The effect of glycerol on signal supression during electrospray ionization analysis of proteins
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Abstract. The effect of salts, detergents and chaotropic agents on mass spectrometric analysis are relatively well understood, mainly due to their actions decreasing the performance of ESI interface in mass spectrometric analysis. However, there are few studies in the literature characterizing the effect of protein stabilization by glycerol, followed in some circumstances by the supression of protein signal when ESI interface is used. The aim of the present research was to investigate in details the mass spectrometric behavior of some proteins in presence of high levels of glycerol during ESI–MS analysis. Thus, horse heart myoglobin and chicken ovalbumin were used as standard proteins. It was demonstrated that the presence of 1% (v/v) glycerol suppressed the signal of these proteins during the ESI–MS analysis, even when the sample nozzle potential was scanned from 28 to 80 V. However, when the glycerol concentration was decreased to 0.5% (v/v) and the sample cone voltage adjusted to 50 V, a perfect envelope of peaks was observed, allowing the spectrum deconvolution and the molecular mass determination with mass accuracy lower than 0.01% in each situation. A molecular explanation for this suppressive effect and for the analytical overcoming of this difficult is proposed.

1. Introduction

Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry (MS) is a rapid and precise method for determining masses of proteins and can be used to validate protein sequences [1]; in addition to this it may be used as an important technique to evaluate the protein purity/homogeneity. The mass accuracy of ESI–MS is generally within the limit from 0.01% to 0.05% of the calculated masses [1,2] and has been used to characterize many recombinant proteins [3,4]. ESI–MS also may be used to characterize unusual posttranslational modifications [5], and also to identify errors in cDNA sequences [6].

Many commercial recombinant proteins used as molecular biology tools, and even some of those academically-made preparations are maintained in presence of high glycerol concentrations after purification to keep stable the biological activity.

Methods for cloning, direct sequencing, clinical diagnosis and many other uses [7], have proliferated with the current ability to produce from microgram to milligram quantities of particular proteins. Thus, commercial insulin, growth hormone, cytokines [8], DNA polymerases [9] and different types of
restriction enzymes, among other recombinant proteins, have been used as therapeutic proteins and/or commercial biochemicals.

The proteins resulting from these protocols generally are submitted to sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis as the only criteria to check the homogeneity of the preparations. However, some of these proteins may present different molecular forms [10], caused by post-translational modification or even may suffer artifactual proteolytic cleavage [10,11]. Taking into account the ratio standard error of the electrophoretic methods small MW changing may become masked by this procedure.

The effect of many salts, detergents and chaotropic agents are relatively well documented in the decreasing of performance of ESI–MS protocols [12,13]. However, there are a few studies in the literature characterizing the effect of protein stabilization by glycerol, followed in some circumstances by the suppression of protein signal when ESI–MS interface was used [14].

Recently, we described the suppression of protein signal during ESI–MS analysis of recombinant Taq polymerase in presence of 25% (v/v) glycerol [9].

Therefore, due to the biotechnological interest in the molecular characterization of natural and/or recombinant proteins, specially related to the control of quality of protein preparations under experimental conditions more close to the industrial requirements, we decided to perform a more detailed investigation about this subject. Thus, myoglobin and ovalbumin were used as standard proteins for ESI–MS analysis in presence of different glycerol concentrations. It was confirmed the suppressive effect of glycerol on ESI–MS signal during the analysis of these standard proteins and proposed a mechanistic model to explain this suppressive effect. In addition to this it was developed a simple and easy strategy to overcome this difficulty, like the use of an appropriate setting up of skimmer for each situation.

2. Material and methods

All the solvents used (HPLC grade) were purchased from Mallinckrodt. Glycerol was acquired from Sigma Chem. Co. Bidistilled and ultra purified water used in all experiments was prepared in a Barnsted system.

2.1. Proteins

Ovalbumin from chicken egg (grade III) and Mioglobin from horse heart were purchased from Sigma Chem. Co. and used as standard proteins in all mass spectrometric experiments.

2.2. Mass spectrometry analysis

The molecular mass determinations were performed by mass spectrometry using some adaptations to the system described by Chassaigne and Lobinski [15]. Samples were dissolved in 50% (v/v) acetonitrile [containing 0.1% (v/v) TFA] and analyzed on a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer Micromass (Altrincham), model QUATTRO II, equipped with a standard electrospray probe, adjusted to ca 5 μl/min. During all experiments the source temperature was maintained at 80°C and the needle voltage at 3.6 kV, applying a drying gas flow (nitrogen) of 200 l/h and a nebulizer gas flow of 15 l/h. The mass spectrometer was calibrated with intact horse heart myoglobin and its typical cone-voltage induced fragments.

The cone sample to skimmer lens voltage controlling the ion transfer to mass analyzer was manually scanned from 30 to 130 V. About 50 pmol of each sample was injected into electrospray transport
solvent. The ESI spectra were obtained in the continuous acquisition mode; scanning from \( m/z \) 500 to 2500 at scan time of 7 s. The mass spectrometer data acquisition and treatment system was equipped with Mass Lynx and Transform software for handling and deconvoluting spectra.

3. Results and discussions

When 50 pmoles of the fresh protein preparation was diluted in acetonitrile to produce a concentration of 50% (v/v) of the solvent, in presence of 1% (v/v) glycerol, no ESI–MS signal was observed (Fig. 1c). In fact, no setting up of the instrument was enough to permit the visualization of the envelope of peaks characteristic of proteins during the ESI–MS analysis under this specific experimental conditions.

Fig. 1. (a) – ESI–MS spectrum of myoglobin in presence of 0.5% (v/v) of glycerol and using a cone sample voltage of 50 V; (b) – centroid mass spectrum representation of the ESI–MS spectrum above; (c) – ESI mass spectrum of myoglobin in presence of 1% (v/v) glycerol and using a cone sample voltage of 50 V.
However, when the analysis was performed in presence of 0.5% (v/v) glycerol and by using 50% (v/v) acetonitrile [containing 0.1% (v/v) TFA] as solvent, at a cone sample voltage of 50 V it was obtained an envelope of peaks from \( m/z \) 692 to 1721 (Fig. 1a).

The resulting series of peaks in this envelope correspond to ionized myoglobin molecule populations presenting from 10 to 25 positive charges (Fig. 1b). This result is suggesting that under these specific experimental conditions, probably the glycerol molecules are not interacting so strongly with the side chain of the amino acids residues of myoglobin, since it was expected about 31 ionizing basic groups working in the positive mode of ESI interface. This means that only 19% of basic amino acid residues were prevented to ionize by effect of glycerol in this experimental condition.

Figure 2 show the results of a similar series of experiments performed with the protein ovalbumin. Figure 2c shows the ESI–MS spectrum obtained in presence of 1% (v/v) glycerol and by using 50% (v/v) acetonitrile [containing 0.1% (v/v) TFA] as solvent. In fact, no envelope of peaks may be observed, except a few noisy signals spread from \( m/z \) 894 to 1959. The scanning of the potential applied to the sample cone from 28 to 80 V does not change the result showed in Fig. 2c. However, when the experiment was carried out in presence of 0.5% (v/v) glycerol and the potential of skimmer adjusted to 50 V, the envelope of peaks characteristic of the spectrum of proteins analyzed with ESI interface was observed from \( m/z \) 762 to 1876, centered on \( m/z \) 1144 (Fig. 2a). The centroid representation of this spectrum is showed in Fig. 2b; the resulting series of peaks in this envelope correspond to ionized ovalbumin molecule populations presenting from 26 to 46 positive charges. As already was also observed for myoglobin, this result suggests that the decreasing on glycerol concentration to 0.5% (v/v) and adjusting the sample cone potential to 50 V may be used as strategy to overcome the ESI–MS signal suppression in presence of the stabilizing agent.

The transformation of the ESI–MS spectrum obtained at 50 V, in presence of 0.5% (v/v) glycerol, revealed an accurate molecular mass of 16,942.22 Da for the myoglobin (Fig. 1a), which is very close to the calculated value from the hypothetical primary sequence of this protein (16,943.86 Da) [16]. The transformation of the ESI–MS spectrum of the ovalbumin revealed an accurate molecular mass of 43,563.45 Da, which is 92 mass units higher than the hypothetical value expected for this protein (43,471.23 Da) [17]; apparently this result suggests the formation of a cluster between one molecule of ovalbumin and one molecule of glycerol (MW 92 Da).

Thus, the experimental strategy proposed above to overcome the signal suppression caused by the presence of high levels of glycerol during the analysis was successful and, in spite of the use of high voltage level in the sample cone, apparently it was not observed protein fragmentation, since the experimental values determined for the molecular masses of each protein fit the hypothetical ones with very high accuracy.

4. Conclusions

The addition of glycerol after the final purification step both for natural and recombinant proteins has the purpose to prevent these molecules from denaturation [14]. However, in spite the stabilizing effect over protein molecules, high level of glycerol influences strongly the mass spectrometric performance under this experimental condition. Generally, in the absence of glycerol the basic and/or acid side chain of the amino acid residues get ionized in presence of water, depending on the pH of the protein solution (Fig. 3a). When the proteins are analyzed under these conditions by using ESI interface, the typical envelope of peaks can be observed. However in presence of glycerol, this compound displaces the water
molecules from the protein surface, and establishes itself interactions with side chain groups of amino acid residues, preventing their ionization and suppressing the protein signal (Figs 3b and 3c), resulting in a flat and noisy base line, like the result showed in the Figs 1c and 2c. Other authors also described that protein samples containing high glycerol concentrations are not amenable to ESI interfaces [14].

A possible explanation for this effect may be the strong interactions between glycerol molecules and the polar sites of the side chain of the amino residues from the proteins. In fact, these interactions may be due to the hydrogen bonds between glycerol molecules and the atoms of hydrogen of the amino/imino
groups from the basic amino acid residues; also the hydroxyl hydrogen from the acidic amino acid residues may attend this type of interaction.

Glycerol molecules in turn, also may interact with water molecules through hydrogen bonds, probably preventing the direct contact between amino acid side chains and solvation water. In fact, the shielding effect caused by glycerol prevents the proton exchanging between the water and the protein molecules, resulting in the absence of ionization of the amino acid residues side chains [9].

A simple adjustment of the voltage of cone sample from the usual setting of 28 V to 50 V probably created an electric field that displaced the glycerol molecules from the direct contact with the
proteins, permitting to the amino acid residues side chains to get contact with water molecules, becoming temporarily ionized while maintained the high cone voltage. A general schedule summarizing this hypothesis is showed in the Fig. 3.
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