moreover, the electron densities are occupied in the acceptor moiety of complex in the LUMO orbital.

The $\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ of the S2 for ZHG6/GR is 470.90 nm, and the $f$ is 2.295. Compared with molecule ZHG6, the absorption peak of ZHG6/GR was red shifted about 19 nm. This excited-state electron has a transition from HOMO-2 to LUMO. In HOMO-2, the electron densities are distributed on graphene quantum dots. Besides, the electron densities are distributed among the acceptor part of the complex in LUMO. For ZHG7/GR, the $\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ in S2 is 469.95 nm, and the $f$ is 2.288, with the electron having a transition from HOMO-2 orbital to LUMO orbital in this state. For ZHG7, the $\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ of ZHG7/GR was red shifted about 17.95 nm. In this excited state, the transition of electron density is similar to that of complex ZHG6/GR. As can be seen from the optical properties of the three complexes, the energy gaps of the complexes were significantly reduced after the graphene quantum dots were doped. At the same time, the molar absorptivity and oscillator strength increased, which facilitates the light capture efficiency.
extinction coefficients were also significantly increased, and the absorption spectrums were also significantly red shift. The simulation results evidenced that graphene quantum dot filling system compared with an isolated sensitizer is more advantageous to the optical performance of DSSCs.

3.8. Designed Molecules. The molar extinction coefficient, energy gap, fluorescence lifetime, and global reactivity descriptors of ZHG5 are better than those of ZHG6 and ZHG7. Based on the optimized spatial structure of ZHG5, nine sensitizers were designed by insertion of different functional groups (-OH, -NH₂, -OCH₃, -CF₃, -F, and -CN). The structural formulas for the nine design sensitizers are presented in Figure 7, where the -OH, -NH₂, and -OCH₃ groups are inserted into the donor portion, and the -CF₃, -F, and -CN groups are inserted into the acceptor section.

The energy gaps and FMOs of the nine designed dye sensitizers are shown in Figure 2 and Figure S2, and the detailed data are summarized in Table S6. The HOMO energy level of the nine designed dyes is generally higher than that of the original molecule ZHG5, and the LUMO energy level decreased obviously (see Table 2). Therefore, compared with ZHG5, the energy gap of the nine designed molecules is reduced, in which ZHG5-3, ZHG5-6, and ZHG5-9 are decreased significantly. The optical properties of nine designed dyes are shown in Figure S3 and Table S7.

Compared to molecular ZHG5, the molar extinction coefficients of the designed molecules are generally reduced, and the absorption spectra of ZHG5-3, ZHG5-6, and ZHG5-9 show an obvious red shift.

In addition, in order to make the simulation results of the optical properties of the designed molecules more accurate, the sensitizers are anchored to the semiconductor and the properties of Dye/TiO₂ complexes are analyzed [49, 50]. The sensitizer molecules and TiO₂ are linked by a Ti-O single bond. The energy gaps and optical parameters of the nine complexes and ZHG5 are summarized in Table 6 and Table S6. The FMOs and absorption spectrum are available in Figures 8 and 9. By means of semiconductor anchoring, the simulation results can be more reliable and convincing. Therefore, the simulation results that the optical properties of ZHG5-3, ZHG5-6 and ZHG5-9 were better than the other six design molecules were verified again, and the optical properties of the sensitizers can be improved by inserting -CN into the acceptor part of the molecule ZHG5.

The adiabatic interface electron transfer theory is used to simulate the electron transfer from the sensitizer to the semiconductor band. By using the developed Newns-Anderson approach [51–54], the interfacial electron transfer (IET) time (τₑ) from sensitizers to a semiconductor can be simulated, and the detailed data are listed in Table 6. From Table 6, it can be found that the interfacial electron injection time of the designed molecules are in the same order of femtosecond.

4. Conclusion

In summary, the effects of steric hindrance and graphene quantum dots on the optical properties of DSSC were simulated by theoretical calculation. The results indicate that the donor part of ZHG5 was inserted into benzene ring and 2-(4-ethylhexyloxy)naphthalene as space steric hindrance caused the energy gap and ionization energy were increased,
Table 6: Calculated optical properties and interfacial electron-transfer time $\tau_2$ (fs) of the ZHG5/TiO$_2$ and Dye/TiO$_2$ complexes on S1 state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dyes</th>
<th>$E$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{abs}$ (nm)</th>
<th>Contribution MO</th>
<th>Strength ($f$)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (fs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>478.48</td>
<td>(37.65%) $H \rightarrow L$</td>
<td>1.740</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-1/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>459.58</td>
<td>(35.10%) $H \rightarrow L + 1$</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-2/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>478.20</td>
<td>(47.76%) $H \rightarrow L$</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-3/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>551.13</td>
<td>(51.73%) $H \rightarrow L$</td>
<td>1.543</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-4/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>484.71</td>
<td>(25.30%) $H \rightarrow L$</td>
<td>1.338</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-5/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>484.11</td>
<td>(50.21%) $H \rightarrow L$</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-6/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>559.92</td>
<td>(55.41%) $H \rightarrow L$</td>
<td>1.480</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-7/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>487.58</td>
<td>(37.13%) $H \rightarrow L + 1$</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-8/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>479.27</td>
<td>(48.80%) $H \rightarrow L$</td>
<td>1.581</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHG5-9/TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>552.03</td>
<td>(52.70%) $H \rightarrow L$</td>
<td>1.524</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Selected frontier molecular orbitals of the designed Dye/TiO$_2$ and ZHG5/TiO$_2$ complexes in solvent.
and the fluorescence lifetime was reduced, which was not conducive to electron transfer and excited-state duration. This indicates that the steric hindrance effect is caused by the excessive size of the donor part, which is unfavorable to the overall performance of the sensitizer. Based on the analysis of the molecular electrostatic potential, a graphene quantum dot is anchored in the acceptor part with high electron activity, and it is found that the energy gaps of the Dye/GR complexes are reduced and the molar extinction coefficients are generally increased, which indicates that the sensitizer can improve the photoelectric properties of the device after anchoring graphene. The nine molecules were designed by inserting functional groups based on the molecular structure of ZHG5. The results showed that the improvement to the photoelectric properties of the molecules was the most obvious when -CN was inserted into the acceptor of the sensitizer. In addition, molecular dynamics of IET of the designed molecules were simulated, and the results showed that the dynamic transfer process of the designed molecules reached the femtosecond level, which was beneficial to the electron injection efficiency and short-circuit current.
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Table S1: experimental absorption peak, calculated absorption peaks with corresponding oscillator strengths of the dye ZHG5 in dichloromethane by using different functionals via TD-DFT/6-31G(d) method (nm). Table S2: the calculated transition properties of ZHG5, ZHG6 and ZHG7 in THF via TD-DFT/Cam-B3LYP/6-31G(d). Table S3: energy levels and energy gaps of the dyes in different external electric field (×10−4 au), in which H and L represent HOMO and LUMO, respectively (eV). Table S4: calculated transition energies and oscillator strengths of ZHG5, ZHG6, and ZHG7 in electric field (×10−4 au), in which H and L represent HOMO and LUMO, respectively. Table S5: the calculated transition properties of ZHG5/GR, ZHG6/GR, and ZHG7/GR in THF via TD-DFT/Cam-B3LYP/6-31G(d). Table S6: the calculated transition properties of the nine designed dyes and ZHG5 in solvent (eV). Table S7: the calculated transition properties of the nine designed molecules in solvent (eV). Figure S1: the frontier molecular orbits and charge density difference (right) between the excited- and ground-state diagrams of ZHG5/GR, ZHG6/GR, and ZHG7/GR. Figure S2: FMO diagrams of the designed molecules. Figure S3: simulated UV-Vis spectra of ZHG5 and nine designed molecules in solvent: (a) ZHG5, ZHG5-1, ZHG5-2, and ZHG5-3; (b) ZHG5, ZHG5-4, ZHG5-5, and ZHG5-6; (c) ZHG5, ZHG5-7, ZHG5-8, and ZHG5-9. (Supplementary Materials)
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