Clinical Study

Selective Retina Therapy with Real-Time Feedback-Controlled Dosimetry for Treating Acute Idiopathic Central Serous Chorioretinopathy in Korean Patients

Ye Ji Kim, Youn Gon Lee, Dong Won Lee, and Jae Hui Kim

Department of Ophthalmology, Kim’s Eye Hospital, Konyang University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Jae Hui Kim; kimoph@gmail.com

Received 8 October 2017; Revised 24 December 2017; Accepted 16 January 2018; Published 6 February 2018

Academic Editor: João P. Marques

Copyright © 2018 Ye Ji Kim et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose. To evaluate short-term treatment outcomes following selective retina therapy (SRT) with real-time feedback-controlled dosimetry in Korean patients with acute idiopathic central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC).

Methods. Sixteen eyes (16 patients) with acute idiopathic CSC (symptom duration < 3 months) were included in this retrospective study. All patients underwent a single session of SRT with real-time feedback-controlled dosimetry. Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and central foveal thickness (CFT) before and 3 months after treatment were examined and compared.

Results. The logarithm of minimal angle of resolution BCVA was significantly better 3 months after treatment (0.16 ± 0.18) than at the time of diagnosis (0.27 ± 0.18, P = 0.002). Additionally, subretinal fluid had resolved in all 16 eyes 3 months after treatment and CFT was significantly lower (215.6 ± 17.9 μm) than at baseline (441.4 ± 124.8 μm, P < 0.001). No notable SRT-related complications were observed during the study period.

Conclusion. The results of the present study suggest that SRT is a useful therapeutic option for patients with acute idiopathic CSC. Further studies are required to better understand the long-term efficacy of this treatment. This trial is registered with clinical trial registration number NCT03339856.

1. Introduction

Central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC) is a disorder that is characterized by a localized serous detachment of the neurosensory retina in the posterior pole [1]. Additionally, CSC is often the self-limiting and spontaneous resolution of subretinal fluid (SRF) which often occurs within several months [2]. Although several treatment modalities (e.g., laser photocoagulation, [3] photodynamic therapy [4], and antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy [5]) have been used in CSC patients, a consensus has not yet been reached on the optimal time for intervention.

Selective retina therapy (SRT) selectively disrupts the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) with minimal damage to the photoreceptors [6]. Previous studies have shown SRT to be effective for resolving SRF, while causing only minimal collateral damage to the retina and vision [7–12]. However, most patients included in prior studies had chronic CSC (≥3 months symptom duration) and SRT efficacy on acute CSC is not fully known.

When treating CSC patients, it is generally recommended to follow up several months without any intervention to identify spontaneous resolution of SRF. However, prompt treatment may be beneficial for some patients. For example, metamorphopsia or micropsia associated with CSC can greatly interfere with driving or with work when an occupation requires delicate procedures. Additionally, patients are often psychologically distressed by a CSC diagnosis and the accompanying decrease in the vision-related quality of life [13, 14]. In these cases, prompt treatment to reduce SRF may relieve, at least in part, CSC symptoms and their related stress.

The current study evaluated short-term treatment outcomes following SRT with real-time feedback-controlled dosimetry in Korean patients with acute idiopathic CSC.
2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was performed at a single center. All study conducted adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the Kim’s Eye Hospital institutional review board.

2.1. Patients. This study included patients who were diagnosed with treatment-naive idiopathic CSC and were treated with SRT. The R:GEN device (Lutronic, Goyang-si, South Korea) was used to administer SRT, as was done in a previous study by Park et al. [10]. Inclusion criteria also included SRF involving the fovea (documented by optical coherence tomography (OCT) performed at initial presentation) and symptom duration shorter than 3 months. Patients were excluded from analyses if any of the following were true: (1) age > 55 years; (2) clinical or angiographic features suggestive of choroidal neovascularization; (3) OCT findings suggestive of type 1 neovascularization or polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy (e.g., double layer sign or fibrovascular pigment epithelial detachment); (4) history of macular laser photocoagulation, photodynamic therapy, or anti-VEGF therapy; or (5) history of exogenous corticosteroid treatment for a systemic disease (e.g., Cushing’s syndrome or renal disease).

2.2. SRT Device. The R:GEN device uses a Q-switched Nd:YLF laser with a wavelength of 527 nm, a pulse duration of 1.7 μs, and a repetition rate of 100 Hz. A single-mode Gaussian laser beam is delivered from the cavity to the slit lamp by a multimode optical fiber with a 50 μm core diameter. The slit lamp has parfocal zoom optics that deliver a 200 μm diameter beam to the human retina. The SRT laser system with a real-time dosimetry feedback system has received CE marking from an EU Notified Body (approved on December 21, 2015) and has been approved by the MFDS (Ministry of Food and Drug Safety in Korea) for CSC and diabetic macular edema (approved on March 31, 2015).

The laser system delivers 15 consecutive micropulses in a burst of stepwise energy-ramping pulse trains. The last (15th) and maximum energy pulse can be adjusted by the physician for each treatment spot as a preset value. The first energy pulse is 50% of the preset value, and the energy of the following micropulse gradually increases by an additional 3.57% to reach 100% at the 15th pulse (Figure 1). As the energy pulse of the laser is raised, the temperature of the melanosomes in the RPE cells within the laser-irradiated area increases, and microparticles are generated. This microparticle generation can be monitored with ultrasound and reflectometric sensors [10, 15, 16].

For acoustic signal measurement, a ring-shape piezoelectric sensor is embedded in a contact lens placed on the patient’s eye by the physician. The detected signal is amplified, and then the signal is processed in several steps, including offset removal, rectification, and summation. The final processing outcome is termed an optoacoustic value (OAV), which is an arbitrary value. The implemented optoacoustic (OA) algorithm employs the OA signal from microbubble formation to detect the rupture of the RPE cell membrane using a predetermined threshold. The reflectometric (RM) signal was also obtained using an avalanche photodetector (APD120A2/M, THORLABS, Newton, USA) located in the middle of the optical path. The photodetector measures the scattered laser signal from the microparticles generated in the RPE cells. The detected optical signal is processed during the rectification, summation, noise subtraction, and normalization filtering steps. There are more processing steps for the RM signal compared with the OA because it is necessary to remove the influence of energy ramping. The final outcome of this process is termed the reflectometric value (RMV), which is also an arbitrary value. Like the OA and RMV, the RMV is considered to indicate RPE cell damage as soon as it exceeds a predetermined threshold value. The OA and RM are related to the “OR” logic in the dosimetry algorithm. Therefore, the feedback of the OA and RM signals from microparticle formation indicates whether there is RPE cellular damage when either of the feedback signals is above the threshold after each individual laser micropulse is delivered. The threshold value was set as 2.0 for OA and 6.0 for RM. As soon as the threshold is exceeded by the detection of microparticle formation, the subsequent burst of microparticles is automatically stopped.

The fundamental real-time feedback-controlled dosimetry (RFD) algorithm of the automatic laser shutoff used in our study was similar to that used in the study by Park et al. [10] One difference was that the “up arrow sign,” which signaled the operator to increase laser energy, is presented when a shot was stopped at the 13th, 14th, and 15th pulse in the previous study [10], whereas the “up arrow sign” was not presented in the same situation by the device used in our study. The “up arrow sign” was presented only when microparticle formation was not detected even after all 15 consecutive micropulses. This modification was performed to avoid using unnecessarily high laser energy.

2.3. Examination, Treatment, and Follow-Up. All subjects underwent comprehensive ophthalmologic examinations, including best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) measurement, slit-lamp biomicroscopy (90-diopter lens), fluorescein angiography (FAG), fundus photography, and spectral domain OCT (Spectralis HRA-OCT™, Heidelberg Engineering, Dossenheim, Germany, or RS 3000™, Nidek Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Indocyanine green angiography using a confocal laser-scanning system (Spectralis HRA-OCT) was performed at the discretion of each physician. A CSC diagnosis was based on FAG findings, in particular, evidence of a typical ink blot or smokestack leakage. A single examiner (J.H.K.) reviewed all FAG results.

All SRT were performed by a single physician (J.H.K.) using a Nd:YLF laser (wavelength of 527 nm, 15 micropulses per spot, single micropulse duration of 1.7 μs, and pulse repetition rate of 100 Hz). The spot size was set at a diameter of 200 μm. The RFD system [10, 15, 16] was used to determine laser energy for treatment. Before laser application to the leakage points, test shots were performed to determine laser energy required for treating the leakage points. The laser energy for test shots began at 80 μJ and was increased...
in 5–10 μJ intervals until an okay sign, which suggested intracellular bubble formation, was indicated on the RFD system panel. In general, several laser shots were required to achieve an okay sign. Following this confirmation step, the same laser energy when the okay sign was showed was applied to fluorescein leakages. The purpose of the test shots was to avoid unnecessary damage to the macular area during the titration of laser energy. For this reason, test shots were applied outside of the superior or inferior temporal arcade.

If the device indicated an okay sign at leakage points, laser shots using the same energy were administered around the leakages (Figure 2). If the okay sign was not indicated at the leakage points, laser energy would again be increased in 5–10 μJ increments until the appearance of the okay sign. If the device control panel indicated that excessive laser energy had been applied (down arrow sign), the laser energy was decreased in 5–10 μJ increments until the okay sign was again indicated. The total number of laser shots delivered around fluorescein leakage points that were at or above the laser energy when the okay sign was showed was counted.

Patients had follow-up visits at 1 and 3 months after SRT, at which BCVA was measured and OCT examination was performed. Central foveal thickness (CFT) was manually measured on OCT images and was defined as the distance between the RPE and the internal limiting membrane.

2.4. Outcome Measures. Both BCVA and CFT were measured at diagnosis, at 1 and 3 months following SRT, and at the final follow-up visit. The incidence of complete SRF resolution was estimated. Color fundus photographs taken at each follow-up visit also documented laser treatment and were used to check for the presence of visible laser spots. All BCVA measurements were converted to the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for data analyses. The minimal and maximal energy was defined as the minimal and maximal laser energy used for laser shots applied to or around the leakage points, respectively. For patients who were followed up more than three months later, the entire follow-up data was analyzed to verify any recurrence of fluid. The information regarding these patients was presented as additional data.
2.5. Statistical Analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using a commercially available software package (SPSS v. 12.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Comparisons between BCVA and CFT measurements at different time points were performed using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests with a Bonferroni correction. Statistical significance was defined as $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

Sixteen eyes of 16 patients (13 men and 3 women) were included in this study. Mean patient age was $44.6 \pm 7.3$ years. All patients had treatment-naïve CSC, and all patients were treated with a single SRT session. Figure 3 shows treatment outcomes of a representative case.

Three eyes exhibited two leakage points. In the remaining 13 eyes, only one leakage point was noted. The mean distance between the fovea and the leakage points (19 points from 16 eyes) was $1119.2 \pm 525.3 \mu m$. There was no subfoveal leakage point, and the juxtapfoveal leakage point ($\leq 200 \mu m$) was noted in one eye. The leakage points were extrafoveal in the remaining 15 eyes. Patients were treated with a mean of $5.4 \pm 1.2$ laser shots (not including test shots, range: 4 to 8 shots) around leakage points. The mean maximal laser energy was $105.0 \pm 14.6 \mu J$ (range: 80 to 140 $\mu J$). Characteristics of and treatment outcomes for each patient are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Mean BCVA at diagnosis, 1 month, and 3 months after SRT was $0.27 \pm 0.18$ (Snellen equivalent: $20/81$), $0.18 \pm 0.18$ ($20/55$), and $0.16 \pm 0.18$ ($20/57$), respectively (Figure 4(a)). The BCVA at 1 month ($P = 0.002$) and 3 months ($P = 0.002$) following SRT were both significantly better than at baseline. Additionally, at 3 months, BCVA had improved from baseline in 14 of 16 patients (87.5%) and had been maintained in the remaining 2 patients (12.5%).

All eyes had SRF at baseline, and 5 eyes (31.3%) had residual SRF at 1 month. However, at 3 months, SRF had resolved in all 16 patients. Additionally, SRF did not increase or recur in any patient during the 3-month follow-up period. Quantitative CFT measurements were in agreement with clinical observations. The CFT was $441.4 \pm 124.8 \mu m$, $236.5 \pm 50.4 \mu m$, and $215.6 \pm 17.9 \mu m$ at baseline, 1 month, and 3 months, respectively (Figure 4(b)). Mean CFT at 1 and 3 months was significantly different than at baseline (both $P < 0.001$). Additionally, all 16 patients had a reduction in CFT by 3 months.

No SRT-related complications were observed. Laser spots were not detectable on color fundus photographs, and no patient complained of new or worsening symptoms.

Among the 16 patients, eight patients were followed up at five to seven months after treatment (Table 2). Among the eight patients, recurrence of SRF was not noted during OCT examination in five patients. In the remaining three patients, recurrence of SRF was not noted during clinical fundus examination.

4. Discussion

In our study of acute idiopathic CSC treatment, improvements of both SRF resolution and visual acuity were noted after SRT. Three months after SRT, all 16 patients included in this study had complete SRF resolution. Additionally, visual acuity improved in 14 of 16 patients (87.5%) and was maintained in the remaining 2 patients (12.5%). Furthermore, no notable SRT-related complications were observed.

Central serous chorioretinopathy is often self-limiting. Therefore, treatment safety is as important as treatment efficacy for cases of acute CSC. Widely used treatments for CSC include conventional laser photocoagulation, photodynamic therapy, and intravitreal anti-VEGF therapy. Although conventional laser photocoagulation effectively resolves SRF, thermal damage to adjacent retinal tissue inevitably occurs [17, 18]. As a result, a scotoma or choroidal neovascularization can develop in some patients [19]. Photodynamic therapy is another effective treatment that causes less damage to adjacent tissue than laser photocoagulation does [20–22]. However, photodynamic therapy has some drawbacks, including its high cost and the systemic side effects associated with intravenous verteporfin use. Photodynamic therapy is also inconvenient for patients because they must maintain a dark environment after treatment to avoid phototoxicity. Anti-VEGF therapy is a simple and safe treatment for CSC [5, 23, 24]. However, there has been controversy regarding anti-VEGF therapy efficacy in the eyes with CSC, [25, 26] especially in younger patients [24]. Subthreshold laser therapy [27, 28] and mineralocorticoid antagonist [29, 30] are other treatment options for patients with CSC. Although both therapies are effective, more evidence is required to firmly establish the pros and cons of these treatments. Because the gold standard treatment for CSC has not yet been agreed upon, broadening treatment options for this condition would be valuable.

Selective retinal therapy was developed to target retinal diseases likely associated with RPE degradation [31]. The RPE is the target tissue of SRT, and the overlying retina is only minimally impacted. This is because the short SRT laser pulses allow energy to be confined to the RPE, which minimizes heat conduction to adjacent tissue [31, 32]. Using the minimally destructive laser energy allows for effective treatment of a selected lesion while minimizing unnecessary cell damage. Real-time optoacoustic dosimetry was developed to help determine what minimally destructive laser energy should be [16]. During SRT, laser energy is mainly absorbed by the intracellular melanosomes of RPE cells, which results in microbubble formation [33, 34]. Schuele et al. [16] demonstrated that microbubble formation around melanosomes can be detected using optoacoustic dosimetry. The current study used an automatic real-time feedback-controlled dosimetry system mounted on the R:GEN device. A previous study on patients with chronic CSC found this system to be effective for determining the laser energy required for treatment [10].

An early clinical study examined SRT for treating patients with diabetic maculopathy, soft drusen, and CSC [12]. Following treatment, Roider et al. [12] observed resolution of hard exudates and leakage in eyes with diabetic maculopathy, of drusen in eyes with soft drusen, and of SRF in eyes with CSC. Following this initial study, various investigations found that SRT is also effective for treating CSC [7–11]. Eyes with chronic CSC had an improvement in visual acuity...
following treatment with an accompanying decrease in SRF [7–11]. Additionally, treated patients were not left with a permanent scotoma [11]. To date, only 19 patients (over 2 studies) have been examined to determine outcomes following SRT performed to treat acute CSC (symptom duration ≤ 3 months) [7, 8]. Elsner et al. [7] found that 8 of 9

Figure 3: Fundus photographs (a, e) as well as fluorescein angiographic (b) and optical coherence tomographic (OCT) (c, d, f–i) images of a 38-year-old patient with acute central serous chorioretinopathy treated with selective retina therapy (case number 5 in Tables 1 and 2). At diagnosis (a–d), best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 20/25, and the patient complained of metamorphopsia. At the location of leakage, a shallow pigment epithelial detachment (PED) (d) (arrow) was observed adjacent to the pachy vessel (d) (asterisk). Three months after treatment (e–i), subretinal fluid had completely resolved and BCVA had improved to 20/20. A PED had resolved after treatment with thinning of the ellipsoid zone at the location of previous leakage (panel g, arrow). There was no notable treatment-related retinal pigment epithelial damage (f–g). The dotted circles in (b) indicate laser spots. Lowercase letters in panels (a) and (e) indicate OCT scanning lines for panels with the same letters.
patients with acute CSC had complete resolution of SRF following treatment. Visual acuity improvements were noted in 8 of 9 patients. The remaining patient had a visual acuity of 20/20 before treatment, which was maintained 4 weeks after treatment. Framme et al. [8] observed complete SRF resolution in 10 of 10 patients examined. Visual acuity improvements were noted in 9 patients. However, 1 patient developed a small hemorrhage at the laser site, which completely resolved by 3 months.

The two previous studies that examined the effect of SRT on acute CSC did not mention patient ethnicity [7, 8].

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics and treatment for 16 included patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Number of leakage points</th>
<th>Location of leakage points</th>
<th>Number of laser shots</th>
<th>Energy, μJ</th>
<th>Clinical course after 3 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 7 M confirmed by clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Juxtafoveal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extrafoveal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: female; M: male.

### Table 2: Treatment outcomes for 16 included patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Best-corrected visual acuity</th>
<th>Central foveal thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Subretinal fluid</th>
<th>Clinical course after 3 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline 1 M 3 M</td>
<td>Baseline 1 M 3 M</td>
<td>Baseline 1 M 3 M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20/60 20/60 20/50</td>
<td>507 314 197</td>
<td>+ + –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 7 M confirmed by clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20/30 20/25 20/20</td>
<td>483 267 223</td>
<td>+ + –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20/50 20/40 20/30</td>
<td>657 388 252</td>
<td>+ + –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M confirmed by clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20/25 20/20 20/20</td>
<td>528 241 223</td>
<td>+ + –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M confirmed by clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20/25 20/20 20/20</td>
<td>488 228 226</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M confirmed by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20/50 20/40 20/40</td>
<td>621 223 226</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20/40 20/25 20/20</td>
<td>316 222 228</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20/25 20/20 20/20</td>
<td>320 212 216</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20/20 20/20 20/20</td>
<td>499 229 223</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 6 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20/30 20/25 20/25</td>
<td>584 234 231</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20/25 20/20 20/20</td>
<td>444 213 206</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20/60 20/50 20/50</td>
<td>284 188 187</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20/50 20/40 20/40</td>
<td>290 211 204</td>
<td>+ + –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>20/30 20/30 20/30</td>
<td>341 220 223</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20/30 20/25 20/25</td>
<td>422 203 201</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20/40 20/30 20/30</td>
<td>278 191 183</td>
<td>+ – –</td>
<td>No recurrence of fluid for 5 M by OCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: month; +: present; –: absent; OCT: optical coherence tomography.
RPE defect location usually coincides with multiple shots were applied around leakages. Given that scans that covered in SRF. Unfortunately, we did not perform dense serial OCT or complete closure of RPE defects and a subsequent decrease we assume that RPE remodeling after SRT led to a partial resolution [38]. In patients included in the current study, following laser photocoagulation [37] or spontaneous SRF ment of a serous detachment. These defects often resolve thermore, these RPE defects ultimately lead to the develop- RPE defects within the pigment epithelial detachment. Fur- lial detachment and that further mechanical stress can cause an increase in choroidal pressure can cause a pigment epithe- 39]. Gupta et al. [38] postulated that, in the eyes with CSC, laser spot size was relatively large (diameter of 200 μ to 168.6 μ) was slightly lower than those used in previous studies with other types of nondamaging retinal lasers [40]. We posit that the primary reason for this difference is the method of counting shots used in our study. The laser shot was counted as “one” when an okay sign or down arrow sign was noted on the control panel. If the laser energy was not sufficient to achieve an okay sign, this shot was not counted. In addition, the number of shots did not reflect the total number of micropulses. In previous studies with other types of nondamaging retinal lasers, a single micropulse was counted as “one shot” [40]. In the present study, however, we did not count the number of total micropulses. As described in Materials and Methods, the laser system delivers up to 15 consec- utive micropulses in a burst of stepwise energy-ramping pulse trains. Unfortunately, the number of micropulses deliv- ered was not recorded in the electronic medical record system. The number of laser shots was counted as “one” even when all 15 consecutive micropulses were delivered.

The current study had several limitations. First, this was a retrospective study with a short follow-up period. In addi- tion, there was no control group. Second, tests to evaluate ret- inal function (e.g., multifocal electroretinography, and visual field testing) were not performed. In addition, autofluores- cence images or fluorescein angiographic images, which may detect RPE damage, were not taken after treatment. Therefore, we could not accurately evaluate whether or not there was treatment-associated damage to the retina. There was no established method to determine the number of laser spots, and the number of spots was arbitrarily determined at the discretion of the treating physician on a case-by-case basis. Since most of the leakage points were extrafoveal, the efficacy of SRT for subfoveal or juxtafoveal leakages requires confirmation through further study. Lastly, study patients were evaluated with one of two different OCT devices. Therefore, variations in retinal thickness measurements may have influenced our CFT results.
In summary, we evaluated the efficacy of SRT for treating acute CSC in Korean patients. In all the included patients, complete resolution of SRF accompanied with an improvement in visual acuity was noted 3 months after SRT. Our results suggest that SRT may be a useful therapeutic option for patients with acute idiopathic CSC. Further studies are required to determine long-term treatment efficacy in the eyes with acute CSC. In addition, we hope further studies with more frequent OCT examinations may reveal the exact time of SRF resolution after SRT.
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Supplementary Materials

Photographs of the R:GEN selective laser therapy device (Lutronic, Goyang-si, South Korea) used in this study (A). The system includes a combined contact lens/ultrasonic transducer for performing optoacoustic measurements (B). The real-time feedback system control panel displays an up arrow to indicate insufficient laser power. A horizontal double-headed arrow is the okay sign, which indicates microbubble formation (C). (Supplementary Material)
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