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Intracellular Cleavage of the Cx43 C-Terminal Domain by Matrix-Metalloproteases: A Novel Contributor to Inflammation?
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The coordination of tissue function is mediated by gap junctions (GJs) that enable direct cell-cell transfer of metabolic and electric signals. GJs are formed by connexin (Cx) proteins of which Cx43 is most widespread in the human body. Beyond its role in direct intercellular communication, Cx43 also forms nonjunctional hemichannels (HCs) in the plasma membrane that mediate the release of paracrine signaling molecules in the extracellular environment. Both HC and GJ channel function are regulated by protein-protein interactions and posttranslational modifications that predominantly take place in the C-terminal domain of Cx43. Matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) are a major group of zinc-dependent proteases, known to regulate not only extracellular matrix remodeling, but also processing of intracellular proteins. Together with Cx43 channels, both GJs and HCs, MMPs contribute to acute inflammation and a small number of studies reports on an MMP-Cx43 link. Here, we build further on these reports and present a novel hypothesis that describes proteolytic cleavage of the Cx43 C-terminal domain by MMPs and explores possibilities of how such cleavage events may affect Cx43 channel function. Finally, we set out how aberrant channel function resulting from cleavage can contribute to the acute inflammatory response during tissue injury.

1. General Aspects of Matrix-Metalloproteases and Their Role in Inflammation

Metcinzinc matrix-metalloproteases (MMPs) comprise a large family of endopeptidases of which today, 24 distinct genes have been identified in man (only 23 have been identified in mouse) [1]. The prefix “metallo-” refers to the reliance of these endopeptidases on zinc ions to perform hydrolysis of their respective protein substrates. MMPs are best known for their actions in remodeling of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins and typical classification of the MMPs is based on their ECM substrate, their primary structure, and their subcellular localization. Later, MMPs were named according to their historic order of discovery. Groups of MMPs thus include the collagensases (MMP-1, MMP-8, and MMP-13), stromelysins (MMP-3 and MMP-10), stromelysin-like MMPs (MMP-11 and MMP-12), matrilysins (MMP-7 and MMP-26), membrane-type MMPs (MT-MMP-1 to MT-MMP-6), GPI-type MMPs (MMP-17 and MMP-25), and, probably the best known, gelatinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) [2–4]. Research dedicated to identifying MMP targets has however uncovered that in fact the prefix “matrix” is far from complete as the MMP substrate repertoire is much more diverse and also includes growth factors, hormones, cytokines, and chemokines. Even more, MMPs are now known to also cleave intracellular targets (see later) [2, 3]. This multitude of target proteins grants MMPs involvement in a wide array of cellular functions. As such, they contribute to cellular differentiation and migration, regulation of growth factor activity, and cell survival as well as apoptosis, angiogenesis, and inflammation [1].

MMP activity is controlled at three levels: (i) transription; (ii) proenzyme activation, and (iii) inhibition by endogenous proteins, most notably the “tissue inhibitors of metalloproteases” (TIMPs; TIMP-1 to TIMP-4). MMPs are synthesized aszymogens that are activated while being located intracellularly (see further), bound to the plasma...
membrane, or after secretion in the extracellular environment, most commonly by removal of their propeptide domain. A cysteine residue in the propeptide domain interacts with the catalytic zinc ion, thereby preventing protease activity until the propeptide domain is removed. The dissociation of this cysteine-Zn$^{2+}$ interaction ("cysteine switch") is a critical step in the activation of all MMPs. The third and fourth MMP protein domains are a linker region of variable length and a hemopexin domain that confers substrate specificity [2, 5]. TIMPs bind to the catalytic subunit of MMPs and inhibit them with a 1:1 stoichiometry (note though that there are just four TIMPs for over twenty MMPs) and the protease-antiprotease paradigm states that the net MMP proteolytic activity is the difference of total active MMPs minus the total TIMP activity [6]. Internalization, protease activity, posttranslational modifications (S-nitrosylation, glycosylation, oxidation, and alklylation), compartmentalization, and availability of substrates add additional levels of MMP activity control [1, 3].

MMPs are implicated in many pathological conditions as well as pathological conditions, but we here focus on their role in inflammation. The inflammatory response is characterized by a cascade of molecular events including the secretion of cytokines, chemokines, and proteases by the damaged tissue as well as by infiltrating mast cells and neutrophils which are the sentinels responsible for detecting tissue damage or infection. This acute response subsequently promotes invasion of leukocytes from the blood side into the inflamed tissue, giving rise to a more chronic inflammatory state. In nearly every organ or tissue system, MMPs are involved at several levels of the inflammatory cascade. For instance, efficient migration and extravasation of leukocytes along chemotactic gradients to sites of infection are important for establishing effective immunity and MMPs have been shown to contribute to these functions. MMPs aid in establishing a chemotactic signal for recruitment of leukocytes and at the same time degrade ECM and junctional proteins, promoting leukocyte infiltration. Chemokines are immobilized mostly on the ECM or cell surface by binding to glycosaminoglycans and MMPs might contribute to the liberation of these molecules, delivering soluble effectors in the extracellular environment [8]. Paracellular movement of leukocytes is impeded by tight junctions and adherens junctions that occlude the intercellular cleft. Occludin and zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1), important components of the intercellular tight junctional complex, have been identified as substrates of MMPs [9–11]. In addition, vascular endothelial- (VE-) cadherin and E-cadherin, major components of the adherens junction, are known to be cleaved by MMP-7 and MMP-9 [12–14]. Importantly, MMPs are derived from the injured tissue as well as from the infiltrating immune cells. MMP-8 and MMP-9 are, for instance, stored in intracellular granules in neutrophils. Macrophages are on the other hand important in attenuating the acute immune response. Here, MMPs contribute by removing the chemotaxis of neutrophils and by inhibiting T-cell proliferation and function [4, 5].

At a second level, MMPs regulate the availability and activity of inflammatory mediators, including cytokines and chemokines. Whereas the proinflammatory tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF$\alpha$) is generally activated by MMPs, a dual role has been proposed with respect to interleukin-1-beta (IL1$\beta$) activity [15, 16]. Vice versa, several cytokines are implicated in the (up)regulation of MMPs. As such, TNF$\alpha$, IL1$\beta$, and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF$\beta$) are implicated in the upregulation of MMP-1, MMP-3, and MMP-9 via the nuclear factor kappa-B (NFkB) transcription factor, thereby creating a positive feedback loop [17–19].

Finally, MMPs can trigger a specialized form of programmed cell death termed anoikis that is induced by cells detaching from the surrounding ECM by interrupting cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions [20].

A thorough discussion of isolated MMPs' contribution to inflammation falls beyond the scope of this review but is excellently reviewed by others [4, 21, 22]. There are however some aspects that we would wish to highlight here. A first and very relevant aspect of MMPs in view of this review is the activation kinetics of MMPs. Some MMPs, for example, MT-MMPs and the downstream MMP-2, are believed to be constitutively active, although their activity can still be enhanced in inflammatory conditions [23]. Oppositely, other MMPs such as MMP-9 are only induced and activated under conditions of immune activation and are normally associated with activated leukocytes, macrophages, and endothelium [2]. Being dependent on the presence of proinflammatory cytokines and a cascade of cleavage events by upstream proteases (other MMPs, plasmin), activation of MMPs is considered to be a slightly delayed (nevertheless acute) event in the inflammatory response [1, 23]. However, as we will discuss later, removal of the prodomain is not always required and MMPs may be acting at a faster time scale in such conditions. Second, the mode of activation largely determines the site of MMP activity. Being dependent on membrane-bound MT1- and MT3-MMPs for its activation, MMP-2, for example, is generally considered to be spatially constrained, whereas other MMPs like MMP-9 are released in the extracellular space and diffuse to more remote sites. Therefore, secreted MMPs are presumed to cause more widespread damage [23]. In addition, as outlined below, MMPs are now also known to cleave intracellular substrates, unlocking a new level of complexity with respect to their role in inflammation. In the following sections we will discuss their action on connexin (Cx) channel function. These channels too have been identified as important contributors to the inflammatory process (see later); however, only a small number of papers suggested a link between Cxs and MMPs. Their interaction at the functional level therefore remains poorly understood. Here, we try to explain how an MMP-Cx interaction may mechanistically alter channel function and contribute to acute inflammation.

2. Connexin Channels:
Gap Junctions and Hemichannels

Cxs are a family of transmembrane proteins with molecular weights (MW) varying from 26 to 60 kDa on which the current nomenclature is based (e.g., Cx43 has a MW of ∼43 kDa). Cxs form two kinds of channels, namely, gap junctions (GJs)
and hemichannels (HCs). GJs mediate the direct diffusion of ions and molecules with MWs up to 1.5-2 kDa, including inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate, cyclic nucleotides, and energy molecules such as glucose and ATP [24], thereby contributing to the coordination of cell function in several organs and tissues. GJ channel-mediated intercellular communication (GJIC) is, for instance, implicated in the communication of electrical signals between cardiomyocytes, coordinating cardiac pump function. GJIC between smooth muscle cells coordinates, for example, bladder and uterus function [25, 26]. GJs also pass signaling molecules to mediate the propagation of intercellular Ca\(^{2+}\) waves in various tissues and organs [27], they provide metabolic coupling between liver cells or astrocytes [28-30] and contribute to the exchange of bone modulating molecules [31]. However, on the downside, GJs also spread cell death signals to neighboring cells, thereby contributing to tissue/organ damage in pathology [32]. Half GJ channels that arise from the hexameric assembly of different Cx subunits can be present in the plasma membrane both as GJ precursors, called connexons, or as nonjunctional, functional channels, known as HCs. For a long time, it was thought that the only reason for a HC to open was related to their incorporation into a GJ channel. Uncontrolled HC opening was presumed to lead to membrane depolarization and depletion of essential molecules from the cytoplasm, ultimately resulting in cell dysfunction and possibly cell death. The first evidence of functional HCs arose from \textit{in vitro} work using Cx46 expression in Xenopus\textit{ laevis} oocytes, indicating that HC opening resulted not only in dye uptake, but also in cell depolarization and cell death [33]. Research over the past decades has however identified numerous scenarios in which HCs are activated to open, thereby contributing to paracrine signaling through the release of ATP [34], glutamate [35], glutathione [36], NAD\(^+\) [37], and prostaglandins [38, 39]. HC-mediated ATP release, for instance, functions as a paracrine signal in the propagation of intercellular Ca\(^{2+}\) waves [27, 40]. Evidence is accruing that HCs may contribute to physiological functions such as “center-surround” antagonism in the retina [37, 41], osteogenesis [31, 42], regulation of vascular permeability [43], central chemoreception [44], and atherosclerotic plaque formation [45]. However, HCs also have an established role in pathological conditions associated with inflammation which has been particularly well-documented in the brain [46-54]. Finally, both HCs and GJs are important in the induction as has been particularly well-documented in the brain [46–54].

3. MMPs’ Impact on Connexin Expression and Channel Function

3.1. Intracellular Action of MMPs. The only paper thus far indicating that Cx43 is a target for MMPs, more specifically MMP-7, has documented cleavage of the intracellularly located C-terminal domain [7]. Indeed, as outlined above, MMP activity is not confined to the extracellular space and substrates are much more diverse than just matrix proteins. At present, intracellular targets such as intracellular matrix proteins, enzymes, and molecular chaperones regulating transcription and translation are well known to be part of the MMP substrate repertoire. Multiple MMPs, including MMP-1, MMP-2, MMP-3, MMP-7, MMP-8, MMP-9, MMP-13, MMP-26, and MT1,3-MMP, have been shown to process intracellular proteins, indicating that intracellular activity of MMPs is not confined to one particular class of MMPs [3]. MMP-3 is, for example, activated in dopaminergic neurons by the apoptosis inducer BH4 and acts upstream of caspase-3, indirectly contributing to the cleavage and activation of this apoptotic mediator [57]. High throughput degradomics has furthermore identified a myriad of intracellular matrix proteins as substrates of MMP-9, many of which are linked to different autoimmune diseases. Such data indicate that MMP-9 may have an immune-regulatory function, removing toxic molecules that are released upon cell death, but also generating substrates for autoantigens [58]. Finally, intracellular activity of MMP-2 has been confirmed in fast twitch type II muscle fibers with protease activity being dependent on physical exercise [59]; MMP-2’s specific role is however unknown in these cells. In platelets, intracellular activity of MMP-2 contributes to platelet aggregation [60, 61].

Intracellular activation of MMPs may be achieved by intracellular proteases that separate the prodomain from the catalytic domain. The Golgi-associated prohormone conver-

tase furin, for instance, activates MMP-11 by cleavage at the Arg-X-Arg-X-Lys-Arg sequence. This recognition motif has also been identified in MT1-, MT2-, MT3-, MT4-, and MT5-MMPs and in MMP-23, while the similar Arg-X-Arg and Lys-X-Arg sequences have been found in all MMPs, except MMP-7 and MMP-12 [2, 3]. Other candidate mechanisms for intracellular proteolytic processing of MMPs include serine proteases, caspases, upstream intracellular MMPs, and autolytic cleavage [2, 3]. Trypsin-2 has, for instance, been shown to activate MMP-9 inside intracellular vesicles of epithelial cancer cells, thereby determining the aggressive and invasive character of these cells [62]. Intracellular MMP activation does not always require removal of the prodomain. Reactive oxygen (ROS, e.g., peroxynitrite) and nitrogen (RNS) species that reassociated with oxidative stress may interact with the Cys-thiol group and disrupt the interaction with Zn\(^{2+}\), leading to autocatalytic activation while the full-length pro-MMP remains intact. S-nitrosylation and S-glutathionylation have been shown to activate MMP-1, MMP-2, MMP-8, and MMP-9 [2, 3, 63]. MMP-2, MMP-7, MMP-8, and MMP-9 activity have been furthermore shown to be dependent on ROS levels with low levels activating the proteases and high levels preventing protease activity. At the same time, ROS may also alter the structure and binding affinity of TIMPs, resulting in lower affinity and dissociation from the MMPs [64]. Alternatively, oxidative stress has been shown to promote activation of an alternate promoter located within the first intron of the MMP-2 gene, rendering an intracellularly active N-terminal truncated MMP-2 isoform that
lacks the secretory sequence and the inhibitory prodomain region [65]. Intracellular activity of MMPs may be further facilitated by alternative splicing that renders MMP proteins lacking the secretory signal peptide [2, 3, 66, 67]. Finally, it has been proposed that intracellular activation of MMPs can be achieved by proteins belonging to the SIBLING (small integrin-binding ligand N-linked glycoprotein) family. BSP (bone sialoprotein), OSP (osteopontin), and DSPI (dentin matrix protein 1), all upregulated in different types of cancer, may, respectively, bind and activate MMP-2, MMP-9, and MMP-3 without removing the prodomain, but by inducing conformational changes in the protease [68].

3.2. MMP Activity Correlates with Altered Connexin Expression. Evidence for the proteolytic processing of Cx proteins by MMPs is mostly derived from the heart where Cx40, Cx43, and Cx45 are expressed in a site-specific manner with Cx43 present in atrial tissue and being most prevalent in the ventricles. Cx40 and Cx45 are present in SA and AV nodes and in atrial and ventricular tissue, respectively [69, 70]. In the heart, GJs mediate electrical coupling and direct cell-to-cell transfer of chemical and metabolic signals. Consequently, changes of GJ properties are collectively known to contribute to myocardial infarction injury and arrhythmogenesis. Intravenous injection of the proinflammatory cytokine TNFα increased MMP-2 levels in mouse atrial tissue which was correlated with a decrease in Cx40 expression [71]. In canine ventricular tissue, Cx43 expression became progressively weaker and disordered with the duration of ventricular fibrillation. At the same time, a decline in TIMP-2 levels and increase in MMP-2/TIMP-2 ratio were observed [72]. Cardiac pressure overload in TIMP-2 knockout mice was associated with increased levels of MMP-9 and MMP-14, leading to a decreased expression of the endocardial Cx37 as well as Cx43, thereby exacerbating cardiac dysfunction [73]. In cardiac fibroblasts, expression of both MMP-2 and MMP-9 was increased and associated with a concomitant decrease in Cx43 expression after activation of endothelin receptors [74]. Finally, elevated levels of homocysteine, a sulfur-containing nonprotein amino acid and a strong inducer of oxidative stress, activated MMP-9 in mouse ventricular myocytes which led to Cx43 mitochondrial translocation and degradation [75]. A link between Cx expression and MMP activation has also been described in extracardiac tissues, for example, in the retinal endothelial cells, where hyperglycemia increased mitochondrial MMP-2 activity, leading to a downregulation of Cx43 as well as the induction of apoptotic cell death. Treatment with MMP-2 small interfering RNAs prevented the decrease in Cx43 and protected against apoptosis [76]. Oppositely, in hyperglycemic kidneys, ROS activated MMP-9 which was accompanied by an upregulation of Cx40 and Cx43 [77].

Despite correlation between increased MMP expression and decreased Cx expression levels, none of the papers referred to above unequivocally demonstrated a direct role of MMP proteolytic activity in regulating Cx expression. In fact, very few papers have provided such direct evidence. Wu et al. have recently indicated that, in rat H9C2 cardiomyocytes, hypoxia decreased the total Cx43 protein level by ∼30–50% in a MEK/ERK MAPK-dependent and MMP-9-dependent manner. The Zn$^{2+}$ chelating compound doxycycline largely prevented the decline in Cx43 [78]. Doxycycline is best known as a broad-spectrum antibiotic tetracycline but also acts as a broad-spectrum MMP inhibitor at subantimicrobial doses. Furthermore, the most straightforward evidence for the proteolytic processing of Cx43 by MMPs was provided by Lindsey et al. [7]. In postmyocardial infarction heart sections, Cx43 staining was decreased while cardiomyocyte MMP-7 levels were significantly increased. Accordingly, Cx43 downregulation was not observed in MMP-7 knockout mice. Further evaluation by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) protein binding studies demonstrated a direct and specific interaction between Cx43 and MMP-7. Importantly, decreased Cx43 detection levels were observed when using an antibody targeting the last 10 C-terminal amino acids (373–382), but not when using an antibody targeting amino acids (252–270) that are located more upstream in the C-terminal domain. This argued in favor for the proteolytic cleavage of Cx43 C-terminal amino acids, rather than an overall decrease in Cx43 expression levels. In silico analysis indeed revealed two sites with sequence homology to known MMP-7 cleavage sequences within the Cx43 C-terminal domain: 341 NQNAKKVAAGHELQPLAIVD [80] shows similarity with the MMP-7 cleavage sequence GPQAIAGQ; 375 PRPDDLEI [82] shows similarity with the MMP-7 cleavage sequence PPEELKFQ [7] (Figure 1).

We performed further in silico analysis of possible MMP cleavage sites in the human Cx43 C-terminal domain using PROSPER (http://lightning.med.monash.edu.au/PROSPER/) [79] and SitePrediction (http://www.dmb.rugent.be/prx/biot2-public/SitePrediction/) [80]. SitePrediction uses known datasets available in literature to identify possible cleavage sites in a given amino acid sequence. It combines similarity scores of the candidate sequence with known cleavage sites, with frequency scores that indicate whether amino acids of the candidate sequence are likely to occur at the cleavage domain recognized by a specific protease [80]. SitePrediction allows predicting of cleavage sites of numerous proteases, including MMP-1, MMP-2, MMP-3, MMP-7, MMP-8, MMP-9, MMP-12, and MMP-13. Of these, only MMP-2, MMP-7, and MMP-9 have been described in the context of altered Cx43 expression/function and we therefore chose to focus only on these three MMPs. SitePrediction identifies numerous potential cleavage sites in the Cx43 C-terminal domain that have 95% specificity (the chance that the identified site is an actual cleavage site) (Table 1). Analysis using PROSPER seems more stringent as compared to SitePrediction as only one cleavage domain is identified for MMP-2 and no candidate sites for MMP-7 are recognized (Table 2). Like SitePrediction, PROSPER identifies protease substrates and their cleavage sites, using info available in the peptidase database MEROPS. It furthermore combines a number of complementary sequence and structural features, including local amino acid sequence profile, predicted secondary structure, solvent accessibility, and natively disordered region, as well as some global sequence features, for predicting cleavage sites of protease substrates [79]. The resulting probability score (that describes the quantitative
Figure 1: Predicted MMP cleavage sites of the Cx43 C-terminal domain. *In silico* analysis using PROSPER and SitePrediction reveals several potential cleavage sites of MMP-2 (green circles), MMP-7 (pink letters), and MMP-9 (blue circles) in the human Cx43 C-terminal domain. MMP target domains are 8 amino acids in length (P4-P3-P2-P1-P1′-P2′-P3′-P4′) with the actual MMP cleavage site (between P1 and P1′) indicated by the arrowhead. In addition, we include one MMP-7 target site published in [7] that is not predicted by *in silico* analysis using PROSPER or SitePredict (indicated by the asterisk). Inset depicts the full length topology of Cx43.
Table 1: Results of cleavage site prediction based on SitePrediction∗ in silico analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix-metalloprotease</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Average score</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMP-2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>QPLA --&gt; IVDQ</td>
<td>476.396</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355</td>
<td>ELQP --&gt; LAIV</td>
<td>117.022</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277</td>
<td>PTAP --&gt; LSPM</td>
<td>155.854</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>TSAG --&gt; LSPA</td>
<td>106.264</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>ALSP --&gt; AKDC</td>
<td>26.467</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>AGST --&gt; ISNS</td>
<td>25.335</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>ATSG --&gt; ALSP</td>
<td>22.937</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>PLSP --&gt; MSPP</td>
<td>19.648</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379</td>
<td>RPDD --&gt; LEI</td>
<td>18.873</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **MMP-7**              |          |         |               |             |
| 355                    | ELQP --> LAIV | 29.767  | >99%          |             |
| 287                    | PGYK --> LVGT | 29.767  | >99%          |             |
| 352                    | AGHE --> LQPL | 5.998   | >95%          |             |
| 255                    | GALS --> PAKD | 5.152   | >95%          |             |
| 277                    | PTAP --> LSPM | 4.057   | >95%          |             |
| 379                    | RPDD --> LEI | 3.397   | >95%          |             |
| 253                    | TSAG --> LSPS | 3.242   | >95%          |             |
| 285                    | SPPG --> YKLV | 2.469   | >95%          |             |
| 349                    | KLAA --> GHEL | 2.431   | >95%          |             |
| 238                    | GVKD --> RVKL | 2.119   | >95%          |             |

| **MMP-9**              |          |         |               |             |
| 285                    | SPPG --> YKLV | 47.023  | >99%          |             |
| 324                    | GQAG --> STIS | 35.498  | >99%          |             |
| 255                    | GALS --> PAKD | 13.580  | >95%          |             |
| 357                    | QPLA --> IVDQ | 11.443  | >95%          |             |
| 252                    | ATSG --> ALSP | 11.129  | >95%          |             |
| 235                    | FFKG --> VKDR | 4.991   | >95%          |             |

∗http://www.dmbr.ugent.be/prx/bioit2-public/SitePrediction/; also identified by PROSPER.

Table 2: Results of cleavage site prediction based on PROSPER∗ in silico analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix-metalloprotease</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Probability score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMP-2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>QPLA --&gt; IVDQ</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **MMP-9**              |          |         |                   |
| 357                    | QPLA --> IVDQ | 1.21    |                   |
| 285                    | SPPG --> YKLV | 1.10    |                   |
| 248                    | DPHY --> ATTG | 1.08    |                   |
| 231                    | LFYG --> FFKG | 1.08    |                   |
| 359                    | LAIV --> DQRP | 1.03    |                   |
| 287                    | PGYK --> LVGT | 1.01    |                   |
| 334                    | HAQP --> FDNP | 0.98    |                   |
| 277                    | PTAP --> LSPM | 0.97    |                   |
| 379                    | RPDD --> LEI | 0.96    |                   |

∗https://prosper.ec.monash.edu.au; also identified by SitePrediction.

cleavage probability for each cleavage site) contains a confidence in the prediction and only cleavage sites with a predicted cleavage probability score greater than 0.8 are listed. Only MMP-2, MMP-7, MMP-9, and MMP-3 are available in PROSPER [79] and we again focused on MMP-2, MMP-7, and MMP-9 for identifying potential cleavage sites in the Cx43 protein. Figure 1 summarizes the potential target sites of MMP-2, MMP-7, and MMP-9 in the Cx43 C-terminal domain as identified by PROSPER and SitePrediction. We stress, however, that in silico analysis is predictive and requires further experimental validation. For instance, although an interaction between Cx43 and MMP-7 has been confirmed by SPR [7], PROSPER did not identify MMP-7 as a protease that cleaves Cx43. SitePrediction does, but the identified domains do not correspond with those described by Lindsey et al. [7]. In addition, one of the sites identified in [7] (Figure 1, indicated by *) has an average score of 0.005 and a specificity far below 95% in SitePrediction. Oppositely, both PROSPER and SitePrediction identified MMP-2 as a potential candidate, but MMP-2 failed to bind Cx43 in SPR studies [7].

3.3. Functional Consequences of C-Terminal Cx43 MMP-Cleavage at the Channel Level. Cx43 is by far best characterized in terms of the role of its C-terminal domain in modulating channel function. This domain comprises amino acids 232–382 and is the primary interaction domain of Cx-associated partner proteins like ZO-1, tubulin, microtubules, and caveolins that may regulate protein trafficking and function [81–83]. Additionally, it is the prime target for posttranslational modifications such as S-nitrosylation [84] and phosphorylation [85]. Under both basal and stimulated conditions, Cx channel activity appears to be regulated by ongoing phosphorylation-dephosphorylation events. However, much of the details on how Cx phosphorylation can determine the activity state of HCs and GJs still remains to be resolved [85]. Interestingly, certain kinases, including pH-dependent kinases [86], act on the Cx43 C-terminal domain, a molecular hotspot for the control of GJ and HC activity. Phosphorylation of the CT tail may add negative charges at this site, potentiating an interaction between the CT and the second half of the cytoplasmic loop (CL), termed the L2 region (AAs 119–144). As such, the L2 region, which contains a stretch of positively charged AAs, serves as a receptor domain for the CT [87, 88]. This intramolecular CT-CL interaction has been proposed to act as a ball-and-chain mechanism mediating the closure of GJ channels, for instance, during voltage gating and chemical gating of GJ channels by intracellular acidification [89–95] (Figure 2(a)). The CT-CL interaction is expected to induce a change in channel conformation that brings the GJs in a closed state [96]. Strikingly, for Cx43HCs, such CT-CL interaction is essential for HC opening. This was suggested for the first time in studies investigating the bimodal response of
Cx43HCs to an increase in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\). A moderate increase in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), up to 500 nM strongly promotes Cx43HC opening while this effect disappears with larger \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), elevations to the micromolar level that tend to close the HCs [97–99]. Mechanistically, \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)-activation of Cx43HCs is mediated by calmodulin-dependent signaling [98] and is dependent on a CT-CL interaction [100] that brings the HCs in the “available to open” state [96] (Figure 2b). Importantly, CT-CL interaction is a necessary condition for HC opening, but the actual opening is triggered by membrane depolarization or moderate (<500 nM) elevation of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\). HC closure at above 500 nM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) is mediated by cytoskeletal contractions that pull the C-terminal domain away from the CL [96, 101] (Figure 2(b)). The latter system acts as a brake on HC opening and is operational under physiological conditions presumably to prevent the detrimental effect of uncontrolled opening of this large conductance channel. The dependence of Cx43HC opening on a CT-CL interaction stands in stark contrast to the fact that such interaction results in closure of GJs [102]. At the molecular level, it is still uncertain why and how GJs and HCs are differentially modulated by a CT-CL interaction. Nonjunctural HCs (closed) may adopt different conformations as compared to those incorporated into GJs (open). Interactions between subunits during docking of two HCs may indeed result in conformational changes of the Cx protein, thereby altering gating properties. Another element that may contribute is the fact that HCs and GJ channels are differentially located in different plasma membrane domains with different properties such as lipid rafts [48].

Like most transmembrane proteins, Cxs are cotranslationally integrated into the rough endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane where they adopt their native transmembrane configuration [103, 104]. The subsequent oligomerization of Cx proteins into HCs starts in the ER, progressing to the trans-Golgi network [103, 104]. After leaving the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment, Cxs then transit through the cis- and trans-Golgi network before being shuttled to the PM [56, 105]. Some data indicate that Cx43 is transiently phosphorylated early in the secretory pathway [106], suggesting that the CT is exposed and available for interaction with modifying proteins during transit from the ER to the Golgi network and plasma membrane. Thus, in principle, intracellular cleavage of the Cx43 C-terminal domain may not only occur in plasma membrane Cx43 channels, but also in channels that are “en route” to the plasma membrane. Work with CT-truncated Cx43 mutants has repetitively shown that CT-truncated proteins are present at the plasma membrane of mammalian cells [93, 107–109]. Consequently, also following MMP cleavage, channels harboring truncated Cx43 will be present at the plasma membrane. Note though that \(\text{in vivo}\) data describing the trafficking behavior of disease-associated Cx43 mutations giving rise to preliminary CT-truncated Cx43 indicates that these truncated proteins are not inserted in the plasma membrane [110–113].

GJs have generally been shown to remain functional when composed of truncated Cx43. This has been confirmed by dye coupling studies for Cx43\(_{\text{M239stop}}\) [114] and Cx43\(_{\text{D378stop}}\) [115] mutants. Dual patch clamp studies have revealed similar
results at the macroscopic level for Cx43\textsuperscript{D378stop} [115] and Cx43\textsuperscript{M239stop}. Yet, single channel analysis of the latter revealed that mean open time was prolonged and transitions to intermediate, residual open states were lost. This was demonstrated both in cardiomyocytes [107] and astrocytes [116]. GJs formed by truncated Cx43 were furthermore found to be resistant to closure upon intracellular acidosis [117]. Opposite to GJs, HCs containing CT-truncated Cx43 become refractive to activation in response to membrane depolarization [34] and increased [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} (Cx43\textsuperscript{M239stop} [100]) or by omission of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} form the culture medium (Cx43\textsuperscript{M239stop} [114]), most likely because the CT-CL interaction that is necessary for HC opening is lost. Opposite results exist for HCs formed of truncated Cx43 (Cx43\textsuperscript{M258stop}) that still open in zero Ca\textsuperscript{2+} conditions [116]. As the mechanism of Cx43HC opening by depletion of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} conditions remains to be fully elucidated, it is uncertain whether the short, remaining CT stretch in the Cx43\textsuperscript{M258stop} versus the Cx43\textsuperscript{M239stop} can be responsible for this differential outcome.

C-terminal Cx43 cleavage by MMPs not only results in a truncated protein with compromised CT-CL interaction capabilities but also renders small, free endogenous C-terminal peptides that have potential to alter channel function in their own right [7]. This is exemplified by application of exogenous peptides that mimic the last 9 amino acids of the Cx43 protein (RPRPDDLEI). ACT1, developed by Gourdie and coworkers, is an example of such a peptide that is N-terminally linked to an antennapedia cell-penetrating peptide [118]. ACT1 interferes with the binding of ZO-1 to the C-terminal domain, thereby sequestering undocked Cx43 connexons into GJs, enhancing GJ aggregation and potentiating GJIC, without stimulating Cx43 expression [118, 119]. ACT1 is currently under investigation as a novel therapeutic in wound healing [120–123] and may also be applicable as an antiarrhythmicogenic compound [124] as well as a tumor suppressor [125]. The ACT1 peptide was only found effective as an HC inhibitor in confluent cell monolayers, but not in semiconfluent cells. It was therefore hypothesized that ACT1 inhibits HCs only because more HCs are incorporated into GJ channels [119]. However, a slightly different picture has been proposed by Ponsaerts et al. [100]. In this collaborative work, we used the very same C-terminal peptide (RPRPDDLEI) but linked to the TAT translocation sequence (derived from the HIV-1 virus), to investigate HC gating by [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], changes in subconfluent cell cultures. Here, addition of the “TAT-CT” peptide prevented HC closure at high [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], by binding to the CL, thereby mimicking the endogenous CT-CL interaction. Exogenously added TAT-CT peptide is thus able to substitute for the endogenous CT sequence. In line with this, TAT-CT restored HC activity of C-terminally truncated Cx43 (Cx43\textsuperscript{M239stop}), while not affecting HC activation by modest (<500 nM) [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i}. The lack of TAT-CT effect on closed Cx43HC in resting conditions points to a scenario whereby CT-CL interaction is a necessary condition for HC opening triggered by stimuli such as strong membrane depolarization or moderate (<500 nM) [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} elevation. Some additional activation steps may be necessary that first expose the CL domain for subsequent binding of the CT.

In this context, ZO-1 did not seem to play a role in the modulation of HC function by TAT-CT since a TAT-CT version lacking the last isoleucine residue, essential for interaction with ZO-1, was fully capable of alleviating closure by high [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], and of restoring the activity of Cx43\textsuperscript{M239stop} HCs [100]. This was later confirmed in Cx43\textsuperscript{D378stop} adult hearts where Cx43 and ZO-1 still normally colocalized at the intercalated disk despite the absence of the 5 last C-terminal amino acids [115]. As a consequence of these considerations, the peptide resulting from cleavage of the Cx43 C-terminal domain at \textsuperscript{375}PRPDDLEI\textsuperscript{382} as has been described for MMP-7 [7] and suggested for MMP-9 (Figure 1), may thus prevent pathological closure of GJs while promoting HC opening at high [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]. On a longer time scale (hours/days), HC opening may be dampened as suggested by the work with ACT1 peptide.

Finally, forced expression of Cx43 C-terminal fragments has additionally revealed their translocation to the nucleus where they act to inhibit cell growth and abrogate differentiation [126–129]. Thus, MMP generated CT fragments may potentially act via nuclear signaling as well.

Intriguingly, endogenous, cytoplasmic C-terminal fragments of Cx43, about 20 kDa in size have been observed in cultured murine and hamster cells and tumor cells and in cardiac cells subjected to ischemia [130–134]. These naturally occurring fragments have been suggested to result from internal translation of the GJA1 gene transcript [133] since their occurrence could not be prevented by the MMP protease inhibitor EGTA-complete(R) and the serine protease inhibitor phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) [131]. However, it looks less convincing that addition of protease inhibitors in the lysis buffer would prevent the occurrence of previously MMP-cleaved fragments in the lysate. In another paper, cleavage was excluded as a possible source of these fragments because detection with an N-terminal Cx43 antibody could only reveal full-length protein but not truncated Cx43 [132]. Obviously, posttranscriptional control of Cx43 expression at the mRNA level may explain some of these results but we propose to carefully consider the alternative option of cleavage by proteases. Indeed, in most cases, these C-terminal 20 kDa fragments mainly remain at the observational level and their origin is not discussed. Particularly in conditions known to induce MMP activity such as ischemia, protease activity may well contribute to the cellular production of these fragments.

4. Implications of Altered Connexin Channel Function in Inflammation

Several studies specifically implicate Cx43HCs in various injuries and inflammatory pathways. Some evidence comes from the cardiac system [48, 135, 136] but most evidence derives from the central nervous system where increased Cx43 expression has been observed following stroke, epilepsy, optic nerve damage, spinal cord injury, amyloid plaque formation, and MS and where Cx43HC opening leads to increased damage via the inflammatory response [47, 52, 137–144]. Cx43HC opening in inflammatory conditions is
known to be mediated by advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) [145], oxidative stress [84, 145], and proinflammatory cytokines [146, 147]. Almost simultaneously, Retamal et al. [146] and Morita et al. [147] were the first to show that exposure of cultured astrocytes to (microglia-derived) proinflammatory cytokines such as IL1β and TNFα stimulated Cx43HC opening. This was mediated by the activation of p38 MAPK and increase in NOS activity and NO production [146]. Acute opening of astroglial Cx43HCs by IL1α/β was later confirmed using live brain slices from mice harboring \textit{S. aureus} induced abscesses [148].

Upon opening, Cx43HCs form a well-known pathway for the release of ATP which is driven by a large concentration gradient between the intra- and extracellular compartment [34, 149, 150]. This purinergic messenger is a crucial factor in establishing a chemotactic signal for infiltrating polymorphonuclear neutrophils [151–154]. Modulation of Cx43HCs and inhibition of ATP release indeed correlate with reduced tissue invasion of neutrophils [155]. Neutrophils on their part also release ATP by means of Cx43HCs, further contributing to the progression of the inflammatory response [156, 157]. In addition, Cx43HC-mediated ATP release can actively contribute to the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome, a protein complex that serves to sense pathogen- and danger-associated molecular patterns and is involved in IL1β and IL18 processing [158]. Using the endothelial cell line b.End5, Robertson and coworkers have furthermore indicated that ATP release induces the expression of Toll-like receptor-2 (TLR2) and production of IL6 upon infection with \textit{S. epidermidis}. In turn, TLR2 activation gave rise to a further upregulation of Cx43 expression, albeit it had no impact on actual Cx43HC opening [159]. Not only ATP but also other active compounds released by HCs might contribute to progression of inflammation. For instance, inhibition of glutamate release from activated microglia has proven beneficial in the outcome of spinal cord injury, reducing glial scar formation and increasing expression of growth factors [140]. Recently, Cx43HCs were also found to play a role in neuropathic pain. Here, Cx43 expression was upregulated in spinal cord astrocytes following chronic constriction injury and subsequent Cx43HC opening led to the release of the chemokine CXCL1 [160].

In short, aberrant Cx43HC-mediated signaling may promote acute inflammation. Intriguingly, all of the above-mentioned pathological conditions (stroke, epilepsy, bacterial infection, etc.) in which Cx43HCs contribute to inflammation are also associated with increased MMP activity [23, 161–166]. In the following paragraphs we set out a hypothesis of how proteolytic cleavage of MMPs can contribute to inflammation.

Interestingly, knockout of the MMPs that have been associated with reduced Cx43 expression/function (i.e., MMP-2, MMP-7, and MMP-9) leads to altered chemotaxis, attenuated leukocyte influx, and reduced cell death [8, 167–173]. However, an equal amount of papers describes impaired repair, increased leukocyte load, and an aggravated inflammatory response upon knockout of these MMPs [13, 174–176].

As described above, an important feature of the MMPs is their minor latency of activation, with oxidative stress and/or expression of proinflammatory cytokines generally preceding MMP activity. Interestingly, activation of Cx43HCs by the proinflammatory cytokines TNFα, IL1β, and IFNγ requires exposure times in the order of 9 h [177], 24 h [146], and 48 h [178]. These very slow actions may well reflect the involvement of MMPs. Additionally, MMP expression and activity depend on an increase of [Ca2+]i, triggered by proinflammatory cytokines [179]. This [Ca2+]i increase will also activate Cx43HC opening when HCs are in the “available to open” state. Subsequent MMP-cleavage in the CT will generate a C-terminal peptide that is bound to the CL (Figure 2(b)). The consequence effect of proteolytic cleavage on HC function may depend on the position of the MMP cleavage site relative to the yet unidentified Cx43-actomyosin interaction domain(s) in the Cx43 C-terminal tail that mediates high [Ca2+]i-induced HC closure (“high [Ca2+]i, brake”). When cleavage occurs at a site that is situated C-terminally from the Cx43-actomyosin interaction domain, it is expected that the C-terminal peptide cannot be removed from the CL by cytoskeletal/actomyosin contractions (see Section 3.3). Thus, HCs remain in the “available to open” state (with effective opening triggered by membrane depolarization or [Ca2+]i increase), but the high [Ca2+]i brake disappears, thereby promoting HC opening in cells with pathologically high [Ca2+]i (Figure 2(b)). Alternatively, when cleavage takes place at a site that lies upstream at the N-terminal side of the actomyosin linkage domain, the outcome is less clear. Theoretically, it is possible that cytoskeletal contractions remove the C-terminal peptide from the CL; however, multiple amino acid domains in the CT may participate in the CT-CL interaction. Indeed, Cx43 C-terminal amino acid domains 281–295, 299–304, 341–327, 342–348, and 360–382 have all been shown to engage in the intramolecular interactions necessary for ball-and-chain GJ channel gating [180, 181]. For Cx43HCs, at present, only the last 10 amino acids have been described to contribute to the CT-CL interaction necessary for promoting HC opening. However, as for GJs, it is to be expected that more upstream domains also participate in this intramolecular interaction. Identification of the actomyosin interaction site in the Cx43 C-terminal domain will allow us to further define the effects of MMP cleavage upstream (N-terminally) of the actomyosin linkage domain on HC function. For GJ channels, various proteins have been suggested to function as a linker between the cytoskeleton and the Cx43 C-terminal tail, including drebrin [182], cortactin [183], ezrin [184], Src [185], CIP85 [186], CCN3 [187], and ZO-1 [100, 188, 189]. For HCs, the latter can be excluded as the intermediate between the cytoskeleton and the Cx43 C-terminal domain [100]; however, whether the other candidate proteins, mentioned in the context of GJs, also interact with Cx43HCs remains to be confirmed.

Oxidative stress, that is tightly linked to the intracellular Ca2+ household [190] and to faster, intracellular MMP activation, has also been shown to promote Cx43HC opening within a time frame of several minutes [84, 191]. As described above, MMP cleavage may in this case further promote HC opening mediated by a loss of their high [Ca2+]i brake. Interestingly, Cx43HCs themselves may subsequently act as
an entry channel for ROS that may further activate MMPs and HC through a positive feedback loop [191].

Increased opening of Cx43HCs following proteolytic processing by MMPs would give rise to exaggerated ATP release and leukocyte infiltration, altogether aggravating the inflammatory response. Additionally, persistent loss of ATP and nutrients would also result in cellular energy deprivation and ultimately cell death. Furthermore, in the brain, elevated levels of glutamate in the cerebral interstitial fluid are known to be excitotoxic and so HC glutamate release by microglial cells would give rise to neurodegeneration. Although HC opening in inflammatory conditions is generally considered deleterious for the cell, a few reports indicate that HC opening may act protective, for example, by releasing signaling molecules that activate Src and ERK-mediated survival signals or by releasing prostaglandin E2 that protects against apoptosis [192–194].

Opposite to HCs, generally taken, GJIC is reduced in inflammatory conditions, as shown for proinflammatory cytokines, ATP, and oxidative stress [146, 195–200]. Such uncoupling has dual effects on the inflamed tissue; on the one hand, it may act as a protective mechanism that encapsulates the injured cells and functionally separates them from the surrounding healthy tissue. However, at the same time, uncoupling also impedes the supply of energy and nutrients that are necessary for tissue repair processes. Notably, contrasting reports that describe persisting functional GJIC in inflammation are available as well [159, 201, 202]. GJs have, for instance, been shown to propagate oxidative stress and bystander cell death [32, 203]. Additionally, coupled cells are able to share antigens with and trigger a response in cytotoxic T-lymphocytes [204]. Finally, GJs have been suggested to mediate the propagation of NFκB and MAPK activation from infected to noninfected cells, leading to IL8 production, also by the latter [201]. Interestingly, using a brain abscess model, Karpuk et al. [46] have indicated that the degree of GJIC inhibition is dependent on the distance to the lesion site and that coupling gradually increases with expanding distance from the lesion site. Despite the upregulation of Cx43 expression, uncoupling was observed at the third day after infection and persisted up to the 7th day, indicating a long lasting effect [46]. The mechanisms that mediate such persistent block of GJIC without affecting expression levels are currently unknown. Given the double role of GJIC, the differential outcome of uncoupling in inflammation, and uncertainties regarding the detailed mechanisms of uncoupling, it is very difficult to speculate on the functional outcome of proteolytic cleavage mediated by MMPs in terms of GJIC and its impact on inflammation.

Finally, CT truncation not only should be considered relevant in view of intramolecular gating mechanisms and single channel function but is also of utmost importance at the level of protein-protein interactions. As mentioned above, the Cx43 C-terminal domain contains interaction sites for, for example, ZO-1, occludin, claudin, tubulin, and the protooncogene Src [205, 206]. Deletion of the last 5 amino acids has no functional effects of the level of GJIC but nevertheless induces arrhythmogenesis due to aberrant, channel independent interactions of Cx43 with sodium and potassium channels [115]. The purinergic receptor P2Y1 is another example protein believed to interact with the C-terminal domain of Cx43 and its expression is reduced in Cx43 knockout animals [207, 208]. Via their interaction with proteins that contribute to tight and adherens junctions (e.g., ZO-1 and occludin), Cxs stabilize the junctional complex that is situated between epithelial and endothelial cells and impedes paracellular diffusion [209–212]. A reduction in Cx43 expression is often accompanied by a downregulation of the junctional proteins leading to compromised intercellular junctions. Importantly, destabilization of the junctions abrogates the barrier function of epithelial and endothelial cells and therefore facilitates the paracellular movement of leukocytes into the inflamed tissue. On the other hand, exposure of astrocytes to IIL1β leads to a concomitant downregulation of Cx43 and upregulation of the tight junctional protein claudin-1. It is believed that the latter brings astrocytes closer together, reducing the extracellular space volume and forcing inflammatory molecules to move in a particular direction [198]. Notably, connexin protein interactions with junctional proteins also stabilize the GJ plaques [213, 214]. In addition, many of the Cx43 interaction partners, including ZO-1 and occludin, are also MMP substrates and their proteolytic cleavage is expected to induce GJ uncoupling. Yet, such matter is ought to be addressed in future studies.

5. Conclusions

Via their remodeling of ECM and intercellular junctions as well as by their proteolytic processing of cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors, MMPs importantly contribute to inflammation, a process in which they are known to have beneficial as well as detrimental functions. In this paper we specifically describe the regulation of Cx43 expression and channel function by the intracellular action of MMPs. Cx43 is the most prevalent building block of GJs and HCs, two types of channels intricately involved in tissue homeostasis as well as in acute inflammation. A handful of reports describe a link between altered expression of Cx43 on the one hand and elevated levels of MMP activation on the other. In silico analysis additionally demonstrates that MMPs are capable of mediating cleavage of the Cx43 C-terminal domain which is an important determinant of HC and GJ channel function. Such cleavage has also been directly demonstrated in cardiac tissue where it contributes to tissue damage following myocardial infarction. Unfortunately, until now, no studies have been performed that unequivocally demonstrate the direct impact of MMPs on channel function at the mechanistic level. We here explain the possible effects of C-terminal cleavage on Cx43 channel function, using available information that comes from work with Cx43 truncated mutants and studies with exogenous C-terminal peptides as a basis. However, in inflammatory conditions where the oligomerized Cx43 protein is cleaved in the plasma membrane instead of being exogenously expressed as a truncated mutant, the underlying mechanisms may be very different. In addition, much like MMPs, the contribution of GJs and HCs to the inflammatory process seems very diverse with inhibition of the channels resulting in a positive...
as well as a negative outcome, depending on the tissue, the trigger, and the timing. Therefore, future challenges will be to better understand the role of MMPs and Cx43 channels in inflammation and to gain detailed insight in the nature of MMP-Cx interactions as well as in the effects on channel function before gearing up to the therapeutic level.
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