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One-Pot Preparation of Alumina-Modified Polysulfone-Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite Membrane for Separation of Emulsion-Oil from Wastewater
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In recent years, polysulfone-based nanocomposite membranes have been widely used for contaminated water treatment because they comprise properties such as high thermal stability and chemical resistance. In this study, a polysulfone (PSf) nanocomposite membrane was fabricated using the wet-phase inversion method with the fusion of graphene oxide (GO) and alumina (Al2O3) nanoparticles. We also showed that GO-Al2O3 nanoparticles were synthesised successfully by using a one-pot hydrothermal method. The nanocomposite membranes were characterised by Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and water contact angle. The loading of GO and Al2O3 was investigated to improve the hydrophilic and oil rejection of the matrix membrane. It was shown that by using 1.5 wt.% GO-Al2O3 loaded in polysulfone, ~74% volume of oil was separated from the oil/water emulsion at 0.87 bar and 30 min. This figure was higher than that of the process using the unmodified membrane (PSf/GO) at the same conditions, in which only ~60% volume of oil was separated. The pH, oil/water emulsion concentration, separation time, and irreversible fouling coefficient (FRw) were also investigated. The obtained results suggested that the GO-Al2O3 nanoparticles loaded in the polysulfone membrane might have potential use in oily wastewater treatment applications.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, a large amount of oily wastewater from different industrial processes (such as metallurgy, transportation, food processing, and petrochemical and oil refinery) has negatively impacted the environment [1]. Many conventional technologies, such as ultrasonic separation [2], coagulation and air floatation [3], microwave [4], ozonation [5], and biological processes [6], have been used for separating oil from an oil/water emulsion. However, these technologies are often restricted by their low separation efficiency and high energy cost [7]. Recently, the membrane filtration technology has been applied for separating oil from wastewater due to the advantages of energy saving, high separation efficiency, and environmental friendliness [8, 9]. Polysulfone is known as a polymer which is used for the synthesis of filtration membranes and has outstanding properties such as mechanical and thermal stability, chemical resistance, convenient flux, and low cost [10, 11]. However, the major drawback of this membrane type is its hydrophobic feature, which leads to membrane fouling, and this in turn reduces filtration efficiency and increases the cost of the operation process [12]. To overcome these drawbacks, many approaches have been conducted which involve using nanocarbon materials to
modify polymeric membranes. In particular, GO is commonly used as an additive for the preparation of blended membranes [13, 14]. This is because graphene oxide contains an abundance of functional groups such as hydroxyl, carboxyl, ketones, and epoxide [15, 16], thus making it a good choice to improve permeability and antifouling efficiency; adding to this, GO has a unique 2D nanosheet structure, large specific surface area, good mechanical properties, and high hydrophilicity [17–21]. These features improve not only the hydrophilic properties but also the mechanical properties, as well as the fouling resistance ability of membranes, particularly when filtering organic compounds [22, 23]. In researches of oil/water emulsions, Hu et al. [24] developed a novel GO-modified commercial 19-channel ceramic MF membrane by a transfer via a vacuum method. Pan et al. [25] used titanium dioxide dynamic membranes in the separation of oil-in-water emulsions. The results showed high efficiency for oil rejection with low permeate concentrations.

Recently, Ji et al. [26] reported the preparation of PSf/GO loose nanofiltration hollow-fiber membranes, which effectively stored Congo red at 99.9% over a continuous period of 480 min. Nasseri et al. [27] synthesised and characterised a PSf/GO nanocomposite membrane under optimum conditions; this membrane eliminated up to 93% of bisphenol A from the water environment. PSf-TiO2/GO nanocomposite membranes were also prepared by Sirinupong et al. [28] for forward osmosis applications.

As mentioned above, membrane fabrication can be introduced by the incorporation of GO into polymer matrix membranes because of the presence of hydrophilic functional groups on the surface. However, the insertion of GO nanoparticles into the polymeric membrane is restricted by the aggregation of thin sheets of carbon atoms. Therefore, GO nanoparticles are added to a polymer matrix with a suitable content and by using an appropriate procedure, which can significantly improve the physical properties of the membrane [29, 30]. Furthermore, a few researches were carried out to modify the membranes by physical blending, plasma treatment [31], and polymer grafting and chemical reactions [32]. In our previous research [33], we prepared a graphene oxide-titanium dioxide (GO-TiO2) mixture into the polysulfone through a phase inversion technique for the removal of methylene blue (MB) from water. The results showed that the MB rejection of the PSf/GO-TiO2 membrane was higher than that of the unblended membranes (PSf/GO).

This research is aimed at synthesising PSf/GO-Al2O3 nanocomposite membranes for the rejection of oil from wastewater. In the work, GO-Al2O3 nanoparticles were dispersed into a PSf matrix as the hydrophilic agent using a one-pot procedure. The membranes were characterised in terms of morphology, hydrophilicity, and stability. The optimal membrane was evaluated on the basis of the oil removal from an oil/water emulsion and under the optimum conditions of operating time, initial concentration of emulsion, and pH. Moreover, the effects of GO and GO-Al2O3 on the hydrophilic and antifouling properties of the blended membranes were compared by determining the rejection, permeability, irreversible fouling coefficient, and reusability of the membranes.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials. Graphite powder, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, 99%), aluminium sulfate (Al2(SO4)3, 99.9%), potassium permanganate (KMnO4, 99%), sodium nitrate (NaNO3, 99%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 99%), ammonia solution (NH4OH, 25%), sulphuric acid (H2SO4, 98%), hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37%), ethanol (C2H5OH, 99%), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2, 30%), and n-hexane were provided by Aladdin Industrial Inc. Polysulfone (PSf) p-3500 (MW = 35,000), polyethylene glycol (PEG), and dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. None of the chemicals used had undergone purification.

2.2. Preparation of GO. The preparation of GO was carried out using modified Hummer’s method [34–36]. In a typical synthesis, 1.0 g of graphite powder was added to 2.5 g of NaNO3 and 100 mL of concentrated H2SO4 while stirring. Following on, 3.0 g of KMnO4 was added gradually to this mixture at 10°C while stirring for 2 h. The resulting mixture was added to 100 mL of distilled water and then heated to 98°C. The obtained mixture was continuously stirred for 2 h. Then, 10 mL of H2O2 was then added to the mixture while stirring for 2 h. The color of the mixture changed to bright yellow. Finally, the mixture was filtered and washed with a 5% HCl aqueous solution to remove metal ions, followed by distilled water for the removal of the acid. The resulting solid, with a brown-black color, was separated by ultrasonic treatment in water and then dried at 60°C for 12 h.

2.3. Preparation of PSf and PSf/GO Membrane. A PSf/GO membrane was formed according to the procedure set out in [37, 38]. The casting solution contained PSf = 15 wt.%, DMF = 85 wt.%, and GO = (0; 2 wt.%PSf). Firstly, the determined amount of GO was dispersed in an DMF solvent, which was ultrasonically vibrated for 1 h, and then stirred continuously for approximately 24 h, at room temperature, following which a homogenous solution was obtained. Then, PSf was added to the GO/DMF mixture and stirred continuously at the rate of 400 rpm for 24 h at 60°C; thus, a homogenous membrane folding solution was obtained. This solution was dripped onto the 15 cm diameter glass substrate of the spin coater at the rotation speed of 200 rpm. Under the effect of the centrifugal force, the solution spread uniformly on the substrate and formed a thin membrane. The glass substrate was placed into a deionised (DI) water bath and allowed to settle for 10 min to ensure the completion of the phase inversion process. Finally, synthetic membranes were exfoliated and washed several times with deionised water to remove the excess solvent; the obtained membranes were denoted as PSf and PSf/GO.

2.4. Preparation of PSf/GO-Al2O3 Nanocomposite Membrane

2.4.1. Preparation of Al2O3 and GO-Al2O3 Nanoparticles. GO-Al2O3 nanoparticles were synthesised through a one-pot hydrothermal procedure. In brief, 0.855 g of Al2(SO4)3 was dissolved with a 5 M NaOH solution. Subsequently, 0.01 g of PEG was added to this solution as a dispersant. After 10 min of homogenisation, a determined amount of GO (0.0,
1.0 g) was added and stirred for 1 h. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 6.0 by using 1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ while stirring vigorously. Finally, the gel was formed and transferred into a Teflon autoclave for hydrothermal synthesis at 90°C for 2 h. The suspension was then filtered, washed with deionised water, and oven-dried at 110°C overnight. Subsequently, calcination was undertaken at 550°C for 5 h to obtain Al$_2$O$_3$ and GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles.

2.4.2. Preparation of PSf/GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ Nanocomposite Membrane. A PSf/GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite membrane was prepared according to the following procedure: GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ was exfoliated by ultrasonication in a DMF solution. Therefore, GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles were dispersed in a DMF solution containing PSf (15 wt.% PSf and 85 wt.% DMF) to obtain different concentrations of GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2% by weight of PSf). After stirring for 24 h at 60°C, the solution was kept overnight at room temperature, following which it was sonicated for 1 h to remove the bubbles. The solution was cast on a rotating glass plate at 200 rpm. The glass plate was immersed in a deionised (DI) water basin and allowed to settle for 10 min to ensure the completion of the phase inversion. Finally, the membrane was exfoliated and washed several times with deionised water to remove the excess solvent. The PSf/GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ membranes thus prepared had various contents of GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ with respect to PSf: 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 wt.%.

2.5. Preparation of Oil-in-Water Emulsion. An emulsion of diesel oil (DO) in water at a concentration of 1500 mg/L was prepared by mixing the determined amount of diesel oil into a solution containing water and sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) as an emulsifier. The mixture was stirred at 4000 rpm in a blender for 1 h. The emulsion was stable for 48 h.

2.6. Separation of Oil-in-Water Emulsion. Membrane separation tests were performed in a terminal filtration system, including 200 cm$^2$ stainless steel cylindrical cells and a paddle impeller; and the working pressure in all the experiments was 0.87 bar. The volume of the filtrate was collected every 5 min, and the average throughput was calculated after 90 min of filtration. In all the experiments, the membrane cells were carefully washed with deionised water before and after use. The membrane was reused after filtration, soaked in an n-hexane solvent, and dried in an oven overnight at 60°C so that it could be used for subsequent filtration.

The ability to separate oil from the water/oil emulsion of the membrane was determined through the oil rejection (R) and permeate flux (J). The oil rejection percentage (R) was calculated using the following equation:

$$\%R = \frac{C_{tf} - C_{pf}}{C_{tf}} \times 100,$$

where $C_{tf}$ and $C_{pf}$ are the concentrations of oil in the feed and the permeate solution, respectively.

The permeate flux ($J$, L/m$^2$·h·bar) was evaluated using $J = V/(S \times t \times P)$, where $V$, $S$, $t$, and $P$ are the volume of the permeated filtrate (L), effective membrane area (m$^2$), time duration (min), and pressure of the experiments (0.87 bar).

The irreversible fouling coefficient FR$_w$ was used to evaluate the ability of the membrane to clean and restore its permeate flux through washing, by using the following equation:

$$FR_w = \frac{J_{wo} - J_w}{J_{wo}} \times 100(\%),$$

where $J_{wo}$ and $J_w$ are the water permeability through the membrane before and after the emulsion filter. $J_w$ was determined through measuring the volume of pure water transported through the membrane over a period of time $t$, calculated using Equation (2). The lower the FR$_w$ value was, the higher the antifouling ability was.

2.7. Membrane Characterisations. The structure and the surface morphology of the membranes were observed with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using an S-4800 microscope, operating at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. An energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis was conducted to determine the presence of the elements of the samples by using S-4800 Hitachi equipped with SEM. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy was conducted using a Shimadzu IRPrestige-21 spectrometer, and a transmittance between 400 cm$^{-1}$ and 4000 cm$^{-1}$ was observed. A thermogravimetric analysis (TG/DTA) of the membranes was carried out using a DTG-60H thermal analyser. The thermogram was recorded at room temperature and 800°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min, under a N$_2$ atmosphere with a flow rate of 10 mL/min. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface areas of the membranes were calculated by employing N$_2$ at 77 K, with an Automated Sorptometer BET 201-A, USA. A water contact angle measurement was used to calculate the moisture content of the unblended and blended membranes via CAM 101/KSV- Instruments-Finland, which was employed to capture ionised water drops located on the dry surface of the membrane at 25°C.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphological and Structural Characterisation of Membranes. Figure 1 shows the XRD diffraction for GO and GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ samples. The diffraction peak around 11.5° was related to the (001) interlayer structure of GO sheets. For GO-Al$_2$O$_3$, the diffraction peak at 33.5, 45.6, and 56.4° could be indexed to the γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ structure (ICDD reference pattern 00-010-0425), while no (001) diffraction of GO was observed, thus indicating that the peak of GO (001) may be overlapped by the peak of γ-Al$_2$O$_3$. This revealed that the preparation of GO-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles was successful.

The SEM images (Figure 2) of pristine PSf, PSf/GO, and PSf/GO-Al$_2$O$_3$-1.5 membranes show the formation of pores with a dense outer surface (exhibited in Figures 1 and 2(a)). As shown in the cross-sectional images, the finger-like pores appeared and increased with the doping of GO and GO-Al$_2$O$_3$. In particular, the thicknesses of the PSf, PSf/GO, and PSf/GO-Al$_2$O$_3$-1.5 membranes were 27, 30, and 65 μm,
respectively. The increase in the interlayer spacing of the membranes was due to the insertion of the GO and GO-Al₂O₃ nanoparticles. Furthermore, with the modification of GO and GO-Al₂O₃ in the pristine PSf substrate, the outer surface became denser than that of the pristine PSf, and the porosity density increased on the membrane surface. It could be speculated that the viscosity of the solution increased by blending the nanoparticles in the PSf membranes; this led to increased condensation and thus an increase in the surface density, which can give rise to the narrowing of pore channels [39].

In order to further evaluate the surface area and the total pore volume of the unmodified PSf pristine and modified PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membranes, the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm was performed. The obtained results showed that the surface area increased from 2.29 m²/g to 3.56 m²/g for the PSf and PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membranes. The average pore size of the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membrane was greater (2.75 nm) than that of the pristine PSf membrane (1.82 nm). Meanwhile, the pore volume is 0.00073 cm³/g for PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 and 0.00074 cm³/g for PSf without any significant change. This result indicated that the increase in the surface area and the pore diameter of PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 was attributed to the insertion of GO and GO-Al₂O₃ nanoparticles into the layers on the pristine PSf membrane surface; this was also consistent with the results obtained from the SEM images.

To evaluate the thermal durability of the PSf membrane before and after the blending of GO-Al₂O₃, the thermogravimetric analysis was used and is presented in Figure 3. The TG/DTA for the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membrane showed that in the temperature range of 533.86°C, the membrane began to decompose, and the lost weight was 1.553 mg, which corresponds to 51.80%. At 800°C, the membrane was decomposed almost completely. The TG/DTA graph of the PSf membrane, with weight loss of 2.895 mg at 525.8°C, corresponded to 48.62%. When the temperature reached 700°C, the decomposition was mostly complete. These results showed that after being modified by GO-Al₂O₃, the PSf membrane had a higher decomposition temperature than the pristine PSf membrane, indicating that the presence of GO and Al₂O₃ increased the thermal durability of the membrane.

The samples of the Al₂O₃, GO-Al₂O₃, and PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membranes were recorded by the FT-IR spectra for the inspection of the functional groups. The corresponding results are shown in Figure 4.

These FT-IR spectra (Figure 4) clearly show the characteristic peaks around 3400 cm⁻¹ from the stretching vibration of the O-H bond, which confirmed the formation of a hydrophilic group on the surface of the modified membrane. The peak at 1713 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the carboxyl functional group (–COOH), while the peak at around 1619 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the epoxy bond as well as the bending vibration bands of OH due to the adsorption of water into the GO matrix [40]. For the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃ membrane, the peaks at 1100 cm⁻¹ represented the symmetric stretching vibration of O=S=O, whereas the peak at around 1380 cm⁻¹ was related to the O=S=O asymmetric stretching. The band at around 1487 cm⁻¹ was assigned to a specific phenyl vibration. The bands at around 1238 cm⁻¹ and 1040 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the C-O-C group, respectively. Moreover, the peak at the wave number of 2900 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the stretching vibration of the C-H bond [41, 42]. Upon the insertion of GO-Al₂O₃ into the PSf matrix, the spectral range from 1370 cm⁻¹ to 450 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the absorption bands related to the Al-O type bonds [43].

Figure 5 shows the spectrograms obtained from the EDX analysis of the prepared membranes. The primary elements, namely, carbon, oxygen, sulphur, and aluminium, were analysed. A relatively low sulphur content was found in the pristine PSf, PSf/GO, and PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membranes, i.e., 4.56 wt.%, 5.95 wt.%, and 3.44 wt.%, respectively. When GO was incorporated into the pristine PSf membrane, the carbon content increased dramatically to 5.95 wt.%. In contrast, the sulphur content of the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membrane decreased back to 3.44 wt.%, and the aluminium content was 0.19 wt.%. Figure 5 also shows the SEM images illustrating the presence of multiple nodules on the membrane surface and indicating the successful embedment of GO-Al₂O₃ throughout the PSf structure.

3.2 Water Contact Angle of the Membrane. The change in the water flow of the PSf membrane was attributed to the hydrophilicity surface of the modified membrane; this was evaluated by examining the water contact angle. As shown in Figure 6, the water contact angle of the membrane which was modified by inserting GO and GO-Al₂O₃ into the PSf matrix changed as compared to that of the pristine PSf membrane. In particular, the water contact angle of the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membrane (66.0 ± 1.2°) exhibited a significant decrease compared with that of the PSf/GO (76.2 ± 0.4°) sample and the PSf membrane (83.4 ± 0.09°) (Table 1). The improving hydrophilicity was mainly attributed to the
Figure 2: SEM images of the surface (a) and the cross-sectional images (b) of PSf, PSf/GO, and PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membranes (inset: the high magnification images).

Figure 3: TGA and DTA curves of PSf and PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membranes.

Figure 4: FT-IR spectra of (a) Al2O3, (b) GO-Al2O3, and (c) PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membranes.
presence of hydrophilic oxygen-containing functional groups such as carboxyl and hydroxyl groups existing in GO and Al₂O₃ [20].

3.3. Separation Performance of Membranes. To evaluate the membrane separation performance, the rejection and flux of the oil/water emulsion was tested under a pressure of

![Spectrogram of energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) of membrane samples: (a) pristine PSf membrane, (b) PSf/GO membrane, and (c) PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membrane.](image-url)
0.87 bar; the separation time was more than 90 min. The concentration of the oil/water emulsion of the feed solution was 450 mg/L. The oil rejection and the permeate flux of the PSf and PSf/GO membranes versus those of the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membranes are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively.

The obtained results showed that the modified membrane of PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 had a higher permeate flux and rejection than the PSf and PSf/GO membranes. The rejection of the PSf membrane was only 47%, while the PSf membrane modified by GO exhibited an improved rejection (60%) and the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membrane had a high rejection of 74%.

As can be seen in Figure 8, the permeate flux of the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membrane was also higher than that of the PSf/GO and PSf membranes. The permeate flux of the PSf, PSf/GO, and PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membranes was 9.58, 9.82, and 16.28 L/m²·h·bar after 30 min, respectively. The increase in the flux by the GO-Al₂O₃ coating into the pristine PSf membrane pore surface could result in the formation of Al-O-C bond between hydroxyl groups on the GO surface and Al₂O₃ [24]. In addition, the enhancement of the permeate flux could be also attributed to the surface of Al₂O₃.
having many different types of interactions during the oil rejection from the oil/water emulsion. Thus, modifying the PSf membrane via GO-Al2O3 not only increased the specific surface area (from 2.28 m² g⁻¹ to 3.56 m² g⁻¹) but also increased the permeability of the pristine PSf membrane.

As shown in Figure 8, when the oil rejection time increased from 0 to 30 min, the permeate flux increased obviously, and then, the permeate flux decreased from 30 min to 90 min, which could be attributed to the fouling of the membrane pore compaction [42, 44, 45]. On the basis of these results, the PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membrane was selected for further experiments on oil removal from an oil/water emulsion.

3.3.1. Influence of Factors on Oil Rejection of Membranes

(1) Effect of GO-Al2O3 Nanomaterials on the Performance of PSf Membrane. The effects of using nanoparticle-incorporated substrates on the SPf membranes with respect to the permeate flux and the oil rejection were evaluated using the experimental setup (operating pressure of 0.87 bar at ambient temperature, feed emulsion of 450 ppm, and
The obtained results showed an increase in the GO-Al₂O₃ content of nanoparticles in the pristine PSf membrane from 0.5 to 1.5 wt.%. This resulted in the oil rejection and the permeate flux of 66.22% and 11.76 L/m²·h·bar for the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-0.5 membrane, 70.99% and 13.9 L/m²·h·bar for the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.0 membrane, and 73.81% and 16.18 L/m²·h·bar for the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-1.5 membrane, respectively. The oil rejection and the permeate flux constantly increased according to the GO-Al₂O₃ content of the membranes. When the GO-Al₂O₃ content was increased to 2.0 wt.%, the rejection and flux of the PSf/GO-Al₂O₃-2.0 membrane dramatically decreased by 68.62% and 13.65 L/m²·h·bar, respectively. This decrease was probably due to the partial agglomeration, which led to the rougher surface, and the membrane porosity of the nanoparticle-incorporated substrates [46, 47].
(2) Effect of Oil-in-Water Emulsion Concentrations. The effect of the oil/water emulsion concentration on the separation performance was also determined using the PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membrane. As shown in Figures 11 and 12, when the emulsion concentration increased from 450 to 750 mg/L, the oil rejection decreased sharply from 73.81% to 45.64%. This was attributed to the deposition of oil molecules on the membrane surface, thus increasing the resistance of the transport water.

In addition, the permeate flux of the membrane tended to decrease as the emulsion concentration increased; the permeate flux was maintained at 16.28 L/m²·h·bar for 30 min at the concentration of 450 mg/L. Meanwhile, the permeate flux was 9.34 and 11.10 L/m²·h for the concentration of 750 and 600 mg/L after 20 min, respectively, then decreased sharply. The decrease in the permeate flux as the emulsion concentration increased could be attributed to the increase in viscosity of the emulsion, thus leading to the resistance of the mass transfer through the membrane.

(3) Effect of pH. The effect of pH on the rate of oil rejection and the permeate flux of the prepared membrane (PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5) are presented in Figures 13 and 14. It can be seen that the oil rejection and permeate flux changed slightly after 60 min when the pH was increased from 4.0 to 10. This might be attributed to oil consisting of nonpolar hydrocarbon molecules; thus, at an acidic pH, a positive charge was observed and/or the basic pH of the environment did not significantly affect the removal of oil during the operating time.

(4) Investigation of Irreversible Fouling Coefficient. The irreversible fouling coefficient (FRw) was used to evaluate the cleaning effect and the permeate flux recovery of the membranes. The FRw values of the modified membrane (PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5) and the unmodified membrane (pristine PSf) are shown in Table 2. As shown, the irreversible fouling coefficient of the PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membrane was 5.85% lower than that of the pristine PSf membrane in the entire process. This result revealed that the enhancement of the antifouling properties of the membrane was due to the modified membrane.

3.3.2. Reusability of the Membrane. Figure 14 shows the three cycles of the oil rejection (R) and the permeate flux (J) at the emulsion concentration 450 mg/L when treated with the PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membrane. As the number of cycles increased, the oil rejection and permeate flux significantly decreased from 71.24% to 67.01% and from 15.33 L/m²·h·bar to 14.10 L/m²·h·bar, respectively, after three cycles.

In addition, this result was proven by the FT-IR spectra of the recovered membranes. As shown in Figure 15, the characteristics of the functional groups of O=S=O, phenyl, Al-O-C, and methylene bonds were unchanged. Moreover, the SEM images (Figure 15) showed the outer surface and the cross-sectional photographs of the PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membrane after three cycles. Compared with the pristine PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membranes and after filtrations, the morphology of the cross-sectional and structural surface did not change, as presented in Figure 16(b). The experimental results for the separation of the oil (Figure 14) indicated that the filtration capacity remained almost unchanged for three cycles; the oil rejection was still 67.01% with the permeate flux of 14.10 L/m²·h·bar. This indicated that the prepared membranes still had pore holes to maintain their filtration capacity.

4. Conclusions

In this research, GO-Al2O3 nanoparticles were directly added to the PSf casting solution to synthesise a nanocomposite membrane via the common phase inversion method. The alumina-graphene oxide nanoparticles were prepared via a one-pot hydrothermal process. The addition of GO-Al2O3 modified the structural morphology of the membrane, and the water contact angle reduced while the surface area and the porosity increased. The experimental results also showed that the oil rejection and permeate flux of an oil-in-water emulsion in the PSf/GO-Al2O3-1.5 membrane substantially increased as compared to those of the PSf/GO membrane and the pristine PSf membrane. In addition, with this incorporation, the antifouling properties of the membranes were enhanced. The PSf/GO-Al2O3 membrane showed great potential for oily wastewater treatment applications.
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Additional Points

Highlights. (i) The alumina-graphene oxide (GO-Al₂O₃) nanoparticles were prepared via a one-pot hydrothermal procedure. (ii) GO-Al₂O₃ nanoparticles were directly added into a PSf casting solution via a phase inversion method. (iii) Various percentages of GO-Al₂O₃ were used for pristine PSf membrane enhancement. (iv) The 1.5 wt.% GO-Al₂O₃/PSf membrane exhibited excellent separation performance for oil from oily wastewater.
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