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Carbon Nanofiber Synthesis within 3-Dimensional Sintered Nickel Microfibrous Matrices: Optimization of Synthesis Conditions
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This study focuses on the process of optimization for carbon nanofiber synthesis at the exterior and the interior of 3-dimensional sintered nickel microfibrous networks. Synthesis of carbon nanofibers (CNF) by catalytic decomposition of acetylene (ethyne) was conducted at atmospheric pressure and short reaction times (10 min). Two factors evaluated during the study were (a) CNF quality (observed by SEM and Raman spectroscopy) and (b) rate of reaction (gravimetrically measured carbon yield). Independent optimization variables included redox faceting pretreatment of nickel, synthesis temperature, and gas composition. Faceting resulted in an 8-fold increase in the carbon yield compared to an untreated substrate. Synthesis with varying levels of hydrogen maximized the carbon yield (9.31 mg C/cm² catalyst). The quality of CNF was enhanced via a reduction in amorphous carbon that resulted from the addition of 20% ammonia. Optimized growth conditions that led to high rates of CNF deposition preferentially deposited this carbon at the exterior layer of the nickel microfibrous networks (570°C, 78% H₂, 20% NH₃, 2% C₂H₂, faceted Ni). CNF growth within the 3-dimensional nickel networks was accomplished at the conditions selected to lower the gravimetric reaction rate (470°C, 10% H₂, 88% N₂, 2% C₂H₂, nonfaceted Ni).

1. Introduction

Dusting corrosion of metal components in the hydrocarbon processing industry (due to the formation of solid carbon at high temperatures) provided the early impetus for carbon deposition studies in the 1960s. In the 1970s, fibrous carbon structures were extensively investigated under the generic category of “carbon filaments” using high-resolution electron microscopes [1–6]. Even though carbon nanotubes of 2 nm diameter were observed in 1976 [7], these observations did not attract significant attention at the time. The high level of interest in carbon nanofibers (CNF) and carbon nanotubes (CNT) in the 1990s resulted from the discovery of buckyballs (C₆₀) in 1985 [8] and a better appreciation of nanotubes in 1991 [9]. Since that time, extensive research is ongoing involving the synthesis and manipulation of these nanomaterials for a variety of applications including electron field emission [10–12], molecular electronics [13–19] and nanocomposites [20–22].

Nickel, cobalt, and iron have been used as active catalysts for CNF/CNT synthesis since the 1970s. It is often reported that the catalyst size determines the diameter of the CNT/CNF [23, 24]. In order to control the dimensions of the catalyst, CNT has been synthesized on nanoparticles dispersed on a flat support [24, 25] or using organometallic precursors in the gas phase at high pressures [26–29]. However, CNF/CNT synthesis on bulk metal substrates is challenging because the exact dimensions of the catalyst cannot be controlled, and as a result high levels of amorphous carbon may be formed. Several investigators have reported synthesis of CNF/CNT on bulk stainless steel substrates [30–35]; however, there are relatively few reports of CNF synthesis on bulk nickel metal substrates such as foams [36–38], screens/ grids [39], and foils [40–43]. Pretreatment of the bulk metal has been studied for its effects on CNF/CNT growth. Acid etching has been reported to be advantageous on stainless steel substrates due to an increase in surface roughness [44, 45]. Kukovitsky et al. synthesized CNF using...
polyethylene on nickel foils after mechanical and chemical polishing pretreatments [42].

This study was undertaken to optimize the CNF synthesis conditions for 3-dimensional high surface area nickel sintered metal microfibrous (SMM) substrates/matrices. Figure 1 shows a SMM matrix made with 4 \( \mu \)m (dia.) nickel microfibers. The unique challenge for CNF synthesis on SMM matrices stems from their relatively high surface area. The process of optimization shown in this study aimed at finding whether CNF (with low amorphous carbon) can be synthesized only on the surface of the SMM matrix, as shown in Figure 2(a), or within the entire 3-dimensional SMM matrix as shown in Figure 2(b). These two different structures were desired keeping in mind the potential engineering applications. Surface deposition of CNF on SMM can create a membrane-like structure with very small pores, whereas 3-dimensional deposition within the SMM matrix can provide benefits for other process applications such as liquid or air filtration [46]. Variations in the growth layer morphology of CNF were thought to be possible by varying the rate of reaction for CNF synthesis. For a SMM matrix acting as a catalyst bed, a high rate of reaction should result in CNF being formed only on the outer layers of the matrix, whereas a lower rate of reaction could lead to CNF synthesis within the interior of the SMM matrix. Parameters such as catalyst pretreatment, synthesis temperature, and gas composition were optimized to achieve these two growth morphologies.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials. Ribbon-shaped nickel microfibers (alloy Ni-200) of metal purity >99% with nominal diameter of 4 \( \mu \)m and 8 \( \mu \)m and lengths of 3 mm and 6 mm, respectively, were obtained from Intramicron Inc. (Auburn, AL). Cold-rolled polycrystalline nickel foil of 99.5% purity (metal basis) and thickness of 0.1 mm was obtained from Alfa Aesar (stock number 44821). High purity nitrogen (99.999%), hydrogen (99.999%), acetylene (99.6%), anhydrous ammonia (99.999%), and breathing quality dry air (<67 ppm moisture) supplied from Airgas Inc. were used.

2.2. Method. Nickel sintered metal microfibrous (SMM) matrices were prepared by dispersing the nickel microfibers in water using a laboratory mixer and/or blender. The viscosity of water was increased by the addition of 0.75% (w/w) hydroxyethyl cellulose. The increased viscosity of water and the optimized dispersion methodology prevented excessive reduction in the aspect ratio of nickel microfibers during dispersion. The nickel microfiber dispersions were used to make preforms of the SMM matrices by wet-lay formation using a 16 cm (dia.) TAPPI hand-sheet former. These preforms were sintered for 40 min at a temperature of 950°C in a reducing environment of 10% hydrogen in nitrogen to form SMM matrices. Samples of the required dimensions were punched out using a steel punch and were compressed to reduce the voidage of SMM matrices. The thickness of the samples used in this study was \( \sim \)0.36 mm with voidage of \( \sim \)76%. The SMM matrices have relatively large surface area because of the microfibers used to make SMM matrices. These SMM matrices were used as the catalysts for CNF synthesis.

The thermal chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique for CNF synthesis was chosen for this study. Thermal CVD allows for gas flow through the SMM matrices during CNF synthesis. In essence, the thermal CVD setup was a high-temperature catalytic reactor with the SMM matrix positioned to act as a catalyst packed bed within the reactor (without any bypass). The flow of synthesis gas through the
SMM matrix is very important for 3-dimensional synthesis of CNF within the SMM matrix.

In order to understand the effects of synthesis conditions on the nickel SMM matrices, it was necessary to remove the factor of high surface area of SMM matrices from the synthesis optimization studies for ease of analyses. This was done by using nickel foil (low surface area nickel catalyst) as a tool to simulate the surface of nickel microfibers within the SMM matrices. The use of nickel foil ensured that there was limited catalyst surface area, which made it possible to analyze the rate of reaction by easy gravimetric measurements. Therefore, optimization of CNF synthesis conditions was carried out on nickel foil (low surface area catalyst), and the optimized conditions were shown to translate to synthesis on SMM matrices (high surface area catalyst).

In this study, the CNF was synthesized using a thermal CVD setup with a quartz tube of 51 mm ID as the reactor in a Lindberg furnace (model number CF56822C). The flow rates of gases were controlled by Aalborg rotameters. Details of the thermal CVD setup have been described elsewhere [46]. The nickel foil sample was placed at the center of the reactor with its faces parallel to the direction of flow for equal exposure of gases to both faces of the foil. The sample was supported at two edges by layers of quartz wool. The temperature inside the reactor was verified prior to experiments using a thermocouple inserted in the position of the sample.

The approach taken to increase the yield of CNF was the thermal redox faceting pretreatment of nickel substrates. Nickel foil was degreased with acetone prior to all experiments. The reactor tube containing the sample was inserted in the furnace after the furnace reached the desired temperature. The reactor was kept under nitrogen flow for 15 min, before the temperature ramp-up of the reactor and prior to pretreatment or synthesis, to prevent any cold down time was ~10 min. The pretreated samples were used for univariant optimization of CNF synthesis parameters, as shown in Figure 3. Redox faceting pretreatment, synthesis temperature, and concentrations of hydrogen and ammonia were the parameters optimized for CNF synthesis. All the optimization studies were performed in absence of postsynthesis purification such as acid treatment. All the gases required for synthesis except acetylene were passed at desired concentrations through the reactor for 15 min prior to synthesis to ensure that all the catalyst surface-gas interactions were at steady state before the introduction of acetylene. The total flow rate of the synthesis gas was always maintained at 1070 sccm, and the flow of acetylene was maintained at 20 sccm (~2%).

2.3. Characterization. The yield of carbon on the nickel substrates was determined gravimetrically. The morphology of the carbon deposit was characterized by JEOL 7000F scanning electron microscopy (SEM). A Renishaw InVia Raman spectrometer, in conjunction with SEM, provided a good estimate of the amount of amorphous carbon in the carbon deposit. The D/G ratio obtained from Raman spectroscopy is the ratio of \( \text{sp}^3 \) to \( \text{sp}^2 \) carbon in the sample. All the D/G ratios calculated from Raman spectra were measured at 1–3 spots per sample with a minimum of 2 samples for each synthesis condition. Raman spectroscopy shows one number of the D/G ratio for two characteristics of the carbon deposit. A high D/G ratio can be due to (a) a high fraction of \( \text{sp}^3 \) carbon in CNF (also known as lattice defects in the graphene sheet) and/or (b) a high fraction of amorphous carbon. It is not possible to differentiate between the two distinct characteristics of the carbon deposit using only Raman spectroscopy. Therefore, Raman spectroscopy was used as a secondary characterization technique for carbon deposits. High-quality CNF is defined here as CNF with a very low quantity of amorphous carbon byproduct observed by SEM and a low D/G ratio in Raman spectroscopy. Other supplementary characterization technique used was transmission electron microscope (TEM).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Hydrocarbon for Carbon Nanofiber (CNF) Synthesis. It has been reported that the unsaturated hydrocarbons undergo rapid catalytic decomposition over transition metals at high temperatures [3]. Also acetylene (ethyne) has been found to decompose faster than alkanes and alkenes [3]. Therefore, acetylene was chosen as the carbon source for synthesis because it is the smallest unsaturated hydrocarbon molecule and because examples of successful CNF synthesis using acetylene abound in the literature.

3.2. Effects of Pretreatment. Catalyst activity has been shown to increase in the presence of grain boundaries or surface roughness [3, 30, 42, 47–49]. From a few preliminary experiments, a synthesis temperature of 470°C with 10% hydrogen in nitrogen as the carrier gas was found to be the lowest temperature at which the CNF could be synthesized on nickel foil. The different pretreatment conditions tested and their effects on the carbon yield are shown in Table 1 (Phase 1) for CNF synthesis at 470°C. The “as-received” nickel foil had the least carbon yield after synthesis. This
Table 1: Sequence of CNF synthesis optimization efforts on nickel foil substrates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase number</th>
<th>Description of phase</th>
<th>Substrate pretreatment</th>
<th>H₂ (％)</th>
<th>NH₃ (％)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Raman (D/G ratio) (±0.02)</th>
<th>Carbon yield (mg C/g Ni foil) (±6%)</th>
<th>Supporting SEM (figure number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Effects of substrate pretreatment</td>
<td>As-received</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4A and 5A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction at 715°C for 15 min</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4C and 5C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction at 815°C for 120 min</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4D and 5D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal faceting at 515°C</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4E and 5E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal faceting at 715°C</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4F and 5F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Effects of CNF synthesis temperature (following Phase 1)</td>
<td>Thermal faceting at 815°C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>6C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>420</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>6B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>670</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>770</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>Effects of hydrogen concentration (following Phase 2)</td>
<td>Thermal faceting at 815°C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>7F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>420</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>520</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>7B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>570</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>7D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>670</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>7E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>770</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Verify optimal CNF synthesis temperature (following Phase 3A)</td>
<td>Thermal faceting at 815°C</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>420</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>520</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>570</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>670</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>770</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Verify effects of substrate pretreatment (following Phase 3A)</td>
<td>Reduction at 715°C for 15 min</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>420</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>520</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>570</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>670</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>770</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>Effects of ammonia concentration (following Phase 3B)</td>
<td>Thermal faceting at 815°C</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>8A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>8B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>8C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B</td>
<td>Verify 570°C is optimal CNF synthesis temperature (following Phase 4A)</td>
<td>Thermal faceting at 815°C</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>670</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>770</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>820</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>870</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>920</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
yield [30, 37, 47, 52]. The increase in carbon yield after reduction of the catalyst before synthesis increases the carbon of carbon product [37, 50, 51]. It has been reported that received nickel foil catalyst, which suppressed the formation low carbon yield could be due to the oxide layer on the “as-received” foil had less amorphous carbon. The synthesis on particle size could not be controlled. The deposit on the “as-received” foil had variations in diameter because the catalyst deposit had variations in diameter because the catalyst faceting pretreatment added grain boundaries to the foil and increased the carbon yield. The number of grain boundaries increased progressively with the faceting pretreatment increased the carbon yield. The number of grain boundaries increased progressively with the faceting pretreatment added grain boundaries to the foil and increased the carbon yield. The number of grain boundaries increased progressively with the faceting pretreatment temperature. Distinct facets and maximum grain boundaries were observed for the 815°C faceted sample, which also had the maximum yield as shown in Table 1 (Phase 1). The maximum carbon yield was 82 mg/(g of foil) for the 815°C faceted sample at synthesis temperature of 470°C with 2% acetylene, 10% hydrogen, and 88% nitrogen.

The yield of carbon for each pretreatment condition in Table 1 (Phase 1) can be correlated to the SEM images of the pretreated samples shown in Figure 4. The faceting pretreatment added grain boundaries to the foil and increased the carbon yield. The number of grain boundaries increased progressively with the faceting pretreatment temperature. Distinct facets and maximum grain boundaries were observed for the 815°C faceted sample, which also had the maximum yield as shown in Table 1 (Phase 1).

The SEM images of synthesized CNF on pretreated foil are shown in Figure 5. As expected, the CNF in the deposit had variations in diameter because the catalyst particle size could not be controlled. The deposit on the “as-received” foil had less amorphous carbon. The synthesis on samples reduced at 715°C, and 815°C had some amorphous carbon associated with it. After faceting pretreatment, the amorphous content of the deposit increased and was maximized for samples faceted at 815°C (Figure 5(f)). The high amorphous content on 815°C faceted foil was also seen by Raman spectroscopy in the form of the highest D/G ratio (Table 1 (Phase 1)).

It was found that synthesis temperature of 470°C with 10% hydrogen in nitrogen as the carrier gas gave the least rate of reaction with CNF formation and low amorphous carbon for “as-received” and reduced nickel foil samples. This synthesis condition was taken as the optimal condition for the minimum rate of reaction for 3-dimensional deposition of CNF within the nonfaceted sintered metal microfibrous (SMM) matrix. For a high rate of reaction, of all the pretreatment conditions tested, the 815°C faceting pretreatment was taken to be the optimal pretreatment condition for maximizing the carbon yield. Also, the optimal faceting pretreatment condition (faceting at 815°C) did not change with the temperatures and gas compositions used for CNF synthesis. As seen in Figure 5(f), the quality of CNF for the 815°C faceted sample was the worst among all the samples tested. Further studies on 815°C faceted nickel foil were pursued in an attempt to enhance the yield and quality of CNF.

### Table 1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase number</th>
<th>Description of phase (following Phase 4A)</th>
<th>Experimental details*</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4C</td>
<td>Reduction at 715°C for 15 min</td>
<td>H₂ (%), NH₃ (%), T (°C), Raman (D/G ratio) ±0.02</td>
<td>Carbon yield (mg C/g Ni foil) (±6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C</td>
<td>Thermal faceting at 815°C</td>
<td>78, 20, 570, 0.92</td>
<td>68, 10B.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nitrogen used as inert diluent and 2% acetylene for CNF synthesis.
Figure 4: Effects of pretreatment on nickel foil: (a) as-received, (b) reduced at 715°C for 15 min, (c) reduced at 815°C for 120 min, (d) thermal faceting at 515°C, (e) thermal faceting at 715°C, and (f) thermal faceting at 815°C (Table 1 (Phase 1)).

Figure 5: Synthesized CNF for effects of pretreatment on foil: (a) as-received, (b) reduced at 715°C for 15 min, (c) reduced at 815°C for 120 min, (d) thermal faceting at 515°C, (e) thermal faceting at 715°C, and (f) thermal faceting at 815°C. Synthesis conditions: 2% C₂H₂, 10% H₂, 88% N₂, and 470°C (Table 1 (Phase 1)).
temperature of 520°C was chosen for further experiments due to its high carbon yield.

3.4. Effects of Hydrogen in Synthesis Gas. The effects of varying concentration of hydrogen were studied to enhance the yield and quality of CNF. Hydrogen gas increases the carbon yield [30, 50, 52, 53] and keeps the catalyst active [54] during CNF synthesis by avoiding carbon encapsulation of the catalyst surface [52, 55]. A higher yield of carbon above 450°C due to the presence of hydrogen has been reported in the kinetic studies of acetylene decomposition over nickel foil [50] and nichrome wire [5]. It has been reported that medium concentrations of hydrogen can promote the formation of CNF on the catalyst, while high concentrations of hydrogen can be inhibitory to the formation of CNF [30, 54]. One reason stated is that very high concentrations of hydrogen can rapidly remove the carbon from the catalyst surface, preventing CNF or carbon nanotube (CNT) formation, while very low concentrations of hydrogen may lead to catalyst deactivation due to pyrolytic carbon buildup [30]. However, the effect of hydrogen may depend on the temperature of synthesis. At high temperatures (>700°C), excess hydrogen has been reported to have a negative effect [36] as compared to the typical positive effect reported in the literature at lower temperatures (<600°C) mentioned above. It should be noted that, at any temperature, synthesis with hydrogen in the synthesis gas will yield more carbon for a nickel catalyst as compared to synthesis in the absence of hydrogen since hydrogen is required to keep the catalyst active [53]. It has been shown that, for a bulk nickel metal catalyst, the rate of carbon deposition increases with an increase in concentration of hydrogen in the acetylene-hydrogen system at 608°C [53]. These synthesis parameters were very similar to the parameters used in this study.

For the temperature range of interest (470°C–620°C), the increase in the concentration of hydrogen was expected to increase the carbon yield. This is shown in Table 1 (Phase 3A) for the synthesis temperature of 520°C. The maximum yield of carbon was found at 98% hydrogen in synthesis gas. Following the univariant experimental design (Figure 3), experiments were carried out to verify whether the optimum temperature of synthesis in 98% hydrogen environment remained at 520°C. The yield of carbon for 98% hydrogen synthesis at various temperatures is shown in Table 1 (Phase 3B). The temperature of maximum carbon yield changed from 520°C to 570°C with the change of hydrogen concentration from 10% to 98%. This was attributed to the change in the activity of the catalyst for higher concentrations of hydrogen.

The decrease in D/G ratio of Raman spectroscopy for 98% hydrogen synthesis (Table 1 (Phase 3B)) as compared to 10% hydrogen synthesis (Table 1 (Phase 2)) showed that the fraction of sp³ carbon in CNF and/or amorphous carbon was reduced for 570°C synthesis. The SEM images (Figure 7) showed that the least amount of amorphous carbon was present in the sample synthesized at 570°C which also had the maximum carbon yield (Table 1 (Phase 3B)). Out of all the conditions tested in this study, the 570°C synthesis with 98% hydrogen on 815°C faceted nickel foil resulted in maximum carbon yield. The carbon yield achieved at these synthesis conditions was 60%. However, the carbon yield may have been limited by the supply of acetylene rather than the catalytic activity of the foil. The face velocity of gas within the reactor at 570°C reaction temperature was 22 mm/s, and the 25 mm × 25 mm sample was placed at the center of 51 mm diameter reactor with faces parallel to the direction of flow. The void space of the reactor near the foil may have caused bypassing of gases, resulting in limited carbon yield on nickel foil. It is interesting to note that 670°C synthesis (Figure 7(e)) had distinct CNF with little amorphous carbon as seen in the SEM images, but it had a very high D/G ratio in Raman spectroscopy (Table 1 (Phase 3B)). It can be concluded that the sp³ carbon within the CNF was very high with little or no amorphous carbon for the 670°C synthesis.

Following the univariant experimental design (Figure 3), similar temperature optimization experiments were carried out for 715°C reduced foil (nonfaceted) to ensure that faceting was in fact an important independent variable which affected the yield of carbon, and it was not overshadowed by the increase in yield due to high fraction of hydrogen (98%). The carbon yields on the 715°C reduced foil at various temperatures with 98% hydrogen are shown in Table 1 (Phase 3C). The maximum yield for these nonfaceted samples was also found to be at a temperature of 570°C. However, comparing the carbon yield in Table 1 (Phase 3B) and (Phase 3C), the yield of the 815°C faceted sample was 46% higher than that of the 715°C reduced sample. This proved that faceting pretreatment was an independent parameter for enhancement of carbon yield. As there was less amorphous carbon and maximum carbon yield for CNF synthesized at 570°C on 815°C faceted foil with 2% acetylene and 98% hydrogen, it was taken as the optimal synthesis condition for further studies.

3.5. Effects of Ammonia in Synthesis Gas. Ammonia pretreatment [56–58] and synthesis in ammonia environment [59–61] have been investigated by a few researchers for synthesis of aligned CNF/CNT. It has been proposed that ammonia helps in formation of high density of nucleation sites on the catalyst for CNF/CNT synthesis and prevents catalyst passivation by removing amorphous carbon in the initial stages of synthesis [23, 56]. Also, researchers have shown that ammonia can keep the catalyst active resulting in higher carbon yield as compared to synthesis with hydrogen at temperatures above 750°C [56, 62, 63]. Some researchers have proposed that atomic hydrogen [56] and/or atomic nitrogen [63] produced by the catalytic decomposition of ammonia keeps the catalyst active at high temperatures for synthesis of aligned CNF. Also, there are conflicting observations for the need of ammonia during synthesis of aligned CNF with some researchers proposing that ammonia is required only for the initial stage of synthesis [23] while some others proposing that ammonia is required during synthesis [56, 61, 64]. There are very few reports of aligned CNF on bulk nickel metal either with [60] or without ammonia [65]. However, these researchers used plasma-enhanced CVD at low pressures of 1–20 torr. Bower et al.
Figure 6: CNF growth in 10% H₂ (2% C₂H₂, 88% N₂). Temperature: (a) 420°C, (b) 470°C, (c) 520°C, (d) 570°C, (e) 670°C, and (f) 770°C. Sample faceted at 815°C (Table 1 (Phase 2)).

Figure 7: CNF growth in 98% H₂ (2% C₂H₂). Temperature: (a) 470°C, (b) 520°C, (c) 570°C, (d) 620°C, (e) 670°C, and (f) 720°C. Sample faceted at 815°C (Table 1 (Phase 3B)).
reported that plasma enhanced CVD technique is the reason for the alignment because ferromagnetic catalysts such as nickel, cobalt, or iron are oriented due to the electric field [66]. Therefore, it was not clear whether ammonia or the synthesis technique was responsible for the alignment. In this study, attempts were made to align CNF on faceted nickel foil using ammonia in thermal CVD synthesis at atmospheric pressures.

Varying the ammonia concentration in synthesis gas between 0% and 98% had no discernible effect on the orientation of CNF. The carbon yields for various concentrations of ammonia in synthesis gas at 570°C synthesis temperature are shown in Table 1 (Phase 4A). As ammonia concentration increased, the yield of carbon progressively decreased. At 20% ammonia, the amorphous carbon in the deposit decreased significantly (Figure 8(b)). Figures 8(c), 8(d), and 8(e) show the degradation of quality of the carbon deposit for ammonia concentrations greater than 20%. Therefore, 20% ammonia in synthesis gas was the optimum condition for least amount of amorphous carbon and high carbon yield at 570°C. The high D/G ratio in Table 1 (Phase 4A) also indicated the degeneration of quality of the carbon deposit for ammonia concentrations greater than 20%.

Few researchers have used ammonia at high temperatures (>700°C) for alignment of CNF/CNT using thermal CVD technique on different catalyst configurations [23, 56, 67]. Therefore, a synthesis temperatures range of 520–920°C was probed with 20% ammonia as shown in Table 1 (Phase 4B). There was no improvement in alignment or carbon yield at temperatures higher than 570°C, and the nickel foil became catalytically inactive at temperatures greater than 670°C. Solid carbon deposits were found on the walls of the reactor above 800°C. This was attributed to the high rate of self-decomposition of acetylene above 700°C [68]. Following the univariant optimization experimental design (Figure 3), Table 1 (Phase 4B) shows that the temperature of maximum carbon yield remained at 570°C after addition of 20% ammonia in synthesis gas. Also, the SEM images (Figure 9) showed that the synthesis temperature for best morphology of the carbon deposit remained at 570°C.

Following univariant optimization experimental design (Figure 3), CNF synthesis was done on both faceted foil and nonfaceted foil to verify that the faceting pretreatment gave the best yield and quality of CNF. As shown in Figure 10, the morphology of the deposit for 815°C faceted foil (Figure 10(b)) was better than that for 715°C annealed foil (Figure 10(a)) for the same synthesis temperature. Although ammonia did not assist in CNF orientation, it reduced the amorphous carbon of the deposit for faceted samples. Figure 10(b2) shows the TEM image of CNF synthesized on faceted samples at optimal conditions (20% ammonia). This shows that the CNF is made from stacks of carbon blocks. These carbon blocks probably have “platelet” orientation of graphene sheets as they were not hollow in the center; therefore, the term “nanofiber” was used to describe results of this study which encompasses all forms of nanofibers including nanotubes which are hollow in the center. For high yield and quality of CNF, the optimal synthesis condition was found to be 20% ammonia, 78% hydrogen, and 2% acetylene at a 570°C synthesis temperature. This synthesis condition was taken as the optimal condition for high rate of reaction for surface deposition of CNF on the nickel SMM matrices.

3.6. Synthesis of CNF within Nickel Sintered Metal Microfibrous (SMM) Matrices. Optimization of CNF synthesis on nickel foil was studied with the aim of finding the synthesis conditions for 3-dimensional (low rate of reaction) and surface (high rate of reaction) deposition of CNF within the SMM matrices. Figure 11 shows the SEM images of the 3-dimensional deposition of CNF within the SMM matrix using the synthesis conditions optimized in this study for a low rate of reaction. Figures 11(a) and 11(b) show the SEM images of SMM matrix before and after 11 min synthesis, respectively [46]. Figures 11(c1), 11(c2), and 11(c3) show that the CNF is uniformly deposited throughout the thickness of the SMM matrix [46]. These matrices with 3-dimensional deposition of CNF have shown enhancement in air filtration performance due to CNF synthesis [46]. Figure 12 shows the SEM images of surface deposition of CNF on the SMM matrix using synthesis conditions optimized for a high rate of reaction. Figures 12(a) and 12(b1) show the SMM matrix before and after redox faceting pretreatment. Figure 12(c1) shows the cross-sectional view of SMM matrix after 11 min synthesis of CNF. Figures 12(c2) and 12(c3) clearly show that the CNF is densely synthesized throughout the thickness of the SMM matrix. Figure 11 corresponds to the schematic diagram Figure 2(b), and Figure 12 corresponds to Figure 2(a).

3.7. Mechanism of CNF Synthesis. The basic steps in the mechanism of synthesis of CNF involve adsorption and catalytic decomposition of hydrocarbon gas to yield atomic carbon on the catalyst surface. The carbon atoms are transported to active catalyst sites by surface diffusion [1] and/or bulk diffusion [55], where the synthesis occurs. The rate determining step for CNF synthesis is reported to be the diffusion of carbon over/through the catalyst [50, 52, 55]. Typically, three periods are observed in the synthesis of CNF: (a) incubation period, (b) constant synthesis rate period and (c) tailing off period [50, 52]. Finally, the reaction stops because of catalyst poisoning due to deviation from the reaction equilibrium which coats the catalyst particle with a carbon layer [52, 55]. The incubation period at atmospheric pressures is not significant for acetylene decomposition on nickel [3]. In this study, all experiments were performed in the constant synthesis rate period as observed in Figure 13 for faceted and nonfaceted foil. The experimental errors involved in this study prevented detection of an incubation period of synthesis. Also, a tail off period was not observed due to the short synthesis times (10–15 min).

Formation of small catalyst crystallites is necessary for the synthesis of CNF, as they are known to be the source of CNF growth. These crystallites are formed during the incubation period of the synthesis process. The faceting pretreatment optimized in this study added grain boundaries
to the nickel catalyst. It has been previously reported that the grain boundaries on bulk metals act as nucleation sites [3, 47] due to change in local topography and/or effect of local impurities [69]. Also, grain boundaries provide a fast path for mass transport [39]. The enhanced mass transport into faceted nickel foil accelerated the formation of crystallites, which resulted in the increase in carbon yield.

Figueroed et al. reported that hydrogen does not affect the CNF synthesis on supported nickel catalyst, but it affects synthesis on bulk nickel metal by helping in initial crystallite formation and enhancing the process of nucleation of carbon at the grain boundaries [2]. The higher carbon yield on foil for higher hydrogen concentrations may have been due to the combination of accelerated crystallite formation [2] and maintaining the nickel catalyst activity [52, 55, 70]. Ammonia decomposes over the nickel catalyst at experimental conditions close to those used in this study [71]. Also, Shalagina et al. concluded that ammonia in synthesis gas significantly changed the CNF morphology and the quantity of nitrogen in CNF [72]. We hypothesize that the endothermic decomposition of ammonia may change the catalyst characteristics for the exothermic acetylene decomposition during CNF synthesis. It has also been proposed by other researchers that there is an effect on synthesis product due to atomic hydrogen [56] and/or atomic nitrogen [63] produced by decomposition of ammonia. These contributing factors
Figure 10: Synthesized CNF for effect of 20% NH₃ on different pretreated foils: (a) SEM of CNF on 715°C reduction pretreatment, (b1) SEM of CNF on 815°C faceting pretreatment, and (b2) TEM of b1. Synthesis conditions: 2% C₂H₂, 20% NH₃, 78% H₂, and 570°C (Table 1 (Phase 4C)).

Figure 11: 3-dimensional deposition of CNF within 8µm SMM matrix: (a) top-view before synthesis, (b) top-view after CNF 11 min synthesis, (c1) low magnification (27x) cross-sectional view, (c2) cross-sectional view at 1000x of the matrix edge shown in c1, and (c3) cross-sectional view at 1000x of the matrix thickness centerline shown in c1 [46].

may have modified the morphology of carbon deposits due to ammonia addition in the synthesis gas. However, the role of ammonia during CNF growth is not very well understood and requires further investigation.

4. Conclusion

Carbon nanofiber (CNF) synthesis on nickel sintered metal microfibrous (SMM) substrates was optimized for two different reaction conditions: (a) high rates of reaction for surface synthesis of CNF and (b) low rates of reaction for uniform 3-dimensional synthesis of CNF within SMM matrices. This optimization was done with the intended applications in aerosol filtration and membrane technology. Nickel foil was used as a tool to simplify the analyses by simulating the surface of microfibers within SMM matrices. Univariant optimization was used in this study. Factors considered during optimization were the rate of reaction and the quality of CNF produced. Redox faceting pretreatment, synthesis temperature, hydrogen concentration and ammonia concentration were the parameters optimized. During the optimization for high rates of reaction, it was found that redox faceting pretreatment introduced additional grain boundaries and increased the catalytic activity of the nickel substrate resulting in an 8-fold increase in the carbon yield. In the temperature range from 470°C to 670°C, carbon yield
increased with increase in the concentration of hydrogen. Ammonia (20%) in the synthesis gas reduced the quantity of amorphous carbon.

For surface deposition of CNF on SMM matrices (which requires a high rate of reaction), the optimum synthesis conditions were 570°C, 78% hydrogen, 20% ammonia, and 2% acetylene on 815°C faceted nickel. For 3-dimensional deposition of CNF within SMM matrices (which requires a low rate of reaction), the optimum synthesis conditions were 470°C, 10% hydrogen, 88% nitrogen and 2% acetylene on nonfaceted nickel.
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