BACKGROUND: In smoking COPD patients the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid contains high numbers of inflammatory cells. These cells might produce arachidonic acid (AA) metabolites, which contribute to inflammation and an increased bronchomotor tone.

Aims: To investigate levels of AA metabolites in BAL fluid, before and after inhaled glucocorticoid therapy: fluticasone propionate (FP) 1 mg per day, or placebo.

Methods: A double-blind placebo controlled trial lasting six months. COPD patients were selected by clinical criteria and the presence of bronchial hyper-responsiveness (BHR). Lung function was recorded and in BAL fluid we counted cell numbers and measured LTB₄, LTC₄/D₄/E₄, PGE₂, 6kPGF₁α, PGF₂α and TxB₂. A control group consisted of asymptomatic smokers (*n*=6).

Results: Paired data were obtained from 9 FP treated and 11 placebo patients. BAL cells were almost exclusively alveolar macrophages. In patients and controls both cellularity and levels of AA metabolites were equal. Cell numbers did not change after treatment. Statistically significant decreases after FP therapy were noticed for PGE₂ (30%), 6kPGF₁α (41%) and PGF₂α (54%).

Conclusions: In COPD, the capability of inflammatory cells to produce certain AA metabolites was decreased after inhaled FP treatment. This result is discussed in its relation to clinical effects, the influence of smoking, and the results of an earlier, similar study in asthma patients.

Effects of fluticasone propionate inhalation on levels of arachidonic acid metabolites in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Gert T. Verhoeven^{1,CA}, Ingrid M. Garrelds²,
Henk C. Hoogsteden¹ and Freek J. Zijlstra³

¹Department of Pulmonary and Intensive Care Medicine, ²Department of Pharmacology and

³Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital Dijkzigt and Erasmus University, EMCR, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

^{CA} Corresponding Author

Tel: +31 104634862

Fax: +31 104634856

E-mail: Verhoeven@lond.azr.nl

Introduction

Leukotrienes (LTs) and prostaglandins (PGs) are mediators of inflammation that have been investigated almost exclusively in asthma, but not in COPD. These metabolites of arachidonic acid (AA) are products of several inflammatory cells and part of the mechanisms leading to bronchoconstriction and increased bronchomotor tone. In chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) airway narrowing is caused by several mechanisms, including mechanical factors (loss of elasticity of the lung parenchyma), increased thickness of the walls of the conducting airways, increased bronchomotor tone and intraluminal secretions.^{1,2} Increased numbers of alveolar macrophages, neutrophilic granulocytes and CD8 positive cells constitute the main burden of the cellular infiltrate in the airway walls and alveoli. Their secretory products cause multiple effects, for instance cell influx and cell activation, but also an increase of the contractile status of the smooth muscles in the small bronchi. Among these cell products, AA metabolites are known for their potent bronchoconstrictor activity.

Therefore, we hypothesized that AA metabolites could also be detected in COPD and anti-inflammatory treatment could influence their levels. Samples of BAL supernatant were collected at the start and after six months of a double-blind trial comparing the effect of fluticasone propionate (FP) with placebo, in smoking COPD patients. We decided to study a subgroup of COPD patients, particularly those with bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR), because these patients are subject to an even more accelerated decline of their FEV₁.^{3,4} This could indicate a severe inflammatory infiltrate, increased levels of AA metabolites and potentially an effect of an inhaled glucocorticoid. Smokers without symptoms and with normal lung function served as controls.

Material and methods

Patients

COPD patients were selected according to generally accepted clinical and functional criteria.⁵ Inclusion criteria were: age between 40 and 70 years, current smoker, FEV₁/VC ratio ≤ 70% of predicted normal

values (pred), reversibility of $FEV_1 < 10\%$ pred after 750 µg terbutaline, non-specific BHR – defined by a PC_{20} histamine ≤ 8 mg/ml – and negative skin tests for standard inhaled allergens. Reference values were obtained from ECCS standards.⁶ The main exclusion criteria were a history of asthma and recent respiratory tract infection. Any anti-inflammatory therapy, including corticosteroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and theophyllines, was discontinued. Potential candidates for this study were informed about the negative effects of smoking, and we offered them the opportunity to participate in a smoking-cessation program. The Hospital's Medical Ethics Committee approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Design of the intervention study

We performed a double-blind, placebo-controlled study that lasted for six months. Patients were randomly assigned to either twice-daily 500 µg FP or placebo, which were delivered via a similar diskhaler. The start of treatment was immediately after the first bronchoscopy. Bronchoscopy was repeated at the end of the study. Follow-up visits were at intervals of four weeks.

Bronchoscopy

The procedure was carried out according to international guidelines.⁷ For local anaesthesia we used lidocaine spray and aerosolised oxybuprocaine. The bronchoscope (Olympus BF 1T10) was advanced into the lateral segment of the middle lobe, in wedge position. Lavage was performed successively with 1×40 ml and 4×50 ml sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (Organon Teknika, Boxtel, The Netherlands) at body temperature (37°C) and pH 7.4. Aliquots were aspirated in two siliconized specimen traps, one for the first 'bronchoalveolar fraction' after 40 ml PBS and the second 'alveolar fraction' for the recovery of the 4×50 ml PBS. The lavage fluid was transported to the laboratory on ice and processed immediately. The recovery of the first, bronchoalveolar fraction appeared to be low and insufficient for performing the desired experiments.

Processing of BAL fluid

After measurement of volume, the BAL fluid was filtered through sterile nylon gauzes and centrifuged at 400×g at 4°C for 5 min. The cell pellet was washed in PBS supplemented with 0.5% bovine serum albumin. The total cell number in the BAL cell suspension was counted in a Coulter Counter Model ZM (Coulter Electronics, Hialeah, FL, USA) and viability was assessed by cellular exclusion of trypan blue. With FACScan flow-cytometry (Becton Dickinson, San Jose,

CA, USA) we analyzed $CD3^+$ T-cells. Immunocytochemistry of cytopins was carried out with the APAAP (alkaline phosphatase anti-alkaline phosphatase) method and the monoclonal antibodies CD68 (alveolar macrophages), CD15 (VIM-D5, neutrophils) and BMK-13 (eosinophils). Two independent observers counted at least 300 cells in each cytospin.

Determination of concentrations of AA metabolites

In an earlier publication this has been described in more detail.⁸ In summary, immediately after the BAL procedure, 20 ml of supernatant was processed on C18 SepPak cartridges (Millipore, Bedford, MA), eluted with 2.5 ml methanol and stored at -80°C until analysis. Samples of 200 µl BAL eluted fluid were pipetted into polypropylene tubes and dried with a Savant sample concentrator. After dissolving in 300 µl assay buffer, levels of thromboxane B_2 (TxB_2) and LTB_4 were determined by means of a [3H] RIA with antisera from Advanced Magnetics Inc. (Cambridge, MA) and [3H] labelled compounds from Amersham International (Buckinghamshire, UK). Levels of PGE_2 and $PGF_2\alpha$ were determined with commercially available [3H] kits (Amersham, UK) and 6kPGF $_1\alpha$ with a [^{125}I] RIA kit (Du Pont de Nemours, Dreieich, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. $LTC_4/D_4/E_4$ was measured at room temperature in a microtitre enzyme immunoassay according to protocol (Biotrak, Amersham, UK). The cross reactivity of the LTC_4 antibody with LTD_4 was 100% and with LTE_4 , 30%. Cross reactivities for the other assays to related compounds were negligible or less than 2% at B/Bo 50%.

Statistics

Data are expressed as mean±SD or as median (range), depending on distribution of data. Differences between patient groups were tested with either the (unpaired) Student *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney test, depending upon distribution of data. Pre- and post-intervention data were tested for significant difference with the paired *t*-test or the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Statistical significance was considered at $p < 0.05$.

Results

From the initial 23 participants in the intervention study, paired results were obtained from 9 FP patients and from 12 patients with placebo. The reason for missing paired data were insufficient recovery of either BAL fluid or BAL cells. Characteristics of patients ($n=21$) and controls are listed in Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the FP and the placebo group did not differ significantly. The COPD patients

Table 1. Patient characteristics

	Fluticasone propionate	Placebo	Asymptomatic smokers
N	9	12	6
Age, years	54 ± 8	56 ± 8	44 ± 9
Cigarettes/day	15 ± 8	16 ± 7	21 ± 10
Pack years	25 ± 12	26 ± 10	23 ± 12
FEV ₁ %pred	66 ± 13	61 ± 12	93 ± 11
FEV ₁ reversibility, %	5.3 ± 3.7	5.6 ± 2.7	4.0 ± 3.0
PC ₂₀ histamine, mg/ml	2.6 ± 2.4	0.8 ± 0.6	>8

Mean±SD

had on average moderately severe obstructive airways disease and severe BHR. FEV₁%pred and PC₂₀ were within the range of normal values in the group of asymptomatic smokers, due to selection.

Compliance with study medication was high. The percentage of returned used blisters (which contained FP or placebo) was 92.5 in the FP and 92.7 in the placebo group. In the placebo group, mean FEV₁ declined from 61.4 %pred at the start of the trial to 52.0 %pred after 6 months. On the other hand, in the FP treated patients, lung function remained unchanged; mean FEV₁: 66.4 and 68.1%pred, respectively. This treatment effect was statistically significant (*p*<0.05, rmANOVA test), and considered as a beneficial effect of FP treatment. Parameters of BHR were not influenced by FP treatment.

Immunocytology

BAL fluid recovery was higher in controls as compared to the COPD patients (Table 2). Mean total cell number of the BAL at the start of the trial was 49×10⁶ for FP treated patients and 44×10⁶ in the placebo group (Table 2, no statistical significant difference). Small changes were noticed in the BAL cell numbers at the end of the trial, which were not significant (Table 2). The percentages of fluid recovery, cell viability and cells types were not statistically different between both patient groups at baseline, and did not change significantly after 6 months of intervention

(Table 2). The low number of eosinophils indicates that COPD was stable in nature.²

Arachidonic Acid metabolites

Table 3 shows the levels of protein, albumin and AA metabolites at the start and after six months of intervention, which consisted of either FP inhalation or placebo. The results of the initial measurements in the FP-treated patients and the placebo group are comparatively equal (*p*>0.05, Mann-Whitney test). There are also no significant differences when comparing the COPD patients with the controls. Statistically significant differences (comparing post- and pre-intervention results) were found in the FP-treated patients with regard to PGE₂, 6kPGF₁α and PGF₂α (*p*<0.05, Wilcoxon signed rank test). After correction for protein levels, the results from the statistical tests were similar and also significant for the same three mediators (data not shown). The change of levels of 6kPGF₁α and PGF₂α differed significantly from that in the placebo group [treatment effect] (*p*<0.05, Wilcoxon signed rank test).

Discussion

In a subgroup of COPD patients, particularly those with bronchial hyperresponsiveness, we were able to measure levels of cyclooxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase products in BAL fluid and observed sig-

Table 2. Characteristics of bronchoalveolar lavage

	Fluticasone propionate		Placebo		Asymptomatic smokers
	Start	6 months	Start	6 months	
Fluid recovery, %	55 ± 14	57 ± 14	55 ± 15	50 ± 19	69 ± 2.2
Cell number, ×10 ⁶	49 ± 24	47 ± 31	44 ± 27	40 ± 23	53 ± 27
Cell viability, %	62 ± 12	66 ± 12	70 ± 13	67 ± 12	66 ± 14
CD68 ⁺ macrophages, %	93 ± 8	94 ± 4	94 ± 4	91 ± 7	93 ± 7
CD15 ⁺ granulocytes, %	2.9 ± 2.5	2.8 ± 2.7	2.8 ± 2.7	3.6 ± 6.4	1.7 ± 1.6
BMK13 ⁺ eosinophils, %	0.6 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 1.3	0.9 ± 0.1
CD3 ⁺ T-cells, %	1.3 ± 1.9	0.7 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 4.8	5.9 ± 5.2	1.8 ± 1.9

Mean ± SD

Table 3. Concentrations of protein (μg/ml), albumin (μg/ml) and AA metabolites (pg/ml), before and after intervention, and in the control group (asymptomatic smokers)

	Fluticasone			Placebo			Asymptomatic smokers	
	<i>n</i>	Start	Post-intervention	<i>P</i>	<i>n</i>	Start	Post-intervention	<i>p</i>
Protein	8	118.1 (13.1–201.9)	111.3 (18.0–205.4)	0.6	10	105.4 (33.0–213.7)	84.1 (31.4–122.8)	0.3
Albumin	9	23.9 (14.6–55.2)	20.6 (14.7–74.1)	0.3	12	32.6 (20.8–47.0)	24.6 (14.7–48.1)	0.1
LTB ₄	9	131.2 (54.8–1167)	110.6 (11.4–920.5)	0.3	12	282.5 (41.0–1108)	212.7 (1.7–773.9)	0.3
LTC ₄ /D ₄ /E ₄	9	8.05 (4.68–68.3)	5.46 (4.11–10.99)	0.055	12	9.31 (4.25–27.7)	9.32 (0.01–31.81)	0.1
PGE ₂	9	43.0 (35.0–141.0)	30.0 (12.0–61.0)	0.01	12	62.0 (15.0–166.0)	48.5 (3.0–75.0)	0.3
6kPGF _{1α}	9	69.0 (44.0–184)	41.0 (33.0–65.0)	0.008	12	56.5 (41.0–78.0)	54.5 (27.0–81.0)	0.7
PGF _{2α}	9	98.0 (47.0–193.0)	45.0 (27.0–135.0)	0.028	11	100.0 (36.0–228.0)	107.0 (0.0–347.0)	0.6
TxB ₂	9	136.0 (73.0–1269)	141.0 (69.0–350.0)	0.1	12	201.5 (54.0–421)	182.5 (0.0–369.0)	0.3

Data are expressed as median (range).

* Data incomplete.

nificant decreases of three out of six mediators after inhaled fluticasone propionate therapy, in a placebo controlled trial that lasted for six months (Table 3). Arachidonic acid metabolites have gained attention in asthma, in which they play an important role as mediators of inflammation with bronchoconstriction as the most important clinical effect. In a previous study in our institution concerning asthma patients we have used the same techniques for collecting BAL fluid and measuring levels of AA metabolites.⁹ These patients had a similar degree of BHR (as expressed by PC₂₀) compared to the COPD patients in the present study. However, these asthma patients had a history consistent with asthma, they had allergic reactions for common inhaled allergens and were non-smokers. LTC₄/D₄/E₄ levels were comparable, whereas levels of TxB₂, PGE₂ and 6kPGF₁α were, respectively, approximately 10-, 5- and 15-fold higher in COPD as compared to asthma. The number of cells in the BAL fluid was, however, about five times higher in COPD as compared to asthma. Taking this into account, it appears that cell secretory activity with regard to LTC₄/D₄/E₄ is higher in asthma. This is feasible because asthma is an allergic inflammatory disease, whereas in COPD there is a non-specific inflammatory infiltrate. The production of LTC₄/D₄/E₄ is almost exclusively attributed to mast cells, which are numerous in the airway walls of asthma patients, whereas they are hardly detectable in COPD. On the other hand, inflammatory cells in COPD seem to produce higher amounts of TxB₂ and 6kPGF₁α. The most obvious explanation seems to be that this is induced by cigarette smoking. In one other study we have shown that levels of TxB₂ and PGF₂α in BAL fluid were significantly elevated in smokers as compared to non-smokers, and this correlated with packyears.⁸ Smoking also increased the pentagastrin-stimulated gastric luminal release of TxB₂ and PGF₂α.¹⁰ In the present study, we have compared levels of these mediators in smoking COPD patients with the levels in a control group which consisted of asymptomatic smokers with normal lung function and negative allergy tests. There were no significant differences. These results indicate that smoking (and not some mechanism specific for COPD) could be the single or most important stimulus for an inflammatory infiltrate with high levels of certain cyclooxygenase products.¹¹

Fluticasone propionate (FP) had no significant effect on BAL cellularity or on protein and albumin levels (Table 2). Apparently, the influx and the survival of cells were not affected by this potent topical glucocorticoid. FP also did not influence the levels of the leukotrienes. However, cell secretory activity, particularly the production of PGE₂, 6kPGF₁α and PGF₂α, was inhibited as their levels were decreased after 6 months exposure to FP (Table 3).

In the above mentioned previous study of asthma patients the same dose of FP was used.⁹ From the AA metabolites in asthma, only PGD₂ decreased significantly. Levels of PGF₂α were not measured in that study. One other study in asthma patients also showed lower levels of PGD₂ in BAL fluid after inhaled glucocorticoid therapy.¹² It was concluded that, in asthma, FP downregulated the capability of mast cells, and perhaps also of alveolar macrophages, to produce PGD₂. From our present study in COPD patients we conclude that FP causes downregulation of the capability of alveolar macrophages to produce certain prostaglandins.

In the present study, the statistically significant clinical effect of FP treatment in COPD patients with BHR was not a reduction of any parameter related to BHR, but the course of the FEV₁: it decreased in the placebo group, whereas it remained stable in the FP-treated patients. So, in the placebo group the ongoing inflammation made airway obstruction worse. Anti-inflammatory therapy with FP obliterated FEV₁ decline, and one of the mechanisms underlying this effect could be the reduction of levels of AA metabolites, particularly PGF₂α. PGF₂α has strong bronchoconstrictor activity through a direct effect on airway receptors and indirectly through cholinergic-mediated bronchoconstriction.¹³ Reduction of its level could diminish bronchomotor tone. We also observed a significant decrease in the levels of 6kPGF₁α and PGE₂. 6kPGF₁α is derived from PGI₂, which is, like PGE₂, a mediator with, amongst others, a bronchodilatory effect. Apparently, the activity of these PGs in COPD is less as compared to the activity of PGF₂α. In murine lower airways PGF₂α was the most potent bronchial muscle constrictor.¹⁴ Alternatively, other inflammatory mechanisms could be more important for explaining the clinical effect of FP which we observed in this particular group of COPD patients.

In conclusion, the ongoing inflammation with, amongst others, high concentrations of PGF₂α made airway obstruction worse, whereas inhibition of PGF₂α production by FP seems to be one of the mechanisms leading to preservation of lung function.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This study was financially supported by the Netherlands Asthma Foundation and by GlaxoWellcome, the Netherlands (FLIL44/FMS40060).

References

1. Sacta M. Airway inflammation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; **160**:S17-S20.
2. Jeffery PK. Comparison of the structural and inflammatory features of COPD and asthma. Gilles F. Filley Lecture. *Chest* 2000; **117**(Suppl. 1):251S-60S.
3. Rijcken B, Schouten JP, Xu X, Rosner B, Weiss ST. Airway hyper-responsiveness to histamine associated with accelerated decline in FEV₁. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; **151**:1377-82.
4. Tashkin DP, Altose MD, Connett JE, Kanner RE, Lee WW, Wise RA. Methacholine reactivity predicts changes in lung function over time in smokers with early chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The Lung Health Study Group. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996; **153**:1802-11.

5. ATS Statement. Standards for the diagnosis and care of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; **152**:S77-S120.
6. Quanjer PhH, Tammeling GJ, Cotes JE, Pedersen OF, Peslin R, Yernault JC. Lung volumes and forced ventilatory flows. *Eur Respir J* 1993; **6**(Suppl. 16):5-40.
7. Summary and recommendations of a workshop on the investigative use of fiberoptic bronchoscopy and bronchoalveolar lavage in asthmatics. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985; **132**:180-2.
8. Zijlstra FJ, Vincent JE, MolWM, Hoogsteden HC, Van Hal PT, Jongejan RC. Eicosanoid levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of young female smokers and non-smokers. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1992; **22**:301-6.
9. Overbeek SE, Bogaard JM, Garrelds IM, Zijlstra FJ, Mulder PGH, Hoogsteden HC. Effects of fluticasone propionate on arachidonic acid metabolites in BAL-fluid and metacholine dose-response curves in nonsmoking atopic asthmatics. *Mediators of Inflammation* 1996; **5**:224-9.
10. Fedi P, Calabro A, Orsini B, Milani S, Zacchi P, Salvadori G, et al. Cigarette smoking increases gastric luminal prostaglandin F₂ alpha and thromboxane B₂ in healthy smokers. *Digestion* 1990; **46**:27-34.
11. Lee LY, Hong JL. Involvement of prostanoids in cigarette smoking-induced pathophysiological effects in the lung. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 1999; **61**:145-55.
12. Oosterhoff Y, Overbeek SE, Douma R, Noordhoek JA, Postma DS, Hoogsteden HC, et al. Lower leukotriene C₄ levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid after 2.5 years inhaled corticosteroid therapy. *Mediators of Inflammation* 1995; **4**:426-30.
13. Beasley R, Varley J, Robinson C, Holgate ST. Cholinergic-mediated bronchoconstriction induced by prostaglandin D₂, its initial metabolite 9 α ,11 β -PGF₂ α and PGF₂ α in asthma. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987; **136**:1140-4.
14. Richter M, Sirois P. Effects of eicosanoids, neuromediators and bioactive peptides on murine airways. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2000; **389**:225-34.

**Received 6 November 2000;
accepted 5 January 2001**