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3-Aminophenylboronic acid (APBA) and the complex Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+} (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, phendione = 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione) were found to be useful building blocks for preparing photomagnetic carbon surfaces. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) showed that when APBA was diazotized in acidic sodium nitrite solutions and cathodically reduced with highly ordered pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) electrodes, nanoscale films formed on the electrodes. The resulting HOPG had strong affinities for phendione and Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+} as the electrodes were biased in the presence of them, respectively, with voltages more negative than the cathodic peak potentials for phendione/phendiol and Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+}/Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendiol)\textsuperscript{2+} (phendiol = 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-diol). However, if APBA was excluded, the affinities did not exist. Boronate ester formation featured prominently in these intermolecular interactions. The average increments in the HOPG surface roughness contributed by APBA and Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+} were roughly 1:2, suggesting that the reaction stoichiometry between APBA and Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+} be 1:1. Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+} could also be grafted to carbon nanotubes (CNTs) under conditions similar to those for the HOPG using ascorbate as sacrificial donor. The resulting CNTs and HOPG exhibited photomagnetism when exposed to the 473 nm light. The ruthenium complex was shown to be a room-temperature photomagnetism precursor, and APBA was shown to be an effective molecular bridge for the complex and carbon substrates.

1. Introduction

Photosensitive nanostructures have great potential for use in a wide variety of applications, including manipulating the physiology of DNA [1], enhancing light harvesting [2], and integrating photonanoelectronics [3] and photospintronics [4]. We have recently demonstrated that ordinary multiwalled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) develop photomagnetic and photocative characteristics after being modified with Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phen-NH\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{2+} (phen-NH\textsubscript{2} = 5-amino-1,10-phenanthroline) through diazotization/denitrogenation processes [5]. Further research in this area has shown that many other ruthenium complexes, such as Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+}, are also effective alternatives to Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phen-NH\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{2+}, and that boronic acids, including APBA, also can serve as surface adhesives [6].

Boronic acids, organic derivatives of boric acid, can form stable 5-membered cyclic dioxaborolanes or 6-membered dioxaborinanes with polyols [7]. Due to this unique property, APBA has recently been identified as a surface antenna and receptor for biochemically important substances, such as glucose and dopamine [8, 9]. Phendione, on the other hand, is an effective redox mediator that is used in the electrochemical enzymatic detection of beta-hydroxybutyrate [10]. In fact, it is also a versatile chelating agent that can form homo- and heterocomplexes with metals [11]. Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+} is one such example [12]. On cathodic reduction, phendione and Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+} yield phendiol and Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendiol)\textsuperscript{2+} [13, 14], which makes it electrocontrollable thus permitting Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(phendione)\textsuperscript{2+} to be grafted onto carbon surfaces through APBA. The process for accomplishing this, which is shown in Scheme 1, is supported by the electrochemical impedance spectroscopic (EIS) and scanning tunneling microscopic (STM) characterizations. Herein, we report on the use of such a strategy to produce surface photomagnetism.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals. 3-Aminophenylboronic acid (APBA), tris(2,2'-bipyridyl)dichlororuthenium(II) dihydrate (Ru(bpy)_2Cl_2 ⋅ 2H_2O), 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione (phendione), sodium nitrite (NaNO_2), sodium ascorbate, ammonium hexafluorophosphate (NH_4PF_6), and highly ordered pyrolytic graphite sheets (HOPG) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri). All chemicals were used as received without further purification. Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)_2^{2+} was synthesized by reacting Ru(bpy)_2Cl_2 ⋅ 2H_2O with phendione according to a procedure described in the literature with minor modification [12]. Typically, 0.26 g of the former was allowed to react with 0.13 g of the latter (molar ratio 1:1.2) in methanol/H_2O (volume ratio 1:1) under a reflux. The product was then subjected to anion exchange with NH_4PF_6 and recrystallization. The X-ray structure of the final product is provided in Figure S1 (in Supplementary Material available online at https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6058216).

Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)_2^{2+}-modified carbon tubes (RuAPBA(CNT)) were prepared by reacting 40 mg of multiwalled CNTs (purity > 99%, length: 5–15 μm, Golden Innovation Business Corp. Ltd., Taiwan) with APBA (0.01 M) and an equimolar amount of NaNO_2 in 40 mL 0.1 M HCl at room temperature for 12 h. During the course of the reaction, an equimolar amount of ascorbate was added gradually. The resulting CNTs (APBA(CNT)) were redispersed in 40 mL of 1 mM Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)-2PF_6 with an excess of NaBH_4 (in CH_3OH). After adjusting the pH to 3.5, the solution was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The products, after isolation, were stored under nitrogen until they were used.

2.2. Apparatus. A potentiostat (PAR 283, EG&G) was used to record cyclic voltammograms (CVs). Unless otherwise specified, all electrochemical experiments were carried out under nitrogen in a one-compartment cell. The electrode potential reported in this work was against the saturated calomel electrode (SCE). For nonaqueous solutions, ferrocene/Fc (E° = 0.307 V versus SCE) was used as the internal standard. EIS experiments were carried out with an impedence analyzer (Autolab, Eco Chemie, Utrecht, The Netherlands). Ferri-cyanide (Fe(CN)₆³⁻) and ferrocyanide (Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻) in 0.1 M potassium nitrate (KNO_3), E° = 0.189 V versus SCE) served as the charge-transfer probe. The electrode potential was set at 0.189 V and superimposed with a sine wave (±10 mV) oscillating from 10⁷ to 10⁻⁴ Hz throughout all experiments. Data simulations were carried out based on a simplified Randles circuit (Figure S2) with the software provided by Autolab. Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) analyses were performed on a JEOL 6510 scanning electron microscope operating at 10 kV. An atomic force microscope (AFM, Nanoscope III E, Digital Instrument Corp.) with a 10 μm scanner was employed for morphology analysis and surface scratching. For the latter, an area of 1 μm × 0.5 μm was scratched under a force load of 0.5 μN at a speed of 2 Hz; each pit was scratched three times in succession. STM images were acquired with the same instrument in the constant current mode at ambient conditions and recorded at high impedances to reduce any damage to the target. Freshly cleaved HOPG sheets were employed to serve as the working electrode, and mechanically polished Pt/Ir tips were used as the scanning probe. The adsorption of APBA on HOPG was imaged in 1 mM APBA with an equimolar amount of NaNO_2 after the electrode was applied with voltages cycling between 0 and −0.6 V versus SCE for one cycle at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. For the further attachment with Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)_2^{2+}, the solution was replaced by 1 mM Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)_2^{2+}. The imaging was then performed after the HOPG electrode was biased at a constant voltage for 30 s via a potential-step program under the condition: initial potential = 0.4 V; potential increment = −0.1 V/step.

3. Results and Discussion

STM is a surface-sensitive technique that can resolve surface structures and alterations. Figure I shows in situ STM images of an HOPG electrode recorded before and after being modified with APBA and further with Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)_2^{2+}.

The electrode increases in surface roughness (r) from 0.259 (panel (a)) to 0.397 nm (panel (b)) after being treated with APBA, and further to 0.665 nm after being electrolyzed separately in 1 mM Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)_2^{2+} at −0.3 V constantly for 30 s (panel (c)). Here, the APBA treatment was carried out in a 0.1 M HCl solution containing 1 mM APBA and an equimolar amount of NaNO_2 via voltage cycling between 0 and −0.6 V for one cycle at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. The increments (Δr), 0.13 nm and 0.27 nm, suggest that the HOPG becomes covered with a submonolayer of APBA and Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)_2^{2+} and the reaction stoichiometry between APBA and Ru(bpy)_2(phendione)_2^{2+} is 1:1 in terms of...
molar ratio, estimated under the assumption that the molecular sizes of the compounds are approximately proportional to the cube roots of their cell volumes (760 Å³ for APBA [15] and 5734 Å³ for Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)³⁺ [16]). Surface scratching experiments support the STM results. The thickness of the APBA film is 0.25 nm, and 0.38 nm for the ruthenium layer (Supplementary Material, Figure S3). The Δr contributed by the second process, shown in Figure 2 (curve (a)), depends strongly on the applied voltage (E, potential step), bearing a similarity to the cathodic wave of Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ (curve (d)) except for the plateau being more negative by 100 mV. Phendione showed similar responses to E as substituted for Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ (curve (b)). However, when Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ and phendione were excluded, the dependence became less significant (curve (c)). Omitting APBA results in trivial Δr regardless of the presence of Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ or phendione (Supplementary Material, Figure S4). The formation of a boronate ester features prominently in the second process, as proposed in Scheme 1. For the difference between the Δr-E and I-E curves in the plateau potential, the conversion of Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)³⁺ to Ru(bpy)₂(phendiol)²⁺ reaches completion only under the Cottrell condition, or at least \( E - E_{pc} < -100 \text{ mV} \) [17]. The difference is thus considered to be reasonable.

Electron exchange probing confirms the surface transformation. Selected results from EIS on Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁻ at the HOPG electrodes are provided in Figure 3. The charge-transfer resistance (R_CT), resolved from the semicircles featured in the high frequency region inset in each panel, increases with increasing number of repetitions (No.) of the electrode being treated with APBA under conditions similar to those for the STM experiments but decreases with the number of times that the electrode is electrolyzed separately with Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ at −0.3 V (30 s). The solution resistance (R_s), the double-layer capacitance (C_d), and the Warburg impedance (Z_w) change as the modification proceeds as well, but in a minor way (Supplementary Material, Figure S5).

The cyclic voltammograms (CV) sampled in situ during the EIS measurements, shown in Figure 4, provide supplementary information concerning the R_CT alterations. The peak separation of the molecular probe (ΔE = E_psa - E_pce) varies as the modification proceeds, similar to the EIS R_CT. Here, E_psa and E_pce represent the peak potentials of the anodic and cathodic waves, respectively. In theory, electrode surface shrinking only can result in current decreasing. The ΔE broadening and narrowing are obviously a result of kinetic perturbations, for which an altered surface charge is the likely cause. Based on the theory of Matsuda and Ayabe [17, 18], we extract the associated R_CT from the peak-to-peak half width, \(|E_{pc/2} - E_{pce}|\), of the cathodic waves inset in panel (a):

\[
|E_{pc/2} - E_{pce}| = \left( \frac{RT}{nF} \right) \Delta (\Lambda, \alpha)
\]

\[
\Lambda \approx \left( \frac{RT}{nF} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{D \nu^{1/2}}{2 (Ac^{+}R_{CT})} \right)
\]

Here, \( \Delta (\Lambda, \alpha) \) is an implicit function of the electron-transfer coefficient α and the dimensionless parameter Λ, a function of the electron-transfer number (n), the surface area of the
electrode (A), the diffusion coefficients of Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻ (D, presumed 1 × 10⁻⁵ cm²/s), the initial concentration of the probe (c⁺, 1 × 10⁻⁶ mol/cm³), and the scan rate (ν, 0.05 V/s).

The results obtained under α: 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5 are shown in Figure 5 and plotted against the EIS counterparts for comparison. Despite the fact that the number of the data points is limited, it can be seen that R_CCT(CV) ≈ R_CCT(EIS) as α = 0.3. The α found in this case shows consistency with those reported in the literature (0.23 ± 0.03) [19], confirming that APBA and the ruthenium complex have been grafted on HOPG electrodes as proposed.

The surface assembly is also duplicated on CNTs under conditions similar to those for the HOPG with a slight modification using sacrificial electron donors as a substitute for the electrochemical means. Three representative AFM images of a selected CNT recorded before and after each modification process are presented in Figure 6. APBA forms nanoparticles on the CNT (panel (b), denoted by APBA|CNT), and Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ contributes an additional thickness to the tube (panel (c), denoted by Ru|APBA|CNT). The thickness ratio of the grafted APBA to the Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ layer is roughly 1:2 according to the surfaces of spots (A), (B), (C), and (D) that were exposed via electron beam ablation. The ratio is consistent with the HOPG results, despite the adsorbates being less uniformly distributed than expected. We attempted to estimate the molar ratio of ruthenium to boron on the Ru|APBA|CNT tubes using XPS, but the attempts were unsuccessful, due to the facts that the B signals (Is, 187 eV) are weak and carbon (Is, 284 eV) severely interferes with the Ru signals (3d, 280 eV). Nevertheless, the modifications are supported by Raman spectroscopy. As shown in Figure 7, the adsorption of APBA perturbs the Raman spectra

---

**Figure 3:** Correlations of the R_CCT resolved from the Nyquist plots inset in each panel for 1 mM of Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻ on HOPG with the number of repetitions (No.) of the electrode being treated with 1 mM APBA (a) and further with 1 mM of Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ (b).

**Figure 4:** In situ CVs recorded during the EIS measurements as in Figure 3. Insets show that the values of the peak separation (ΔE) are functions of the number of the electrode being treated with 1 mM APBA (a) and further with 1 mM of Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ (b).
\( \alpha = 0.5 \)

\( \alpha = 0.4 \)

\( \alpha = 0.3 \)

**Figure 5:** Correlations between the \( R_{CT} \) resolved from EIS and CV.

![AFM images of bare CNT, ABPA|CNT, and Ru|APBA|CNT](image)

**Figure 6:** AFM images of bare CNT, ABPA|CNT, and Ru|APBA|CNT.

**Figure 7:** Raman spectra of CNTs before and after being modified with APBA and further with Ru(bpy)(phen-dione)

of the host, in which the D band (1340 cm\(^{-1}\)) is enhanced at the expense of the G band (1576 cm\(^{-1}\)), similar to the reports of CNTs that are produced during their functionalization with aromatic diazonium salts [20]. These results indicate that the hybridization of some of the carbon atoms on the surface of the host have changed from sp\(^2\) to sp\(^3\) due to the coupling of the APBA radical [21]. Noticeably, the subsequent modification with Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(phen-dione)\(^{2+}\) appear not to further perturb the D band or the G band. We consider this an indication that the ruthenium compound is mainly adsorbed on the preadsorbed APBA instead of the host, which supports the mechanism proposed in Scheme 1. The Ru|APBA|CNT tube exhibits photomagnetism towards external magnets at room temperature as floating on water, similar to the tubes modified with Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(phen-NH\(_2\))\(^{2+}\) [5]. The average mobility measured for the photo-illuminated Ru|APBA|CNTs (\( \lambda_{ex} \): 473 nm; 27 mW) on water was 0.3 mm/min (65 Gauss). The bare CNTs remained unmoved under similar conditions.

The CNT tubes shown in Figure 6 were also investigated for their magnetic properties by the magnetic-mode AFM (MFM) [5, 22]. As shown in Figure 8, the oscillation of the
MFM tip changes negligibly (curves (A) and (B)) as the tip is set 4 nm above APBA/CNT at spots (A) and (B), regardless of lights (λ: 473 nm, 26 mW) on (L) and off (D). In contrast, greater changes are observed under similar chopped light conditions as the tip is switched to the Ru/APBA/CNT at spot (C) (curve (C)). The contrast indicates that the ruthenium adsorbate has the ability to convert photo energy into magnetism. Here, Δϕ represents the shift in the cantilever’s phase (ϕ) of oscillation relative to the piezo drive caused by the magnetic force of the samples recorded at a constant lift height (4 nm). The Δϕ recorded in curve (C) shows no signs of deterioration, suggesting that the chemical linkages between the grafted APBA and the carbon substrate and the ruthenium precursor are stable. Regarding the origin of the photomagnetism, research has reported that Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)-2PF₆ can be paramagnetic at a temperature higher than 293 K [23]. The paramagnetic characteristic arises due to mixing of the MLCT excited state with an open shell triplet state on the phendione moiety driven by aromatization. Facilitated by admixture of singlet character from the MLCT state, the stabilized open shell phendione structure can be thermally populated from the ground state of the complex. Accordingly, we ascribe the photomagnetism to the MLCT state of the ruthenium adsorbate. Here, we notice that the dark Δϕ of the Ru/APBA/CNT is not compatible with the light counterpart. The photomagnetism is confirmed to originate from the photoinduced MLCT, rather than the thermal-populated excited state. It should be noted that spot d also shows photomagnetism, even though its surface has been ablated. We attribute the unexpected photomagnetism to a stray magnetic field induced by the Ru(bpy)₂(phendiol)²⁺ adsorbed on the neighboring APBA film or from the ablated debris, because the effective diameter of the apex of the MFM tip is ~0.2 μm, close to the lateral distance of region (D) (~0.2 μm). Nevertheless, the unexpected photomagnetism can be taken as an additional support for the conclusion that Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ is an effective room-temperature photomagnetism precursor and APBA is a useful molecular adhesive for Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ to carbon substrates.

4. Conclusions

3-Aminophenylboronic acid (APBA) and Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ are useful precursors for fabricating photosensitive carbon surfaces. APBA forms nanoscale films on HOPG and CNTs as reduced in acidic NaNO₂ solutions chemically or electrochemically. The grafted boronic acid can adhere Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ to the carbon substrates by forming 1:1 ester adduct with the phendione ligand after it is reduced to the corresponding alcohol. The resulting composites show photomagnetism at room temperature when exposed to irradiation from a 473 nm light. The magnetism shows no signs of deterioration. We conclude that Ru(bpy)₂(phendione)²⁺ and APBA are redox-controllable building blocks that are useful for preparing photomagnetic carbon surfaces.
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