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Evaluation of Cholinergic Deficiency in Preclinical Alzheimer’s Disease Using Pupillometry
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1. Introduction

The ocular pupil controls retinal illumination and responds dynamically to a bright flash of light by rapid constriction followed by redilation (Figure 1). Pupillometry investigates this response by delivering a flash of light into the eye and accurately detecting and measuring pupil size changes over time.

Pupil size and response are controlled by the opposing action of the sphincter and dilator muscles of the iris. The constriction phase of the pupil response (Figure 1) is primarily driven by the cholinergic system [1], with acetylcholine (ACh) being the neurotransmitter involved in projections between the Edinger-Westphal nucleus, ciliary ganglion, and sphincter muscle [2]. Thus, pupillometry provides a practical, noninvasive approach with which to evaluate cholinergic deficiency.

Pupillometry has been used to identify a cholinergic deficiency in a number of disorders including Alzheimer’s...
disease (AD) [3–8] and Parkinson’s disease [5, 9]. As the primary neurotransmitter deficit in AD is ACh [10–12], the constriction phase of the pupil flash response (PFR) has gained interest for evaluating cholinergic deficiency and for early detection, diagnosis, and monitoring of AD. The majority of study results to date indicate a slower and reduced pupil response in AD, with reduced velocities, accelerations, and constriction amplitude, and increased latencies. The work of Ferrario et al. [6] on only constriction acceleration stands in contrast, possibly due to different methodology and participant selection. Some studies indicate a faster recovery after stimulus in AD, despite slower constriction and dilation velocities, probably due to the reduced amplitude [3, 13].

Our prior study [8] found a weaker pupil constriction response in AD, consistent with the hypothesis of a cholinergic deficit in the peripheral parasympathetic pathway in AD. Significant differences were found between AD and cognitively normal healthy control (HC) participants in 10 different calculated PFR parameters, with the greatest differences coming from the constriction phase: maximum constriction acceleration, maximum constriction velocity, mean constriction velocity, and constriction amplitude. These promising preliminary results warranted further investigation into whether pupil response changes occur early in AD, possibly providing a test for early detection or monitoring of the disease.

AD is characterized clinically by a progressive decline in memory, learning, and executive function and neuropathologically by the presence of cerebral extracellular amyloid deposits (plaques), intracellular neurofibrillary tangles, and cerebral (in particular hippocampal) atrophy. In addition to the debilitating symptoms endured by AD patients, the disease imposes a huge social and economic burden on society [14].

AD cognitive symptoms arise only after extensive, irreversible neural deterioration has already occurred. As a result, diagnosis is usually made late in the disease process, limiting both the efficacy of available treatments and the evaluation of new treatments. Biomarkers for early detection of AD include cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of beta-amyloid (Aβ), total tau and phosphorylated tau peptides [15–18], and brain Aβ plaque burden imaged using positron emission tomography (PET) [16, 18–20]. Research demonstrates that plaque burden can be detected over 20 years before cognitive symptoms begin [21]. However, while these are valuable diagnostic and secondary screening biomarkers, they are not suitable as primary screening technologies for AD. A screening process that could provide early, accurate diagnosis or a prognosis of AD would enable earlier intervention, facilitate cost-effective screening into treatment trials, and allow current and future treatments to be more effective.

The present study investigated constriction phase pupil response in AD and HC participants, with a particular focus on PFR changes in preclinical AD as determined by high neocortical amyloid burden (NAB).
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants. Participants for the study were recruited from the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers, and Lifestyle (AIBL) study of ageing in Australia. The AIBL study has two sites: Melbourne (Victoria) and Perth (Western Australia). The pupillometry study was conducted only at the Perth site. A previous report details the AIBL study design and baseline cohort [22]. Briefly, the AIBL study integrates data from neuroimaging, biomarkers, lifestyle, clinical, and neuropsychological domains for eligible volunteers older than 60 years who are fluent in English. AIBL classifies participants into 3 groups: (1) individuals meeting the criteria for AD based on the NINCDS-ADRDA (National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association) [23], (2) individuals meeting the criteria for mild cognitive impairment [24, 25], and (3) cognitively normal healthy control individuals.

The following were part of the AIBL exclusion criteria: a history of non-AD dementia, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, significant current (but not past) depression (geriatric depression scale above 5/15), Parkinson’s disease, cancer (other than basal cell skin carcinoma) within the last 2 years, symptomatic stroke, uncontrolled diabetes, diagnosed obstructive sleep apnoea, or current regular alcohol use exceeding 2 standard drinks per day for women or 4 per day for men.

AIBL participants were excluded from the pupil response study if they did not have PET data available; if they had pupillary malformations, severe cataract, self-reported his-
acceleration (MCA, mm/sec²) was calculated by masked operators using fully automated computer algorithms. PFR trials with artefacts or excessive blinking were discarded. A computer algorithm was used to remove minor blinks.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Descriptive statistics including means and standard deviations (SD) for the full cohort and clinical group are shown in Table 1. Demographic comparisons were performed using a χ² test (Fisher’s exact calculation where necessary) for categorical variables (gender and APOE ε4 status), and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the continuous age variable (p < 0.05 considered significant). Bold values significant after adjustment for false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini and Hochberg method. APOE ε4 carrier status refers to carrier/noncarrier of an apolipoprotein E ε4 allele. SD refers to standard deviation, mm refers to millimetres, sec refers to seconds, PFR refers to pupillometric parameters, AMP refers to constriction amplitude. All results were significant after adjustment using the Benjamini and Hochberg FDR method [32].

Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was also performed to further illustrate the classification accuracy of the PFR parameters. The area under the curve (AUC) of the ROC curves was estimated, an AUC of 1 indicates perfect classification ability, whereas an AUC near 0.5 indicates poor (random) classification ability. Logistical models combining PFR measures were created to assess combined classification performance.

3. Results

Eligible AIBL participants in Perth with PET data available numbered 206 (182 HC, 24 AD). N = 180 (87%) were willing to participate in the pupillometry study. Participants were excluded from the pupil response study if they had pupillary malformations, severe cataract (N = 5), self-reported history of glaucoma in either eye, penetrating eye wounds to both eyes, and eye surgery to both eyes that involved the muscle; if they used cholinesterase inhibitors or prescribed ocular medications (N = 36); or if they were unable to complete the task without excessive blinking (N = 10). All participants were white Caucasians.

Table 1: Demographics and descriptive PFR analysis for HC and AD groups, with ANOVA, χ² test, and GLM analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthy control</th>
<th>Alzheimer’s disease</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants [N]</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age: years [mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>72.9 (±5.3)</td>
<td>77.4 (±5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male [N (%)]</td>
<td>56 (49)</td>
<td>10 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE ε4 carrier [N (%)]</td>
<td>27 (23)</td>
<td>12 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA [mm/sec², mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>31.12 (±6.56)</td>
<td>26.84 (±4.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV [mm/sec, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>4.22 (±0.65)</td>
<td>3.41 (±0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV [mm/sec, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>3.02 (±0.49)</td>
<td>2.53 (±0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP [mm, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>1.46 (±0.28)</td>
<td>1.15 (±0.28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the continuous age demographic variable (p < 0.05 considered significant). † χ² test for categorical demographic variables (gender and APOE ε4 carrier status) (p < 0.05 considered significant). ‡ p value from generalised linear model analysis of differences between groups (including significant confounders). Bold values significant after adjustment for false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini and Hochberg method. APOE ε4 carrier status refers to carrier/noncarrier of an apolipoprotein E ε4 allele. SD refers to standard deviation, mm refers to millimetres, sec refers to seconds, PFR refers to pupillometric parameters, AMP refers to constriction amplitude. All results were significant after adjustment using the Benjamini and Hochberg FDR method [32].

Significant differences in pupil response were found between the AD and HC groups (Table 1). Specifically, the AD group exhibited reduced MCV (p = 0.00045, Figure 2), AMP (p = 0.0030), MCA (p = 0.030), and CV (p = 0.0015). All results were significant after adjustment using the Benjamini and Hochberg FDR method [32].

MCV provided the greatest clinical classification accuracy with sensitivity 100%, specificity 67%, and AUC 0.85 (CI [0.76–0.93]). Combining PFR parameters into a logistic model did not improve classification performance, as the parameters were highly correlated. However, adding age and APOE ε4 carrier status improved classification performance to sensitivity 91.7%, specificity 93.1%, and AUC 0.94 (CI [0.87–1]).

Stratifying the HC group according to NAB, the low-NAB group consisted of 77 participants of mean age 72.3 years, while the high-NAB group consisted of 38 participants of mean age 74.0 years. Demographics and results of this
Table 2: Demographics and descriptive PFR analysis for the HC group stratified according to neocortical amyloid burden (NAB), with ANOVA, \( \chi^2 \) test, and GLM analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of participants [N]</th>
<th>Healthy control [low NAB]</th>
<th>Healthy control [high NAB]</th>
<th>( p ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age: years [mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>72.3 (±5.2)</td>
<td>74.0 (±5.3)</td>
<td>0.05734†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male [N (%)]</td>
<td>35 (49)</td>
<td>20 (53)</td>
<td>0.433‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE e4 carrier: [N (%)]</td>
<td>10 (13)</td>
<td>17 (45)</td>
<td>0.000202†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA [mm/sec, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>32.97 (±5.96)</td>
<td>30.08 (±7.2)</td>
<td>0.067‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV [mm/sec, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>4.48 (±0.63)</td>
<td>4.05 (±0.62)</td>
<td>0.021†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV [mm/sec, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>3.23 (±0.47)</td>
<td>2.92 (±0.42)</td>
<td>0.12‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP [mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>1.54 (±0.29)</td>
<td>1.41 (±0.26)</td>
<td>0.77‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \dagger \) Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the continuous age demographic variable (\( p < 0.05 \) considered significant). \( \ddagger \) \( \chi^2 \) test for categorical demographic variables (gender and APOE e4 carrier status) (\( p < 0.05 \) considered significant). \( \ddagger \) \( p \) value from the generalised linear model analysis of differences between groups (including significant confounders). Bold values significant after adjustment for false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini and Hochberg method. APOE e4 carrier status refers to carrier/noncarrier of an apolipoprotein E e4 allele. NAB refers to neocortical amyloid burden, SD refers to standard deviation, mm refers to millimetres, sec refers to seconds, PFR refers to pupil flash response, HC refers to healthy control, AD refers to Alzheimer’s disease, GLM refers to generalised linear methods, MCA refers to maximum constriction acceleration, MCV refers to maximum constriction velocity, CV refers to average constriction velocity, and AMP refers to constriction amplitude.

Table 3: Demographics and descriptive PFR analysis for the longitudinal HC group stratified according to neocortical amyloid burden (NAB), with ANOVA, \( \chi^2 \) test, and GLM and ROC analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of participants [N]</th>
<th>Healthy control [low NAB]</th>
<th>Healthy control [high NAB]</th>
<th>( p ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age: years [mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>72.2 (±0.31)</td>
<td>72.1 (±4.3)</td>
<td>0.97‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male [N (%)]</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>0.00026†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE e4 carrier [N (%)]</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
<td>10 (91)</td>
<td>0.035†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in MCA [mm/sec, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>-1.49 (±1.80)</td>
<td>-5.66 (±3.10)</td>
<td>0.0068†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in MCV [mm/sec, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>-0.19 (±0.17)</td>
<td>-0.55 (±0.42)</td>
<td>0.047‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in CV [mm/sec, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>-0.52 (±0.75)</td>
<td>-0.21 (±0.1)</td>
<td>0.62‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in AMP [mm, mean (±SD)]</td>
<td>-0.24 (±0.32)</td>
<td>-0.13 (±0.09)</td>
<td>0.24†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \dagger \) Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the continuous age demographic variable (\( p < 0.05 \) considered significant). \( \ddagger \) \( \chi^2 \) test for categorical demographic variables (gender and APOE e4 carrier status) (\( p < 0.05 \) considered significant). \( \ddagger \) \( p \) value from the generalised linear model analysis of differences between groups (including significant confounders). Bold values significant after adjustment for false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini and Hochberg method. APOE e4 carrier status refers to carrier/noncarrier of an apolipoprotein E e4 allele. NAB refers to neocortical amyloid burden, SD refers to standard deviation, mm refers to millimetres, sec refers to seconds, PFR refers to pupil flash response, HC refers to healthy control, AD refers to Alzheimer’s disease, GLM refers to generalised linear methods, MCA refers to maximum constriction acceleration, MCV refers to maximum constriction velocity, CV refers to average constriction velocity, and AMP refers to constriction amplitude.

A comparison are presented in Table 2. There was a significantly greater proportion of APOE e4 carriers in the high-NAB group (\( p = 0.0002 \)), again consistent with APOE e4 being the major genetic risk factor for sporadic AD. MCV was reduced in the high-NAB group (\( p = 0.021 \)). The remaining PFR parameters exhibited nonsignificant trends for reduced values in the high-NAB group. Combining MCV and MCA into a logistic model provided classification accuracy for high NAB with sensitivity 57.1%, specificity 71.6%, and AUC 0.63 (CI [0.52–0.75]). Adding age and APOE e4 carrier status improved the performance of the model to sensitivity 60%, specificity 88%, and AUC 0.74 (CI [0.63–0.84]).

Thirty HC participants (19 low NAB, 11 high NAB) underwent longitudinal pupillometry, with PFR data collected using the same device and an intermeasurement period ranging 27–36 months prior to this study [8]. The change in PFR parameters between visits was calculated. Demographics and results of this comparison are presented in Table 3. There was again a significantly greater proportion of APOE e4 carriers in the high-NAB group (\( p = 0.035 \)). The high-NAB group also had a greater percentage of males (73%) compared to the low-NAB group (37%) (\( p = 0.00026 \)). PFR parameters were not significantly correlated with the exact interval between longitudinal measurements.

Group means for each PFR parameter change were negative, indicating a weaker PFR at the more recent pupillometry test. The reduction in MCA and MCV was more pronounced in the high-NAB group (\( p = 0.047 \), resp.). The MCA result was still significant after Benjamini and Hochberg FDR adjustment [32]; however, the maximum velocity result was not. Combining MCA and MCV in a logistic model provided a classification accuracy for high NAB with sensitivity 73%, specificity 100%, and AUC 0.90.
disease, mm refers to millimetres, and sec refers to seconds. From this study, due to the likely e...

The constriction phase of the PFR is primarily a parasympathetic cholinergic response [1].

As therapeutic trials in AD have shifted to earlier, preclinical intervention [33, 34], the need has grown for a practical screening test to identify those individuals on the pathway to symptomatic AD. Clinicopathologic studies at autopsy support the hypothesis of a protracted asymptomatic stage of AD, with a slow buildup of Aβ protein plaques beginning about 10–20 years prior to diagnosis [35–41]. PET Aβ neuroimaging provides a semiquantitative measure of NAB [16, 18–20]. However, while it is a valuable diagnostic and secondary screening biomarker, the procedure is not suitable as a primary screening technology for AD, due to cost, availability of PET scanners, invasiveness, and radiation dose. There is consequently a need for a noninvasive, cost-effective population-based AD screening technology to triage those requiring more extensive screening. Recent results from Aβ immunotherapy trials have shown promise, both for clearance of Aβ from the brain and for slowing cognitive decline in early or preclinical AD [33, 34], clearly underscoring the need for early detection.

To investigate pupillometry as a potential component of such an AD screening test, the present study investigated constriction phase pupil response in cognitively normal healthy control individuals stratified according to PET-determined NAB. The low-NAB group consists of cognitively and neuropathologically normal healthy control participants, while the high-NAB group consists of participants who have AD neuropathology but are still cognitively normal, suggesting they are in the preclinical phase of AD.

Since the cross-sectional data suggest a weaker pupil response in the high-NAB group, we hypothesized that longitudinal monitoring of pupil response may perform better at detecting preclinical AD. Natural variation in PFR between individuals may limit the utility of a single PFR test for AD screening; hence, it is possible that longitudinal monitoring might facilitate more accurate preclinical detection or monitoring of AD. Hence, we also investigated longitudinal changes in PFR over approximately 3 years. As the group means for each PFR parameter change were negative, the results suggested a decline in PFR over the period, consistent with the observed age-dependence of PFR parameters in the full cohort. The reduction in MCA was more pronounced in the high-NAB group, with a similar trend for MCV (not significant after multiple testing adjustment). Longitudinal change in MCA and MCV provided good classification accuracy (AUC 0.9); hence, pupillometric changes over time may have utility in detecting preclinical AD. The value of PFR testing may be in its use for providing a noninvasive monitor of physiological abnormality with which to follow disease progression and treatment efficacy.

Overall, the results add to the evidence of a weaker pupil response in AD and suggest that some PFR changes may occur in preclinical AD. To our knowledge, we are the only group to report on PFR differences with respect to NAB and preclinical AD. Cholinergic depletion may occur in preclinical AD, and pupillometry may have utility as a component of a practical screening test for early detection of AD. Additionally, longitudinal pupillometry could provide a practical monitoring test for disease progression or response to therapy.

The constriction phase of the PFR is primarily a parasympathetic response of the autonomic nervous system; hence, constriction PFR parameters can be used as an accurate method to assess the function of the neurotransmitter involved, acetylcholine [1, 3, 42]. Studies have suggested that PFR is sensitive to early cholinergic depletion which can lead to a decline in cognitive function. Cholinergic depletion may also occur in other diseases such as Parkinson’s disease [43], which has also been reported to influence PFR [5, 9]. Hence,
the specificity of the PFR changes in AD needs further investigation using cohorts which include individuals with other disorders that may affect the cholinergic system and PFR.

A major strength of this study is the well-characterized cohorts, including the presence of neuroimaging data that enable deeper interrogation of associations between PFR parameters and AD. A limitation was the small number of participants (N = 27) in the longitudinal study; the results warrant further investigation with a similarly well-characterized, larger cohort.

5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates relationships between pupil response parameters, neocortical amyloid plaque load, and AD. Some PFR changes that are associated with diagnosed AD also occur in preclinical AD.

Pupillometry demonstrates potential as an adjunct (possibly together with blood or other biomarkers) (1) for accurate diagnosis of AD and monitoring of disease progress and response to therapy and (2) for low-cost and noninvasive detection of preclinical AD, recruitment into preclinical AD therapeutic trials and also monitoring response in these trials.

The results of this study suggest that PFR monitoring, rather than a single PFR test, might be more powerful as part of an early screening test for AD and for monitoring disease progress and response to intervention. Pupillometry is a low-cost, noninvasive technology that may reflect early cholinergic deficits preceding memory and cognitive decline.
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