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1. Background

In malaria endemic areas, pregnant women are the highest risk group for malaria infection and to develop a severe form of the disease that results in mortality. Thus, increasing the use of antimalaria interventions that target pregnant women which can address the social, cultural, and economic factors that heighten susceptibility has the potential to control the disease in most of the susceptible and underserved groups [1].

Infection of malaria during pregnancy is common, which can result in fetus low birthweight, stillbirth, and decrement in intrauterine fetal growth. Besides, malaria infection has the greatest impact on the survival of mothers. The factor behind the high burden of malaria during pregnancy could be the increased body surface and specific odor secretions during pregnancy which may expose them to increased mosquito bites [2, 3].
Malaria is one of the killer diseases worldwide. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) report in 2016, around 216 million new cases of malaria occurred globally. Besides, most of the malaria cases were in the African region (90%) followed by the Southeast Asia region (7%) and Eastern Mediterranean region (2%). Similarly, there was an estimated 445000 malaria deaths worldwide. Most of these deaths occurred in the Africa region (91%) followed by the Southeast Asia region (6%) and Eastern Mediterranean region (2%) [4].

According to the Ethiopian Federal Minister of Health (FMH) report, approximately 68% of the Ethiopian people live in malaria risk areas, around 75% of the landmass of Ethiopia is malaria endemic, and it is one of the most malaria prone countries in Africa. On average, 60%-70% of malaria deaths in the Mediterranean region (2%). Similarly, there was an estimated (90%) followed by the Southeast Asia region (7%) and Eastern Mediterranean region (2%) [4].

Besides, most of the malaria cases were in the African region. Around 216 million new cases of malaria occurred globally. The rate of malaria infection is higher in pregnant women because of their decreased immunity. Mainly pregnant women living in areas of low or unstable malaria transmission have little or no immunity to malaria and are at higher risk of developing the severe disease as a result of malaria infection than nonpregnant adults living in the same area. Pregnant women with malaria have an increased risk of abortion, stillbirth, premature delivery, and low-birthweight infants [8, 9]. Moreover, in unstable malaria transmission areas, pregnant mothers death may be due to complications of severe malaria (hypoglycaemia, cerebral malaria, and pulmonary edema) or indirectly from malaria-related severe anemia [9].

Despite this fact, studies conducted to assess the prevalence rate of malaria among pregnant women in Ethiopia have a great disparity and inconsistent findings. Moreover, there is no previous systematic review and meta-analysis that estimated the prevalence rate of malaria among pregnant women in Ethiopia. Therefore, the current systematic review and meta-analysis was designed to assess the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women in Ethiopia. This helps in the implementation of the most substantial malaria prevention and control measures.

Currently, the most substantive malaria prevention and control measures include insecticide-treated mosquito nets (ITNs), indoor residual spraying (IRS), intermittent preventive treatment for pregnant women (IPTp), seasonal malaria chemoprevention (SMC), and diagnosis by malaria microscopy or rapid diagnostic test (RDT), together with effective treatment for confirmed malaria cases with artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) [10]. Thus, this review is aimed at determining the pooled prevalence of malaria among pregnant women in Ethiopia.

2. Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. This systematic review and meta-analysis was reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement guideline. Pertinent published articles were searched in the following electronic bibliographic databases: PubMed, Google Scholar, HINARI, and Science Direct literature, to identify studies conducted on the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women and published up to August 2018. The search terms were used in agreement with the Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) using the arrangement of key words which were used to select relevant studies. The search terms were used separately and in combination using Boolean operators like “OR” or “AND”. An example of search strategy used to retrieve relevant articles was as follows: (((((prevalence) OR prevalence [MeSH Terms]) AND malaria) OR malaria [MeSH Terms]) AND pregnant women) OR pregnant women [MeSH Terms] AND Ethiopia) OR Ethiopia [MeSH Terms]). Duplicate data were excluded. The software EndNote version X7 (Thomson Reuters, New York, NY) was used to manage references and remove duplicated references.

2.2. Eligibility Criteria

2.2.1. Inclusion Criteria. Studies which have been published in different peer-reviewed journals on the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women were included. All studies were original research published in English and contained the minimum information concerning sample size and status of malaria infection, which helps to analyze a pooled estimate of the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women in Ethiopia. Besides, studies in which malaria has been diagnosed using microscopy, rapid diagnostic test, and polymerase chain reaction were included. Moreover, studies which have been carried out in Ethiopia among pregnant women attending ANC (Anti Natal Clinic) and community-based studies among pregnant women were also included. Studies which were done on symptomatic or asymptomatically infected pregnant women were included in this systematic review.

2.2.2. Exclusion Criteria. Studies done among women in the delivery unit, unknown methods of malaria diagnosis, and questionnaire-based studies which were intended to assess the burden of malaria among pregnant women were excluded.

2.3. Search Methods and Quality Assessment. Six authors (YT, SA, SE, DA, AA, and AJZ) independently conducted a search in PubMed, Google Scholar, HINARI, and Science Direct literature, using the key words, for including researches which were published up to August 2018. Then searched articles were screened by the title and abstract to consider the articles in the full-text review. Following exclusion of duplicates, abstracts and titles of 125 papers were screened for eligibility
3. Result

3.1. Characteristics of Included Studies. This systematic review includes published papers on the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women. After searching for available publications, initially we found a total of 10207 published articles. From this, 7727 duplicate records were removed, 2355 records were excluded after screening by title and abstracts, and 125 were found to be eligible for full-text assessment. Among the total full-text screened articles, only seven full-text articles were screened for eligibility. Finally, all the 7 studies were included in the final quantitative meta-analysis (Figure 1).

All of the studies which were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis had cross-sectional study design and were conducted among pregnant women. The minimum sample size was 87 participants in a study conducted in Gondar [13], while the highest sample size was 760, in Amhara, Oromia, and Gambella regions [14]. The overall number of study participants who were included in this
### Prevalence of Malaria among Pregnant Women

Seven published studies were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis and all of these studies were used to estimate the pooled prevalence of malaria among pregnant women. The minimum prevalence of malaria was 2.8% and it was found in Amhara region of Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Bahir Dar, and Addis Zemen Health Center [16]. On the other hand, the maximum malaria prevalence was found to be 44.6%, in a study conducted in Central Ethiopia, Adama [19]. The \( I^2 \) test result showed high heterogeneity (\( I^2 \) 95.9%, \( P = <0.000 \)). Using the random effect analysis, the pooled prevalence of malaria among pregnant women in Ethiopia was 12.72% (95% CI (7.45,17.98)). Subgroup analysis based on types of malaria cases showed that the prevalence of malaria among asymptomatic and symptomatic pregnant women was found to be 7.83% (95% CI: 2.23, 13.43) and 17.97% (95% CI: 7.31, 28.62), respectively (Figure 2).

#### Prevalence of Malaria among Pregnant Women by Species of Plasmodium Parasites

The prevalence of *Plasmodium* parasite species among Ethiopian pregnant women was compared between studies. From all of the studies, only five have reported the prevalence of *P. vivax* among pregnant women. In addition, six of the seven studies have reported the prevalence of *P. falciparum* and only four of the total studies have reported the prevalence of mixed infection. The overall weighted pooled prevalence of *Plasmodium* species was as follows: *P. vivax* 2.74 (95% CI: 0.85,4.62), *P. falciparum* 7.58 (95% CI: 3.96,11.20), and mixed infection 1.44 (95% CI: -0.08,2.96) (Figure 3).

### Sensitivity Analysis

We did the sensitivity analysis of the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women by applying a random effects model (Table 2). The analysis was done to evaluate the effect of each study on the pooled estimated

### Table 1: Prevalence of Malaria among Pregnant Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>ES (95% CI)</th>
<th>% Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Million G et al</td>
<td>11.86 (8.64, 15.07)</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nega D et al</td>
<td>9.09 (6.04, 12.14)</td>
<td>15.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asmamaw T et al</td>
<td>2.83 (0.60, 5.06)</td>
<td>15.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal (I-squared = 91.6%, ( P &lt; 0.001 ))</td>
<td>7.83 (2.23, 13.43)</td>
<td>45.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic</td>
<td>Sime H et al</td>
<td>44.57 (34.41, 54.72)</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geleta G et al</td>
<td>16.32 (13.69, 18.94)</td>
<td>15.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert D et al</td>
<td>4.05 (2.81, 5.30)</td>
<td>15.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tegegne B et al</td>
<td>11.49 (4.79, 18.20)</td>
<td>12.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal (I-squared = 97.6%, ( P &lt; 0.001 ))</td>
<td>17.97 (7.31, 28.62)</td>
<td>54.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall (I-squared = 95.9%, ( P &lt; 0.001 ))</td>
<td>12.72 (7.45, 17.98)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2:** The pooled estimates of the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women from random effect model by type of cases. The midpoint and the length of each segment indicated prevalence and a 95% CI, whereas the diamond shape showed the combined prevalence of all studies.

### Figure 2: The pooled estimates of the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women from random effect model by type of cases. The midpoint and the length of each segment indicated prevalence and a 95% CI, whereas the diamond shape showed the combined prevalence of all studies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Year of study</th>
<th>Type of cases</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Prevalence of malaria (%)</th>
<th>Study quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Million G et al.</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Southwest Ethiopia</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nega D et al., 2015</td>
<td>cross sectional</td>
<td>South Ethiopia</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asmamaw T et al., 2013</td>
<td>cross sectional</td>
<td>Northwest Ethiopia</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sime H et al., 2009</td>
<td>cross sectional</td>
<td>Central Ethiopia</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Symptomatic</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geleta G et al., 2017</td>
<td>cross sectional</td>
<td>Northwest Ethiopia</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Symptomatic</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert D et al.</td>
<td>cross sectional</td>
<td>Central Ethiopia</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Symptomatic</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tegegne B et al., 2017</td>
<td>cross sectional</td>
<td>Northwest Ethiopia</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Symptomatic</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall (I-squared = 93.7%, p = 0.000)

P. falciparum

Nega D et al

Asmamaw T et al

Sime H et al

Geleta G et al

Robert D et al

Tegegne B et al

Subtotal (I-squared = 92.5%, p = 0.000)

P. vivax

Sime H et al

Geleta G et al

Robert D et al

Tegegne B et al

Subtotal (I-squared = 88.7%, p = 0.000)

Mixed

Nega D et al

Geleta G et al

Robert D et al

Tegegne B et al

Subtotal (I-squared = 87.9%, p = 0.000)

Overall (I-squared = 93.7%, p = 0.000)

NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis

Table 2: Sensitivity analysis of the included studies to estimate the pooled prevalence of malaria among pregnant women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study omitted</th>
<th>Prevalence of malaria among pregnant women (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Million Getal., 2009</td>
<td>12.98(9.46-19.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sime H et al., 2009</td>
<td>9.1(4.5-13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geleta G et al., 2017</td>
<td>11.7(6.5-16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert D et al., 2003</td>
<td>14.67(8.08-21.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tegegne B et al., 2017</td>
<td>12.93(7.2-18.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The current systematic review and meta-analysis was carried out to determine the pooled prevalence of malaria among pregnant women in Ethiopia using seven studies, which were published in scientific and reputable journals. Malaria during pregnancy is associated with the reduced birthweight of infants, maternal anemia, maternal death, and infant mortality [20, 21]. Moreover a number of recently published studies have shown that malaria in pregnancy is associated with increases in fetal growth restriction, as a major determinant of prevalence of malaria by excluding each study step-by-step. The result showed that excluded studies did not show significant difference in the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women.

3.5. Publication Bias. The included studies were assessed for potential publication bias visually by funnel plot. The funnel plot symmetrically indicated the absence of publication bias since greater than 100% of the studies fell within the triangular region (Figure 4). Besides, the result of Egger’s test indicated no publication bias, P-values >0.05 (Table 3).
Table 3: Egger’s test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Std Eff</th>
<th>Coef.</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P &gt; t</th>
<th>95% Conf. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>slope</td>
<td>-1.524136</td>
<td>0.8535549</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>-3.718269, 0.669997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bias</td>
<td>-2.647537</td>
<td>5.073598</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>-15.68964, 10.39456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Funnel plot of the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women.

of infant mortality and increased risk of maternal morbidity and mortality [22, 23].

In this systematic review and meta-analysis the pooled prevalence of malaria among pregnant women in Ethiopia was 12.72 (95% CI: 7.45, 17.98). This is higher than the 2011 malaria indicator survey result which showed 1.3% prevalence of malaria among the general population of the country [24]. The reason behind the high prevalence of malaria among pregnant women could be their decreased immunity and physiological changes.

This systematic review and meta-analysis result was much lower than a previous systematic review carried out in West and Central Africa, which reported the prevalence rate of 38.2% [25]. The decrease in the prevalence of malaria in the current study might be related to lifestyle and geographical area and might have been related to the malaria elimination and control program which has been launched in Ethiopia.

The prevalence rate of malaria among pregnant women has shown difference in various part of the world like India (27%), Rwanda 13.6% [26], Uganda 87.9% [27], and Sudan 56.5% [28]. The prevalence of malaria in this study was lower than the above-mentioned studies; the difference might be related to economic status, increased awareness of pregnant women regarding prevention and control measures of malaria, time of study conducting, and the type of malaria laboratory diagnosis method being used.

Moreover, as per the WHO recommendation in Ethiopia, malaria prevention and control measures, like proper use of long lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLIN), insecticide residual spraying (IRS), introduction of rapid diagnostic tests at community level, and adaptation of artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs), have been utilized, which might have led to reduction in the burden of malaria in Ethiopia [29].

Subgroup analysis based on the type of cases showed a lower and higher prevalence of malaria among asymptomatic pregnant (7.8%) women and malaria symptomatic (17.97%) pregnant women, respectively. The difference in the prevalence of malaria between types of cases could be due to the fact that, in symptomatic malaria cases, there might be higher parasite load that can be detected in the laboratory.

The highest and lowest prevalence of malaria was observed in study done in Adama, Central Ethiopia (44.6%), and in Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Bahir Dar, and Addis Zemen Health Center (2.8%). This could be explained by the existing differences in the environmental condition, rainfall, climate condition, residents’ lifestyles, and applied prevention and control measures.

More than one reviewer was involved in this systematic review and meta-analysis, and we used a comprehensive search strategy. Moreover, during this review we have also strictly followed the PRISMA guideline. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we encountered some limitations. For example, the number of studies which are included is limited, which might affect the pooled estimate of malaria prevalence among pregnant women in Ethiopia. All studies incorporated in this systematic review and meta-analysis were cross-sectional studies and the outcome variability may be affected by other confounding variables. These limitations might affect the results reported in this review regarding the overall prevalence of malaria in Ethiopia.

5. Conclusion

The current systematic review and meta-analysis showed that the pooled prevalence of malaria among pregnant women was found to be relatively higher compared with the general population. Therefore, the existing prevention and control measures should be strengthened, for example, health education regarding proper usage of LLIN; application of IRS for controlling the vector and early diagnosis and treatment of pregnant women should be adopted. Moreover, for further accurate estimation of the burden of malaria among this vulnerable group, a national study that covers the whole region should be conducted in Ethiopia.

Abbreviations

ACTs: Artemisinin-based combination therapies
ANC: Anti Natal Clinic
FMH: Federal Minster of Health
IRS: Indoor residual spraying
ITNs: Insecticide-treated mosquito nets
Data Availability

The main part of the data generated or analyzed during this study is included in this published article. Other data will be available from the corresponding author upon request.

Consent

All participants provided written informed consent to publish this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions

Yalewayker Tegegne conducted study design, conception of research protocol, literature review, data extraction, and statistical analysis. Yalewayker Tegegne and Ayalew Jejaw Zeleke were involved in data analysis and interpretation and manuscript drafting. Setegn Eshetie, Daniel Asmelash, and Ayenew Addisu made data interpretation and reviewed the manuscript. Yalewayker Tegegne, Ayalew Jejaw Zeleke, and Sintayehu Ambachew were responsible for data extraction and quality assessment. All the authors critically revised the paper and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

References

[1] UND Program, Making the investment case for programming that addresses the specific vulnerabilities and needs of both males and females who are affected by or at risk of malaria. 2015.


