Molecular and Clinical Insights into the Invasive Capacity of Glioblastoma Cells
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The invasive capacity of GBM is one of the key tumoral features associated with treatment resistance, recurrence, and poor overall survival. The molecular machinery underlying GBM invasiveness comprises an intricate network of signaling pathways and interactions with the extracellular matrix and host cells. Among them, PI3k/Akt, Wnt, Hedgehog, and NFκB play a crucial role in the cellular processes related to invasion. A better understanding of these pathways could potentially help in developing new therapeutic approaches with better outcomes. Nevertheless, despite significant advances made over the last decade on these molecular and cellular mechanisms, they have not been translated into the clinical practice. Moreover, targeting the infiltrative tumor and its significance regarding outcome is still a major clinical challenge. For instance, the pre- and intraoperative methods used to identify the infiltrative tumor are limited when trying to accurately define the tumor boundaries and the burden of tumor cells in the infiltrated parenchyma. Besides, the impact of treating the infiltrative tumor remains unclear. Here we aim to highlight the molecular and clinical hallmarks of invasion in GBM.

1. Introduction

In adults, glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common primary tumor in the central nervous system, with an incidence of 4.5 cases per 100,000 inhabitants. The median survival remains 14 months despite highly aggressive standard treatment protocols [1]. One of the key hallmarks of GBM hindering effective therapy is the diffuse invasiveness of the tumor cells through the normal parenchyma, causing tumor recurrence in close proximity or distant from the original tumor site. This feature appears to be independent of tumor grade, as both higher and lower grade gliomas tend to recur as a result of invasion of tumor cells into surrounding brain tissue [2]. The mechanism of glioma cell invasion involves both biochemical and biophysical processes that regulate cell shape and its movement across the intercellular space, concurrent with rearrangement of the extracellular matrix (ECM). In the recent years several molecular pathways have been associated with glioma invasion and represent potential therapeutic targets and biomarkers for prognosis. Taking this into account, it is mandatory for oncologists, neurosurgeons, neurologists and neuroscientists to be familiar with the most important signaling processes underlying glioma invasion and understand the clinical manifestations of GBM invasion for appropriate treatment planning. Herein, we review key cellular pathways and processes that regulate glioma cell invasion and describe their relevance as potential therapeutic targets for management of gliomas.
2. The Molecular Hallmarks of Invasion in GBM

2.1. Adhesion Molecules. The first stage of glioma cell invasion is detachment from the surrounding tumor tissue, a process that involves cell surface adhesion molecules such as neuronal cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) and cadherins as key players in this process. It has been demonstrated that cadherin instability leads to glioma cell migration [3] and NCAMs modify the ECM by downregulating the expression of matrix metalloproteinases that degrade cadherins and, thereby, hinder tumor cell motility [4]. Furthermore, the expression of NCAMs is inversely related to glioma grade, which is in agreement with data showing that loss of this molecule enhances tumor cell migration [5]. Recent transcriptomic and proteomic analyses have reproduced these findings and have identified a new splice variant of NCAM with potential implications in cell signaling [6].

In addition to NCAMs, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAMI), a member of the immunoglobulin family of genes and expressed in several cell types, has recently been shown to contribute to glioma cell invasion [7]. ICAMI is involved in several processes, including inflammatory cell movement, effector leukocyte activity, antigen-presenting cells adhesion to T lymphocytes, and signal transduction pathways through outside-in signaling processes. Upon induction of inflammation, leukocytes interact with ICAMI on the endothelial cells, which allows them to cross the barrier vessel wall [8]. It has been shown that thalidomide can suppress ICAMI expression and inhibit invasion mediated by ICAMI in lung cancer [9]. In glioma, it was shown that radiation increased ICAMI expression, thereby, promoting migration and invasion of the tumor cells [10]. Lin et al. reported that ICAMI enhances the invasiveness of GBM cells into the healthy brain tissue and may, therefore, serve as a marker of invasion in GBM [11].

Integrins (ITGs) are another key component of the interface between tumor cells and other cells in the microenvironment and function as receptors that regulate cell adhesion to ECM proteins or cell surface proteins on other stromal cells [12]. They also play a central role in linking extracellular contacts with the intracellular cytoskeleton through two different signaling mechanisms; ITGs cluster in the membrane upon extracellular ligands binding and transduce intracellular signals through their cytoplasmic domain (β subunit) by activation of kinases such as Focal Adhesion Kinase (FAK), Integrin-Linked Kinase (ILK) and Rho-GTPases. Through this mechanism, ITGs then activate pathways leading expression of genes that modulate cell proliferation, survival, differentiation, and migration (outside-in signaling)[12]. It is also possible for cytoplasmic proteins to modulate the extracellular affinity of ITGs for their ligands (inside-out signaling) and contribute to cell migration and invasion [13].

ITGs are expressed by various cell types in the tumor microenvironment including endothelial cells, immune cells, and pericytes and promote tumorigenesis. In particular, ITGs regulate invasion and metastasis by providing the traction necessary for cell migration [14]. They also modulate the expression of proteases that play a role in remodelling the ECM. Involvement of several ITGs in epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) has been described. For example, αvβ1 ITG was shown to mediate an EMT-like program in GBM cells [15]. In addition, αvβ3/αvβ5 was shown to promote GBM cell migration and invasion by enhancing the adhesion of tumor cells to components of the ECM via fibronectin, vitronectin, osteopontin, or periostin [16–18] and activation of intracellular signaling pathways such as FAK, Rho-GTPases, Shc/MAP-Kinases, and Src Family Kinases [14, 19, 20]. αvβ3 also enhances GBM invasion through the activation of matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2) at the plasma membrane, which is thought to degrade components of the ECM and enhance cell motility [14]. Finally, inhibition of αvβ3/αvβ5 in mouse models reduces GBM cell migration and invasion [21]. Another study by Delamarre et al. has also shown that α6β1 is associated with invasive phenotype in U87 GBM cell line in vitro and in vivo [22]. Therefore, targeting specific ITGs in GBM could inhibit tumor invasion and aggressive features.

2.2. ECM Composition and Invasion. ECM composition plays a critical role in the invasion process and the tumor-associated ECM is intrinsically different from the ECM within the normal parenchyma [23, 24]. For instance, hyaluronic acid (HA) enrichment in tumor microenvironment promotes cell invasion through positive feedback regulation of NFκB that may result from ionizing radiation or hypoxia [25–27]. On the other hand, it has been shown that a glycosylated chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans- (CSPGs-) enriched ECM is associated with non-invasive lesions. Upregulation of LAR-CSPG binding complexes results in strong binding of the tumor cells to the ECM, preventing cell invasion, and high levels of CSPGs elicit an astrocyte/microglia-mediated anti-invasion response. On the contrary, diffusely infiltrating tumor ECM lacks glycosylated CSPGs [28]. Interestingly, recent animal models suggest that temozolomide/dexamethasone combination therapy affects proteoglycan levels in the parenchymal ECM, potentially resulting in a proinvasive microenvironment [29].

Glioma cells also degrade the surrounding ECM to favor their migration. Proteases, among others, are the enzymes that tumor cells use to perform this activity. Matrix metalloproteinases, such as MMP-2 and MMP-9, are related to the tumor grade and the invasive capacity of glioma [30]. Other molecules involved in the degradation of the ECM are cysteine proteases, A disintegrin and metalloproteinases (ADAMs), and urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA). However, since low-grade gliomas with normal proteases levels are capable of invading the surrounding tissue, the role of proteases in the invasion of gliomas remains uncertain [31]. Nevertheless, in vitro assays show that a high migration capacity is associated with expression of MMP-2, MMP-9, uPA, and tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) [32].

2.3. Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition. Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a biochemical process through which the cytoskeleton of polarized epithelial cells is remodelled, and they shift to a nonpolarized mesenchymal phenotype. Extensive evidence suggests that EMT is an essential process for tissue remodelling, wound repair and cancer
metastasis. While in an epithelial state cells are held tightly and are anchored to the basement membrane, mesenchymal cells are mainly spindle-shaped and are loosely attached to the ECM through interaction with focal adhesion molecules. Specific transcription factors such as Snail and Slug, the zinc-finger E-box-binding homeobox (ZEB)1/2, and Twist1/2 are considered the main regulators of the EMT process, as they regulate transcription of genes, including N-cadherin, vimentin, and fibronectin that are typically expressed in mesenchymal cells [33]. These factors simultaneously suppress the expression of epithelial markers such as E-cadherin, claudins, occludins, and cytokeratins. Loss of E-cadherin, in turn, results in Wnt signaling and accumulation of β-catenin, which leads to increased transcription of genes that promote cell proliferation and invasion [34]. In GBM cell lines, it was shown that silencing SNAIL reduced invasion, migration and proliferation [35], and expression level of Slug correlated with tumor grade [36]. Additionally, ZEB1/ZEB2 expression correlated with invasiveness and decreased survival of GBM patients [37]. Furthermore, Twist1 and Twist 2, which typically regulate stemness, were found to be associated with the invasive properties of GBM cell lines as they regulate the expression of key EMT-regulating genes such as MMP2, Slug, and HGF [38].

It is important to note that the role of cadherin switch as a hallmark of EMT in carcinomas is not well established in GBM, as these tumors are not epithelial in nature. E-cadherin is expressed at very low levels in neural tissues and is found only in a small proportion of aggressive GBM cells. On the other hand, N-cadherin is absent in epithelial tumors before the initiation of EMT, while it is highly expressed in astrocytes and regulates cell polarity and migration, resulting in a less regulated cell movement [37]. It was also shown that expression of N-cadherin negatively correlated with GBM tumor invasiveness, and its overexpression in vitro reduced cell migration and restored cell polarity [3, 39]. In addition, several studies showed that radiation treatment or anti-angiogenic therapy of primary GBMs resulted in transition to a mesenchymal phenotype in the recurrent tumors [40, 41]. In fact, radio-resistant glioma cells display upregulated expression of genes involved in the EMT pathway [40, 42]. This is further supported by an in vivo study in xenograft mouse models of GBM, demonstrating that the gene expression profile of proneural GBM shifted towards a mesenchymal signature upon radiation treatment [41].

In addition to the master regulators, several cytokines play a role in EMT. In particular, Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNFα) activation through NFκB is essential for EMT induction [43]. In addition, interleukins such as IL6 contribute to stimulation of EMT. Other signals that regulate the EMT and originate from the tumor include growth factors including HGF, EGF; and PDGF and these are thought to activate EMT-related transcription factors [44–46].

2.4. Cytoskeletal Remodelling and Cell Motility. Cytoskeletal remodelling is a key process in the formation of invadopodia and lamellipodia that are necessary for tumor cell motility [47]. Glioma cells typically show a mesenchymal pattern of migration and passage through extracellular spaces that are smaller than their own nuclei. Mechanistically, glioma cells become polarized and fibroblast-like, with characteristic leading and trailing edges on the opposite ends of the cell. This leads to the outward extension of the cell membrane at the leading edge (pseudopod), which is in contact with the ECM through ITGs localized on the cell membrane. ITGs interact with adaptor molecules and signaling proteins, activating signals inside the cell (phosphorylation/dephosphorylation via focal adhesion kinase, FAK) [48]. Subsequently, membrane-type MMPs are recruited at the focal contacts to degrade and restructure the ECM via the production of soluble matrix metalloproteases, including MMP-2 and MMP-9. Finally, the cells contract by the actomyosin complex engagement, resulting in focal contact disassembly, integrin recycling, detachment of the trailing edge, and, ultimately, cell invasion [49, 50].

Other important factors that regulate acto-myosin complex engagement during EMT include RHO GTases, among which RHOA promotes formation of actin stress fibres. RAC1 and CDC42, on the other hand, regulate the formation of lamellipodia and filopodia. Following the activation of GTases, the RHO-associated kinase (ROCK) cooperates with the formin diaphanous 1 (DIA1) to enhance actin polymerization and also induces the phosphorylation of myosin light chain to promote acto-myosin contraction and activation of LIM kinase (LIMK)[51]. Once activated by RAC1 or CDC42, the p21-activated kinase 1 (PAK1) activates target proteins that are involved in cell spreading and motility [52]. In glioma cells, RHO GTases including RHOA and RAC regulate cytoskeletal rearrangements resulting in ameboid and mesenchymal cell motility and have been shown to promote migration and growth of glioma cells in vitro and ex vivo [53]. Furthermore, it has been described that transmembrane ion cotransporters induce cell migration and EMT through downstream activation of RHOA and RAC pathways [54]. Besides, several pathways including Wnt, PI3K/Akt, and ODZ1 have been shown to be associated with RhoA to regulate cytoskeletal changes that allows migration [55–57].

It is important to note that glioma cell motility is not only influenced by the biochemical processes associated with the ECM but also by biophysical properties such as cell density and the rigidity and geometry of the ECM [58]. Ulrich et al. demonstrated that increased rigidity of the ECM in gliomas results in formation of stress fibres and focal adhesions that enable more rapid migration of the cells [59]. Another component of the tumor microenvironment that plays a role in cell invasion is blood vessels. Notably, glioma cells do not intravasate the vessels but instead associate with the vascular walls and migrate along the vessels. It has been shown that bradykinin is secreted by the brain endothelial cells and functions as a chemotactic signal for glioma cells through binding to its receptor (BR-2) on the glioma cell surface resulting in subsequent intracellular Ca2+ oscillations [60]. Changes in Ca2+ levels in turn, regulate cell motility through acto-myosin-mediated contraction, regulation of tubulin dynamics, and controlling the activation of focal adhesion kinases that mediate cell adhesion to substrates in the ECM [61]. Movement of glioma cells along the vascular walls in turn alters the organization of the brain vasculature where
astrocyte endfeet are closely associated with endothelial cells through anchorage with basement membrane [62]. Migration of glioma cells leads to displacement of astrocytes endfeet via degradation of the basement membrane around the blood vessel environment. This results in disruption and breakdown of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and alterations in blood vessel diameter [62]. This enables glioma cells to gain access to oxygen and nutrients from the bloodstream. In addition to the cytoskeletal rearrangement, regulation of cell volume by voltage-gated chloride and potassium channels is another mechanism that regulates glioma cell migration [63].

2.5. Cross-Talk with Host Cells and Immune Modulation.

Tumor cells integrate with supportive stromal cells that are components of the tumor microenvironment. Stromal cells secrete growth factors and molecules that have the capacity to alter the milieu in which neoplastic cells proliferate. In fact, the microenvironment has been demonstrated to play key regulatory roles in response to therapy and tumor progression [64]. It has recently been shown that astrocytic and oligodendrogliotic gliomas share similar glial lineages and that difference in bulk expression profiles between these glial tumors is primarily driven by composition of the tumor microenvironment [65]. Alterations in local immune and vascular networks have been shown to facilitate tumor growth in GBM thereby highlighting the exciting opportunity for immunomodulatory therapies.

Nearly a third of GBM mass is composed of glioma-associated macrophages (GAMs). Due to the breakdown of the blood-brain barrier, these GAMs are derived primarily from bone-marrow derived cells and, to a lesser extent, from local resident inflammatory cells [66]. Macrophages either adopt a proinflammatory M1 phenotype or anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype. Glioma cells release chemokine- and cytokine-attractants, such as monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), fractalkine (CX3CL1), glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), and colony stimulating factor-1 (CSF)-1 that recruit GAMs to tumor tissue [67]. CSF-1 plays a key role as it also promotes recruited macrophages to adopt M2 phenotype that contributes to tumor invasion. In fact, immunomodulation of CSF-1 signaling using a CSF-1R inhibitor has demonstrated to shift macrophages back to M1 phenotype with promising preclinical utility that requires further assessment [68].

Extensive body of literature suggests that GAMs are not simple passenger cells in the tumor microenvironment as they play a key role in regulating tumor growth and invasion with complex interactions with many other cell types [69, 70]. Importantly, GAMs secrete several factors with primary effects on tumor cells. For example, when exposed to glioma cells, GAMs upregulate expression of membrane type 1–matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) that cleaves pro-MMP2 to facilitate degradation of the extracellular matrix and GBM invasion. Moreover, GAMs secrete several oncogenic factors such as transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ), which enhances glioma cell migration by upregulating integrin expression and contributes to the degradation of extra-cellular matrix components by inducing MMP2 expression and suppressing the expression of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP)-2 [71, 72]. Although the interaction between neoplastic and stromal cells is complex, more thorough understanding of this crosstalk facilitates exploration of immune-modulatory compounds for GBM treatment.

2.6. Molecular Pathways in GBM Invasion.

Large-scale genetic analyses have demonstrated that multiple signaling networks are employed by GBM cells to promote tumor growth and invasion. The most comprehensively studied pathways involved in GBM invasion include PI3K/Akt, Wnt/β-catenin, Hedgehog, TGFβ, and Tyrosine kinase receptors, which are involved in the activation of EMT-related cellular processes to promote tumor cell dissemination and invasion [73, 74]. Furthermore, as the structure of function of the ECM is critical for tumor cell invasion, dysfunction of ECM and its cognate receptor integrins may lead to aberrant activation of signaling pathways including Ras/Raf/MAPK, Raf/JNK, Rho/Rac/PAK, and PI3K/Akt/mTOR, which shape the tumor microenvironment and regulating tumor growth, angiogenesis, and invasion [75].

2.6.1. Receptor Tyrosine Kinases.

Many of the signal transduction pathways that regulate the tumor microenvironment, including Ras/Raf/MAPK, Raf/JNK, Rho/Rac/PAK, and PI3K/Akt/mTOR, are convergent downstream signaling pathways of RTKs, implicating their role in GBM invasiveness and aggressiveness [76]. Furthermore, as ECM serves as a reservoir for several growth factors including VEGF, EGF, PDGF, and TGF-β, secretion of these factors and their interaction with their receptors may lead to the activation of these signaling pathways, resulting in uncontrolled cell behaviors in tumor growth, angiogenesis, and invasion [77].

The Phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) signaling cascade is one of the main canonical pathways that have been implicated in GBM pathogenesis. This pathway transduces extracellular signals via receptor tyrosine kinas (RTKs) to regulate a series of biological processes such as cellular metabolism, growth, survival, and invasion. The PI3K pathway can be activated through interaction of ligands such as the epidermal growth factor (EGF) and TGF-β with their respective RTKs. Induction of PI3K leads to activation of Akt family of kinases that regulate cell growth and survival. Regulation of the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway occurs through the tumor suppressor phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) protein that dephosphorylates and, thereby, inactivates Akt [78].

Constitutional activation of the PI3K-Akt pathway is implicated in many cancers. In GBM, this pathway is activated by two frequent alterations, an in-frame deletion of amino acids 6–273 in EGFRvIII resulting in a mutant EGFR protein which is present in more than 50% of high grade gliomas and its activation is ligand-independent [79] and oncogenic mutations in PTEN detected in up to 40% of adult gliomas [80]. Both alterations result in increased expression of matrix metalloproteinases including MMP-2 and MMP9 that facilitate degradation of ECM and lead to tumor invasiveness [79]. The PI3K pathway is also activated by gain-of-function mutations in the PI3K catalytic subunit gene
molecular inhibitors to target this pathway.

Additionally, the RTK c-Met and its ligand hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)/Scatter factor are overexpressed in gliomas and they have been shown to play a role in cell proliferation, invasion, angiogenesis and survival in several cancers [82]. EGFR and c-Met are known to trigger similar signal transduction pathways and their crosstalk in solid tumors affects the duration and strength of the response [83] and overall tumor malignancy. Notably, coexpression of EGFR and c-Met in GBM leads to deregulated EGFR signaling and increased HGF binding to c-Met, which in turn, promotes cell invasion [84].

In addition to EGFR and c-MET, Wang et al. have demonstrated that the RTK Mer (MerTK) is overexpressed in GBM and this is accompanied with increased invasiveness [85]. Their results indicate that MerTK expression is maintained in primary GBM-derived tumour cells grown in stem cell cultures but is reduced significantly in serum-containing culture conditions, accompanied with downregulation of Nestin and Sox2. Furthermore, depletion of MerTK disrupts the round morphology of glioma cells and decreases their invasiveness. Additionally, the expression and phosphorylation of myosin light chain strongly correlated with activation of MerTK, suggesting that the effect of MerTK on glioma cell invasion is mediated by the ability of acto-myosin to contract. Importantly, DNA damage resulted in upregulation and phosphorylation of MerTK, protecting the cells from apoptosis. Collectively, RTKs appear as attractive therapeutic targets for the treatment of the malignant gliomas.

2.6.2. Wnt (Canonical and β-Catenin-Independent Pathways). WNT signaling pathway is a crucial regulator of proliferation, migration and cell fate in the central nervous system during embryogenesis [86]. However, deregulation of this pathway also has oncogenic properties in mature cells. Abnormal WNT pathway activation is implicated in various cancers, including GBMs [87, 88]. Proteins of the WNT family bind to transmembrane Frizzled receptors [86] and downstream events can be divided into canonical β-catenin-dependent and β-catenin-independent pathways.

Activation of the canonical WNT pathway leads to disassembly of the transmembrane receptors of the β-catenin destruction complex, consisting of the GSK3β, AXIN and adenomatosus polyposis coli (APC) [86]. As a result, β-catenin accumulates in the cytoplasm and translocates into the nucleus where it regulates TCF-LEF-dependent transcription. The classical targets of the canonical WNT pathway include cyclin D1 (CCND1), c-myc, COX2, and SOX2. Studies have demonstrated that the canonical pathway is important for glioma stem cell maintenance [89, 90]. In contrast, the β-catenin independent pathway mainly regulates cell motility and polarity. This pathway is activated through WNT2, WNT4, WNT5A, WNT6, and WNT11 factors and leads to upregulation of the planar cell polarity (PCP) and calcium pathways [86].

In addition, WNT signaling is a major factor in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and tumor invasion. Several studies have demonstrated that WNT pathway activation enhances the motility of cancer cells [87, 91]. Specifically, in GBMs constitutive activation of β-catenin leads to increased tumor invasion, while inhibition of β-catenin suppressed cell proliferation and invasion [87]. Furthermore, knockdown of WNT5A downregulated expression of MMP and suppressed glioma cell migration and invasion [91]. The building evidence of WNT pathway in GBM invasion provides a therapeutic rationale for targeting this pathway. Kahler et al. found that the Wnt/β-catenin pathway is mainly activated within cells located at the invasive edge of the mesenchymal tumors. Furthermore, they found that this pathway mainly promotes tumor cell migration in vitro by inducing the expression of Zeb1, Twist1, and Slug [87].

2.6.3. Hedgehog-GLI1. Similar to WNT pathway, the Hedgehog pathway plays a crucial role in the development of the central nervous system. Hedgehog pathway dysfunction during embryogenesis leads to congenital defects such as microcephaly or cyclopia. In many cancers including glioma, the Hedgehog pathway is upregulated and plays a role in tumorigenesis and tumor progression. Generally, Sonic hedgehog (SHH), Indian hedgehog (IHH), and Desert hedgehog (DHH) ligands can activate the Hedgehog pathway by binding to the transmembrane protein Patched (PTCH1). Hedgehog pathway activation leads to upregulation of GLI1, PTCH1, cyclin D2 (CCND2), Bcl-2, and VEGF. In addition, Hedgehog pathway modulates the expression of stemness genes, such as NANOG, OCT4, and SOX [92].

Although GLI1 amplification is relatively rare in GBMs, a novel truncated isoform, tGLI1, has been linked to increased cell motility and tumor invasion in GBM and breast cancer [93, 94]. This isoform is the result of alternative splicing and lacks exon 3 and part of exon 4. The tGLI1 isoform is undetectable in normal cells but expressed in GBM [93]. Furthermore, tGLI1 upregulates heparanase expression, which remodels the ECM and releases angiogenic factors [95]. The inhibition of hedgehog pathway with cycloamine and RNA interference techniques inhibited glioma cell migration and tumor invasion [96, 97].

Epigenetic modulators may also play a role in hedgehog pathway activation. Bromodomain-containing protein 4 (BRD4) is a critical regulator of GLI1 transcription through direct occupancy of the gene promoter [98, 99]. In addition, lysine acetyltransferase 2B (KAT2B) is a positive cofactor in the Hedgehog pathway and depletion of KAT2B led to reduced expression of Hedgehog target genes [100]. Therefore, therapeutic strategies targeting the epigenetic modulators, such as BET-inhibitors and acetyltransferase inhibitors, are promising therapeutic options.

2.6.4. Nuclear Factor-κB. NF-κB is a designation used for a family of highly regulated dimer transcription factors. They are usually elevated in GBM and contribute to the survival of migratory tumor cells [101]. Signaling pathways
triggered by growth factor receptors, including EGFR and PDGFR, contribute to tumor development in GBM and NF-κB plays key roles in these pathways [102, 103]. Among GBM subtypes, the mesenchymal phenotype is the most aggressive because it is highly invasive and radio-resistant [104] and associates with poor patient outcome. A transition of GBM cells from less aggressive phenotypes (i.e., proneural) to cells with mesenchymal features can be promoted by activation of NFκB signaling [105]. Moreover, NFκB activation in mesenchymal GBM cells mediates cell migration and tumor invasion through upregulation of NFκB target genes, including cell chemoattractants (IL-8, MCP-1) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-9) [106]. This signaling pathway can be activated by a number of stimuli, including ECM components such as hyaluronic acid, through binding to TLR4, differentiation of GBM stem-like cells [27, 107], and cytokines that may be released by infiltrating monocytes/macrophages or surrounding parenchymal cells. To this end, when RANKL, a member of the TNF family, is upregulated in GBM cells, it activates neighbouring astrocytes through NFκB signaling which leads to secretion of cytokines, such as TGFβ, and promotes GBM cell invasion [108]. Thus, NFκB-mediated invasiveness may occur when this signaling pathway is activated either in GBM cells or in cells in the tumor microenvironment.

3. The Clinical Implications of GBM Invasiveness

Invasiveness is one of the key features that allow GBM to overcome the current treatment strategies [109]. GBM initiating cells with enhanced invasive capacity have been identified in the peritumoral parenchyma. This cell subpopulation has a distinctive molecular profile [110, 111] and they are considered to be responsible for tumor recurrence, progression, and resistance to treatment [112, 113]. Furthermore, they could be involved in the gliomagenesis process [114].

Targeting tumor invasion and infiltration is a major clinical challenge. Novel pre- and intraoperative imaging techniques are being developed to accurately assess the extent of parenchymal infiltration in the clinical setting. Besides, new insights into potential therapeutic approaches have been recently reported.

3.1. Assessment of GBM Invasion in the Clinical Setting

3.1.1. Imaging GBM Invasion. The radiological definition of infiltrated parenchyma remains unclear and the current imaging techniques, summarized in Table 1, are limited to accurately recognize the extent of tumor invasion. This is particularly relevant in focal therapies, such as surgical resection, radiotherapy, or local chemotherapeutic agents, to precisely define the peritumoral area that requires treatment in order to obtain significant responses.

GBM-induced T2/FLAIR hyperintensity in the MRI represents the area of peritumoral oedema and tumor-induced alterations in the parenchyma. It is a result of changes in the composition of the ECM and impairment of the blood-brain barrier in a process associated with the expression of endogenous tenasin-C [115].

It has been widely demonstrated that glioma cells infiltrate the peritumoral T2/FLAIR high signal region beyond the contrast enhancement on the preoperative MRI [116, 117]. The peritumoral invasion results in a gradient of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and in a higher relative Cerebral Blood Volume (rCBV), due to the peritumoral hypercellularity and the consequent increase in perfusion [118].

Nevertheless, the distinction of the diffuse nonenhancing tumor invasion from the peritumoral vasogenic oedema can be challenging in the clinical practice [119, 120]. Several alternative MRI-based methods have been described to overcome this limitation, including multi-parametric machine-learning [121] and DTI-based imaging analyses [122]. For instance, the distinction between oedema and tumor invasion is feasible by using quantitative MR methods [119] or by combining

---

**Table 1: Pre- and intraoperative methods to assess GBM's invasive capacity.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative methods</th>
<th>Intraoperative methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRI-based</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2/FLAIR hyperintensity</td>
<td>Fluorescence-guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTI</td>
<td>5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWI (ADC and FA)</td>
<td>Fluorescein sodium (Fl-Na)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfusion</td>
<td>iMRI-based T2/FLAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectroscopy</td>
<td>Intraoperative Ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative MR</td>
<td>Contrast enhanced US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiomics radiophenotype</td>
<td>Elastosonography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PET-based</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorothymidine</td>
<td>Intraoperative confocal microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroethylthyrosine</td>
<td>Fluorescein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophan</td>
<td>Indocyanine green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>Acriflavine hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI= Magnetic Resonance Imaging, FLAIR= fluid attenuated inversion recovery, DTI= Diffusion tensor imaging, PET= Positron emission tomography, and iMRI= intraoperative MRI.
Moreover, considering GBM’s diffuse infiltration, the burden of tumor cell invasion in the “normal” brain is not yet possible by using imaging techniques [123]. It is well known that invading tumor cell can be found as far as the contralateral hemisphere [124] and current imaging techniques are limited in fully assessing, at the microscopic level, the tumor cells invading the parenchyma beyond the limits of the T2/FLAIR abnormalities [125]. Besides, it has been suggested that GBM invasive margin can be identified by using a combination of DTI, perfusion, and spectroscopy [122, 126].

Radiomic analyses have focused on the invasion-related radio-phenotype applying quantitative volumetric to assess the correlation between specific radiological invasion features and IDH mutation status, outcome, or response to surgery [127, 128]. Besides, MRI-based mathematical models incorporating invasion features are capable to classify nodular and diffuse GBMs, two groups with different outcome and response to treatment [129]. Alternatively, MRI DWI-based models use the ADC value as a measure of cellular density predicting the spatial microscopic tumor growth dynamics and generating maps of cell diffusion and proliferation rates [130].

Other imaging methods, as Positron Emission Tomography (PET), have been used to assess the parenchymal response to tumor invasion [131] and, more recently, to assess the infiltrative tumor volume [132–134]. For instance, [18] fluorothymidine (FLT)-PET-CT, a proliferation marker, shows that the tumor infiltration can extend up to 24 mm beyond the MRI-based T2 abnormality volume and it was useful to distinguish between infiltrative tumor and peritumoral oedema [132]. Similar results have been described by using other PET amino acid markers as Fluoroethyltyrosine [133], Tryptophan [134], and methionine [135].

### 3.1.2. Intraoperative Identification of GBM Invasion

Intraoperatively, the tumor infiltrating the adjacent parenchyma maintains the macroscopic aspect of normal or oedematous brain parenchyma. Therefore, it is critical to develop and validate methods to accurately define the boundaries of the infiltrative tumor.

In the last two decades, the 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA), an intermediate metabolite in the porphyrin intracellular pathway that results in the accumulation of fluorescent protoporphyrin IX molecule inside tumor cells, has been used to intraoperatively define the infiltrative tumor [136, 137]. Although 5-ALA fluorescence represents contrast-enhanced tumor in the MRI, an accurate correlation with T2/FLAIR changes remains unclear. It is widely accepted that 5-ALA fluorescence depicts more accurately the tumor burden than gadolinium; however its capacity to identify the infiltrative tumor is not fully understood due to a low negative predictive value [138, 139].

Moreover, the concordance between 5-ALA fluorescence and intraoperative MRI (iMRI) findings is still poorly understood. For instance, residual contrast enhancement in the iMRI after 5-ALA fluorescence-guided resection can be found in the majority of cases. Histopathological analysis of these regions revealed tumor core or tumor infiltration in 39 and 25% of cases, respectively [140, 141]. In other histopathological correlation studies, 5-ALA predicted tumor in strong and weak fluorescence regions. However, tumor tissue was still observed in fluorescence-negative regions in approximately half of the cases [142]. Besides, although the use of iMRI and 5-ALA fluorescence-guided surgery may increase the extent of resection, a significant impact in survival has not been established [143].

On the other hand, preoperative 18F-fluoroethyl-L-tyrosine (FET)-PET can predict 5-ALA fluorescence [144]. However, more recent analyses have shown contradictory results. Roessler at al. described that 5-ALA had higher sensitivity than 18F-FET-PET to detect the infiltrative tumor surrounding the contrast-enhanced region [145]. On the contrary, Floeth et al. concluded that 18F-FET PET is more sensitive to detect glioma tissue than 5-ALA fluorescence [146]. Further research is needed to fully understand the correlation between both techniques.

Fluorescein sodium (Fl-Na) is another marker used in fluorescence-guided surgery. Despite a good correlation of Fl-Na and histopathological [147], 5-ALA has demonstrated to be superior in identifying tumor cells in the peri-tumoral area beyond the contrast-enhanced tumor when compared to Fl-Na. While Fl-Na accumulation is associated with blood-brain barrier disruption, 5-ALA is mainly dependent on the protoporphyrin tumor cell pathway [148].

Intraoperative ultrasound (US) is another intraoperative resource used to assess tumor extension [149, 150]. In brightness mode (B-Mode) GBM appears as a heterogeneous echogenic mass with hyperechogenic boundaries and, in the majority of LGG, the B-mode hyperechogenicity overlaps with the preoperative T2/FLAIR MRI hyper-intensity [150–152]. Nevertheless, in both cases, the distinction between infiltrative tumor and associated oedema can be challenging, especially in advanced stages of the resection when surgery-related oedema and other artefacts may interfere with the US imaging [153]. Although intraoperative US is a promising tool to assess the infiltrative tumor, a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms is needed along with the development of multimodal intraoperative US imaging approaches integrating contrast-enhanced ultrasound [152, 154] and elastosonography [151].

Among other techniques described to identify the boundaries of the infiltrative GBM during the surgical resection, intraoperative confocal microscopy is an emerging approach capable of identifying fluorescein-, indocyanine green-, or acriflavine hydrochloride-enhanced differences in cell density and cellular morphology corresponding with the T2 hyper-intensity on MR imaging [117, 155–157]. Furthermore, this technique can potentially identify the tumor margins at a microscopic level and distinguish them from perilesional parenchyma [155].

Finally, optical coherence tomography, a real-time tissue microstructure imaging technique based on low-coherence interferometry in the near infra-red range of wavelengths, is another promising tool for assessing the tumor infiltrative margin in gliomas. It provides comprehensive qualitative and quantitative analysis of the tumor and the peritumoral
tissue, generating color-coded maps that correlate with the histological findings and help to accurately identify the tumor boundaries [158–161].

3.2. Therapeutic Approaches Targeting GBM Invasion. The current standard of care for patients with GBM involves surgical resection and adjuvant chemo-radiation with temozolomide [1]. It is widely accepted that the infiltrated parenchyma is associated with recurrence and resistance to treatment, thereby playing a central role in each step of the treatment [162].

3.2.1. Surgical Resection of the Infiltrative Tumor. In GBM, tumor cell invasiveness can lead to the infiltration or destruction of surrounding parenchyma resulting in neurological deficits [63,163]. It has been proven that gross total resection of the contrast-enhanced tumor improves overall outcome [164,165]. However, this approach might disregard the tumor burden invading the surrounding parenchyma, which could be potentially resected if eloquent areas are not compromised [166].

Thus far, several studies have shown that resection of the infiltrative portion of the tumor, based on DTI, ADC, or T2/FLAIR abnormalities is associated with longer progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) [166–170]. However, a recent analysis of 245 primary GBMs did not find a significant difference in recurrence and survival associated with the postoperative FLAIR volume [171].

Although there is evidence supporting that resection of the infiltrative tumor can result in better outcomes, opposite results highlight the need for further research, as it remains unclear the more appropriate method to identify the areas of the surrounding parenchyma with greater tumor cell density and to distinguish them from the oedematous brain [120].

3.2.2. Radiation Therapy Targeting GBM Invasiveness. Accurate tumor volume definition is critical in conformal or intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) planning. Analogously to surgical approaches, a subtherapeutic radiation dose within the tumor may result in treatment failure and recurrence, whereas whole-brain dose increments may lead to radiation-induced toxicity [133]. Moreover, a sublethal irradiation dose may enhance invasion in GBM [172,173]. Another suggested mechanism of tumor recurrence is the proinvasive ECM remodelling in the tumor microenvironment in response to ionizing radiation [25].

Despite the infiltrative nature of GBM, radiation planning protocols have evolved from whole brain radiotherapy towards more tailored tumor volume targets, partially based on that the great majority of recurrences arise within 2 cm from the primary site [174,175]. In this context, it remains unclear if targeting the MRI-defined infiltrative tumor results in better PFS and OS. Moreover, in clinical practice there is a considerable variation in target volume definition without significant differences in outcome, from using a 2-3 cm margin on the T1 contrast-enhanced tumor to a 2 cm margin on the T2/FLAIR hyper-intensity, as recommended by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer or the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group, respectively [176,177]. In fact, by targeting the tumor area with a margin of 2 cm and without using the peritumoral oedema as tumor volume, Chang et al. achieved similar recurrence pattern results [175]. Further research is needed to assess whether this is a result of the overall lack of benefit from radiation therapy or if targeting the infiltrative tumor burden with radiation does not significantly impact the outcome [177].

On the other hand, the use of DTI-based clinical target volumes (CTV) has been proposed, as they are smaller than the ones based on the T2-hyperintensity, sparing the peritumoral oedema. Besides, this reduction in the CTVs could allow dose escalation [178,179]. Furthermore, approaches taking into account tumor growth dynamics have been developed, by defining the CTVs based on DTI-derived mathematical growth models. Although this approach could be more effective at targeting cancer cells and preserving healthy tissue, further research is warranted to assess its outcome and tumor recurrence [123,180].

Other approaches for CTV definition are based on PET findings. For instance, a higher dose coverage of 18F-FET-PET tumor regions is positively correlated with time to progression and PET-based CTVs better-predicted failure sites when compared to MRI-based CTVs [133,181], although current ongoing protocols are trying to better define the impact of PET-based tumor delineation in outcome [182].

3.2.3. Therapeutic Targets in GBM Invasion. Overall, current commonly used therapies for GBM, including alkylating agents as Temozolomide (TMZ) and the anti-VEGF compound Bevacizumab, failed in targeting glioma cell invasion. Although TMZ can potentially inhibit invasion in vitro [183], this effect is not significant in the clinical practice and several resistance mechanisms to alkylating agents have been proposed [184]. Among them, the lack of blood-brain permeability in T2/FLAIR hyperintensity areas [185,186] and the resistance mechanisms intrinsic to GSC in the infiltrative tumor are intimately associated with the GBM invasive capacity [112,187]. On the other hand, Bevacizumab could lead to a hypoxic environment resulting in enhanced glioma cell invasion of the normal parenchyma [188,189].

Considering the lack of an effective therapeutic approach against GBM invasiveness, further research is warranted to better understand the invasion pathways contributing to glioma cell infiltration and, consequently, to develop new therapeutic agents. An effective therapeutic strategy should target both infiltrative GBM cells and the tumor cell-stroma interaction [190].

Up to now, no clinically transferable results have been achieved after trying to target some of the mechanisms involved in GBM invasion, including cytoskeleton reorganization and cell motility, cell adhesion, and degradation of ECM [57,162].

Current areas of research include several potential targets in glioma cell invasion pathways. Glutamate-mediated infiltration inhibition has been assessed in several Phases I-II trials with promising results. Besides, the role of different tumor cell ion channels and transporters, microtubule-based tumor cell network, microRNA-related invasion, and the mechanisms involved in the interaction between the tumor
and the host open potential opportunities for targeted therapy approaches [109, 162, 190]

4. Conclusion

The GBM invasiveness capacity is one of the main features contributing to tumor recurrence, treatment resistance, and low survival rates. It results from an intricate combination of several signalling routes, mainly receptor tyrosine kinases and transcriptional pathways and also cellular processes that include cytoskeletal remodelling and interactions with ECM components and host cells (Figure 1). Although significant advances have been made in the last decade, the complexity of this protein interaction network and the lack of understanding about the contribution of each one of these mechanisms to glioma cell invasiveness have hampered the translation of novel therapeutic strategies into the clinic. Further research integrating key elements in the process of invasion will be needed to unravel efficient combination therapies to avoid tumor progression. Novel preoperative and intraoperative imaging techniques have been recently developed to help the clinician to recognize and treat the infiltrative portion of the GBM. Nevertheless, this portion of the tumor remains elusive to these methods. Therefore, improvement in revealing the presence of invasive tumor cells would be needed in the clinical practice to significantly impact the prognosis of patients with GBM.
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