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To study the generation mechanism and failure mode of cracks in mass concrete, microseismic monitoring is conducted on the fracture processes of the three-point bending roller compacted concrete (RCC) beam of Guanyinyan hydropower station. The spectrum characteristics of microseismic signals in different deformation and failure stages of the concrete beam are analyzed, and the identification method of the fracture stages and crack propagation precursors of concrete beam is established. Meanwhile, the Realistic Failure Process Analysis code (RFPA) is adopted to simulate and analyze the entire failure processes of concrete beam from its cracks initiation, development, propagation, and coalescence, until macroscopic fractures formation subjected to three-point bending test. The relation curve of the load, loaded displacement, and acoustic emission (AE) of concrete beam in the three-point bending test is also obtained. It is found that the failure characteristics of concrete beam obtained from numerical experiments agree well with the field physical test results. The heterogeneity of concrete is the major cause of zigzag propagation paths of beam cracks subjected to three-point bending tests. The results lay foundation for further exploring the formation mechanism of dam concrete cracks of Guanyinyan hydropower station.

1. Introduction

In recent years, hosts of ultra-large hydropower projects are being constructed or to be completed and put into operation in the southwest of China. Therefore, the engineering sector has always attached great importance to and been committed to resolving the dam body security and stability problems [1, 2]. Most of these dams are located in western alpine valleys. The dam body is usually designed to be the concrete gravity dam or arch dam, which may lead to dam concrete cracking during construction of the concrete dam due to some factors including temperature control, grouting uplift, and structural distortion. The existence of cracks in the dam body not only worsens the appearance and damages the overall continuity of the dam but also weakens the stability and impermeability of the dam. The hydrostatic pressure in the crack (i.e., the horizontal crack) increases the displacement of the dam crest towards downstream, thus reducing the role of beams in the dam. Crack leakage makes calcium ion in concrete separate out and run away, may cause dam leakage and shorten the dam service life, and may even affect the dam security and restrict the later safe operation of the hydropower station [3]. Taking Xiaowan hydropower station arch dam for example, there are many arch cracks with about 1 mm average width in the middle of the dam body below an elevation of 1095.0 m, causing the failure to full reservoir and normal operation to the dam and serious economic loss [4]. Some arch dams also generate cracks after long-time running, such as Side arch dam and Shangbiao arch dam [5, 6]. Currently, extensive use of conventional measurement technology, such as multiple position extensometers, convergence meter, and surface subsidence monitoring, is found to be very useful in the surface deformation monitoring of concrete dam. However, it is unrealistic for them to monitor the occurrence of microfractures effectively in deep concrete of dam prior to the formation of a macroscopic fracture outside
the dam surface. With regard to concrete dams, these internal microfractures may often lead to macroscopic instability of dam. Consequently, there must be an intrinsic correlation between dam surface deformation and its internal microfractures (microseismicity). It is well known that brittle materials (i.e., rock or concrete) loaded in a testing machine and brittle structures that are stressed emit detectable acoustic or seismic signals. If these signals can be recorded sufficiently clearly as seismograms by a number of sensors, the original time of seismic event, and its location, source parameters such as source radius and dynamic stress drop can be estimated [7, 8]. Thus, microseismic monitoring technique has been attempted to locate such fractures in concrete engineering practices.

During the past two decades, microseismic monitoring technique emerged from a pure research means to a mainstream industrial tool for daily safety monitoring for various geotechnical engineering. It has wide engineering applications in South Africa, Canada, Japan, Australia, and America. Some achievements have been obtained in rock slopes [9–11], underground mining [8, 12–14], tunnels [15, 16], oil and gas exploration and development [17], and electricity generation by hot dry rock [18], and so forth. Dam concrete is brittle and the apparent displacement is usually small when a microfracture occurs internally. As the concrete microfracture constantly propagates, a large number of microfractures are usually formed around the fracture before a macroscopic crack zone is formed. Microseismic monitoring can capture signals during the process from initiation, development, propagation, and coalescence of these concrete microfractures in real time. Then, the occurrence time, position, and properties of the concrete microfractures can be obtained through back calculation. However, there is no precedent for monitoring cracks in the mass concrete dam by using the microseismic monitoring technology.

There are two kinds of methods to identify the concrete crack sign. One is to analyze hypocenter parameters of the microfracture, including the size, intensity, energy, magnitude, apparent stress, and stress drop, qualitatively deducing the development trend of the crack and macroscopic fracture, and then forecast the evolution rules of dam body concrete local failure or cracks. This kind of method has been successfully applied to engineering fields including the mine and hydropower projects [10, 19, 20]. The other is to reveal the microseismic signal characteristics in each stage of the concrete failure by using the modern digital signal processing and analyzing technologies such as time-frequency analysis, random signal analysis, Higher-Order Statistics (HOS) analysis, and fractal analysis. The concrete microseismic signal is nonstationary and random, which contains much information about the concrete fractures. Therefore, the conventional time-domain statistical parameters have some uncertainty. Many scholars [21–23] adopted the frequency spectrum analysis technology (Fourier transform) to analyze microseismic signals generated during rock fractures or frequency spectrum characteristics of AE signals and achieved some understandings. For instances, when the studied rock from small scale to a larger scale, AE signals shift from high frequency to low frequency. The time-frequency characteristics of microseismic signals during concrete failure are different from those during rock failure, which is mainly determined by the composition characteristics of concrete materials. Concrete is a brittle composite material formed by hardening a compound mixed with cementing materials, aggregate, water, required chemical admixture, and mineral admixture in a proper proportion. Studies show that the concrete failure process is composed of two processes: cement and cement or cement and aggregate falling off. The microseismic signals sent from these two processes can be effectively distinguished on the spectrogram.

This study attempts to analyze the spectrum characteristics of microseismic signals during the fracture processes of the concrete beam subjected to three-point bending test. The mechanical behavior of concrete beam fracture from microfracture initiation, development, and propagation until coalescence to form macroscopic cracks is revealed. Moreover, the entire fracture processes of concrete beam subjected to three-point bending tests using 3D numerical simulation are also reproduced, which lays foundation for studying the formation mechanism and evolution rules of cracks in mass concrete.

2. The Time-Frequency Analysis Principle of S Transform

S Transform is an overtime window Fourier transform method [24], proposed by Stockwell et al. in 1996. This method is the extension of continuous wavelet transform with Morlet wavelet as its basic wavelet. S Transform integrates the advantages of the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) and wavelet transform. It is mainly manifested as follows: its wavelet basis function adaptively reduces the analysis time width as the frequency increases and the time-frequency window is adaptive and does not need to meet wavelet admissible conditions.

In the geophysical prospecting field, S Transform has had many successful applications. For instances, Tan et al. [25, 26] applied S Transform to seismic data denoising and achieved better effect. Castagna et al. [27, 28] summarized the low frequency shadow rule on the oil-gas layer with S Transform and successfully guided petroleum exploration and production practices. Pinnegar and Mansinha [29] detected the arrival time of the longitudinal wave in the natural earthquake. Andria et al. [30] determined the thickness of the thin layer with S Transform in reservoir prediction, as well as detecting and identifying various transient signals.

S Transform can be expressed as phase correction of continuous wavelet transform. S Transform of function \( h(t) \) is expressed as

\[
S(t, f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) w(\tau - t) \exp(-i2\pi f \tau) d\tau, \tag{1}
\]
where
\[ w(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \]

\[ \sigma(f) = \frac{1}{|f|}. \]

The following equation can be obtained after substituting (2) into (1):
\[
S(t, f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) \frac{|f|}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\tau-t)^2}{2\sigma^2f^2}\right) \times \exp(-i2\pi f \tau) \, d\tau.
\]

Set \( W(\nu) \) to Fourier transform of \( w(t) \); that is,
\[ W(\nu) = \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi^2}{\nu^2} \right). \]

In the preceding equation, \( \nu \) and \( f \) have the same unit. The window function of \( S \) Transform must meet the following conditions:
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(\tau-t, f) \, dt = 1. \]

Therefore, \( S \) Transform can be written as
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(t, f) \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) \exp(-i2\pi f \tau) \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(\tau-t, f) \, dt \, dt
\]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) \exp(-i2\pi f \tau) \, dt = H(f). \]

Equation (6) actually provides the transformational relation between \( S \) Transform and Fourier transform. In addition, (6) can ensure the reversibility of \( S \) Transform.

Based on the mutual transformation process of STFT in the time domain and frequency domain, the following expression of \( S \) Transform in the frequency domain can be obtained:
\[
S(t, f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\nu+f) W(\nu) \exp(i2\pi \nu \tau) \, d\nu
\]
\[ = F^{-1}[H(\nu+f) W(\nu)]. \]

In the preceding equation, \( F^{-1} \) refers to Fourier inversion. \( h(t) \) refers to the concrete microseismic signal to be analyzed, \( \tau \) and \( f \) refer to time and frequency, respectively, and \( S(t, f) \) is \( S \) matrix of one-dimensional concrete microseismic signals after \( S \) Transform. \( S \) matrix is obtained from concrete microseismic signals after \( S \) Transform. \( S \) modular matrix indicating the amplitude varying with time and frequency is obtained after a modulo operation on each element in \( S \) matrix. \( S \) modular matrix can be used to determine the distribution characteristics of concrete microseismic signals on the time-frequency plane.

Figure 1 shows one-dimensional composite signal \( h(t) \) and its spectrum characteristics after \( S \) Transform. Figure 2 shows the spectrum characteristics of this signal after Fourier transform. A one-dimensional signal \( h(t) \) contains three frequency components: the dominant frequency of 0–20 s and 32–64 s signal is 0.045 Hz; that of 20–30 s signal is 0.36 Hz; and that of 64–128 s signal is 0.16 Hz. According to Figures 1 and 2, \( S \) Transform spectrogram can effectively separate three dominant frequencies (0.045 Hz, 0.16 Hz, and 0.36 Hz) from each other with consistent time. Three dominant frequencies (obvious 0.045 Hz and 0.16 Hz and inconspicuous 0.36 Hz) rather than the occurrence time of each dominant frequency can be identified from Fourier transform spectrogram. Therefore, adopting \( S \) Transform can effectively analyze microseismic signals and obtain their dominant frequencies and occurrence times accurately.
3. Microseismic Signal Characteristics of Concrete Beam Subjected to Three-Point Bending Fracture

3.1. Concrete Beam Preparation. The concrete beam is cut and extracted from the RCC of the cast-in-place dam in Guanyinyan hydropower station. The dimensions of the beam model are 300 cm × 50 cm × 50 cm (length × width × height). The strength grade is designed to be C25 (90 days). The age of the model already exceeds 400 days when the three-point bending fracture test is conducted on the concrete beam, as shown in Figure 3.

The three-point bending failure test adopts the hierarchical load-keeping method. Hierarchical load complies with the following principles: (1) 20 kN is loaded each time on the 0~60 kN stage, stopped 5 minutes halfway. (2) 10 kN is loaded each time on the 60~140 kN stage, stopped 5 minutes halfway. (3) 5 kN is loaded each time on the 140~155 kN stage, stopped 10 minutes halfway. (4) The load is adjusted in real time according to microseismic monitoring data, and the next loading is performed until the changes of strain data and microseismic data keep stable.

3.2. Microseismic Monitoring System. The IHMS microseismic monitoring system produced by YueYang Aocheng Technology Co., Ltd. is used to monitor and analyze microseismic signals during the three-point bending fracture processes of the concrete beam in real time. This system is mainly composed of the data acquisition system, data remote transmission system, and data processing and analysis system. Figure 4 shows the layout of the microseismic monitoring system. The main parameters of the microseismic system include the sampling frequency (40 kHz), transducer sensitivity (30 V/g), and transducer threshold (20 mV). The P-wave velocity is 4800 m/s that is determined by acoustic wave tests. For details about the microseismic monitoring principles and parameters, refer to [10, 11] in References.

The microseismic monitoring transducers are installed on both ends of the concrete beam. The installation positions are ground flush with a polisher, and gypsum is used to fix the transducers. Figure 5 shows the installation positions of the transducers. A rectangular coordinate system as shown in Figure 5 is set up with the lower left corner as the origin of coordinates (0, 0, 0) to conduct positioning analysis on microseismic signals during the fracture processes of the concrete beam.

Figure 3: Preparation of the concrete beam specimen.

Figure 4: Microseismic monitoring system network.

Figure 5: Layout of the microseismic monitoring transducers installed at the concrete beam.

Figure 6: Characteristics of microseismic events during the failure processes of the concrete beam.
3.3. Analysis on Microseismic Signal Characteristics during the Fracture Process of the Concrete Beam. Figure 6 shows the characteristic curve of microseismic events during the three-point bending fracture processes of the concrete beam. After comprehensive analysis on the curves of the loading process, microseismic events per unit time, accumulative microseismic events per unit time, and strain, the following results can be obtained. (1) The curve of the accumulative microseismic events per unit time of the concrete model has a basically consistent change trend with the strain curve. (2) In the single-stage load process, the number of microseismic events per unit time of the concrete beam shows a jump and then appears an attenuation trend. (3) Based on the slope change rules of the strain curve and the curve of the total number of microseismic events per unit time, the fracture process of the concrete beam can be divided into three stages: elastic deformation stage (OD), plastic deformation stage (DG), and failure deformation stage (GH).

Figure 7 shows the spectrum characteristics of some typical microseismic signals on each stage of the failure processes of the concrete beam. The spectrum characteristics in the three stages of the fracture processes of the concrete beam are as follows.

(1) Elastic deformation stage (OD): 0~90 kN load is imposed in this stage, with the corresponding stress \( \sigma = 0 \sim 0.58 \sigma_c \) (\( \sigma_c \) is the maximum normal stress when the concrete beam fractures). This process mainly shows that the initial cracks in the middle and on both sides of the beam are compacted or tensioned. The generated microseismic signal spectrum is as shown in Figures 7(a), 7(b), and 7(c). In the elastic deformation stage of the concrete beam, the microseismic signal is mainly single-shock and spreads about 5 ms on the time axis of the spectrogram, with frequency...
ranging from 6 to 18kHz and concentrating within 6 to 12kHz range. The amplitude spectral value is small and the high frequency components of microseismic signals show a decay trend with increase of load and stress.

(2) Plastic deformation stage (DG): 90–140 kN load is imposed in this stage, with the corresponding stress \( \sigma = 0.65 \sim 0.90 \sigma_c \). This process shows that the initial cracks in the middle beam send microseismic signals after being compacted or tensioned; however, more microfractures in the middle gradually propagate and locally coalesce. The spectrum of the generated typical microseismic signal is as shown in Figures 7(d), 7(e), and 7(f). The microseismic signal in the plastic deformation stage of the concrete beam is multishock. It spreads about 15 ms on the time axis of the spectrogram. The signal has two dominant frequency bands: low frequency band around 3 kHz and middle and high frequency band ranging from 6 to 10 kHz. As the load and stress increase, the middle and high frequencies of microseismic signals show a decay trend whilst the low frequency shows an increase trend. Compared with the elastic deformation stage, the low frequency (3 kHz) appears in this stage, which can be regarded as the precursor of concrete failure process.

(3) Failure deformation stage (GH): 145–155 kN load is imposed in this stage, with the corresponding stress \( \sigma = 0.94 \sim 1.0 \sigma_c \). This process shows that the initial cracks in the middle and on both sides of the beam send microseismic signals (relatively fewer and existing locally) after being compacted or tensioned. However, more microfractures in the middle beam gradually propagate and coalesce. The spectrum of the generated typical microseismic signal is as shown in Figures 7(g), 7(h), and 7(i). The microseismic signal in the failure deformation stage of the concrete beam is also multishock. It spreads about 15 to 20 ms on the time axis of the spectrogram. The signal has two dominant frequency bands: low frequency band around 3 kHz; middle and high frequency band ranging from 6 to 10 kHz (high frequency ranging from 6 to 18 kHz at the moment of failure). As the load and stress increase, the middle and high frequencies (6–10 kHz) of microseismic signals show a decay trend whilst the low frequency (3 kHz) shows an increase trend. When \( \sigma = \sigma_c \), the low frequency is higher than the high frequency (as shown in Figure 7(h), the spectrogram is dominated by the low frequency). At the moment of the fracture of the concrete beam, the high frequency (6–18 kHz) components and low frequency components (3 kHz) obviously increase on the microseismic signal spectrogram (Figure 7(i)). When the spectrum of microseismic signals is dominated by low frequency (3 kHz) and high frequency (6–18 kHz) components, it can be regarded as the sign of concrete failure.

It can be seen that the spectrum characteristics of microseismic signals reproduce the three stages of concrete beam fracture and microseismic generation mechanism. To be specific, (1) the microscopic initial cracks of concrete are compacted or tensioned (cementing materials are compacted or tensioned); (2) concrete microfractures gradually propagate and locally coalesce under loading (cementing materials are compacted or tensioned; aggregate and cementing materials fall off); (3) concrete microfractures propagate, coalesce, and form macroscopic cracks under loading, thus causing concrete beam fracture.

3.4. Microseismic Evolution Rules during the Fracture Processes of the Concrete Beam. Figure 8 shows the spatial distribution evolution rules of microseismic events of the concrete beam under accumulated load. It intuitively reproduces the entire processes from microfractures initiation, development, propagation, and coalescence, until macroscopic fracture formation in the three-point bending test of the concrete beam. As shown in Figure 8, the evolution characteristics of microseismic events during the fracture process of the concrete beam can be summarized: (1) in the stage of crack initiation, when the force is exerted, the initial cracks in the middle and on both sides of the beam are compacted or tensioned, and microseismic events are randomly and discretely distributed on the concrete beam, as shown in Figures 8(a), 8(b), and 8(c); (2) in the stage of crack formation and propagation, as the load increases, microseismic events occur in the middle and on the right of the concrete beam but mainly concentrate in the middle and bottom of the beam, as shown in Figures 8(d) and 8(e); (3) in the crack coalescence stage, a large number of microseismic events mainly occur in the middle and bottom of the concrete beam, as shown in Figures 8(f), 8(g), and 8(h).

4. Numerical Simulation of Concrete Beam Subjected to Three-Point Bending Fracture

4.1. RFPA3D Code. The RFPA code was developed by Tang et al. [31–33] considering the deformation of an elastic material containing an initial random distribution of microfeatures in order to simulate more clearly the progressive failure, including the failure process, failure induced seismic events, and failure induced stress redistribution. To include the statistical variability of the bulk failure strength in RFPA code, the mechanical parameters of the model elements are assumed to follow a Weibull distribution [34]:

\[
W(x) = \frac{m}{x_0} \left( \frac{x}{x_0} \right)^{m-1} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{x}{x_0} \right)^m \right],
\]

where \( m \) defines the shape of the Weibull distribution function, which can be referred to as the homogeneity index, \( x \) is the mechanical parameter of an element, and \( x_0 \) is the even value of the parameter of all elements. According to the Weibull distribution, the larger the \( m \) value, the more the elements with mechanical properties approaching the mean value, which depicts a more homogeneous rock specimen [35].

Compared with other numerical methods, the RFPA code features two merits [32]. One is that, by introducing heterogeneity of rock properties into the model, the code can simulate nonlinear deformation of a quasi-brittle behavior with an ideal brittle constitutive law at the local scale. The other is that, by introducing a reduction of material parameters after element failure, the RFPA code can simulate discontinuum mechanics problems in the frame of continuum mechanics. Details of the RFPA code can be seen in Tang and Kaiser [32].
The robustness of RFPA and its 3D extension in simulating rock fracture has been evaluated by many scholars [10, 11, 31–33, 35].

### 4.2. Model Setup.

Firstly, the ANSYS software is used to build a finite element model. The concrete beam has the cross section in dimensions of 500 mm × 500 mm and is 3000 mm long. Both the support and loading steel roller are cylinder with a 20 mm diameter. The distance between two supports is 2500 mm. Load transfer between the support, loading steel tube, and concrete beam is realized by a common node. The model is divided into 75049 hexahedral elements and mesh encryption is performed on the main force part of the concrete beam. Figure 9(a) shows mesh generation. Figure 9(b) shows the model after being imported to RFPA3D considering concrete heterogeneity. The model constraint and load are imposed in the ANSYS. To be specific, the left support rebar is imposed with constraint in X and Y directions while the right support rebar is imposed with constraint in Y direction. Table 1 lists the material parameters of the finite element model. The concrete three-point bending test adopts the displacement-controlled stepwise loading mode, and the displacement loaded in each step is 0.02 mm.

After being imported to RFPA3D, the mechanical parameters of the modeled material are converted from macroscopic parameters to microscopic parameters considering the material heterogeneity using the following equation:

\[
\frac{f_1}{f_2} = 0.2602 \ln m + 0.0233,
\]

\[
\frac{E_1}{E_2} = 0.1412 \ln m + 0.6476.
\]

### Table 1: Macroscopic mechanical parameters of materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Elasticity modulus/MPa</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
<th>Compressive strength/MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>28000</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Roller</td>
<td>210000</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Mesoscopic mechanics parameters of materials in RFPA3D model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Elasticity modulus/MPa</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
<th>Compressive strength/MPa</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>33200</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Roller</td>
<td>210000</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the preceding equation, \( m \) is the homogeneity; \( f_1 \) is the macroscopic strength; \( f_2 \) is the microscopic strength; \( E_1 \) is the macroscopic elastic modulus; \( E_2 \) is the microscopic elastic modulus. The homogeneity \( m \) of the concrete is set as 4 while that of the homogeneous support and loading steel roller is set to 100. The material mechanical parameters in Table 1 are converted to microscopic parameters in RFPA3D by using (9), as listed in Table 2.

4.3. Numerical Simulation Results. Figure 10 shows the change rules of the loading force, loaded displacement, and AE of concrete beam during the three-point bending test. In RFPD3D numerical simulation, the element failure is accompanied by AE, and the number of AEs is that of failed elements. Figure 10 shows the relation between the loaded displacement and AE, including the bar chart of AEs generated by the specimen in each loading and the total number of AEs during the entire loading processes.

Figure 11 shows the maximum principal stress and AE of the concrete beam during the three-point bending fracture process. The maximum principal stress figure shows a fixed section of the concrete beam while the blue spheres in the AE figure represent the tensile failure elements. It can be seen that, when it is loaded to step 7 (point A in Figure 10), the load reaches 48 kN; the specimen starts to initiate microfractures. As the loaded displacement constantly increases, microfractures gradually become more and the growth rate becomes faster and faster. When it is loaded to step 23, the load on the specimen reaches the peak value 148 kN (point B in Figure 10). Then, a large number of microfractures occur on mid-span of the bottom concrete beam (as shown on step 23 in Figure 11(b)) and a group of microfractures initiate on the bottom of concrete beam perpendicular to its axial direction (as shown on step 23 in Figure 11(a)). This is mainly because the maximum tensile stress occurs in the middle of the bottom beam during the three-point bending test, causing stress concentration. Before this, the concrete beam always shows linear elastic deformation (AB in Figure 10). When loading continues, the stress at the initial crack tip on the beam bottom is highly concentrated. Therefore, the main cracks start to initiate at the tip of microfractures and are on the same planes with the initial cracks. The bearing capacity of the beam reduces rapidly and shows its nonlinear characteristics (BC in Figure 10). A large number of tensile failure points continue to gather in the middle of the bottom concrete beam and gradually migrate and evolve to the beam top. When loading reaches step 30 (point C in Figure 10), the propagation length of the crack is about half of the beam height and the crack continues to propagate to the beam top in the vertical direction. According to Figure 10, when the test loading reaches step 40 (point D in Figure 10), the number of AEs hardly increases and those AEs form a vertical fracture band in the middle of the concrete beam (as shown on step 40 in Figure 11(b)). The cracks run through the concrete beam. The beam has almost no bearing capacity, and the specimen completely fails.

It can be seen that numerical simulation used by RFPA3D reproduces the entire processes of the concrete beam from its microfractures initiation, development, propagation, and coalescence, until macroscopic fracture band formation in the three-point bending tests. The numerical results reveal the failure modes and fracture mechanism of the concrete beam in different deformation stages.

5. Comparative Analysis of Field Test and Numerical Simulation Results

Figure 12 shows the AE from numerical simulation and field test. It can be seen that, when the load is started to be imposed, microfractures are randomly distributed on the beam model in the initial loading stage because the initial cracks in the middle and on both sides of the concrete beam are compacted or tensioned. When the specimen finally fractures, the AE obtained from numerical simulation agrees well with the accumulated spatial distribution of microseismic events obtained from field microseismic monitoring. That is, most microfractures concentrate in the middle of the concrete beam as shown in Figure 12.

Figure 13 shows the final fracture maps of the concrete beam obtained from numerical simulation and field test, respectively. It can be seen that, during the three-point bending fracture processes of the concrete beam, the cracks do not always initiate along the vertical direction. That is mainly because of the heterogeneity of concrete, which causes
a zigzag propagation path of the cracks. The heterogeneity of concrete is taken into account during RPFA$^3$D numerical simulation for the fracture process of the concrete beam. The tough aggregates (elements with high strength in the numerical model) can prevent the cracks from propagating forwards. Instead, most cracks propagate along the cementing band around the aggregate, and therefore the crack propagation paths are zigzag. Numerical simulation results (Figure 13(a)) agree well with the field physical test results (Figure 13(b)). Consequently, the heterogeneity of concrete is the major cause of zigzag propagation paths of beam cracks subjected to three-point bending tests [36].

Furthermore, the bearing capacity of the concrete beam obtained from the field three-point bending test is 155 kN (as shown in Figures 6 and 8) while the bearing capacity obtained from numerical simulation is 148 kN (as shown in Figure 10), with a 4.5% relative error. It can be seen that the three-point bending fracture test results of the concrete beam obtained from numerical simulation are basically consistent with the field physical test results.

6. Conclusions

Microseismic monitoring is conducted on the three-point bending fracture processes of the field RCC beam. The spectrum characteristics of microseismic signals of concrete failure and its formation mechanism are revealed. The identification method of the fracture stages and crack propagation precursors of concrete is established. Numerical simulation reproduces the entire processes of the concrete beam rupture from microfractures initiation, development, propagation, and coalescence, until macroscopic fracture formation. The different failure modes and mechanism of the concrete beam rupture are thus revealed. The main conclusions are drawn as follows.

(1) When the specimen is under the plastic deformation stage, the microseismic signal is mainly multishock. The spectrum spreads about 15 ms on the time axis.
The signal has two dominant frequency bands: low frequency band around 3 kHz and the middle and high frequency band ranging from 6 to 10 kHz. When the microseismic signal spectrum shows 3 kHz “low frequency shadow,” it can be regarded as the precursor signal of the concrete failure. The microseismic signal at the moment of specimen failure is also mainly multishock. The spectrum spreads more than 20 ms on the time axis. The signal also has two dominant frequency bands: low frequency band around 3 kHz and high frequency band ranging from 6 to 18 kHz. The amplitude spectral value is relatively high. That is, when the spectrum shows that the microseismic signals are dominated by 3 kHz “low frequency shadow” and 6 to 18 kHz “high frequency shadow,” concrete already fractures macroscopically.

(2) The RFPA$^3$D code is adopted to simulate the three-point bending test of concrete beam. The heterogeneity of concrete is taken into account. Numerical simulation reproduces the entire deformation and failure processes of the specimen subjected to three-point bending test. The relation between the load, displacement, and AE during the deformation and failure process of the specimen, as well as the cracks propagation processes and stress field distribution rules, is obtained.

(3) The fracture mode of the RCC beam revealed from numerical simulation agrees well with the field physical test results. During the loading processes, microfractures firstly initiate on the mid-span of the bottom concrete beam. Under loading, these microfractures constantly initiate, develop, gradually propagate along the vertical direction of the beam, coalesce, and form main failure fractures, finally causing macroscopic fractures of the concrete beam. The heterogeneity of concrete is the major cause of zigzag propagation paths of beam cracks subjected to three-point bending tests.
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