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Glaucoma is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells and their axons. Lowering of intraocular pressure (IOP) is currently the only proven treatment strategy for glaucoma. However, some patients show progressive loss of visual field and quality of life despite controlled IOP which indicates that other factors are implicated in glaucoma. Therefore, approaches that could prevent or decrease the rate of progression and do not rely on IOP lowering have gained much attention. Effective neuroprotection has been reported in animal models of glaucoma, but till now, no neuroprotective agents have been clinically approved. The present update provides an overview of currently available IOP-lowering medications. Moreover, potential new treatment targets for IOP-lowering and neuroprotective therapy are discussed. Finally, future trends in glaucoma therapy are addressed, including sustained drug delivery systems and progress toward personalized medicine.

1. Introduction

Glaucoma encompasses a group of eye conditions, which cause progressive optic nerve damage, retinal ganglion cell death, and corresponding visual field defects. It is the third leading cause of global blindness after uncorrected refractive error and cataract. Glaucoma contributed 8.49% to world blindness among adults aged 50 years and older in 2015 [1]. In the future, the number of glaucoma patients is expected to increase due to growing and ageing populations [2]. More importantly with ageing, time with glaucoma diagnosis will be longer and the lifetime risk of blindness will increase correspondingly. In Sweden, one out of six patients with 12-year median time of diagnosis was bilaterally blind from glaucoma at the last visit [3]. The classification of glaucoma relies on the appearance and obstruction of the drainage pathway and whether it is primary or associated with detectable comorbidity, i.e., secondary glaucoma. The most common type of glaucoma is primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) with normal, open anterior chamber angle and restricted aqueous outflow associated with increased intraocular pressure (IOP), i.e. high-pressure glaucoma. There is no evidence of a threshold IOP for the onset of glaucoma, but the relative risk for the disease rises with the level of IOP. Nevertheless, most subjects with IOP outside the “normal” range (ocular hypertension) in a population will not develop POAG [4]. In a subtype of POAG, i.e., normal-pressure glaucoma, there is glaucomatous optic neuropathy at the statistically “normal” IOP. It is presumed that risk factors other than IOP have a relatively greater importance and/or sensitivity to IOP may be increased [5, 6]. Even though IOP-lowering therapy delays the onset and progression of glaucoma, the pathogenesis is debatable and not completely understood.

This review aims to (1) summarize current and recently launched IOP-lowering medications, (2) provide a brief overview of new targets for IOP lowering and targets for IOP-independent therapy, and (3) address future trends in therapy.
2. Current and Recently Launched IOP-Lowering Medications

IOP is the principle known and modifiable risk factor for development and progression of glaucoma. Hence, reducing IOP has been the mainstay of glaucoma treatment and its lowering by 20–40% has been shown to delay or halt the progression of glaucoma [7–9].

IOP-lowering medications reduce IOP by increasing aqueous outflow and/or reducing aqueous production. There are several types of IOP-lowering eye drops used to treat glaucoma with different mechanisms of action and efficacy (Table 1). The eye drops available in Europe include prostaglandin analogues, β-blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, α-2 adrenergic agonists, and parasympathomimetic drugs. In addition, systemic carbonic anhydrase inhibitor drugs are available and can be considered for short time use when eye drops are not effective. Combining agents of different classes with different mechanism of action is associated with superior IOP-lowering efficacy compared to each of the components used alone. Fixed combinations eyedrops in Europe include prostaglandin analogues/β-blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors/β-blockers, α-2 adrenergic agonists/β-blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor/α-2 adrenergic agonists, and β-blockers/parasympathomimetics.

New medications have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2017 and not yet by the European Medicines Agency (EMA). These include latanoprostene bunod and netarsudil, and in 2019, fixed combination netarsudil/latanoprost was launched.

2.1. Prostaglandin Analogues. Prostaglandin analogues (PGAs) are recommended as first choice treatment for POAG, because of their efficacy, limited systemic side effects, and once daily dosing [10, 11]. Differences among drugs within this class in the IOP reduction did not exceed 1mmHg [12]. They lower IOP by increasing uveoscleral outflow. The most common side effects are conjunctival hyperaemia, increased pigmentation of periocular skin, longer and thicker eyelashes, and change in iris colour in some eyes (mostly in green-brown or grey-brown eyes) [13, 14]. A few cases of recurrence of herpetic keratitis have been reported with the use of prostaglandins [15].

2.2. β-Blockers (Adrenergic Antagonists). These drugs decrease aqueous humour production by blocking β-adrenergic receptors in the ciliary epithelium. β-Blockers are less effective during night time, because of naturally reduced aqueous humour production at night [16]. Nonselective β1 and β2 receptor antagonists may have higher efficacy (Table 1) compared to the β1-selective antagonist, betaxolol. Ocular adverse effects include conjunctival hyperaemia, epithelial keratopathy, and slight decrease in corneal sensitivity. Systemic adverse reactions include decreased heart rate and cardiac contractility, bronchospasm, depression, impotence, and anxiety [17]. β-Blockers should not be used in patients with bradycardia, heart block, manifest cardiac failure, and asthma. Respiratory adverse reactions are mediated via β2 receptor blockage. Hence, betaxolol can be considered in cases with respiratory issues [18].

2.3. Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitors (CAIs). Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors reduce production of aqueous humour by inhibiting carbonic anhydrase in the ciliary epithelium [19]. Systemic CAIs effectively lower IOP, but the adverse effects limit their use for long-term therapy. Common adverse effects include paraesthesia, nausea, vomiting, depression, kidney stones, and metabolic acidosis. Topical CAIs are systemically safe; ocular adverse effects include stinging, burning, foreign body sensation, and with brinzolamide, transient blurring of vision. Carbonic anhydrase is naturally present in the endothelial cells, and topical CAIs were reported to cause irreversible corneal decompensation in patients with corneal endothelial disorders [20].

2.4. Adrenergic Agonists. Adrenergic agonists decrease aqueous humour production and increase uveoscleral outflow. Nonselective adrenergic agonists have been in clinical practice replaced by α-2 selective agents, of which brimonidine only is used for chronic therapy. Apraclonidine has been associated with a high rate of allergic blepharconjunctivitis and is used only for short-term prophylaxis to prevent IOP increase after laser procedures. Ocular adverse effects include allergic reactions and periorcular contact dermatitis occurring in 12–15% of patients [21, 22]. Systemic side effects include dry mouth, fatigue, and headache [21]. These agents should not be used in small children, because they cross the blood-brain barrier and can cause respiratory and central nervous system depression. A randomized controlled trial comparing brimonidine versus β-blocker timolol in patients with low-tension glaucoma found that patients treated with brimonidine were less likely to have visual field progression than patients treated with timolol [23]. This non-IOP-dependent mechanism suggests a potential neuroprotective role of α-2 agonists.

2.5. Parasympathomimetics (Cholinergic Drugs). Parasympathomimetics increase aqueous outflow through trabecular meshwork. Pilocarpine is a direct agonist of parasympathetic receptors, whereas echothiophate is an indirect acting agonist and inhibits acetylcholinesterase. The ocular side effects include miosis, pseudomyopia, brow ache, red eyes, miosis-induced visual field constriction, and decreased vision at night. Miotics may cause increased salivation, sweating, diarrhoea, vomiting, and tachycardia [19].


3.1. Rho-Kinase Inhibitor Netarsudil. Netarsudil is the only available rho-kinase inhibitor, which represents the first new class glaucoma drug in more than 20 years. It has received approval by the FDA in 2017 (Rhopressa™, Aerie Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA), and recently the European
Medicines Agency’s Committee for Medicinal Product for Human Use approved the use of netarsudil 0.02% (Rhokiinsa™, Aerie Pharmaceuticals Ireland Ltd.) for treatment of open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension [24]. Rho-kinase is a serine/threonine protein kinase that regulates cytoskeletal activities and calcium-dependent smooth muscle contraction. Its functions include modulation of cell adhesion, increasing cell stiffness and contraction of actomyosin, and influencing aqueous humour outflow [25]. Netarsudil lowers resistance to outflow through trabecular meshwork, decreases aqueous production, and decreases episcleral venous pressure. It is supplied as a buffered aqueous solution with a pH ∼5 and dosed once daily. In a 28-day clinical trial comparing IOP-lowering efficacy of netarsudil 0.02% versus latanoprost, netarsudil was found to be less effective in patients with open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension by approximately 1 mmHg [26]. Other clinical trials compared IOP-lowering efficacy of netarsudil versus timolol, with two studies of three months’ and one trial of 12 months’ duration [27–29]. Netarsudil was found to be effective, consistently lowering IOP through 12 months, and noninferior to timolol, but with higher incidence of conjunctival hyperaemia and subconjunctival haemorrhages versus both latanoprost and timolol. The ocular adverse effects included conjunctival hyperaemia noted in 48–60%, small microhaemorrhages in or around the limbus in 6–20%, and cornea verticillata in 5–24% of patients. Other ocular side effects include blurred vision, eyelid erythema, instillation-site pain, increased lacrimation, and reduced visual acuity. Conjunctival hyperaemia is due to rho-kinase inhibition of calcium sensitization and leads to blood vessel smooth muscle relaxation and consequently to vessel dilation [27]. The conjunctival hyperaemia is usually mild and was a reason for discontinuation of treatment in 4% of patients [29]. Subconjunctival haemorrhages resolved with continued use of netarsudil. Cornea verticillata was reported to be mild, without impact on vision, and resolved within few months after stopping therapy. Drugs that are both cationic and amphiphilic can induce cornea verticillata, which is due to lysosomal accumulation of phospholipids within corneal epithelial cells, a process called phospholipidosis. Netarsudil is a cationic amphiphilic drug and can induce phospholipidosis [28]. Systemic side effects were not observed with netarsudil.

### Table 1: IOP-lowering medications, efficacy, and mechanism of action.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication Class</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IOP reduction (%)</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prostaglandin analogues</strong></td>
<td>Bimatoprost, latanoprost, tafluprost, travoprost</td>
<td>25–35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β-Blockers</strong></td>
<td>Timolol, levobonolol, carteolol, metipranolol</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Nonselective</td>
<td>Betaxolol</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) β1-Selective</td>
<td>Dorzolamide, brinzolamide</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors</strong></td>
<td>(i) Topical</td>
<td>Acetazolamide, methazolamide, dichlorphenamide</td>
<td>30–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Systemic</td>
<td>Brimonidine, apraclonidine</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adrenergic agonists</strong></td>
<td>(i) α-2 Selective</td>
<td>Dipivefrin, epinephrine</td>
<td>15–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Nonselective</td>
<td>Pilocarpine, echothiophate</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Novel IOP-lowering medications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rho-kinase inhibitors</strong></td>
<td>Netarsudil</td>
<td>16–21</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nitric oxide-donating prostaglandin analogue</strong></td>
<td>Latanoprostene bunod</td>
<td>32–34</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FC rho-kinase inhibitor/latanoprost</strong></td>
<td>Netarsudil/latanoprost</td>
<td>30–36</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Nitric Oxide-Donating Prostaglandin Analogue: Latanoprostene Bunod. Latanoprostene bunod is a novel nitric oxide-donating prostaglandin F2α receptor agonist that is metabolised to latanoprost acid and butanediol mononitrate, which releases the second active component, nitric oxide. Latanoprostene bunod ophthalmic solution 0.024% (Vyzulta, Bausch & Lomb Incorporated, Rochester, New York, USA) was approved by the FDA in 2017 for the reduction of IOP in patients with open-angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension and is not available in Europe. It has dual mechanism of IOP lowering: latanoprost acid increases uveoscleral aqueous humour outflow and nitric oxide increases trabecular meshwork and Schlemm’s canal outflow. Nitric oxide activates the nitric oxide-guanylate cyclase-1-
cGMP cascade, resulting in trabecular meshwork relaxation and consequently increased aqueous humour outflow [30]. Nitric oxide is a regulator of blood flow through relaxation of the vascular smooth muscle and has been shown to have either neuroprotective or neurodegenerative effect on retinal ganglion cells in animal models [30–32]. Very high concentrations of nitric oxide caused oxidative damage to the retinal ganglion cells in some animal models [33]. Because of very short half-life of nitric oxide (less than three seconds in extravascular tissues), it is highly unlikely that nitric oxide released from latanoprostene bunod would reach the retina at toxic levels [34]. In two clinical trials with a three months’ duration comparing latanoprostene bunod once daily versus timolol twice daily at three time points, latanoprostene bunod achieved significantly lower IOP at all time points [35, 36]. In the pooled analysis of both studies, the percentage of subjects with mean IOP ≥18 mmHg and the percentage with IOP reduction ≥25% were significantly higher in the latanoprostene bunod group versus the timolol group (mean IOP ≤18 mmHg: 20.2% vs. 11.2%, P = 0.001; IOP reduction ≥25%: 32.9% vs. 19.0%, P < 0.001). Both trials extended as open-label studies showed that patients treated with latanoprostene bunod maintained consistently lowered IOP at 6 and 12 months. Patients switched from timolol to latanoprostene bunod had an additional and sustained decrease in mean diurnal IOP [37]. The adverse effects of latanoprostene bunod are similar to those of prostaglandin analogues and were more frequent than in the timolol group [38]. The most common ocular adverse effects through 1 year of treatment were conjunctival hyperaemia (17.7%), growth of eyelashes (16.2%), eye irritation (11.5%), eye pain (10.0%), and increase in iris pigmentation (9%) [39]. There were no systemic adverse effects related to this drug.

3.3. Fixed Combination: Rho-Kinase Inhibitor/Latanoprost. Fixed combination netarsudil 0.02%/latanoprost 0.005% ophthalmic solution (Rocklatan™, Aerie Pharmaceuticals, Inc., USA) is the first fixed combination of a prostaglandin analogue and the rho-kinase inhibitor. It received FDA approval for the treatment of open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension in 2019 [40]. Netarsudil lowers IOP by increasing aqueous outflow through trabecular meshwork, decreasing aqueous production, and decreasing episcleral venous pressure, and its mechanism of action complements that of latanoprost which lowers IOP by increasing uveoscleral outflow. Fixed combination is prepared as a buffered aqueous solution with a pH-5 and dosed once daily. Two clinical trials of 3 months’ duration compared fixed combination of netarsudil/latanoprost versus mono-therapy with netarsudil or latanoprost [41, 42]. Both studies found that fixed combination showed statistically and clinically significant superior IOP-lowering efficacy compared to its individual components. Fixed combination netarsudil/latanoprost lowered IOP by an additional 1.8–3.3 mmHg compared with netarsudil and 1.3–2.5 mmHg compared with latanoprost. From both clinical trials, mean diurnal IOP reduction of ≥30% was achieved in 58.8–64.5% of patients treated with fixed combination netarsudil/latanoprost, 20.6–28.8% of netarsudil, and 29.4–37.2% of latanoprost groups. Ocular side effects include those related to individual component. Currently there is one ongoing clinical trial in Europe comparing fixed combination netarsudil/latanoprost to fixed combination bimatoprost/timolol (NCT03284853). The most frequent ocular side effects were mild conjunctival hyperaemia (44%), conjunctival haemorrhage (10%), and cornea verticillate (5–13%). Conjunctival hyperaemia was a reason to discontinue therapy in 7% of patients [41, 42]. Other ocular side effects include pain at the site of instillation, increased lacrimation, eye pruritus, and asymptomatic corneal changes. Corneal changes refer to changes in the appearance of endothelial cells with specular microscopy that were found in 5.7% of patients treated with fixed combination netarsudil/latanoprost, 4.7% with netarsudil, and in none with latanoprost [42]. No systemic adverse effects were reported.

The novel drugs netarsudil, a rho-kinase inhibitor, latanoprostene bunod, and fixed combination of netarsudil/latanoprost represent an extension of treatment options with different mechanisms of action. Interestingly, in clinical trials, the new compounds were compared to timolol and not to prostaglandin analogues (except for netarsudil that showed lower IOP lowering compared to latanoprost in a 28-day trial; NCT01731002), and the new fixed combination was compared to its separate individual components and not concomitant treatment. All three drugs are preserved with benzalkonium chloride (BAK). Netarsudil contains 0.015% BAK, and latanoprostene bunod and fixed combination netarsudil/latanoprost contain 0.02% BAK. Because of the well-known toxic-inflammatory effects of BAK, these drugs are not suitable for patients with signs or symptoms of dry eye. Another issue is the cost of medication, which if paid out of the pocket would be an important obstacle for long-term treatment.

4. New Targets for IOP-Lowering and for IOP-Independent Therapy

4.1. IOP-Lowering Treatment Strategies. Currently, lowering of IOP is the only clinically proven strategy for successful neuroprotection. Depending on the severity of glaucoma at diagnosis and years with the diagnosis, IOP lowering to the individual target delays progression of disease and preserves adequate visual function in most, but not all glaucoma patients. Reduction of IOP removes stress causing glaucomatosus optic nerve damage, but it does not stimulate cell survival or cell resilience to withstand pathological insults or prevent cells’ death. Ideally, the new targets for glaucoma treatment should include both IOP-lowering and non-IOP-related effects (neuroprotective). Some of the new targets have shown to achieve either one or both effects in animal models of glaucoma, but translation of preclinical results into clinical glaucoma practice is challenging and has so far not been successful.

4.1.1. Cannabinoids. Cannabinoids have been investigated for their IOP-lowering effect for the past few decades. The
exact mechanism is incompletely understood. They lower IOP by inhibiting calcium influx through presynaptic channels and in this way reduce the noradrenaline release in the ciliary body, leading to a decrease in the production of aqueous humour [43]. The main active component is Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) which acts with G-protein-coupled type-1 and type-2 cannabinoid (CB1 and CB2) receptors that are the most important endogenous cannabinoid-binding targets within the so-called “endocannabinoid system” [44]. CB1 and CB2 receptors are present in the central nervous system and within the eye in the retina. CB1 receptors in the trabecular meshwork, Schlemm’s canal, and ciliary body are involved in the regulation of IOP [45]. THC’s action on CB1 receptors in ciliary body leads to vasodilation of blood vessels in the anterior uvea, favouring aqueous humour efflux [46]. In addition, THC increased retinal ganglion cell survival in an animal model of glaucoma and inhibited glutamate release by increasing K⁺ and decreasing Ca²⁺ permeability [47, 48]. Oral administration of cannabinoids is not a suitable treatment modality, because of side effects, variable absorption, and poor predictability of timing and peak effect. Topical administration could potentially be ideal for glaucoma patients, but at present there is no solid evidence supporting use of cannabinoids for glaucoma [49]. Recently, a hydrophilic prodrug of THC, Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol-valine-hemisuccinate, has been synthesized with the aim to improve the ocular bioavailability of THC [50]. The prodrug formulated in a lipid-based nanoparticle carrier was evaluated in an animal model. It lowered IOP by 30% from baseline at peak and the IOP decrease lasted for six hours.

4.1.2. Melatonin. Melatonin is synthesized by several ocular structures. Melatonin and its analogues decrease IOP by activation of membrane receptors MT1 and MT2, located in ocular tissues, including ciliary processes. Melatonin receptors belong to the G-protein-coupled receptors [51]. In healthy, normotensive eyes, melatonin receptors form complexes with α₁-adrenoceptors. These functional units couple to Gs which leads to an increase in cAMP levels and protein kinase A activity. In the hypertensive eyes, these functional adrenergic/melatonin receptor units are not formed. The individually expressed α₁-adrenoceptors allow adrenergic agonists to increase cytosolic Ca²⁺ levels and the expression of individual melatonin receptors, which couple to Gi leading to decrease in cAMP levels and protein kinase A activity. Several analogues were studied for their IOP-lowering effect, which depended on the status of the eye (normotensive or hypertensive) [52, 53]. The most promising melatonin analogue is agomelatine which is used to treat depressive disorders. In glaucoma patients on topical IOP-lowering medication, agomelatine further lowered IOP by 30% [54]. Melatonin has also antioxidant function acting as effective free radical scavenger, and its analogues may have promising application in glaucoma therapy [55].

4.1.3. Connective Tissue Growth Factor. Connective Tissue Growth Factor (CTGF) is a downstream molecule in the Transforming Growth Factor (TGF) β-2 signalling cascade. CTGF is a matricellular protein which is expressed by the cells of trabecular meshwork, ciliary body, and retina [56]. Increased levels of CTGF were found in the aqueous humour of patients with the secondary glaucoma subtype, pseudoxfoliation glaucoma [57]. CTGF increases the expression of fibrotic extracellular matrix, fibronectin, and cells’ stiffness [58]. Consequently, the trabecular meshwork outflow facility decreases. In a transgenic mice model with overexpression of CTGF, IOP was higher in mice overexpressing CTGF compared to control mice [59]. Inhibiting CTGF-induced extracellular matrix production does not interfere with TGFβ-2 pleiotropic effects, therefore targeting CTGF may prove beneficial and safer in the treatment of glaucoma. Recently, the intracameral delivery of anti-CTGF small interfering RNA (siRNA) by using nanoparticles coated by hyaluronic succeeded to penetrate deeply in the outflow region and showed binding of hyaluronan to the CD44 receptors, which were overexpressed in glaucomatous eyes [60]. Hyaluronic-coated nanoparticles combined with RNA interference may provide a potential strategy for glaucoma therapy.

4.1.4. Adenosine. Adenosine and several adenosine derivatives increase or decrease IOP via modulation of G-protein-coupled receptors. There are four adenosine receptors subtypes known as A1, A2a, A2B, and A3 [61]. The activation of A1 receptors in the trabecular meshwork and ciliary body reduces the outflow resistance and aqueous production and lowers IOP. Activation of A2a receptors in Schlemm’s canal cells can decrease or increase IOP, whereas activation of A3 receptors increases IOP [62, 63]. Trabodenoson is an A1 receptor-selective adenosine derivative. It lowers IOP by increasing aqueous outflow through trabecular meshwork. Trabodenoson topically was well tolerated without clinically important ocular and systemic side effects. Its IOP-lowering effect was dose-dependent with a mean change of 4 mmHg from baseline at the highest dose tested [64, 65].

4.2. Non-IOP-Dependent Treatment Strategies: Neuroprotection. Neuroprotection strategies use signalling pathways to improve cell survival and/or prevent cell death after a pathological insult. Some of the cellular processes that result in retinal ganglion cell death and are targets of neuroprotective agents include production of external nerve-derived risk factors such as glutamate and nitric oxide (NO), deprivation of internal trophic (nutritional) factors in the nerve cells, loss of intracellular self-repair processes, or generation of intracellular destructive processes [66]. Treatment strategies can be grouped into targets that interfere with excitotoxicity, oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation-abnormal immune response, glial cell modulation, and stem cell therapy. However, any division is arbitrary as most targets are involved in several pathways and/or mechanism of action is incompletely understood. A brief overview of some promising treatment strategies is summarized.
4.2.1. Excitotoxicity. Excitotoxicity refers to cell death resulting from the toxic actions of excitatory amino acids. Glutamate is the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system. In glaucoma, pathological insult leads to elevated levels of extracellular glutamate. Sustained activation of ionotropic N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors by glutamate impairs cellular calcium homeostasis and activates nitric oxide synthesis, formation of free radicals, and apoptosis. In physiological conditions, Müller cells remove the extracellular glutamate and are neuroprotective. When the homeostasis is impaired, Müller cells contribute to excitotoxicity and neuronal degeneration [67]. Therapy targeting excitotoxicity has been studied for application in glaucoma.

Memantine is a noncompetitive NMDA receptor antagonist and is approved for treatment of Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease. In animal glaucoma models, memantine protected against retinal ganglion cell loss [68, 69]. Unfortunately, in two large clinical trials, daily treatment with memantine for 4 years did not prevent or delay progression in patients with open-angle glaucoma and was no different from placebo [70]. This indicates the need for better trial design, such as selecting patients with rapid progression and more sensitive endpoint for detecting progression [70, 71].

Brimonidine, an α-2 adrenergic agonist, is used to lower IOP. It has been shown to reduce optic nerve damage in animal glaucoma model unrelated to IOP. Brimonidine modulates glutamate-induced toxicity through several pathways. In a clinical trial, patients with low-tension glaucoma receiving brimonidine monotherapy had lower rate of progression compared with those treated with timolol over 30 months despite similar IOP (9% vs. 30%) [23]. There was a considerable dropout rate in the brimonidine group and too short follow-up, both of which limit the conclusion [72].

4.2.2. Oxidative Stress and Mitochondrial Dysfunction. Mitochondria are the main source of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) formed as by-product of oxidative phosphorylation. ROS are highly reactive molecules and tightly regulated under physiological conditions. In dysfunctional mitochondria, the impaired homeostasis leads to increased production of ROS with chronic oxidative damage which contributes to cellular dysfunction and neurotoxicity. Oxidative stress refers to an imbalance between generation of ROS and the cells’ ability to detoxify the reactive intermediates or repair the resulting damage. Oxidative stress has been shown to play a role in retinal ganglion cell death in glaucoma. Decreased antioxidant defence status and increased oxidative stress were found in serum of patients with POAG and pseudoexfoliation glaucoma compared to controls [73]. Tanito et al. have reported that lower systemic antioxidant capacity was associated with more severe visual field loss [74]. Thus, interventions that target elevated oxidative stress and potential mitochondrial dysfunction may prove beneficial neuroprotective treatment [75]. Several compounds with antioxidant function have been studied, especially vitamin E (α-tocopherol), vitamin C, and Ginkgo biloba as oral supplementation. A systematic review did not find evidence to support the use of nutritional substances in glaucoma; the randomized clinical trials were small and biased [76]. Ginkgo biloba extract, mainly composed of flavonoids, is widely used nutritional supplement for treatment of cognitive impairment. Ginkgo biloba increases blood flow, reduces free radical damage, and interferes with glutamate signalling [77–79]. In patients with normal-tension glaucoma, Gingko biloba slowed progression of visual field damage [80].

Mitochondrial dysfunction has its role in the glaucoma pathogenesis. Coenzyme Q10 (CoQ10) is a mitochondrial targeted antioxidant that plays an essential role in the normal function of the electron transport chain. CoQ10 has been reported to have neuroprotective activity in neurodegenerative diseases and cerebral ischemia [81]. In addition to its antioxidant function, CoQ10 is also reported to protect against glutamate excitotoxicity [82]. In an animal model of acute IOP rise, CoQ10 was able to reduce significantly the pathological increase of glutamate observed during reperfusion and this may contribute to the neuroprotection [83]. CoQ10 associated with vitamin E topical administration in open-angle glaucoma has shown a beneficial effect on the inner retinal function with consequent enhancement of the visual cortical responses [84]. Topical CoQ10 prevented retinal ganglion cell apoptosis and loss as assessed in vivo by Detecting Apoptotic Retinal Cells (DARC) in an animal glaucoma model [84]. Two ongoing randomized clinical trials in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma treated with IOP-lowering medications are comparing addition of CoQ10 versus placebo. One trial (Phase IV, NCT04038034) is evaluating oral supplementation of CoQ10 on the functional (electrophysiological test, visual field, and contrast sensitivity) and structural (OCT) changes. The second trial (NCT03611530) is looking at the time to progression in a larger number of open-angle glaucoma patients treated with eye drops containing both CoQ10 and vitamin E versus placebo [85].

Citicoline or cytidine 5’-diphosphocholine functions as an intermediate in the membrane phospholipids. Citicoline has shown neuroprotective effects in neurodegenerative diseases, after stroke, and in cognitive impairment, brain trauma, amblyopia, and glaucoma [86]. Its mechanism of action is not clarified. Neuroprotection of retinal ganglion cells may include mimicking neurotrophic factors, reducing oxidative stress, improving axonal transport, and inhibiting excitotoxicity in retinal tissues [87–90]. Oral and intra-muscular citicoline treatment used as an adjunct to IOP-lowering therapy in glaucoma patients improved pattern electroretinogram (PERG) and visually evoked potentials (VEP) and better preserved visual field compared to the placebo treated group [91–94]. Similar effects, i.e., enhanced PERG and VEP responses, in patients with glaucoma were achieved with topical citicoline therapy [95]. Recently, a clinical trial looking at the difference in glaucoma progression between the citicoline eye drop group versus the placebo group has been completed, but the results have not been published. The ongoing trial (NCT04046809) has the
aim to test whether the intake of citicoline oral solution (Neurotidine®, Omikron Italia S.r.l.) can improve quality of life in patients with glaucoma.

4.2.3. Inflammation-Abnormal Immune Response (Autoimmunity). Degeneration of retinal ganglion cells and axons following pathological insult is associated with activation of microglial cells which release proinflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) [96]. Higher levels of TNF-α were detected in aqueous humour of patients with glaucoma compared to controls [97]. Its binding to the TNF-receptor-1 (TNF-R1) mediates retinal ganglion cell death in glaucoma [98]. Production and release of TNF-α occur very early following exposure to stress. In an animal model, intravitreal injection of TNF-α was found to induce axonal degeneration from two weeks to two months after injection, whereas significant retinal ganglion cell loss was noted at two months after injection. This effect of TNF-α is mediated through nuclear factor (NF)-kappa B p65 [99]. TNF-α can also act as a downstream mediator of proapoptotic factors such as pro-neurone growth factor (pro NGF) [100]. The finding that retinal ganglion cell apoptosis was attenuated by a neutralizing antibody against TNF-α supports TNF-α as an attractive therapeutic target [101]. The usefulness of anti TNF-α therapy in glaucoma will depend upon its ability to block selectively excessive TNF-α and TNF-R1 expression without significantly affecting its physiological functions such as local immunity.

Several studies have shown difference in the concentrations of autoantibodies in serum and aqueous humour of patients with glaucoma compared to controls. Autoantibodies changes detected include elevated levels of antibodies against α-fodrin, glutathione-S-transferase, spectrin, and Heat Shock Protein (HSP) 70 and decreased levels of antibodies against αβ crystalline, vimentin, Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP), and Y-Synuclein [102–105]. In vitro studies have shown that antibodies against Y-Synuclein and GFAP possess direct and indirect (through Müller cells) protective effect on the retinal ganglion cells [106]. Results from clinical studies revealed altered immunoreactivities against retina and optic nerve in sera and aqueous humour of glaucoma patients which indicates a role of autoimmunity in glaucomatous neurodegeneration and retinal ganglion cells death. Targeting immune changes in the retina of glaucoma patients, such as the antibody against Y-Synuclein, may be a promising therapeutic strategy [107].

4.2.4. Glial Cell Modulation. Glial cells regulate tightly retinal ganglion cells and their response to injury is important for maintaining the health of retina or its degeneration. The glial cells include microglial cells, which are immunocompetent cells involved in the process of apoptosis and removal of dead cells, and macroglial cells. In the nonmyelinated region in the retina, the major macroglia cells are astrocytes and Müller cells which form blood-retina barrier, connect the neurons to the blood-vessels, and maintain homeostasis by removing ions and neurotransmitters [108]. Müller cells were shown to increase uptake of excitatory glutamate in limited energy supply condition thus protecting retinal ganglion cells [109]. Macrogial cells produce cytokines such as TGF-α, ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) inhibited retinal ganglion cell death. The neuroprotective effect of PDGF has been shown to be mediated by astrocytes and amacrine cells which are in the presence of PDGF stimulated to secrete factors protecting ganglion cells [115, 116]. Therefore, modulation of macroglial cell activity has a potential in neuroprotection.

4.2.5. Stem Cell Therapy. Regarding the origin, stem cells can be divided into embryonic, adult, and induced pluripotent stem cells. The latter are artificially produced from any somatic cell by reprogramming its properties into a pluripotent stem cell. Among the adult stem cells, mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC) have been shown to be neuroprotective and promote regeneration in an animal glaucoma model and after optic nerve injury [117–119]. Therapeutic effects of MSC are mediated by their immunomodulatory and secretory properties, production of numerous cytokines, and growth factors. MSC can also differentiate into different cell types [120]. It has been shown in ex vivo human retinal explants that PDGF plays an important role in MSC-mediated retinal ganglion cell protection and may represent a new target in retinal ganglion cell neuroprotection [121, 122]. The spectrum and concentration of immunoregulatory molecules produced by the MSC depend on the environment [123]. The side effects of MSC following intravitreal administration have been reported and may be influenced by the difference of diseased environment, indications, and inconsistencies in isolation and preparation of MSC [124–126]. Two ongoing trials (NCT01920867; NCT03011541) aim to evaluate autologous bone marrow-derived MSC for treatment of multiple retinal diseases including glaucoma. A completed phase 1 study evaluating intravitreal application of autologous bone marrow-derived MSC in advanced glaucoma (NCT02330978) enrolled 2 patients. One patient developed retinal detachment with
proliferative vitreoretinopathy and lost light perception. Study using autologous adipose tissue-derived stem cells delivered sub-Tenon’s in glaucoma patients is going on in Russia (NCT02144103). These trials are mainly focused on safety, followed by efficacy, and are designed to determine the best method of delivery and the required level of immunosuppression [127]. Stem cell therapy has a potential for glaucoma treatment and needs further evaluation in well-designed clinical studies.

4.2.6. Gene Therapy. Gene therapy aims to correct a specific, well defined genetic defect or deliver protective factors using different pathways to stimulate survival and regeneration of retinal ganglion cells. The most promising vector systems for successful gene delivery in the eye are recombinant adenovirus constructs (AAVs), which lead to long and sustained levels of gene expression within a select target cell [128]. Genetic approach is still in preclinical phase for glaucoma. Correcting a specific genetic defect is feasible in primary congenital or primary juvenile open-angle glaucoma, both of which have a clear genetic basis. Between 10 and 30% of patients with primary juvenile open-angle glaucoma have mutations in the gene encoding myocilin that affects trabecular meshwork function with an increase in IOP [129, 130]. Recently, Jain et al. have disrupted the effects of the mutant myocilin gene using AAV-CRISP/Cas9 in a mouse model of myocilin-associated glaucoma and were able to lower IOP and prevent further glaucomatous damage [131]. The etiopathogenesis of adult-onset glaucoma is not clear and includes various genetic, environmental, and individual risk factors. For these reasons, gene therapy strategies are based on enhancing retinal ganglion cell survival or inhibiting cell death pathways [128]. Supplementation of brain-derived neurotrophic factors showed transient neuroprotective effect due to BDNF receptor (TrkB) downregulation [132]. A novel AAV gene therapy (AAV2-TrKB-2A-mBDNF) increased production of BDNF and the expression of its receptor. The neuroprotective efficacy was confirmed in an experimental animal model of glaucoma and optic nerve injury and was present over 6 months without vector-related adverse effects [133]. Although there are major advances in gene therapy such as in Leber’s hereditary optic neuropathy, in adult-onset glaucoma there are many unresolved issues such as which molecular pathways to be targeted, long-term modification of gene expression, and immunogenic and mutagenic effects [129]. Gene therapy is a promising treatment strategy for neuroprotection, but further research and studies are needed.

5. Future Trends in Glaucoma Therapy

5.1. Sustained Drug Delivery Systems: Sustained Release Drug Formulations. Poor adherence is an important issue in the long-term glaucoma therapy. To avoid active instillation of eye drops, several sustained drug delivery systems have been developed.

Bimatoprost SR (Allergan, Dublin, Ireland) is a biodegradable implant which is injected in the anterior chamber and enables a slow, extended release of medication. In phase I/II, bimatoprost SR was safe and showed comparable efficacy to topical bimatoprost through 6 months [134]. The side effects of bimatoprost SR included conjunctival hyperaemia, foreign body sensation, punctate keratitis, increased lacrimation, conjunctival haemorrhage, eye pain, transient iritis, and progression of cataracts [134]. Bimatoprost SR is currently in six phase III studies. Two studies (NCT 02636946; NCT02507687) are comparing efficacy and safety of bimatoprost SR to selective laser trabecuoplasty, 3 studies aim to assess long-term efficacy and safety of bimatoprost SR (NCT03850782; NCT 03891446; NCT02250651), and one completed study (NCT02247804) compared efficacy and safety of bimatoprost SR to topical timolol bid. The results have not been published yet.

The topical bimatoprost ocular insert (Allergan, Dublin, Ireland) is an ocular ring which contains 13 mg of bimatoprost incorporated within a silicone matrix with an inner polypropylene structure. The ring is inserted between the upper and lower fornix. It releases drug into the tear film in a decreasing concentration over six months. Bimatoprost ring lowered IOP by 3.2–6.4 mmHg from baseline IOP and was noninferior to topical timolol [135]. The ring was safe and well tolerated and stayed in place in 95% of subjects [136]. There is no data about potential availability of this delivery system on the market.

Currently there are 3 ongoing trials evaluating a titanium intraocular implant filled with travoprost (Glaukos, Inc.) that releases travoprost with two different elution rates (NCT02754596; NCT03868124; NCT03519386) and comparing it to topical timolol treatment.

Travoprost extended release as a biodegradable intracameral implant (Envisia Therapeutics) has been evaluated in a phase I study for up to 24 months. Ocular side effects included ocular hyperaemia, photophobia, anterior chamber inflammation (iritis), cataract, and corneal endothelial cell loss (NCT02371746).

Intracameral insert of sustained release travoprost OTX-TP (Ocular Therapeutix, Inc.) is a hydrogel punctum plug eluting drug into the tear film. Completed phase III study (NCT02914509) has not published results yet. Currently an ongoing open-label phase III study is evaluating long-term safety of repeat dose punctum plug delivery over 12 months.

Recently, the micelles-laden contact lenses have been developed and were able to achieve sustained release of timolol and latanoprost simultaneously [137].

The development in sustained drug release is promising, but there are still unsolved issues, such as long-term safety with intraocular implants compared to eye drops, variation in the length of time of IOP-lowering effect, and costs. Also, approximately half of patients require more than one drug for IOP control and development of delivery systems with simultaneous release of more than one drug with different properties to avoid instillation of eye drops is still a challenge.

At present, there is no solid evidence that topical or systemic neuroprotective agents and nutritional supplements may be beneficial for individuals with open-angle glaucoma and IOP-lowering eye drops remain the only proven and available treatment for glaucoma [72, 76].
Adherence represents a treatment burden and it has been reported that 60% of patients had one or more problems with taking their medication [138]. One-third up to 75% of glaucoma patients do not use their eye drops as prescribed [139, 140]. Lower adherence has been reported with younger age, male gender, forgetfulness, lower social status and education, medication cost, side effects, greater number of daily instillations, and situational obstacles (travel and change of daily routine) [139, 141–143]. In the longitudinal assessment of patients in the Collaborative Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study lower adherence was associated with faster visual field loss [144]. A systematic review assessing different interventions to improve patients’ adherence to topical glaucoma therapy found that there was insufficient evidence to recommend any interventions to improve adherence, but simplified drug regimens could be of benefit [145].

In the near future, the sustained drug-release implants and nanotechnology based-drugs for glaucoma using nano delivery systems have a potential to overcome the limitations of topical IOP-lowering drops by improving bioavailability, providing sustained release, targeted delivery, dose accuracy, and reducing side effects [146, 147].

5.2. Personalised Medicine and Biomarkers of Disease. Personalised medicine refers to tailoring glaucoma prevention and treatment individually based on genetic and other characteristics of the individual patient. Most of the open-angle glaucoma forms are complex and have polygenic basis resulting from a combined effect of several common gene variants, each of which has a small effect size on the disease [148]. Genome-wide association analyses have identified several loci associated with glaucoma risk factors such as IOP, vertical cup-disc ratio, and central corneal thickness [149–151]. The genetic findings need to be integrated with risk factors to identify patients at high risk of progression to visual impairment [152]. Using the large data set machine learning can cluster patients based on their genomic similarity and detect relevant pathways that are disrupted in glaucoma [153]. Investigation of these pathways can detect new biomarkers for glaucoma diagnosis, prognosis, and new therapeutic targets, which after validation will help ophthalmologists to identify patients with high risk of progression and treat them more aggressively and avoid unnecessary treatment to many subjects [154].

Many different measurable indicators can serve as potential biomarkers for glaucoma such as IOP or OCT measurements of retinal nerve fibre layer thickness [155]. With the advances in technology including imaging, genomic, metabolomic, and proteomic techniques, potential new biomarkers are generated and need to be validated in large patients’ populations with different ethnicity and stage of glaucoma [156, 157]. These biomarkers may serve as diagnostic, predictive, prognostic biomarkers or indicate patients’ response to drug or surgery [158, 159]. Recently, aqueous veins were found to be a potential structural biomarker predicting the outcome of Schlemm’s canal-based glaucoma surgery [160].

6. Conclusions

The present review summarizes current treatment strategies in glaucoma therapy and addresses potential future targets and ways to protect and improve survival and regeneration of retinal ganglion cells. Targeting several pathways has been shown to improve survival of retinal ganglion cells in animal glaucoma models. However, translation to the clinic is hampered due to the limitations of glaucoma models and the fact that glaucoma pathogenesis is multifactorial and incompletely understood. Further research is required to identify molecules and pathways to be able to improve clinical translation of neuroprotection in glaucoma. Currently lowering of IOP remains the only treatment strategy and adherence to treatment is essential. Sustained drug delivery systems aim to overcome adherence problem, but there are still unresolved issues with safety, duration of IOP-lowering effect, treatment with several compounds simultaneously, quality of life, and costs.

For future personalized medicine that considers individual variability in genes, environmental and lifestyle factors for each person hold a promise to predict optimal treatment and prevention strategies for the individual glaucoma patient.
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