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Background. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is present in up to 85% of adipose patients and may proceed to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). With insulin resistance and obesity being the main risk factors for NASH, the effect of isolated sleeve gastrectomy (ISG) on these parameters was examined.

Methods. 236 patients underwent ISG with intraoperative liver biopsy from December 2002 to September 2009. Besides demographic data, pre-operative weight/BMI, HbA1c, AST, ALT, triglycerides, HDL and LDL levels were determined.

Results. A significant correlation of NASH with higher HbA1c, AST and ALT and lower levels for HDL was observed (P < .05, <.0001, <.0001, <.01, resp.). Overall BMI decreased from 45.0 ± 6.8 to 29.7 ± 6.5 and 31.6 ± 4.4 kg/m² at 1 and 3 years. An impaired weight loss was demonstrated for patients with NASH and patients with elevated HbA1c (plateau 28.08 kg/m² versus 29.79 kg/m² and 32.30 kg/m² versus 28.79 kg/m², resp.). Regarding NASH, a significant improvement of AST, ALT, triglyceride and HDL levels was shown (P < .0001 for all). A resolution of elevated HbA1c was observed in 21 of 23 patients. Summary. NASH patients showed a significant loss of body weight and amelioration of NASH status. ISG can be successfully performed in these patients and should be recommended for this subgroup.

1. Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) describes a wide spectrum of liver pathologies from simple steatosis to steatohepatitis (NASH). With insulin resistance and obesity being the main risk factors for NASH, the effect of isolated sleeve gastrectomy (ISG) on these parameters was examined. Methods. 236 patients underwent ISG with intraoperative liver biopsy from December 2002 to September 2009. Besides demographic data, pre-operative weight/BMI, HbA1c, AST, ALT, triglycerides, HDL and LDL levels were determined. Results. A significant correlation of NASH with higher HbA1c, AST and ALT and lower levels for HDL was observed (P < .05, <.0001, <.0001, <.01, resp.). Overall BMI decreased from 45.0 ± 6.8 to 29.7 ± 6.5 and 31.6 ± 4.4 kg/m² at 1 and 3 years. An impaired weight loss was demonstrated for patients with NASH and patients with elevated HbA1c (plateau 28.08 kg/m² versus 29.79 kg/m² and 32.30 kg/m² versus 28.79 kg/m², resp.). Regarding NASH, a significant improvement of AST, ALT, triglyceride and HDL levels was shown (P < .0001 for all). A resolution of elevated HbA1c was observed in 21 of 23 patients. Summary. NASH patients showed a significant loss of body weight and amelioration of NASH status. ISG can be successfully performed in these patients and should be recommended for this subgroup.
Unlike other chronic diseases such as T2DM, there are no formal treatment algorithms for patients with NAFLD. Currently, management of NAFLD consists of modifying the underlying risk factors including medical therapy [9–14]. To induce weight loss in NASH patients, bariatric surgery is discussed as a potential alternative.

Sleeve gastrectomy was first introduced by Hess and Marceau as a restrictive component of biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch; it was initially not intended as a standard single procedure [15]. Reported weight loss after isolated sleeve gastrectomy (ISG) is good and ranges from 33% to 83.3% of EWL at the 1-year followup [16, 17]. Today sleeve gastrectomy is performed as a stand-alone procedure with a low operative risk. The most common complication is staple line insufficiency and staple line bleeding [18]. Although it is mainly a restrictive procedure, resolution of T2DM occurs in 50% to over 94% of patients after ISG by 1-year followup [19, 20].

The impact of bariatric surgery on NASH has been examined for several bariatric interventions such as gastric bypass or duodenal switch operations. Based on the data reported for isolated sleeve gastrectomy regarding weight loss and amelioration of T2DM, we examined the effect of ISG on NASH and NASH-related comorbidities using clinical and biological data.

### 2. Material and Methods

2.1. **Patients.** A review of prospectively collected data was conducted for 236 consecutive patients, undergoing ISG at Polyclinique Priollet, Châlons en Champagne, France from December 2002 to September 2009. Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy was performed as previously described using a 36 French calibration tube. Methylene blue was injected intraoperatively to check for leakage [21].

Data collected included demographic data, preoperative weight/BMI, Hba1c levels, liver functions tests (AST and ALT), and lipid profiling (triglycerides, HDL, and LDL). Early followup examinations were conducted at 6 weeks and 6 months after ISG, the later followup time span was yearly. All data were entered prospectively into a custom-designed database. Approval for the surgery and prospective audit was granted by the institution's clinical board.

2.2. **Histological Assessment.** Liver biopsy was conducted percutaneously during ISG under laparoscopic guidance using a 14-gauge 200 mm Tru Cut Biopsy needle (CareFusion). The biopsies were taken from the central part of the left lobe of liver. All liver biopsy specimens were stained with H&E, PAS, Masson trichrome, Perls and Van Gieson. For the assessment of liver histology, one pathologist and a second observer evaluated all biopsies according to the semiquantitative scoring system for NAFLD proposed by Brunt et al. [22].

2.3. **Statistics.** Statistical analysis was conducted using Prism 5 for Mac OS X (GraphPad Software, Inc.)

One and two-way ANOVA were used to compare and evaluate biological data. Spearman’s correlation was applied to assess a correlation between two variables. A P-value < .05 was considered significant.

### 3. Results

Isolated sleeve gastrectomy was performed in 236 patients with a mean BMI of 45 ± 6.8 kg/m² (Table 1). In order to assess liver histology, intraoperative liver biopsy was accomplished in all patients. From the 223 histologic samples that could be finally evaluated, diagnosis was as follows: 35 patients with normal liver, 77 with steatosis, 87 with NASH, 18 with fibrosis, 3 with cirrhosis, 2 with siderosis, and one patient with chronic hepatitis (Table 1). Patient followup was conducted up to 3 years with a median overall followup of 12 months. Among the clinical and biological data analysed, NASH was significantly correlated with higher Hba1c, AST, and ALT levels and lower levels for HDL (Spearman’s correlation < .05, < .0001, < .001, resp.). Elevated ALT levels could only be detected in 2 of 33 patients with normal liver histology, but in 33 of 65 patients with NASH (Fisher’s exact test P < .0001). Other biological data were similar in both groups (Table 3). Interestingly, there was a significant correlation between a progressing liver disease from normal liver histology over steatosis to NASH and rising Hba1c levels (Pearson’s correlation P < .01).

Our main objective was to determine if NASH has an impact on the primary outcome after ISG, and equally important to analyze the effect of ISG on NASH.

Overall, ISG led to substantial weight loss with a BMI reduction of 44% within 1 year (Table 2). Comparing patients with normal liver histology to patients diagnosed with NASH, a significant reduction of body weight was seen in both groups with a marginally larger preoperative BMI in NASH patients (44.2 ± 5.6 kg/m² versus 45.9 ± 8.3 kg/m²; NS). Nonlinear regression analysis suggested equal initial weight loss in both groups with an impaired reduction of BMI for NASH patients beginning after the 9-month followup (plateau 28.08 kg/m² versus 29.79 kg/m², Figure 1).

Overall, patients with normal liver histology presented with a significantly better weight reduction (Two-way ANOVA P < .05). Determining Hba1c levels, 23 patients were considered diabetic prior to the operation. Histologic evaluation of...
this subgroup revealed only 2 patients with normal liver histology, 4 with steatosis, 14 with NASH, 1 with cirrhosis, and 1 with chronic hepatitis. There was a highly significant difference in weight reduction compared to non-diabetic patients, (two-way ANOVA $P<.001$). Nonlinear regression analysis revealed a broader difference in plateau level comparing NASH patients to patients with normal liver histology (plateau for HbA1c $>6.5\%$ 32.30 kg/m$^2$ versus HbA1c $\leq 6.5\%$ 28.79 kg/m$^2$, Figure 2).

Regarding biological data, ISG could significantly improve HbA1c levels in all patients (Kruskal-Wallis $P<.0001$, Table 2). In the 23 patients diagnosed with diabetes prior to sleeve gastrectomy, median HbA1c levels normalized within 12 months (HbA1c 7.1% versus 5.6%, resp.). A significant reduction of HbA1c levels could also be shown for NASH patients (Kruskal-Wallis $P<.01$). In patients who presented with normal liver histology, sleeve gastrectomy had no effect on HbA1c; however, mean HbA1c was not above the cutoff level of 6.5% at any given time point (Table 3).

Regarding lipids, ISG had a significant impact on triglyceride levels. Overall mean triglyceride levels could be reduced by 37.5% after 1 year (Table 2). In patients with NASH, initial triglyceride levels were higher than in patients with normal liver histology (1.8 ± 1.4 mmol/L versus 1.4 ± 0.7 mmol/L). Although a significant reduction of triglycerides was seen in both groups, NASH had a significantly impairing impact on triglyceride levels (two-way ANOVA $P<.05$, Table 3).

Overall, analysis of the remaining lipid profile showed no influence of ISG on cholesterol, HDL, or LDL levels (Table 2). Subgroup analysis revealed no significant impact on either cholesterol or LDL levels. HDL levels again remained unchanged for patients with normal liver histology. In contrast, NASH patients presented a significant improvement of HDL (Kruskal-Wallis $P<.0001$, Table 3).

Transaminase levels were examined as a representative test for liver function and liver injury. In preoperative data, a strong correlation could be seen between high transaminase levels and histologically diagnosed NASH (Spearman’s correlation $P<.0001$ and $<.0001$ for ALT and AST, resp.). For patients with NASH, transaminase levels could be reduced by over 50% within 6 months, achieving similarity to the levels of patients with normal histology. This effect remained stable throughout the followup period. For patients with normal histology, transaminase levels were low and remained unchanged after sleeve gastrectomy (Figure 3).

4. Discussion

In the present study, we report a mean BMI loss of 15.3 kg/m$^2$ and 13.4 kg/m$^2$ at 12 and 39 months after ISG. This goes
along with data recently published on 200 patients with isolated sleeve gastrectomy, reporting a weight loss from 45.5 kg/m² to 30.6 kg/m² and 31.7 kg/m² at 12 and 18 months, respectively, using a 48 Fr calibration tube [23]. A Greek centre, at which a 38 Fr bougie was used, reported a comparable weight loss from 45.3 kg/m² to 30.5 kg/m² during the operation to patients with normal liver histology, an impairment in loss of BMI is evident. This phenomenon prevents clearly defining the effect of sleeve gastrectomy on diabetic patients; however, only 14 of 87 patients with elevated HbA1c levels at baseline despite a good resolution of HbA1c, suggesting elevated Hb1Ac level as a predictor for poor weight loss. However, weight loss is still highly significant in this group.

Comparing weight loss of patients diagnosed with NASH during the operation to patients with normal liver histology, an impairment in loss of BMI is evident. This phenomenon can partly be explained by the impaired weight loss of diabetic patients; however, only 14 of 87 patients with NASH showed an HbA1c above 6.5%. Certainly, changes in adipokine profiling play a pivotal role in NASH pathology. New studies simultaneously evaluating NASH and adipokine profiling should further evaluate this context.

Dixon et al. examined the effect of LAGB on the histopathological features of NAFLD, performing two consecutive liver biopsies and determining plasma aminotransferase concentrations at a median followup time of 30 months. This group could show a significant correlation of aminotransferase concentrations and presence of NASH at the first and second biopsies. An aminotransferase decrease of 38% could best and significantly predict the change in liver histology. In the NASH subgroup, however, we could

Table 2: Overall clinical and biological data at 1- and 3-year followup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before surgery</th>
<th>1 year after surgery</th>
<th>3 years after surgery</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>29.7 ± 6.5</td>
<td>31.6 ± 4.4</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c %</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST level U/L</td>
<td>22.7 ± 11.9</td>
<td>18.14 ± 9.9</td>
<td>20.0 ± 10.8</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT level U/L</td>
<td>34.2 ± 21.2</td>
<td>19.8 ± 14.8</td>
<td>23.01 ± 17.2</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride level mmol/L</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol level mmol/L</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL level mmol/L</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL level mmol/L</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures presented as mean ± standard deviation; P value determined with Kruskal-Wallis test.

Table 3: Separate consideration of clinical and biological data at 1- and 3-year followup for patients with NASH and normal liver histology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before surgery</th>
<th>1 year after surgery</th>
<th>3 years after surgery</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver</td>
<td>44.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>28.2 ± 4.9</td>
<td>30.3 ± 5.6</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH</td>
<td>45.9 ± 8.3</td>
<td>30.8 ± 8.8</td>
<td>30.8 ± 5.3</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c %</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>18.1 ± 11.2</td>
<td>17.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>19.2 ± 9.3</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST level U/L</td>
<td>17.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>25.8 ± 27.3</td>
<td>22.0 ± 16.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver</td>
<td>24.1 ± 25.3</td>
<td>17.5 ± 7.3</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH</td>
<td>23.9 ± 9.1</td>
<td>12.0 ± 16.1</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride level mmol/L</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol level mmol/L</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL level mmol/L</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures presented as mean ± standard deviation; separate P values for NASH and normal liver histology were determined with Kruskal-Wallis test, P difference determined with two-way ANOVA.

A diabetic metabolism with high insulin levels and thus inadequate glucose and lipid homeostasis is expected to lead to poor weight loss after obesity surgery. This is supported by evidence found by Caiazzo et al., who reported an impaired weight loss for diabetic patients after laparoscopic gastric banding (LAGB) at one-year followup [26]. Schauer et al. showed a comparable effect on EWL% after laparoscopic gastric bypass (LGB) [27]. Similar findings are presented by Mathurin et al., who reported a significantly lower weight loss for patients presenting with insulin resistance prior to obesity surgery (laparoscopic gastric banding and bypass) [28]. However, these findings remain controversial, as others report no difference regarding weight loss in their subgroup analysis [29].

Our data suggest a significantly impaired weight loss for patients with elevated HbA1c levels at baseline despite a good
show a significant reduction of triglyceride, HbA1c, and ALT levels, resulting in a significant reduction of NASH incidence, when applying the Ulitzky model [32]. Furthermore, patients diagnosed with NASH presented with a 39% decrease in AST level.

Lacking a distinct algorithm, NASH therapy is mainly based on the reduction of underlying risk factors. With obesity being the single most significant risk factor, weight loss surgery is widely discussed for the management of NASH. A recently conducted Cochrane research concerning this subject analyzed 21 independent studies. Among the bariatric procedures used, the majority of the studies were conducted with Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (13) and adjustable gastric banding (6). Regarding histological outcomes, eighteen studies reported a significant improvement in the degree of steatosis and eleven studies reported an improvement in histological markers of inflammation. In 11 of 16 studies lfts improved in the course of followup, aggravation could not be observed [33]. In concordance with the data presented above, our data suggest amelioration of NASH after ISG.

An amelioration of T2DM after ISG is currently under intensive discussion. Abbatini et al. showed a 80.9% resolution of T2DM after ISG over a 3-year followup [34]. In a series of 39 consecutive patients with preoperatively diagnosed T2DM undergoing ISG, Vidal et al. presented a resolution of HbA1c levels below 6.5% in 94.6% at 1-year followup. Furthermore, they could show a 50% to 80% resolution of metabolic syndrome associated figures such as triglyceride and HDL levels, suggesting ISG as a successful resolution of HbA1c levels below 6.5% in 94.6% at 1-year followup. But they could also show a 50% to 80% resolution of metabolic syndrome associated figures such as triglyceride and HDL levels, suggesting ISG as a successful strategy for the management of different cardiovascular risk components of the metabolic syndrome [20]. In our subgroup analysis of patients with elevated HbA1c, the median HbA1c level was within normal ranges for 87% of patients at 1- and 3-year followup.

The mechanism of T2DM resolution after sleeve gastrectomy is widely discussed. Some believe that hormonal regulation plays a key role [20, 34]. In this regard, Peterli et al. measured higher GLP-1 levels following ISG [35]. This stimulation may be caused by a progressed gastrointestinal passage after sleeve gastrectomy. Comparison of small bowel transit time from patients after ISG to controls showed a significant reduction in transit time from 298 ± 199 ± 65.7 minutes [36]. Also, an increase on PYY can be seen after ISG with possible amelioration of glucose control [37, 38].

Overall, ISG is a restrictive operation. Weight loss is greatest in the first months after operation, so it does not seem surprising to see rapid amelioration of T2DM. In a recent, study performing LSG in nonmorbidly obese T2DM patients resulted in a resolution of T2DM in up to 50% 1 year after operation. The effect is related more to a decrease of insulin resistance because of calorie restriction and weight loss rather than to an increase of insulin secretion [19].

Besides weight loss, the amelioration of T2DM most likely seems to create the condition for resolution of NASH after ISG. Mathurin et al. proposed that remaining insulin resistance is the main risk factor for nonresolution of NASH after obesity surgery [28]. Furthermore, insulin sensitizers such as Pioglitazone and Rosiglitazone can be applied as medical treatment for NASH [10, 11]. The GLP-1 agonist Exenatide could be administered with success; however, data are scarce [39, 40].

Changes in adipokine profiling add to this effect, especially given the fact that only 14 of 87 patients with NASH had HbA1c levels above 6.5%. For adiponectin, it was demonstrated that lower adiponectin levels are associated with increased severity of hepatic inflammation. A repletion of adiponectin in mice resulted in significant improvements in steatosis and inflammation [41, 42]. For TNF-α elevated plasma concentrations, as can be detected in obese patients, mediated hepatic injury by inhibition of mitochondrial electron transport and release of reactive oxygen species that stimulate lipid peroxidation [43]. Clearly more research is needed to further clarify these correlations.

5. Conclusion

ISG conducted in 236 patients resulted in a significant and sustained weight loss comparable to data presented by others. Although a significant difference was seen between NASH patients and patients with normal histology, NASH patients showed a highly significant loss of body weight. For this subgroup, amelioration of HbA1c levels and equally important amelioration of liver histology could be demonstrated. ISG can be successfully performed in NASH patients and, as a fairly easy and safe bariatric intervention to conduct, it can be particularly recommended for this subgroup.
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