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Background. Malnourished infants are small for age and weight. Objectives. Determine profiles in 24-hour energy metabolism in recovering malnourished infants and compare to similarly aged healthy controls. Methods. 10 malnourished infants (58.1 ± 5.9 cm, 7.7 ± 5.6 months) were healthy prior to spending 22 hours in the Enhanced Metabolic Testing Activity Chamber for measurement of EE (kcal/min), sleeping metabolic rate (SMR; kcal/min), respiratory quotient (RQ; VCO₂/VO₂), and physical activity (PA; oscillations in wt/min/kg body weight). Metabolic data were extrapolated to 24 hours (kcal/kg/d). Energy intake (kcal/kg/d) and the proportions (%) of carbohydrate, protein, and fat were calculated. Anthropometrics for malnourished infants were obtained. Statistical differences (P < .05) between groups were determined (SPSS, version 13). Results. In comparison to controls, malnourished infants were lighter (4.1 ± 1.2 versus 7.3 ± 0.8 kg; P < .05), had less body fat % (10.3 ± 7.6 versus 25.7 ± 2.5), and lower BMI (12.0 ± 1.7 versus 15.5 ± 1.5; P < .05). In contrast, they had greater energy intake (142.7 ± 14.6 versus 85.1 ± 25.8; P < .05) with a greater percentage of carbohydrates (55.1 ± 3.9 versus 47.2 ± 5.2; P < .05). However, malnourished infants had greater 24-hour EE (101.3 ± 20.1 versus 78.6 ± 8.4; P < .05), SMR (92.6 ± 17.1 versus 65.0 ± 3.9; P < .05), and RQ (1.00 ± 0.13 versus 0.86 ± 0.08; P < .05) along with a lower amount of PA (2.3 ± 0.94 versus 4.0 ± 1.5; P < .05). Conclusions. Malnourished infants require more energy, possibly for growth.

1. Introduction

Malnutrition is a consequence of inadequate diet and frequent infections, leading to deficiencies in calories, protein, vitamins, and minerals. Malnutrition remains a pervasive problem in developing countries, where poverty is a strong underlying determinant. All ages are at risk, but malnutrition is most prevalent among children under five years of age, especially in the weaning and postweaning period of six to twenty-four months. The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated that approximately 27% (168 million) of children under the age of five years are underweight [1]. Underweight children are at increased risk of mortality from infectious illnesses such as diarrhea and pneumonia [2].

In malnourished children, stunted growth and a lower amount of spontaneous physical activity are adaptations to reduced energy intake in an attempt to preserve vital functions [3]. Furthermore, other effects of malnutrition include poor brain development [4] possibly leading to poor cognition [5]. Another physiological adaptation includes a reduced acute-phase protein response to infection [6]. Finally, children with untreated malnutrition suffer from repeated episodes of acute diarrhea resulting in dehydration [7].

Only in our previous study [8] has there ever been a long-duration study (22 hours) where energy expenditure was measured continuously in healthy infants utilizing indirect calorimetry. Due to the length of the metabolic measurements, we determined that healthy infants display a metabolic circadian rhythm by four months of age [8]. At the time of this study we were the only research group in the world conducting these types of long-term energy measurements.
expenditure measurements utilizing this technology. Now, we have taken our perfected methodology and applied it to infants suffering from malnutrition. This might reveal differences in the energy expenditure profile not detectable with short-duration indirect calorimetric measurements. These longer duration metabolic measurements might lead to new and improved nutrition rehabilitation regimes fine-tuned for malnourished or infants suffering from various metabolic disorders.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects. Ten chronically malnourished full term infants (7.7 ± 5.0 months, 4.1 ± 1.2 kg, 58.1 ± 5.9 cm, 7 males and 3 females), with a birth weight of 2.7 ± 0.6 kg were recruited from the inpatient metabolic ward of the Department of Pediatrics, Federal University of Bahia School of Medicine, Hospital Universitario Professor Edgar Santos, Salvador, Brazil. Six were classified as primary and four as suffering from secondary malnutrition as determined by a pediatrician familiar with our study. The data from these infants were compared to 10 healthy formula-fed (Carnation Good Start with iron) counterparts (7.3 ± 0.8 kg, 68.8 ± 2.8 cm, 5.0 ± 0.7 months) from a prior similar metabolic study in Miami, Florida, USA [8]. Only infants that were admitted for severe malnutrition with a diagnosis of Marasmus or Kwashiorkor were studied. No healthy infants were recruited for this study since we already had data from such a group from a prior metabolic study [8]. In our group of 10 infants, six were being treated for Marasmus and four for Kwashiorkor. Marasmus was the main reason for primary malnutrition in those infants so classified. The four infants classified as having secondary malnutrition were also being treated for Kwashiorkor. The mean admission weight for the 10 infants was 3.7 ± 1.20 kg. Moreover, there was no presence of concurrent secondary infection in those infants that were classified as having secondary malnutrition when they underwent metabolic testing. Furthermore, all infants who participated in the study were less than the 5th percentile for weight-for-length, length-for-age and weight-for-age according to the NCHS growth charts [9]. Moreover, all infants were classified as being malnourished according to weight-for-age and length-for-age z-scores being less than or equal to −2 upon admission [10]. Once identified, they were studied after they showed appropriate recovery from infectious illness as determined by the attending pediatrician. Moreover, there was no indication or presence of edema at the time of the metabolic test as verified by the pediatrician familiar with the study. This was usually three days after admission. This was also verified by the 0.36 ± 0.22 kg of weight gained between admission and the time of the metabolic test. Moreover, infants had to have a normal body temperature, as determined by the measurement of the rectal temperature, just prior to metabolic testing. Finally, infants had to be consuming the prescribed amount of formula with no presence of acute diarrhea or vomiting for at least 24 hours prior to metabolic testing. Infants were excluded if they were exclusively breastfed or presented with renal, hepatic, respiratory, and/or cardiac chronic diseases. Moreover, those with serious infections (pneumonia, meningitis, septicemia, etc.) requiring intensive care were also excluded. All infants studied were of African descent and from improvised families [11] where there were 4.5 ± 0.5 persons living within the household. The average monthly household income was 230.00 ± 133.00 US dollars.

Biological parents were provided with a complete explanation regarding the purpose, procedure, risks, and benefits of the study and informed consent was obtained from at least one parent of each infant. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital Universitario Professor Edgar Santos, Federal University of Bahia School of Medicine, and by the Institute Review Board of Lehman College, Bronx, NY.

2.2. Anthropometry. Length was measured in duplicate with a horizontal stadiometer (Perspective Enterprises, Kalamazoo, MI) and body weight was the average of two measurements obtained with an infant scale (Cardinal Detecto, Webb City, MO). Body composition was determined through the mean of triplicate measurements of triceps skin-fold thickness on the right side of the body using a Lange skin-fold caliper (Lange, Beta Technology, Cambridge MD) according to a standard procedure [12]. Calculation of the body fat was based on Siri’s equation [body fat % of weight = (4.95/body density − 4.5) × 100], where body density is calculated from age and sex adjusted regression equations designed for malnourished infants according to Laditan and Ayeni [13]. Infant BMI (kg/m²) was calculated and all anthropometric measurements were made by a trained registered dietician. Length-for-age, weight-for-age, and weight-for-length z-scores were calculated with Epi Info software (Version 3.5.1) utilizing the 1978 WHO/CDC growth reference [10].

2.3. Energy Intake. Total energy intake, and the percentage of carbohydrate, fat, and protein consumed, were determined by utilizing the formula manufacturer’s proximate analysis (Nestle Good Start with DHA & ARA) and by the amount consumed by the infant though out the 22-hour metabolic test using calibrated infant feeding bottles [8]. The recording of energy intake started one-hour prior to and ended one-hour before the conclusion of the 22-hour metabolic testing period. The one-hour off-set was necessary to include or exclude energy consumed before and after the metabolic testing period due to the gut transient time for formula in this age infant [14]. Furthermore, none of these infants consumed energy from other sources such as solid foods or other liquid supplements during the study. All infants were formula fed for at least three months prior to metabolic measurements.

2.4. Twenty-Two Hour Energy Expenditure. Prior to each metabolic measurement, the Enhanced Metabolic Testing Activity Chamber (EMTAC) instrumentation was calibrated with standard gases with a known concentration of oxygen and carbon dioxide. Furthermore, parents were given...
instruction on how to interact with their infants and were given time to practice using the hand access ports prior to metabolic testing [8, 15–17]. Once all of the instruction and calibrations were complete each infant was placed in the EMTAC for 22 hours from 11:30 AM till 9:30 AM the following day for continuous measurements of energy expenditure (EE; kcal/min), physical activity (PA; oscillations in weight/min/kg body weight), and the respiratory quotient (RQ; VCO2/VO2). Two hours were allowed for instrument calibrations, parental instruction and practice using the hand-access ports. Any supplies such as diapers, formula, or toys were placed in the EMTAC in hanging bags before the start of the test. Parents continued to formula feed their infants during metabolic testing. Breast fed infants were not studied due to the difficulty of having pumped breast milk available for the infant during the long duration metabolic testing period. Moreover, we did not have the ability to keep breast milk cool within the EMTAC enclosure for the 22-hour metabolic test. The family of the infant was provided lodging within the laboratory during the entire testing period [8]. The investigator, pediatric research fellows, and attending pediatricians that were familiar with the study acted as observers on rotating eight-hour shifts and recorded all infant activities such as infant feedings, crying, periods of observed sleep, and amount of parental interaction during the entire 22-hour testing period.

Energy expenditure, sleeping metabolic rate, physical activity, and the respiratory quotient were continuously calculated as described in a previous infant 24-hour metabolic study [8]. The EMTAC, methodology for measurement and calculation of each component of 24-hour extrapolated EE, and correction for parental interaction have been described in previous studies [8, 15–17].

2.5. Calculations. To correct the continuous metabolic data for differences in body weight, each five-minute data summary period (kcal/min) was divided by kg body weight and expressed as kcal/min/kg. Next, the corrected continuous metabolic data for each infant were than divided into three separate periods consisting of 12, eight, and two hours each, respectively. The daytime period ranged from 11:30 AM till 11:29 PM, the night time period ranged from 11:30 PM till 7:29 AM the next day and the morning period ranged from 7:30 AM till the completion of the metabolic test at 9:30 AM. The criteria used for the division of the metabolic data into the specific time periods is similar to that used in previous metabolic studies in infants [8, 15–17] and in adults [18, 19].

2.6. Statistical Analysis. The sample size in this study was determined in advance, based on data obtained from short and long-term metabolic measurements in the EMTAC in previous studies [8, 15–17]. Moreover, the number of infants needed to detect a 5% difference in metabolic and anthropometric parameters, were then calculated according to the formula of Kuzma [20]. All data were analyzed utilizing SPSS (Version 13, Chicago, IL) and expressed as Mean ± Standard Deviation. Significance (P < .05) was determined at the five percent level of probability. Finally, the Shapiro-Wilk test of Normality was utilized to determine whether the distribution was normal for both the malnourished and healthy infants. The test suggests that both the malnourished (P < .68) and healthy infants (P < .15) were found to be normally distributed. Therefore, parametric statistical analysis was utilized for our data analysis.

Comparisons for 24-hour extrapolated metabolic rate and anthropometric data between malnourished and healthy infants were determined by Independent t-test (P < .05). Furthermore, within each group (malnourished and healthy) one-way ANOVA with Least Significant Difference was utilized to determine differences between each of the three time periods (day time, night time, and morning) for continuous energy expenditure (kcal/kg/min), respiratory quotients (VCO2/VO2), and physical activity (Oscillations in weight/min/kg body weight). All metabolic results are expressed as per kg/body weight unless otherwise noted.

3. Results

3.1. Anthropometry. In comparison to similarly aged healthy controls, the malnourished infants in this study were shorter, lighter, and had less body fat and fat-free mass, along with a lower BMI. Moreover, the malnourished infants had lower z-scores for length-for-age (P < .05) and weight-for-age (P < .05), in comparison to their healthy counterparts while no differences were found between the two groups for weight-for-length (Table 1). However, no differences were found between the two groups in terms of maternal BMI (Table 1) which was within the normal weight range of 20–25 kg/m². Finally, the malnourished infants were living below the poverty line as shown by the monthly income of $230.00 ± $133.00 and number of persons residing in the household (4.5 ± 0.5).

3.2. Twenty-Four-Hour Energy Metabolism. According to Table 2, the malnourished infants consumed more energy (P < .05) during the metabolic test than their healthy counterparts, with a greater proportion of energy as carbohydrate

| Table 1: Physical characteristics of malnourished and healthy infants. |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Males/Females            | 10 Malnourished          | 10 Healthy [8]           |
| Age (months)             | 7.7 ± 5.6*               | 5.0 ± 0.7                |
| Length (cm)              | 58.1 ± 5.9*              | 68.8 ± 2.8               |
| Body weight (kg)         | 4.1 ± 1.2*               | 7.3 ± 0.8                |
| Body fat (%)             | 10.3 ± 7.6*              | 25.7 ± 2.5               |
| Fat-free mass (kg)       | 3.6 ± 0.8*               | 5.4 ± 0.5                |
| BMI (kg/m²)              | 12.0 ± 1.7*              | 15.4 ± 1.5               |
| Maternal BMI (kg/m²)     | 24.7 ± 4.7               | 27.1 ± 6.4               |
| Length-for-age z-score   | -3.64 ± 1.72*            | 1.28 ± 1.17              |
| Weight-for-age z-score   | -3.78 ± 1.11*            | 0.26 ± 0.96              |
| Weight-for-length z-score| -1.80 ± 1.01             | -1.06 ± 1.00             |

*=P < .05 between malnourished and healthy infants by Independent t-test.
with the respiratory quotient (RQ), were greater (P < .05) than their healthy counterparts. Moreover, the malnourished infants cried (P < .05) and slept (P < .05) longer during metabolic testing (P < .05) than their healthy counterparts. Finally, there were no differences between the two groups in the amount of time parents spent interacting with their infants (Table 2).

3.3. Continuous 22-Hour Energy Expenditure. The metabolic pattern for both groups of infants throughout the 22-hour measurement period is shown in Figure 1. The malnourished infants appeared to have greater energy expenditure during the day and morning periods in comparison to their healthy counterparts. However, during the night time period (11:30 PM till 7:30 AM) the healthy infants showed a significant (P < .05) decrease in energy expenditure as opposed to the lack of a similar decrease in the malnourished infants. Similar results were obtained for physical activity where the malnourished infants showed no significant changes in this parameter throughout the 22-hour metabolic test (Figure 2). However, even though not significant, the healthy infants showed greater amounts of physical activity during the day and morning periods in comparison to the night time period (Figure 2). The respiratory quotient ranged from 0.83 to 1.13 across all the malnourished infants over the course of the metabolic test. This is in comparison to the range of 0.70 to 0.99 in the healthy infants undergoing a similar study (Figure 3). During metabolic testing the respiratory quotient remained higher in the malnourished infants in comparison to their healthy counterparts.

4. Discussion

This is the first study of its kind where a direct comparison was made between similarly aged chronically malnourished and healthy full term infants in regards to their continuous 22-hour metabolic profiles utilizing an established accurate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Malnourished</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24-hour energy intake (kcal/kg/day)</td>
<td>142.7 ± 14.6*</td>
<td>85.1 ± 25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of energy as carbohydrate (%)</td>
<td>55.1 ± 3.9*</td>
<td>47.2 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of energy as protein (%)</td>
<td>11.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>11.0 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of energy as fat (%)</td>
<td>31.8 ± 9.2</td>
<td>28.3 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour energy expenditure (EE; kcal/kg/day)</td>
<td>101.3 ± 20.0*</td>
<td>78.7 ± 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping metabolic rate (SMR; kcal/kg/day)</td>
<td>92.6 ± 17.1*</td>
<td>65.0 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour Respiratory Quotient (RQ; VCO2/VO2)</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.13*</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour PA1 (Oscillations in weight/minute/kg/body weight)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.9*</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental interaction (%)</td>
<td>30.9 ± 8.1</td>
<td>30.6 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crying time (minutes/day)</td>
<td>133.5 ± 52.5*</td>
<td>88.0 ± 41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep (%)</td>
<td>57.2 ± 6.8*</td>
<td>49.7 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = P < .05 between malnourished and healthy infants by Independent t-test
1PA = Physical activity index.

Table 2: Comparison of 24-hour energy intake, metabolism, and parental interaction between malnourished and healthy infants.

Figure 1: Continuous energy expenditure (kcal/min/kg) for 22 hours in 10 malnourished (solid line) compared to 10 healthy infant controls (dotted line). Each data point represents mean energy expenditure over a 5-minute period.

Figure 2: Continuous physical activity (oscillations in weight/minute/kg body weight) for 22 hours in 10 malnourished (solid line) compared to 10 healthy infant controls (dotted line). Each data point represents mean physical activity over a 5-minute period.
controls (dotted line). Each data point represents mean respiratory
hours in malnourished (solid line) compared to 10 healthy infant
the normal weight range (19.1 to 27.3 kg/m²). Moreover,
ished infants had lower body weight and length, less body
profile.
metabolic test, none of the infants had edema or were febrile
which might have affected their 22-hour energy expenditure
profile.
In regards to anthropometrics, we found that malnour-
ished infants had lower body weight and length, less body
fat and fat-free mass, along with a lower BMI. However, the
biological parents of both groups of infants had BMI’s within
the normal weight range (19.1 to 27.3 kg/m²). Moreover, the
malnourished infants were from improvised families
[11, 12].
In regards to energy metabolism, malnourished infants
consumed and expended more energy, had higher respira-
tory quotients, greater sleeping metabolic rates, and were
less physically active. Moreover, they slept and cried more.
Finally, they consumed a greater portion of their energy as
carbohydrate.
The higher proportion of energy intake as carbohydrate
in the malnourished infants might explain the higher respira-
tory quotients found during the 22-hour metabolic test.
Respiratory quotients greater than one might reflect
increased lipid synthesis. This is similar to the findings in
one study where low birth-weight infants on total parenteral
nutrition had greater lipid synthesis on a high carbohydrate
intake of 12 g/kg/day [21]. It is possible that increased
carbohydrate intake in the malnourished infants could lead
to altered energy metabolism later in life. This might be
due to altered insulin sensitivity created during the time
of malnutrition. In one study children who were born
prematurely had decreased insulin sensitivity as compared to
children born at term. Moreover, an excess childhood weight
gain was associated with greater insulin resistance after birth
[22]. It is possible that the additional carbohydrate intake
in our malnourished infants might lead to the possibility of insulin resistance and a greater possibility of excess body
weight gain after their recovery from malnutrition during later childhood.
During the 22-hour metabolic test, the malnourished
infants did not display any kind of a metabolic circadian
rhythm. In a previous study, infants of Hispanic decent as
young as 4 months displayed a metabolic Circadian rhythm
[8]. It is possible that the lack of a metabolic circadian rhythm
in the malnourished infants is the result of a lack of
sympathetic nervous system stimulation [23]. Reducing or
eliminating circadian hormonal stimulation of the sympa-
thetic nervous system may allow more energy to be diverted
to rapid growth. This might partly explain the greater energy
expenditure in the malnourished infants. The development
of a circadian rhythm in infants begins in utero. The
suprachiasmatic nuclei, the site of the circadian clock, are
present by mid gestation. After birth there are pronounced
rhythms in the sleep-wake cycle and hormone secretion by
two months of age [24]. Furthermore, fetal heart rate has
been found to be synchronized with maternal activity, heart
rate, cortisol, melatonin, and body temperature [25, 26].
Furthermore, the nocturnal trough of body temperature is
already present in 6- to 12-week-old full term infants and is a
good indicator of the presence of circadian rhythms [27]. All
of these studies [25–27] suggest that a metabolic circadian
rhythm is present in healthy infants almost from the time of
birth.
Another possible explanation for the greater energy
intake and expenditure in the malnourished infants during
this study may be due to their rapid growth rate. It is
estimated that it costs 3.9–6.0 kcal/gram of body weight
gain during the first year of life in malnourished infants,
representing a 70% energetic efficiency for energy dis-
position [28]. Considering they have less than half the
body fat content and are half the weight of their similarly
aged healthy counter parts, this suggests that they
are displaying rapid catch-up growth in order to replace
their fat-free and fat mass stores back to their genetically
predetermined levels. The lack of a metabolic circadian
rhythm may also reflect that they are laying down new
tissue throughout the day and night. It is possible that
their metabolic circadian rhythm might reappear once they
reach their normal body weight and composition for their
ages.
The EMTAC is the first instrument of its kind to
conduct continuous metabolic measurements in infants
suffering from primary/secondary malnutrition. Previously,
metabolic evaluations in malnourished infants were made
utilizing a 20-minute measurement of resting metabolic
rate with a Deltatrac metabolic monitor [29]. However,
short-term measurements do not encompass the true
metabolic needs of these infants due to their unique
metabolic profile. In this study where energy expenditure
was measured continuously for 22 hours, we found the
apparent lack of a metabolic circadian rhythm in the
malnourished infants. This might have an effect on the true
metabolic needs of these infants. Moreover, the measurement
could be repeated and the reappearance of the metabolic
circadian rhythm could be possibly used as a sign of
recovery.
5. Conclusions

This is the first study of its kind in malnourished infants. We found differences in the metabolic profiles between malnourished and healthy infants of similar age. These results will possibly affect determination of future energy requirements and application of nutritional rehabilitation regimes in infants suffering from malnutrition. We also found that they appear to conserve energy, possibly for catch-up growth, though a reduction in physical activity, increased sleep, and the lack of a metabolic circadian rhythm. Moreover, the greater respiratory quotient possibly reflects greater lipid synthesis in order to replace depleted body fat.
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