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Quantification of Warfarin in Dried Rat Plasma Spots by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry
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This paper presents the development and validation of a novel method for quantification of the oral anticoagulant drug warfarin in dried plasma spots (DPS) by high-performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). Blood plasma was chosen as a biological fluid to preclude the influence of the hematocrit on the results of the analysis. A 30 𝜇L sample of rat plasma was placed onto Whatman 903 Protein Saver Card and was allowed to dry. A single DPS is sufficient for preparing eight 3.2 mm discs, each containing approximately 1.5–1.6 𝜇L of plasma. Warfarin extraction from one 3.2 mm disc was carried out by adding 200 𝜇L of the acetonitrile:water mixture (1:1, v/v) containing 10 mM NH₄COOH (pH 4.0), with incubation on a shaker at 1000 rpm for 1 h at 25°C. After chromatographic separation, warfarin and coumachlor (an internal standard) were measured using negative-ion multiple-reaction monitoring with ion transitions $m/z$ 307 $\rightarrow$ 161 for warfarin and $m/z$ 341 $\rightarrow$ 161 for the internal standard. The working range of this method is 10–10,000 ng/mL. Within this range, intra- and interday variability of precision and accuracy was <13% and recovery was 82–99%. The results indicate that the new method requires only small plasma samples and may be useful for pharmacokinetic research on warfarin.

1. Introduction

Warfarin is an oral anticoagulant widely used for the treatment of arterial and venous thromboembolism as well as for primary and secondary prevention of these disorders [1]. The most common indications for warfarin therapy are arterial fibrillation, venous and arterial thrombosis, systemic embolism, and mechanical heart valves. Warfarin therapy is lengthy, sometimes life-long. Careful monitoring of coagulation by measuring the international normalized ratio of prothrombin time (INR) is necessary to personalize the treatment. The INR should be in the range of 2 to 3. At INR < 2, warfarin therapy is ineffective, but INR > 4 may cause bleeding. Accordingly, the research interest in warfarin is still substantial: interactions with other drugs are actively studied [2, 3], and new formulations and modifications of the drug are being developed [4, 5]. Initially, the analytical method of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with ultraviolet (UV) spectrum detection was used for the quantification of warfarin [6–8]. In these works, warfarin was isolated from plasma or serum by solid-phase extraction on a semipermeable surface guard column [6] or by liquid/liquid extraction with ice-cold acetonitrile [7] or with diethyl ether [8]. Although a 100 𝜇L [6, 7] or 1 mL aliquot of plasma [8] was used, such an analytical method was questionable due to low specificity and sensitivity of UV detection. Therefore, several methods of HPLC with mass spectrometry (MS) and HPLC with tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) have been developed for warfarin quantification in single-reaction monitoring mode [5, 9, 10] or multiple-reaction monitoring mode [4, 11–13]. Warfarin has been isolated by solid-phase extraction [9, 12], liquid/liquid extraction [4, 5, 10, 13], or simple protein precipitation with ZnSO₄ [11]. Nevertheless, the volume of plasma required for one analysis has been
rather high: 50 μL [10], 200 μL [4, 12, 13], 300 μL [9], or even 1 mL [4]. At the same time, in such studies, blood and plasma samples are usually collected from small animals like mice and rats. This situation imposes restrictions on the volume of the analyzed sample of whole blood, plasma, or serum. A possible solution is to use dried blood spots (DBS) or dried plasma spots (DPS) on filter paper, as first suggested by Dr. Robert Guthrie in the 1960s for the detection of phenylalanine for diagnosis of phenylketonuria in neonates [14]. A single DPS corresponding to 30 μL of plasma is sufficient for preparing eight 3.2 mm discs, each containing approximately 1.5—1.6 μL of plasma. The samples collected in this manner are convenient for transport and storage, while stability of the samples is improved. Application of MS allows DPS and DPS to be increasingly used in clinical trials for the analysis of various small-molecule drugs [15—23]. The aim of the present study was to develop and validate a rapid and robust method of HPLC-MS/MS for simultaneous quantification of warfarin in DPS with a simple extraction procedure.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents. Warfarin (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), coumachlor (chlorowarfarin, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>, used as an internal standard), formic acid, and ammonia were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Formic acid and ammonia were used to prepare formate buffer (pH 4.0, 0.1 M).

Acetonitrile, ethanol (80%), and methanol of MS grade were purchased from Panreac AppliChem (Barcelona, Spain). Water was purified by means of a Milli-Q system from Millipore Corp. (Bedford, USA). Nitrogen gas (ultrapure, >99.9%) was produced by an Agilent 5183-2003 nitrogen generator (Agilent Technologies, USA).

2.2. Equipment and HPLC-MS/MS Conditions. Mass spectrometry analysis was carried out in the Core Facility of Mass Spectrometric Analysis (ICBFM SB RAS). An Agilent 1200 Series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, USA) consisted of a micro degasser (G1319B), bin pump (G1312A), autosampler (G1367B), thermostatted column compartment (G1316A), and diode array detectors (G1315B). The system was controlled by a software package for data processing (MassHunter, v.1.3; Agilent Technologies, USA).

Chromatographic separation of the samples was conducted on an EcoNova ProntoSil-120-3-C18 (2 x 75 mm, 3 μm) analytical column (EcoNova, Russia), with a Zorbax Eclipse XB-C18 guard column (4.6 x 12.5 mm, 5 μm). The flow rate was 200 μL/min for separation and up to 400 μL/min for washing, and the gradient was composed of solvent A (0.01% formic acid in water), and solvent B (99% acetonitrile in aqueous 0.01% formic acid). The chromatography program consisted of 100% solvent A for 0—0.5 min, followed by 0% A and 100% B from 0.5 to 3.0 min, followed by washing (0% A and 100% B) from 3.0 to 4.0 min (flow rate 200 to 400 μL/min), and finally 100% A and 0% B from 4.0 to 5.0 min (flow rate 400 to 300 μL/min). The total run time was 5 min. The autosampler and the column temperature were held at 25°C.

MS/MS detection was performed on an Agilent 6410 QQQ mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, USA) equipped with electrospray ionization (ESI) source. Analytes were detected in negative ionization mode using multiple-reaction monitoring. The capillary voltage was set to 4000 V, and the gas temperature was set to 300°C. The nebulizer gas pressure and flow were 30 psi and 8 L/min, respectively.

Dwell time was set to 80 ms, and full width at the maximum was 0.7 m/z for quadrupoles one (Q1) and three (Q3). The ion transitions were m/z 307 → 161 for warfarin (collision energy 10 V, fragmentor voltage 120 V) and m/z 341 → 161 for the internal standard coumachlor (collision energy 10 V, fragmentor voltage 120 V). Signal output was captured and processed with the MassHunter software v.1.3.

2.3. Preparation of Calibration Standards and Quality Control Samples. Warfarin and the internal standard were dissolved in acetonitrile to prepare a 10 mg/mL stock solution. The warfarin stock solution was diluted with acetonitrile to prepare intermediate stock solutions that were added to blank rat plasma to create calibration standards with warfarin concentrations of 10, 25, 100, 250, 500, 1000, 2500, 5000, and 10,000 ng/mL. Three quality control samples (low-concentration quality control sample [LQC], medium-concentration quality control sample [MQC], and high-concentration quality control sample [HQC]) were prepared in the same way by spiking blank rat plasma with a corresponding stock solution to attain final warfarin concentrations of 50, 800, and 4000 ng/mL. All stock solutions, standards, and quality control samples were freshly made on the day of the analysis and were stored at 4°C before use. The calibration standards and quality control samples (each consisting of 30 μL of rat plasma) were placed on a Whatman 903 Protein Saver Card (GE Healthcare, USA) to fill the circles on the card and were air dried completely for 12 h. After that, 3.2 mm circles of DPS were cut out by means of a DBS Puncher, and each circle was placed in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube.

2.4. Sample Preparation. An extraction solution containing 2.5 ng/mL internal standard was prepared in the acetonitrile: water mixture (1:1, v/v) containing 10 mM NH₄COOH (pH 4.0). Sample extraction was carried out by adding 200 μL of the extraction solution, with incubation on a shaker (TS-100C; BioSan, Latvia) at 1000 rpm for 1 h at 25°C. After centrifugation for 10 s at 1000 x g, 170 μL of the solution was transferred to a 300 μL vial, and a 50 μL aliquot was injected onto the LC-MS system.

2.5. Assay Validation

2.5.1. Calibration and Linearity. Calibration curves were constructed using nine concentrations of warfarin. Each calibration standard was analyzed in duplicate on 3 days, except for the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), which was analyzed in triplicate. For each curve, the absolute peak area ratios of warfarin to the internal standard were calculated and plotted against the nominal analyte concentration. The calibration curves (warfarin peak area divided by internal-standard peak area on the y-axis and warfarin concentration on the x-axis)
were built on the basis of the least square linear regression fit \( y = ax + b \) with the weighting factor of \( 1/y \).

2.5.2. **Accuracy and Precision.** These parameters were assessed by analysis of six replicate quality control samples on 2 days, followed by analysis of 12 replicate quality control samples on the third day, for a total of 24 samples at each quality control level (LQC, MQC, and HQC). Intraday accuracy and precision were determined by means of the 12 replicates on day 3, and interday accuracy and precision were calculated using all 24 quality control samples. The ratio (\%) of the calculated mean concentration to the nominal concentration was defined as accuracy (\% bias). Relative standard deviation (\% RSD) was calculated from the quality control values and was used to estimate the precision.

2.5.3. **Extraction Recovery and Matrix Effects (Ion Suppression).** These parameters were evaluated for LQC, MQC, and HQC in quadruplicate. For extraction recovery analysis, peak areas corresponding to extracts of the fully cut out 5 \( \mu L \) plasma spots of quality control samples were compared with the peak areas resulting from direct injection of the standards (without extraction) at the same nominal concentrations after reconstitution in the working solution of the internal standard.

For evaluation of MS ion suppression, extracts of warfarin-free fully cut out 5 \( \mu L \) plasma spots were spiked with LQC, MQC, and HQC samples of warfarin. The peak areas corresponding to quality control samples added to plasma extracts were compared to standards without extraction at the same nominal concentrations after reconstitution in the working solution of the internal standard. All experiments were conducted at the three quality control levels with four replicates [24].

2.5.4. **Stability.** Stability was evaluated at LQC and HQC levels in triplicate. Bench top stability was tested by analyzing DPS that were left out on the bench top in the postal envelope at room temperature for 7, 14, or 21 days before analysis. Refrigeration stability was determined for DPS that were placed into a refrigerator (4 °C) in a postal envelope, with incubation for 7, 14, or 21 days before analysis. Freeze-thaw stability was assessed for samples that were subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles at 24-hour intervals from −80 °C to room temperature prior to analysis. The results on all the tested samples were compared with the recovery from samples that were freshly prepared (100% control).

### 3. Results and Discussion

**3.1. Intra- and interday accuracy (\% bias) and precision (\% RSD) for LLOQ and quality control samples of warfarin.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Nominal conc. (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Intraday(^a)</th>
<th>Interday(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLOQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQC</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQC</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>−1.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQC</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Three replicates for LLOQ; 12 replicates for LQC, MQC, and HQC each.
\(^b\)Nine replicates for LLOQ; 24 replicates for LQC, MQC, and HQC each.

For evaluation of stability, samples were freshly prepared (100% control).

**3.3.1. Calibration and Linearity.** Calibration curves were obtained across the concentration range 10−10,000 ng/mL for warfarin, with a correlation coefficient \( r^2 \) greater than 0.994 for all curves. Samples at the LLOQ revealed acceptable accuracy and precision (RSD and bias of LLOQ were within ±18% and ±10%, resp., Table 1), and the signal-to-noise ratio was above 10:1 (Figure 1).

**3.3.2. Accuracy and Precision.** The intraday and interday accuracy (\% bias) and precision (\% RSD) were determined at warfarin quality control concentrations of 50, 800, and 4000 ng/mL. Assay bias ranged from −1.6% to 12.8%, while RSD was from 7.2% to 11.8% (Table 1). In all cases, bias and RSD values were within ±13% for all quality control samples.

**3.3.3. Recovery, Process Efficiency, and the Matrix Effect.** The extraction recovery and process efficiency toward warfarin
Figure 1: Representative multiple-reaction monitoring chromatograms of blank plasma (a) and blank plasma spiked with 10.0 ng/mL warfarin (b) or 2.5 ng/mL internal standard (c).

Table 2: Extraction recovery, process efficiency, and the matrix effect.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal conc. (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Extraction recovery (%), mean</th>
<th>Process efficiency (%), mean</th>
<th>Matrix effect (%), mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>105.4</td>
<td>108.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>101.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>102.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)\(n = 4\) for each QC level.

ranged from 82.2% to 99.5% and from 83.9% to 105.4%, respectively. Overall, the recovery and process efficiency were consistent and reproducible. The deviation of measured concentrations by 1.9–8.8% from neat samples indicates that the matrix effect was negligible (Table 2).

3.3.4. Stability. Bench top, refrigeration, and freeze-thaw stability were assessed for warfarin at the LQC and HQC levels. The samples were found to be stable for at least 2 weeks at room temperature (bench top) and in a refrigerator (at 4°C). This time should be sufficient for sample transportation (if necessary, by regular mail). Additionally, three freeze-thaw cycles had no significant effect on the stability of warfarin in DPS. The mean measured concentrations of warfarin during the 2 weeks ranged from 90% to 121% of freshly analyzed samples, indicating adequate stability under all the conditions tested. After the third week, the samples showed signs of degradation (down to 85% of the original level, Table 3).

3.4. Possible Practical Application. The proposed method was developed for clinical and preclinical studies of pharmacological effects of warfarin. The linearity range of the method is
10–10,000 ng/mL, which is suitable for studies on biological samples from humans and animals, where the working range of warfarin is 10–800 [27] and 100–8000 ng/mL [4, 5, 28], respectively. Sometimes in studies on samples from humans, the LLOQ of less than 10 ng/mL is required; this parameter may be achieved by minor modifications of the proposed method, for example, by cutting bigger discs of DPS.

### 4. Conclusions

The method presented here offers a validated quantitative analysis of warfarin in DPS samples. The assay was validated in terms of sensitivity, specificity, linearity, accuracy, and precision as well as stability at room and refrigeration temperatures. This method seems to be suitable for clinical or preclinical pharmacokinetic studies of warfarin when small plasma samples are required.
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### Table 3: Stability for quality controls (LQC and HQC) of warfarin and its alcohol metabolites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Target (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Bench top</th>
<th>Refrigerator</th>
<th>Three freeze-thaw cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of target</td>
<td>% RSD</td>
<td>% of target</td>
<td>% RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>121.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>121.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>105.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means (n = 3) at LQC and HQC levels.


