Research Article

Repeatability and Reproducibility of Quantitative Corneal Shape Analysis after Orthokeratology Treatment Using Image-Pro Plus Software

Ying Mei,^{1,2} Zhiping Tang,³ Zhouyue Li,¹ and Xiao Yang¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510060, China

²Tianming Ophthalmology and Optometry Clinic, Kunming, China

³The First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiao Yang; 1394392659@qq.com

Received 28 June 2016; Revised 15 August 2016; Accepted 28 August 2016

Academic Editor: Antonio Queiros

Copyright © 2016 Ying Mei et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose. To evaluate the repeatability and reproducibility of quantitative analysis of the morphological corneal changes after orthokeratology treatment using “Image-Pro Plus 6.0” software (IPP). **Methods.** Three sets of measurements were obtained: two sets by examiner 1 with 5 days apart and one set by examiner 2 on the same day. Parameters of the eccentric distance, eccentric angle, area, and roundness of the corneal treatment zone were measured using IPP. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and repetitive coefficient (COR) were used to calculate the repeatability and reproducibility of these three sets of measurements. **Results.** ICC analysis suggested “excellent” reliability of more than 0.885 for all variables, and COR values were less than 10% for all variables within the same examiner. ICC analysis suggested “excellent” reliability for all variables of more than 0.90, and COR values were less than 10% for all variables between different examiners. All extreme values of the eccentric distance and area of the treatment zone pointed to the same material number in three sets of measurements. **Conclusions.** IPP could be used to acquire the exact data of the characteristic morphological corneal changes after orthokeratology treatment with good repeatability and reproducibility. This trial is registered with trial registration number: ChiCTR-IPR-14005505.

1. Introduction

Corneal topographers providing qualitative characteristics and quantitative metrics of the anterior corneal surface [1] and transforming raw data into color-coded dioptric power-scale refractive and curvature maps play an important role in orthokeratology fitting. Many recent studies about orthokeratology have mainly investigated the whole corneal morphologic changes by analyzing the following indices: surface asymmetry index (SAI) [2], surface regularity index (SRI) [2], simulated keratometry (SimK) [3], and so forth. Researchers [4, 5] have established that the central corneal epithelium becomes thinner, while the midperipheral cornea becomes thicker. Central corneal flattening corrects axial myopia, whereas midperipheral corneal steepening may act to reduce relative peripheral hyperopia [6, 7]. There is no doubt that the quantitative analysis of the local characteristic morphological corneal changes is much needed after corneal

reshaping, such as the changes of the eccentric distance, eccentric angle, area, and roundness. However, it has been challenging to conduct an objectively quantitative analysis of these changes using conventional instruments such as corneal topography software.

Image-Pro Plus software (IPP; produced by Media Cybernetics Corporation, USA) is image analysis software capable of taking information obtained from a photograph and processing it in a variety of ways. In addition, IPP could collect intensity data for entire images or an area of interest, which can offer good results and systematically increasing efficiency. IPP has been used for many biological studies, such as the quantification of proteins [8], formaldehyde [9], and the detection of dyes in food [10] and drinks [11]. IPP has also been applied to eye diseases. Wu et al. [12] used IPP to conduct a precise measurement of the healing area of a wounded cornea. Ye et al. [13] used IPP to investigate the relationship between the pterygium size

TABLE 1: Measurement results ($n = 81$).

	Eccentric distance (μm)			Eccentric angle ($^{\circ}$)			Area of the treatment zone (mm^2)			Roundness of the treatment zone		
	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3
Mean	561	568	568	206.2	205.8	209.4	9.36	9.19	9.35	1.18	1.19	1.19
SD	262	259	256	65.8	65.5	77.3	2.41	2.58	2.61	0.04	0.04	0.04
Minimum	71	71	81	13.0	17.1	18.4	4.90	4.67	4.87	1.11	1.12	1.12
INMin	81	81	81	80	80	80	23	23	23	80	80	80
Maximum	1340	1324	1346	352.4	352.4	358.6	15.42	15.46	15.56	1.29	1.27	1.32
INMax	42	42	42	61	61	61	31	31	31	52	52	52

M1: the first measurement of the first examiner; M2: the second measurement of the first examiner; M3: measurement of the second examiner; INMin: informational number of the minimum; INMax: informational number of the maximum.

TABLE 2: Repeatability of tangential subtractive maps analyzed by IPP software ($n = 81$).

	M1	M2	M1–M2	ICC	Total mean	COR (%)
Distance (mm)	0.56 ± 0.26	0.57 ± 0.26	-0.01 ± 0.05	0.990	0.56	8.93
Angle ($^{\circ}$)	206.24 ± 65.83	205.82 ± 65.51	0.37 ± 3.96	0.999	205.98	1.92
Area (mm^2)	9.36 ± 2.41	9.19 ± 2.58	0.18 ± 0.12	0.934	9.28	1.29
Roundness	1.18 ± 0.04	1.19 ± 0.04	-0.01 ± 0.03	0.885	1.19	2.52

M1: the first measurement of the first examiner; M2: the second measurement of the first examiner; M1–M2: difference between two measurements before and after the first examiner; ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient; COR: repetitive coefficient; distance: eccentric distance; angle: eccentric angle; area: area of the treatment zone (mm^2); roundness: roundness of the corneal treatment zone.

sets by the same examiner 5 days apart and one set by another examiner on the same day.

2.3. Data Analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS 16.0 Inc., Chicago, IL). All data were reported as averages $\pm$ standard deviations (SD), and $p < 0.05$ at two tails was considered statistically significant. To assess the repeatability and reproducibility of two different measurements of the first examiner and of the two examiners, the difference, standard deviation, intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), and coefficient of reproducibility (COR) were calculated in accordance with the method used by Portney and Watkins [16] and Hongmei et al. [17]. ICC > 0.75 was regarded as demonstrating excellent measurement reliability, ICC ≥ 0.4 good reliability, and ICC < 0.4 poor reliability. COR = $\text{SD}/n \times 100\%$ (SD: standard deviation of two measurements, n : mean value of two measurements).

3. Results

3.1. General Description of the Measurement Results. Tangential subtractive maps of 81 eyes after orthokeratology treatment were used in this study, including different degrees of decentration, different areas, and morphology of the treatment zone (Table 1). All extreme values of measured parameters pointed to the same material number in three sets of measurements.

3.2. Analysis of Repeatability. ICC analysis suggested “excellent” reliability of more than 0.885 for all variables and COR of less than 10% for all variables within the same examiner (Table 2).

3.3. Analysis of Reproducibility. ICC analysis suggested “excellent” reliability for all variables of more than 0.90 and COR values of less than 10% for all variables between different examiners (Table 3).

4. Discussion

In this study, the eccentric distance, eccentric angle, area, and roundness of the corneal treatment zone acquired by IPP software show good repeatability and reproducibility. Conventional instruments about corneal topography mainly measure the whole corneal morphologic changes, and now it is short of an appropriate method to objectively analyze the local characteristic morphological corneal changes using conventional instruments such as corneal topography software. To our knowledge, this is the first study to propose the method of quantitative analysis by IPP software which is suitable for the analysis of most topography after corneal reshaping with overnight orthokeratology.

According to Sridharan and Swarbrick [15], the central circular zone of corneal flattening after orthokeratology treatment was termed the “treatment zone,” which is from the inner edge to inner edge of the “zero diopter change” zone inside the ring of midperipheral steepening on the difference map. In this study, we found that the “step size” of custom settings had a great effect on the boundary of the treatment zone. As shown in Figure 2, the colorful zone between the “zero diopter change” zones representing the boundary of the treatment zone became wider and less pronounced when the step size of tangential subtractive map was set to the maximum (1.0 D) in custom settings. Conversely, it was narrower and sharper when the step size was set to the

TABLE 3: Reproducibility of tangential subtractive maps analyzed by IPP software ($n = 81$).

	M1	M3	M1-M3	ICC	Total mean	COR (%)
Distance (mm)	0.56 ± 0.26	0.57 ± 0.26	-0.01 ± 0.05	0.989	0.56	8.93
Angle ($^{\circ}$)	206.24 ± 65.83	209.41 ± 77.35	0.37 ± 3.96	0.946	207.77	1.91
Area (mm^2)	9.36 ± 2.41	9.35 ± 2.61	0.01 ± 0.88	0.968	9.34	9.42
Roundness	1.18 ± 0.04	1.19 ± 0.04	-0.01 ± 0.02	0.915	1.19	1.68

M1: the first measurement of the first examiner; M2: the second measurement of the first examiner; M1-M3: difference between two measurements before and after the first examiner; ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient; COR: repetitive coefficient; distance: eccentric distance; angle: eccentric angle; area: area of the treatment zone (mm^2); roundness: roundness of the corneal treatment zone.

FIGURE 2: Difference of the same topography read in different “step sizes.” (a) Step size is 1.00 D. (b) Step size is 0.50 D. (c) Step size is 0.25 D. (d) Step size is 0.10 D.

minimum (0.1 D). At the same time, when the step size was set to the minimum (0.1 D), the “inversion arc” was the most obvious one, which made it easier to distinguish and depict the treatment zone (Figure 3). Therefore, the step size was set to the minimum (0.1 D) to precisely describe the boundary of the treatment zone in this study.

The treatment zone reflecting the effect of corneal reshaping is important for orthokeratology fitting and corneal refractive surgery, and the eccentric distance and eccentric angle, and so forth, have been used to fix the location of the treatment zone in many clinical studies [15, 18–25]. However, there is still no unified standard reference for depicting the treatment zone, and few studies have investigated the

treatment zone using the same method as our study. Since Uozato and Guyton’s report [18], surgeons have used the center of the entrance pupil as the center of the optical zone in keratorefractive surgery. Several authors [19–22] have determined the center of laser ablation by putting the cursor on the approximate center of the ablation on the corneal topography maps. Other researchers [23–25] have determined some of the points with the same refractive error by the cursor manually and then found the offset of the treatment zone by the circle or ellipse along with these points. All the methods mentioned above researched the treatment zone as a circle or ellipse. However, the offset of the lens and poor fitting in the clinic would usually cause the treatment zone to be less

FIGURE 3: The “inversion arc” became obvious when the “step size” decreased. (a) Step size is 1.00 D. (b) Step size is 0.50 D. (c) Step size is 0.10 D.

round or more irregular, and thus it is easy to produce errors when depicting the geometric morphology of the treatment zone in these methods above. In the current study, IPP software could be used to accurately depict the boundary of the treatment zone along with the inner edge of the “zero diopter change” zone manually. After accurately depicting the treatment zone manually, the eccentric distance, eccentric angle, area, and roundness of the corneal treatment zone were analyzed and it showed good repeatability and reproducibility.

In addition to analyzing the indicators mentioned above, IPP software could also be used to analyze many other desired indicators by processing corneal topography images. For example, the pupil size, location, and morphology could be quantitatively analyzed using IPP software. The corneal astigmatism area of topography could be measured to quantify and classify the vertex and peripheral corneal astigmatism. Moreover, some other indicators, such as Box X/Y (minimum ratio of high to wide of rectangular), Feret (max) (maximum Feret diameter), and Feret (min) (minimum Feret diameter), reflecting the morphological characteristics of the treatment zone could also be measured by IPP software. To our knowledge, this study provided a new idea and an important reference for IPP software to be integrated into the system of topographic software, which may help to acquire and analyze more available parameters by topographic software.

In the current study, all topographic images of incomplete bull's eye were excluded which made sure that IPP software could be used to accurately depict the continuous boundary of the treatment zone along with the inner edge of the “zero diopter change” zone manually. Analysis with IPP software was not influenced by any irregular area. Although the roundness of the treatment zone of some topographic images was not so regular, it showed good repeatability and reproducibility. According to the study conducted by Nichols [26], it may influence the stability of corneal topography and the image quality when the corneal staining score was more than 3. In the current study, all the corneal

staining scores were less than 2 and systematic comprehensive scores of corneal topography were more than 95 points which confirmed a good image quality recruited in the current study. However, there are several shortcomings of IPP software in the quantitative analysis of topographic maps, including the following. Firstly, the treatment zone could not be drawn completely when the boundary of the “inversion arc” is discontinuous due to the serious offset or incomplete acquisition of image data (Figure 4). It could not be used to analyze massive topographic map images in a timeframe due to its complicated and time-consuming process. Moreover, the boundary of treatment zone needed to be depicted manually which required highly detailed and skilled operation skills. Consequently, it needs a higher demand for examiner which may pose a subjective measurement error. In addition, image processing steps used in the current study are relatively complex and time-consuming which cannot be used for batch processing and analyzing a large number of topographic images. Last, roundness can only roughly depict the morphological characteristics of the treatment zone, but it makes little sense when the morphological characteristics of the treatment zone are seriously irregular.

In conclusion, quantitative analysis of local characteristic morphological corneal changes in the treatment zone after corneal reshaping using the image analysis software IPP had good repeatability and reproducibility, which could be a method for evaluating the effect of corneal reshaping and refractive surgery.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

The authors Ying Mei and Zhiping Tang contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.

FIGURE 4: Image of an incomplete “inversion arc.” (a) The incomplete acquisition of image data made the boundary of the “inversion arc” discontinuous. (b) Although the boundary of the “inversion arc” was discontinuous, induced by incomplete acquisition of image data, inner boundary was continuous, so the complete treatment zone could be drawn. (c) The boundary of the “inversion arc” was discontinuous, induced by incomplete acquisition of image data. (d) The boundary of the “inversion arc” was discontinuous, induced by decentration.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China, China (Grant no. 81200716).

References

- [1] C. B. Courville, M. K. Smolek, and S. D. Klyce, “Contribution of the ocular surface to visual optics,” *Experimental Eye Research*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 417–425, 2004.
- [2] T. Kojima, A. Hasegawa, S. Hara et al., “Quantitative evaluation of night vision and correlation of refractive and topographical parameters with glare after orthokeratology,” *Graefe’s Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*, vol. 249, no. 10, pp. 1519–1526, 2011.
- [3] R. B. Mandell, *Contact Lens Practice*, Thomas, Springfield, Ill, USA, 4th edition, 1988.
- [4] Y. Lian, M. Shen, J. Jiang et al., “Vertical and horizontal thickness profiles of the corneal epithelium and Bowman’s layer after orthokeratology,” *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 691–696, 2013.
- [5] A. Nieto-Bona, A. González-Mesa, M. P. Nieto-Bona, C. Villa-Collar, and A. Lorente-Velázquez, “Long-term changes in corneal morphology induced by overnight orthokeratology,” *Current Eye Research*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 895–904, 2011.
- [6] A. Queirós, J. M. González-Méijome, C. Villa-Collar, A. R. Gutiérrez, and J. Jorge, “Local steepening in peripheral corneal curvature after corneal refractive therapy and LASIK,” *Optometry and Vision Science*, vol. 87, no. 6, pp. 432–439, 2010.
- [7] V. Maseedupally, P. Gifford, E. Lum, and H. Swarbrick, “Central and paracentral corneal curvature changes during orthokeratology,” *Optometry and Vision Science*, vol. 90, no. 11, pp. 1249–1258, 2013.
- [8] G. Hu, J. A. Heitmann Jr., O. J. Rojas, J. J. Pawlak, and D. S. Argyropoulos, “Monitoring cellulase protein adsorption and recovery using SDS-PAGE,” *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 49, no. 18, pp. 8333–8338, 2010.
- [9] A. Dar, U. Shafique, J. Anwar, Waheed-uz-Zaman, and A. Naseer, “A simple spot test quantification method to determine formaldehyde in aqueous samples,” *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society*, 2012.
- [10] K. Samprovalaki, P. T. Robbins, and P. J. Fryer, “A study of diffusion of dyes in model foods using a visual method,” *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 441–447, 2012.
- [11] S. Komissarchik and G. Nyanikova, “Test systems and a method for express detection of synthetic food dyes in drinks,” *LWT—Food Science and Technology*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 315–320, 2014.

- [12] X. Wu, L. Qin, and Y. Chen, "Application of Pro Plus in measurement of healing corneal epithelial area," *Medical Education and Technology of China*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 183–185, 2010.
- [13] F. Ye, N. Tian, C. Xue et al., "Relationship between the pterygium area and the postoperative residual aberration," *Chinese Eye Optometry and Vision Science*, vol. 15, pp. 656–661, 2013.
- [14] K. K. Nichols, G. L. Mitchell, K. M. S. Simon, D. A. Chivers, and T. B. Edrington, "Corneal staining in hydrogel lens wearers," *Optometry and Vision Science*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 20–30, 2002.
- [15] R. Sridharan and H. Swarbrick, "Corneal response to short-term orthokeratology lens wear," *Optometry and Vision Science*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 200–206, 2003.
- [16] L. G. Portney and M. P. Watkins, *Foundations of Clinical Research: Applications to Practice*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2008.
- [17] Y. Hongmei, L. Yanhong, S. Jia et al., "Intraclass correlation coefficient and software procedures," *Chinese Journal of Health Statistics*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 497–500, 2011.
- [18] H. Uozato and D. L. Guyton, "Centering corneal surgical procedures," *American Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 264–275, 1987.
- [19] S. E. Wilson, S. D. Klyce, M. B. McDonald, J. C. Liu, and H. E. Kaufman, "Changes in corneal topography after excimer laser photorefractive keratectomy for myopia," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 98, no. 9, pp. 1338–1347, 1991.
- [20] T. B. Cavanaugh, D. S. Durrie, S. M. Riedel, J. D. Hunkeler, and M. P. Leshner, "Topographical analysis of the centration of excimer laser photorefractive keratectomy," *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, vol. 19, supplement, pp. 136–143, 1993.
- [21] T. B. Cavanaugh, D. S. Durrie, S. M. Riedel, J. D. Hunkeler, and M. P. Leshner, "Centration of excimer laser photorefractive keratectomy relative to the pupil," *Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery*, vol. 19, supplement 1, pp. 144–148, 1993.
- [22] S. Amano, S. Tanaka, and K. Shimizu, "Topographical evaluation of centration of excimer laser myopic photorefractive keratectomy," *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 616–619, 1994.
- [23] X. Yang, X. Zhong, X. Gong, and J. Zeng, "Topographical evaluation of the decentration of orthokeratology lenses," *Yan Ke Xue Bao*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 132–195, 2005.
- [24] T. Hiraoka, T. Mihashi, C. Okamoto, F. Okamoto, Y. Hirohara, and T. Oshika, "Influence of induced decentered orthokeratology lens on ocular higher-order wavefront aberrations and contrast sensitivity function," *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 1918–1926, 2009.
- [25] Y. Chen, "Dynamic effect of decentration on high-order aberration after orthokeratology wearing," *Chinese Journal of Optometry Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, vol. 15, pp. 656–661, 2013.
- [26] J. Jayakumar and H. A. Swarbrick, "The effect of age on short-term orthokeratology," *Optometry and Vision Science*, vol. 82, no. 6, pp. 505–511, 2005.