Spectrofluorometric Determination of Certain Antihyperlipidemic Agents in Bulk and Pharmaceutical Preparations
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Abstract. A simple, rapid, and sensitive spectrofluorometric method was developed for the determination of three antihyperlipidemic drugs, namely, rosuvastatin calcium (RSV), ezetimibe (EZE), and pitavastatin calcium (PIT). The method is based on measuring the native fluorescence of the cited drugs at their optimum excitation and emission wavelengths. The fluorescence intensity was measured at $\lambda_{em}$ 362 nm, 309 nm, and 373 nm upon excitation at $\lambda_{ex}$ 315 nm, 260 nm, and 245 nm for RSV, EZE, and PIT, respectively. The calibration graphs were linear over the concentration ranges 0.50–10.0, 0.25–4.0, and 0.10–3.00 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ for RSV, EZE, and PIT, respectively. Besides, a spectrofluorometric method for the simultaneous determination of RSV and EZE was developed. The fluorescence was measured at $\lambda_{em}$ 309 nm for EZE and 432 nm for RSV upon excitation at $\lambda_{ex}$ 260 nm for both. The proposed methods were applied to the determination of the cited drugs either in bulk and pharmaceutical preparations.
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1. Introduction

Rosuvastatin calcium (RSV), [(E)-7-{4(4-Fluorophenyl)-6-isopropyl-2-[methyl (methylsulfonyl) amino] pyrimidin-5-yl}-3,5-dihydroxyhept-6-enolic acid calcium salt], ezetimibe (EZE), [1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-[3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-propyl]-4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-azetidin-2-one], and pitavastatin calcium (PIT), [(+)-monocalcium bis{(3R,5S,6E)-7-[2-cyclopropyl-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-quinolyl]-3,5-dihydroxy-6-heptenoate}] are novel antihyperlipidemic oral drugs. Chemical structure of RSV, EZE, and PIT is shown in Figure 1. RSV and PIT are members of a class of statins that lower cholesterol levels by inhibiting the enzyme HMG-CoA reductase; this enzyme catalyzes the conversion of (HMG-CoA) to mevalonate, an early and rate-limiting step in cholesterol biosynthesis [1, 2]. EZE is a drug that lowers cholesterol by preventing the absorption of cholesterol from dietary and biliary sources through blocking the transport of cholesterol through the intestinal wall [3]. EZE, coadministered with statins, is licensed for the treatment of primary hypercholesterolemia in patients,
Figure 1: Chemical structures of rosuvastatin calcium (a), ezetimibe (b), and pitavastatin calcium (c).

poorly controlled with a statin alone, and for homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia [4]. A detailed survey of analytical literature for RSV revealed several methods based on varied techniques, HPLC [5–7], spectrophotometry, [8] and high-performance thin-layer chromatography [9]. Similarly, a survey of analytical literature for the determination of EZE either in biological samples or pharmaceutical preparations revealed methods based on HPLC [10–13] and LC/MS/MS [14, 15] for its determination in human plasma, UV-spectrophotometric determination of combination with other drug [16] and stability-indicating LC [17, 18]. Besides, different analytical methods for the determination of PIT have been reported, including spectrophotometry [19], high-performance thin-layer chromatography [20], and LC/MS/MS for its determination in human plasma [21–24].

Spectrofluorometry has long been applied in the field of pharmaceutical analysis of many drugs [25–28] because of the higher sensitivity than is attainable in absorption spectrophotometry. A necessary condition for a compound to fluoresce is that it absorbs light in the UV or visible region of the spectrum. Accordingly, compounds that have a conjugated π-electron system may give efficient reemission of the absorbed energy as a direct method for the determination in which the native fluorescence of the molecule is measured [25–28]. The objective of this work was to develop sensitive, simple, precise, and rapid spectrofluorometric methods of analysis for PIT, RSV, and EZE in bulk and in their pharmaceutical preparations and for the combination drug product that contains RSV and EZE.
2. Experimental

2.1. Instrumentation

A Shimadzu RF-1501 spectrofluorimeter (Japan) and Soniclean sonicator-degasser (Australia) were used.

2.2. Reagents and Reference Samples

Pharmaceutical grade RSV (certified to contain 99.91%) and Crestor 5 mg tablets nominally containing 5.217 mg of RSV per tablet (batch no. 100268) were supplied by AstraZeneca-Egypt. EZE (certified to contain 99.93%) and Ezetrol 10 mg tablets nominally containing 10.0 mg of EZE per tablet (batch no. 303345) were supplied by Merck Sharp & Dohme-Egypt. PIT (certified to contain 99.80%) and Livalo 2 mg tablets nominally containing 2.09 mg PIT per tablet (batch no. 3079200) were supplied by Lilly-USA. Rosuvas EZ10 mg tablets nominally containing 10.0 mg of EZE and 10.434 mg of RSV per tablet (batch no. 2220206) were supplied by Ranbaxy-India. Methanol HPLC grade and acetonitrile HPLC grade were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany.

2.3. Standard and Test Solutions

2.3.1. Preparation of Standard Solutions

Standard stock solutions of 100 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ of each drug were prepared in methanol as selected solvent for RSV and PIT and in acetonitrile as selected solvent for EZE.

2.3.2. Preparation of Test Solutions

For Pharmaceutical Preparations Containing RSV, EZE, and PIT Alone

Twenty tablets of each of crestor 5 mg, ezetrol 10 mg, and livalo 2 mg tablets were separately weighed and finely powdered in a mortar. A tablet powder equivalent to 10 mg of each drug (RSV-free, EZE- and PIT-free) was accurately weighed and separately transferred to three 100 mL volumetric flasks. The tablet powder of each drug was dissolved using methanol as selected solvent for RSV and PIT and acetonitrile as selected solvent for EZE, then sonicated for 15 minutes, and completed to volume with the selected solvent. The solutions were then filtered through 0.45 $\mu$m nylon syringe filter, followed by serial dilution to required concentrations for each experiment.

For Rosuvas-EZ Tablets Containing Binary Mixture RSV and EZE

Twenty tablets of rosvas ez 10 mg were weighed and finely powdered in a mortar. A tablet powder equivalent to 10 mg of RSV-free and equivalent to 10 mg of EZE was accurately weighed and transferred to a 100 mL volumetric flask. The tablet powder was dissolved using acetonitrile as selected solvent, then sonicated for 15 mins, and made up to the mark with the selected solvent. The solution was then filtered.
through 0.45 μm nylon syringe filter, followed by serial dilution to the required concentrations for each experiment.

2.4. General Procedures and Calibrations

2.4.1. For RSV, EZE, and PIT Alone

Accurately measured aliquots of working standard solutions equivalent to 5.0–100.0 μg, 2.5–40.0 μg and 1.0–30.0 μg RSV, EZE and PIT were accurately measured and separately transferred from their stock solutions into three sets of 10 mL volumetric flasks; then each flask was completed to volume with the selected solvent of each drug. The native fluorescence intensities were measured at the specified excitation and emission wavelengths for each drug (λex 315 nm–λem 362 nm), (λex 260 nm–λem 309 nm), and (λex 245 nm–λem 373 nm) for RSV, EZE, and PIT, respectively. A calibration curve was obtained for each drug by plotting fluorescence intensity (F) against concentration (C), and the regression parameters were computed for each drug.

2.4.2. For RSV-EZE Laboratory-Prepared Mixtures

Different aliquots from RSV and EZE stock solutions equivalent to 4.0–100.0 μg and 2.0–50.0 μg, respectively, were accurately measured and transferred into a set of 10 mL volumetric flasks, and the volumes were completed with acetonitrile. The native fluorescence intensity was measured at λem 432 nm for RSV and 309 nm for EZE upon excitation at λex 260 nm for both drugs, then plotted against corresponding concentration, and the regression parameters were computed.

3. Results and Discussion

Literature survey reveals that only liquid chromatographic and spectrophotometric methods have been reported for the determination of RSV, EZE, and PIT. Thus, the development of spectrofluorometric methods for the determination of the cited drugs in the bulk or pharmaceutical preparations was of interest as no such methods have been reported for the three drugs. The proposed spectrofluorometric methods were successfully applied to estimate RSV, EZE, PIT and the binary mixture RSV-EZE in tablet dosage forms as no interference of excipients was found (Table 1).

3.1. Method Development

3.1.1. For RSV, EZE, and PIT Alone

Selection of excitation wavelengths for RSV, EZE, and PIT was based on the maximum wavelength of absorption in the UV region; then excitation spectra are determined by measuring the emission intensity at fixed wavelength while varying the excitation wavelength for each of the cited drugs. Optimum excitation wavelengths found for RSV, EZE, and PIT are 315 nm, 260 nm, and 245 nm, respectively. Also, selection of suitable emission wavelength was done by scanning the emission
Table 1: Results obtained by the proposed spectrofluorimetric method for the determination of the cited drugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>RSV</th>
<th>EZE</th>
<th>PIT</th>
<th>Binary Mixture RSV-EZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda) ex of measurements</td>
<td>315 nm</td>
<td>260 nm</td>
<td>245 nm</td>
<td>260 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda) em of measurements</td>
<td>362 nm</td>
<td>309 nm</td>
<td>373 nm</td>
<td>309 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD (µg mL(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ (µg mL(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of linearity</td>
<td>0.50–10.00 µg·mL(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.25–4.00 µg·mL(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.10–3.00 µg·mL(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.40–10.00 µg·mL(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression equation</td>
<td>(y = 72.4548x + 35.2794)</td>
<td>(y = 209.0371x + 17.9884)</td>
<td>(y = 278.9371x + 37.1792)</td>
<td>(y = 63.2419x - 1.3854)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient (r)</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_b)</td>
<td>0.5359</td>
<td>4.5194</td>
<td>1.2935</td>
<td>0.6601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_a)</td>
<td>2.8323</td>
<td>9.2942</td>
<td>1.8911</td>
<td>3.6756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard error of the estimation</td>
<td>5.0089</td>
<td>14.5280</td>
<td>3.4162</td>
<td>6.7294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraday (RSD%)</td>
<td>0.39–0.98</td>
<td>0.01–0.08</td>
<td>0.02–0.48</td>
<td>0.22–0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interday (RSD%)</td>
<td>0.32–0.80</td>
<td>0.26–0.74</td>
<td>0.06–0.33</td>
<td>0.23–0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug in bulk %</td>
<td>100.30 ± 0.91</td>
<td>100.24 ± 1.33</td>
<td>99.63 ± 1.02</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug in laboratory Prepared binary Mixture (RSV-EZE)%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>100.06 ± 1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug in dosage form (alone)%</td>
<td>101.67 ± 1.14</td>
<td>102.52 ± 0.93</td>
<td>98.94 ± 0.73</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug in dosage form (binary mixtures)%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>100.29 ± 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug added (alone)%</td>
<td>100.12 ± 1.53</td>
<td>100.26 ± 1.04</td>
<td>99.82 ± 1.36</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug added (binary mixture)%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>100.30 ± 1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(S_a\): standard deviation of intercept, \(S_b\): standard deviation of slope.
Figure 2: Fluorescence emission spectrum for rosuvastatin calcium (a), ezetimibe (b), and pitavastatin calcium (c).

spectra and measuring the variation in emission intensity wavelength for a fixed excitation wavelength. Determination for RSV, EZE, and PIT is done at emission wavelengths 362 nm, 309 nm, and 373 nm, respectively, at their selected excitation wavelengths. The fluorescence emission spectra of RSV, EZE, and PIT are shown in Figure 2. Methanol was found to be suitable solvent with lowest background noise at the selected excitation and emission wavelengths for RSV and PIT, giving satisfactory results at linearity range of 0.5–10.0 μg mL\(^{-1}\) and 0.1–3.0 μg mL\(^{-1}\), respectively. While for EZE, acetonitrile was found to give better sensitivity and lower background noise at the selected excitation and emission wavelengths, giving satisfactory results at linearity range of 0.25–4.0 μg mL\(^{-1}\).

3.1.2. For the Binary Mixture RSV-EZE

Scanning for suitable excitation wavelength for both RSV and EZE was done. Both RSV and EZE were found to be excited at λex 260 nm, with optimum nonoverlapping emission spectra when RSV and EZE are present in binary mixture solution. Determination of EZE in the binary mixture was thus done by measuring the variation in emission intensity at λem 309 nm, while determination of RSV in the binary mixture also was done by measuring the variation in emission intensity at λem 432 nm, when applying the suitable excitation wavelength for both EZE and RSV in their binary mixture (λex 260 nm). The binary mixture RSV-EZE is found to be of higher sensitivity and lowest background noise when dissolved in acetonitrile. Satisfactory results for linearity range and reproducibility in concentration range from 0.4 to 10.0 μg mL\(^{-1}\) for RSV and 0.2 to 5.0 μg mL\(^{-1}\) for EZE, in their laboratory-prepared
3.2. Method Validation

3.2.1. Linearity

Linearity was studied for the three cited drugs RSV, EZE, and PIT also for the binary mixture RSV-EZE. In this study, 6 to 8 concentrations for each drug were used. A linear relationship between fluorescence intensity and component concentration (c) was obtained. Range of linearity and regression equation \( y = bc \pm a \) for each drug is mentioned in Table 1. The linearity of the calibration curves was validated by the high values of correlation coefficients, as shown in Table 1. The analytical data of the calibration curves including standard deviations for the slope and intercept \( (S_b, S_a) \) are also summarized in Table 1.

3.2.2. Accuracy

Accuracy of the results was calculated by % recovery of 6 different concentrations of RSV, EZE and 8 different concentrations of PIT in bulk, and also 8 different concentrations of the laboratory prepared mixtures of RSV-EZE and also by standard addition technique applied for the pharmaceutical preparations, all carried out in triplicates. The results obtained including the mean of the recovery, standard deviation, and relative standard deviation are displayed in Table 1.

3.2.3. Precision

Precision was estimated by repeatability. The repeatability was assessed by analyzing a solution of 5.0 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \) of RSV and 2.0 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \) of EZE and of PIT \((n = 6)\). For the binary mixture RSV-EZE, a solution containing 1.0 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \) of both RSV and EZE was analyzed \((n = 6)\). The values of the precision (%R.S.D) of repeatability along with intraday and interday precision (using 3 different concentrations in triplicates for three consecutive days) for the three drugs are displayed in Table 1.
Table 2: Statistical comparison between the recovery results of the proposed spectrofluorimetric methods and the reference methods for the cited drugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EZE</td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>100.24</td>
<td>±1.33</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reference method</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>±0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>100.30</td>
<td>±0.91</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reference method</td>
<td>99.68</td>
<td>±1.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSV</td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>100.30</td>
<td>±0.91</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reference method</td>
<td>99.27</td>
<td>±1.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no significant difference between the proposed method and the reference method by using the independent t-test at $P < 0.05$.

3.2.4. Specificity

Specificity is the ability of the analytical method to measure the analyte response in the presence of interferences. In the present work, the spectra of the samples were checked for the appearance of any new spectra of the excipients. No interference from any of the excipients was found at the excitation/emission wavelengths of the examined drugs. In addition, the spectrum of each drug in the sample solution is identical to the spectrum received by the standard solution at the wavelengths applied. Besides, good recoveries were obtained for the samples. These results demonstrate that there was no interference from other materials in the pharmaceutical formulations and therefore confirm the specificity of the method.

3.2.5. Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantification

Limit of detection (LOD) which represents the concentration of analyte at S/N ratio of 3 and limit of quantification (LOQ) at which S/N is 10 were determined for the proposed method, and results are given in Table 1. LOD and LOQ were computed based on the standard deviation of the response and the slope.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

A statistical analysis of the results obtained by the proposed spectrofluorometric methods for the determination of (RSV, EZE, and PIT) and those obtained by reported methods was carried out by “SPSS statistical package version 11.” The significant difference between groups was tested by one-way ANOVA, as shown in Table 2. The test ascertained that there was no significant difference among the methods.

4. Conclusion

The proposed spectrofluorometric methods have the advantages of simplicity, precision, accuracy, and convenience for the determination of the cited antihyperlipidemic drugs: RSV, EZE, and PIT. Besides,
simultaneous determination of the binary mixture RSV-EZE was carried out. Hence, the proposed methods can be used for the quality control of the cited drugs in ordinary laboratories.
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