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Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, a Multisystem Pathology: Insights into the Role of TNFα
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Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is considered a multifactorial, multisystem disease in which inflammation and the immune system play important roles in development and progression. The pleiotropic cytokine TNFα is one of the major players governing the inflammation in the central nervous system and peripheral districts such as the neuromuscular and immune system. Changes in TNFα levels are reported in blood, cerebrospinal fluid, and nerve tissues of ALS patients and animal models. However, whether they play a detrimental or protective role on the disease progression is still not clear. Our group and others have recently reported opposite involvements of TNFR1 and TNFR2 in motor neuron death. TNFR2 mediates TNFα toxic effects on these neurons presumably through the activation of MAP kinase-related pathways. On the other hand, TNFR2 regulates the function and proliferation of regulatory T cells (Treg) whose expression is inversely correlated with the disease progression rate in ALS patients. In addition, TNFα is considered a procachectic factor with a direct catabolic effect on skeletal muscles, causing wasting. We review and discuss the role of TNFα in ALS in the light of its multisystem nature.

1. Introduction

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a progressive and fatal adult motor neuron disease (MND), known since 1864, but still mysterious as concerns the mechanism of onset and the ineluctable progression characterized by increasing muscular atrophy, with loss of strength, paralysis, and death [1–3]. Death is due to respiratory failure and occurs typically 3–5 years after diagnosis, although in some cases, survival is longer than two decades [4]. Usually, the disease starts focally with subtle weakness of the limb or bulbar muscles and then spreads, progressing to paralysis of almost all skeletal muscles. The pathology encompasses distant biological systems including the brain, spinal cord, and muscle/neuromuscular junctions. It is now becoming clear that ALS also involves other nonneuromuscular systems which may have substantial roles in driving the degenerative process and/or influencing the rate of disease progression, hence the prognosis. These systems include the peripheral immune system, innate and adaptive, and are influenced by the overall metabolic status [5, 6].

Nearly 90% of all ALS cases arise spontaneously, while the remaining 10% are linked to genetic mutations, mostly...
inherited as a dominant trait. Along with the well-known mutations in the Cu²⁺/Zn²⁺ superoxide dismutase (SOD1) gene [7], discovered more than two decades ago as a unique gene mutation specifically linked to ALS, twelve other ALS genes have been discovered in the last ten years. These genes can be grouped into several categories based on their protein function and their involvement in (i) protein homeostasis, such as optineurin [8], valosin-containing protein [9], ubiquitin 2 [10], and TBK1 [11]; (ii) RNA metabolism and function such as TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43), fused in sarcoma/translocated in liposarcoma (FUS/TLS) [12], C9orf72 [13, 14], matrin 3 [15], and angiogenin [16]); (iii) cytoskeletal dynamics of motor axons such as dynactin subunit 1 [17], profilin 1 [18], and tubulin alpha-4 A chain [19]; (iv) mitochondrial function such as CHCHD10 [20]; and (v) regulation of inflammation such as TNFR1 [11]. This genetic variability explains the complexity of the disease in which heterogeneous mechanisms converge towards a common pathogenesis. These mechanisms include alterations in RNA processing and stability, dysfunction in proteostasis and protein quality control, mitochondrial dysfunction and RNA processing and stability, dysfunction in proteostasis, and neuroinflammation [21].

Neuroinflammation is a typical hallmark of ALS, detectable in the nervous system and peripheral biological fluids. While the disease progression in ALS is a result of slow and progressive dysfunction and loss of motor neurons, other nonneuronal cells in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral nervous system (PNS), including the immune cells, play crucial roles [22, 23]. Microglia and astroglial proliferation and activation are prominent histological features in the spinal cord and motor cortex of ALS patients and have been detected in vivo by positron emission tomography during the course of the disease [24, 25]. Infiltrates of macrophages and T lymphocytes have been reported in both the CNS and PNS of ALS patients and in animal models, testifying the direct involvement of the immune system [6, 26–28].

TNFα is one of the major proinflammatory cytokines, with a central role in the initiation and orchestration of immunity and inflammation. TNFα participates in local and systemic inflammation with pleiotropic actions including both pro- and anti-inflammatory functions. It acts through two main receptors, the p55 TNFα receptor (TNFR1) and the p75 TNFα receptor (TNFR2), that differ in their binding affinity for TNFα, expression pattern, and downstream signal transduction cascades [29]. This cytokine has been implicated in motor neuron death occurring in ALS patients and animal models [30–33]. However, the controversial results regarding its role in governing the progression of the disease in ALS mice [34, 35] and the lack of efficacy of the treatment with anti-TNFα therapeutics in patients [36] have reduced the general interest about its possible relevance in the pathology. Nevertheless, a recent gene expression study using next-generation RNA sequencing (RNAseq, Illumina) analysis in postmortem cervical spinal cord from sporadic ALS patients identified significant elevation of inflammatory processes with TNFα as a major regulatory molecule [37]. TNFα was also detected as one of the main candidate hubs in a gene coexpression network in the fibroblasts of ALS patients with C9orf72 mutation, underlying its potential contribution to the altered immune response in ALS [38]. There is also fresh evidence that the two receptors TNFR1 and TNFR2 may act in opposite directions in motor neuron degeneration, suggesting new perspectives in identifying specific potential therapeutic targets [39, 40].

These recent discoveries, together with the increasing evidence of the role of the immune system as a primary event in ALS pathology, prompted us to re-examine the evidence linking TNFα with the etiology of the disease. This review discusses the potential role of TNFα at the intersection of various cellular and molecular mechanisms associated with the pathological alterations in ALS, not only in the nervous system but also in relation to the muscle wasting and metabolic changes that characterize the disease.

2. TNFα, TNFα Receptors, and Related Intracellular Pathways in ALS

TNFα is a 26kDa cytokine expressed by activated monocytes/macrophages, microglia, and activated natural killer and T cells and also by nonimmune cells like astrocytes, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and neurons [41]. Once synthesized as a 233-amino acid-long type II transmembrane monomeric protein, it is transferred into the membrane, forming a stable homotrimer. Membrane-bound TNFα (mTNFα) is then cleaved by TNFα-converting enzyme (TACE), also called ADAM17, which releases a soluble form of TNFα (sTNFα). This circulates throughout the body displaying its potent endocrine function and its ability to act at distant physiological sites.

Both soluble and membrane TNFα are biologically active, and a number of factors, including cell status and stimuli, control the balance between the two forms. Their signal transduction involves binding with two transmembrane receptors (TNFRs), TNFR1 and TNFR2, which are differently expressed and regulated and control different signaling pathways. While TNFR1 is constitutively expressed in almost all cells, TNFR2 is mainly expressed in lymphocytes and other immune cells and is induced by different cell stimulations [41]. TNFR1 binds to both sTNFα and mTNFα while TNFR2 preferentially interacts with mTNFα and is believed to play an important role in localized signaling during cell-to-cell interactions, possibly also through “reverse signaling” processes [41, 42]. The cytoplasmic tail of TNFR1 contains a death domain that is missing in TNFR2.

Although initially TNFR1 activation was considered to be primarily involved in the cytotoxic and apoptotic effects of TNFα, while TNFR2 stimulation was related exclusively to cell survival and proliferation, now, it is becoming clear that TNFR2 can also induce cell death, directly or indirectly. For instance, through a cell-to-cell interaction, the binding between mTNFα and TNFR2 can induce tumor and neuronal cell death [39, 43, 44].

The complex and divergent roles of TNFα-induced TNFR signaling in apoptosis and inflammation have been described elsewhere [45]. Of particular interest in the present review is the role of TNFRs in modulation of the signaling
mediated by the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade, implicated in neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative mechanisms [45]. Moreover, membrane-integrated TNFα can receive stimulation from TNFR binding, resulting in a “reverse signaling”, that activates signals into the mTNFα-bearing cell. This process enables a two-way communication in cell-to-cell contact, possibly contributing to the plasticity of the ligand-receptor systems and facilitating the fine-tuning of the immune response [41, 46]. As discussed later, this mechanism can also play a significant role in the interaction between motor neurons and neighboring glial cells in ALS models [39].

The actual contribution of TNFα to the pathophysiology of ALS remains highly controversial on account of the pleiotropic nature of this cytokine and related pathways. Numerous studies have demonstrated altered homeostasis of the TNFα system in ALS patients and in mouse models of the disease. Elevated levels of TNFα were reported in the blood [30, 31, 47] and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [48] of ALS patients. While the high plasma levels, which positively correlate with the illness duration [49], may derive from a large proportion of TNFα-positive cells in the epidermis and in some blood vessels and glands of patients, the high CSF levels may come from the upregulation of TNFα in motor neurons and reactive microglial/astroglial cells seen in the spinal cord of individuals affected by sporadic ALS (Figure 1).

High levels of TNFα were also detected in the spinal cord of SOD1G93A transgenic mice before symptom onset [33, 40, 50–52] and in motor neurons and microglia of symptomatic wobbler mice, another model of motor neuron disorder [53, 54], suggesting a prominent role of this cytokine in the development of the disease. Thalidomide and lenalidomide, two immune-modulatory drugs that induce the degradation of TNFα mRNA injected presymptomatically, lowered TNFα expression in the spinal cord, reduced motor neuron loss, improved the motor deficit, and increased the survival of SOD1G93A mice [34]. However, the constitutive deletion of the gene coding for TNFα in the same mouse model did not change the lifespan or reduce motor neuron degeneration [35]. This approach, which completely abolished TNFα expression during development, probably activated compensatory mechanisms through which the mutant SOD1 could exert its toxicity. Not only TNFα but also both its receptors TNFR1 and TNFR2 were markedly upregulated in the spinal cord of presymptomatic SOD1G93A [40, 51, 52] and symptomatic wobbler [53] mice. This was also evident in sporadic ALS patients. In fact, we found significant upregulation of TNFR1 and TNFR2 transcripts in the homogenate of the lumbar spinal cord from ALS patients compared to nonneurological controls, and this correlated with an increased immunoreactivity of both receptors in the reactive astrocytes and dystrophic microglial cells (Figure 2). Thus, it appears that while TNFα is overproduced and probably secreted by microglia, astrocytes, and neurons under stress conditions [55] (Figure 1), its receptors are upregulated only in the glial cells.

Recently, studying astrocyte-spinal neuron cocultures from SOD1G93A mice, we noted that upregulation of mTNFα specifically in motor neurons was detrimental as treatment with thalidomide or anti-TNFα antibody completely rescued motor neurons in this experimental paradigm. Interestingly, the reduction in TNFR2, but not in TNFR1, in either astrocytes or neurons as well as treatment with anti-TNFR2
antibody completely abolished the motor neuron death [39]. Since in an astrocyte-free spinal neuronal culture, the soluble TNFR2 did not rescue the motor neurons, but rather killed them, we suggested that the detrimental effect of mTNFα on motor neurons was exerted through a cell contact interaction between the TNFR2 exposed on astrocytes and mTNFα on motor neuron membranes, through a reverse signaling mechanism. Thus, we hypothesize that SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> can render motor neurons sensitive to astroglial TNFR2, by increasing the expression of mTNFα in the cell membrane. The detrimental role of TNFR2 was confirmed in vivo in SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice knockout for the receptor, where there was a partial but significant protection of motor neurons in the lumbar spinal cord of ALS patients (n = 12) and controls (n = 6). Data were analyzed by t-test (*p < 0.05 ALS versus controls).

Not so clear, instead, is the role of the upregulation of both TNFRs in motor neurons of SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> during the development of the disease [52]. Recently, we demonstrated by a transcriptomic analysis of laser-dissected motor neurons from SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice that the synthesis of both TNFR1 and TNFR2 is significantly increased at the onset and in the symptomatic stage of the disease, supporting the idea that intraneuronal cross-talk between TNFR1 and TNFR2 could play a role in motor neuron death [56]. It was reported that only the combined deletion of both TNFR1 and TNFR2 receptors prevented motor neuron death after facial nerve axotomy in adult mice [57]. However, since

**Figure 2:** Expression of TNFR1 and TNFR2 in the spinal cord of ALS patients. Laser scanning confocal micrographs of immunofluorescence for TNFR1 (red) and ferritin (microglia, green) or GFAP (astrocytes, green) showed higher expression of TNFR1 in the glial cells of ALS patients (c, d, arrows) than those of controls (a, b). Magnification: 40x and 60x (inset, d). Immunofluorescence for TNFR2 (red) and ferritin (microglia, green) or GFAP (astrocytes, green) showed higher levels of TNFR2 in the glial cells of ALS patients (h, i, arrows) than those of controls (f, g). Magnification: 40x. (e, j) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of TNFR1 and TNFR2 mRNA levels in the lumbar spinal cord of ALS patients (n = 12) and controls (n = 6). Data were analyzed by t-test (*p < 0.05 ALS versus controls).
TNFR1 and TNFR2 were deleted in neurons and in nonneuronal cells, it is hard to define the specific role of each receptor and cell type in the protective response.

TNFR1 is generally believed to mediate TNFα-induced cell death signaling, but there is increasing evidence of the neuroprotective activity of this receptor in neurons, as reviewed by Probert [58]. For example, Taoufik et al. showed that neuroprotection induced by erythropoietin and Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) against oxygen-glucose deprivation and NMDA excitotoxicity depended significantly on the presence of TNFR1 in cortical neurons [59].

In addition, microglia-derived TNFα exerted neuroneuroprotective effects through TNFR1 on cerebral ischemia [60]. In contrast, TNFR2, which is commonly considered to promote survival signaling through direct recruitment of TRAF2 and the activation of P3K and NF-κB pathways [41], had toxic effects on motor neurons in our in vitro and in vivo models of ALS [39]. Sipe and colleagues also reported a detrimental role of TNFR2 on neuronal cells [44]. They showed that the induction of TNFR2 expression on the surface of N1E neuroblastoma cells caused cell death through the binding of mTNFα exposed by adjacent cells, through a cell-to-cell contact mechanism.

Microglia are considered key players in the neuroinflammation that accompanies the development of ALS. Recent evidence indicates that TNFα released by activated microglia is essential in inducing the neurotoxic A1 phenotype in astrocytes that lose their trophic function [61] and A1 astroglial cells were found in autopic tissues of patients with different neurodegenerative diseases, including ALS [61]. Importantly, extracellular SOD1(G93A) has been reported to activate, through the CD14-TLR2 pathway, a neurotoxic phenotype in microglia characterized by the increased release of proinflammatory cytokines, including TNFα [62]. Further, activation of the ionotropic purinergic receptor P2X7 in SOD1(G93A) microglia leads to the production of significantly high levels of TNFα, which exert a neurotoxic effect on motor neuron cultures [63].

LPS activation of NF-κB in microglial cells expressing mutant TDP-43 is associated with the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNFα, IL-6, and IFNy [64]. The release of TNFα by microglia can also generate a self-sustained autocrine loop via TNFR1 stimulation, inducing a neuroinflammatory activated phenotype [65], which leads to a state of chronic neuroinflammation detrimental to motor neurons. Thus, if TNFR1 in astrocytes is needed for a protective effect on ALS-injured motor neurons, its presence in the microglia may be toxic by exacerbating neuroinflammation. The specific ablation of TNFR2 in microglia induced a proinflammatory and more invasive phenotype of these cells, reducing their homeostatic functions and accelerating the onset of the disease in an EAE model of multiple sclerosis [66].

Oligodendrocytes are another type of a nonneuronal cell susceptible to TNFα's action, and their implication in the pathogenic mechanisms of ALS has been only recently reported [67]. Oligodendrocytes are severely affected during the disease, and they degenerate before motor neuron death. In the attempt to compensate for oligodendrocyte loss, progenitor cells become highly proliferative but fail to reach the fully differentiated state [68]. As a result, motor fibers in the spinal cords of mouse models and ALS patients show evident signs of demyelination [27, 67]. TNFα interferes with oligodendrocyte differentiation and causes their death [69], effects mediated by TNFR1 [70, 71]. In contrast, the activation of TNFR2 which is mainly expressed in oligodendrocyte progenitor cells protects these cells from oxidative stress [71]. Therefore, also in oligodendrocytes, a diverging action of the two TNFα receptors emerges, as in microglia and astrocytes. However, their relative contributions to the action of these cells during the development of ALS require further investigation.

This evidence suggests that the opposite effects of TNFRs on survival or death of motor neurons depend on the cell population involved. For example, while the inhibition of TNFR1 in microglia and oligodendrocytes can be protective for motor neurons, the response becomes detrimental if the TNFR1 is inhibited in astrocytes. The opposite is expected with TNFR2 inhibition.

These data illustrate the difficulty of effectively regulating the action of TNFα/TNF signaling on motor neuronal degeneration. Therefore, information on the downstream pathways is required to identify specific targets and conditions that allow the balance between beneficial and detrimental effects of TNFα, to finally obtain neuroprotection.

The difficulty in interpreting the TNFα system’s effects also lies in the variety of intracellular pathways it can activate, as widely reviewed [41, 72, 73]. We previously reported that the high levels of TNFRs in the spinal cord of mutant SOD1 mice were associated with activation of signaling involving MKK3-6, M KK4, ASK1, and phosphorylated p38MAPK (P-pMAPK) in motor neurons and glial cells [52, 74]. Phospho-p38MAPK accumulation was also found in the spheroid-like inclusions observed in human ALS motor neurons [75]. We have recently confirmed that ASK1 mRNA and immunoreactivity are also elevated in the spinal cord of ALS patients (Figure 3). This supports the hypothesis based on the SOD1(G93A) mouse study of the involvement of the TNFRs/ASK1/p38MAPK axis in spinal motor neuron degeneration [52].

To investigate further the interaction between TNFα, its receptors, and the ASK1/p38MAPK pathway in ALS, we examined the effect of selective TNFR1 or TNFR2 antagonist-neutralizing antibodies in primary astrocyte-spinal neuron cocultures expressing SOD1(G93A). Unexpectedly, the significant increase in phospho-ASK1 (P-ASK1) immunoreactivity in transgenic large motor neurons was prevented by inhibition of each of TNFRs separately (Figure 3). These results suggest that the ASK1/p38MAPK pathway may, at least in this cellular paradigm, be a convergent target for both the TNFα receptors, but since only the inhibition of TNFR2 preserved motor neuron from death [39], the significance of the response to TNFR1 inhibition remains to be clarified. There is ample evidence that the ASK1/p38MAPK pathway activation is detrimental to ALS motor neurons. For example, the deletion of ASK1 reduced motor neuron loss and prolonged the lifespan of mutant SOD1 mice [76, 77]. In addition, the inhibition
Figure 3: Phosphorylated ASK-1 (P-ASK1) in the spinal cord of ALS patients. The control spinal cord shows a weak cytoplasmic staining for P-ASK1 in the anterior horn motor neurons (a). ALS patient spinal cords had increased immunoreactivity for P-ASK1 in the cell bodies and proximal neurites of anterior horn motor neurons (c). P-ASK1 immunostaining was more expressed in the glial cells (astrocytes and microglia) of ALS patients (d, arrows) than those of controls (b). Magnification: 40x. (e) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of ASK1 mRNA levels in the lumbar spinal cord of ALS patients (n = 12) and controls (n = 6). Data were analyzed by t-test (⁎p < 0.05 ALS versus controls).

(f) Immunocytochemistry for phosphorylated ASK-1 (P-ASK1, red) in astrocyte-spinal neuron cocultures expressing SOD1G93A and nontransgenic control (CTR). Large motor neurons expressing SOD1G93A identified by SMI32 labeling (green) and morphological criteria (maximum diameter and shape) showed greater immunoreactivity for P-ASK1 than those of controls. Three-day treatment with neutralizing antibodies anti-TNFR1 (AbTNFR1) or anti-TNFR2 (AbTNFR2) reduced immunoreactivity for P-ASK1 in the transgenic motor neurons. Magnification: 60x; scale bar: 10 μm. The graph (g) shows the quantification of P-ASK1 immunoreactivity. The bar graph represents mean ± SD (% of untreated control) of three independent experiments. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (⁎⁎p < 0.01 compared to untreated CTR; §§ p < 0.01 compared to SOD1G93A). (h) Effect of the P-p38MAPK inhibitor SB239063 on motor neuron viability in astrocyte-spinal cord cocultures expressing SOD1G93A. SB239063 totally protected transgenic motor neurons in SOD1G93A cocultures after six days in vitro. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (⁎⁎p < 0.01 compared to untreated CTR). All experiments with cell cultures were done as previously described [45].
of p38MAPK with the selective antagonist SB239063 completely prevented motor neuron death in SOD1G93A cocultures (Figure 3). This agrees with a recent report that CIIA mitigated SOD1G93A-induced cytotoxicity by inhibiting the activation of ASK1/p38MAPK signaling [78]. In addition, previous studies showed significant protection of motor neurons and proximal axons in SOD1G93A mice after administration of the p38MAPK inhibitor semapimod [79], although this effect was not accompanied by an increase in survival.

These data suggest the importance of the TNFR2/ASK1/p38MAPK pathway in the mechanisms of motor neuron degeneration and point out the growing evidence of a dissociation between the protection of motor neurons and the lack of an effect on mouse survival. This is probably because various cellular components are involved in ALS pathology, like muscles and the immune system, that can react differently to therapeutic agents or genetic manipulation.

3. TNFα and the Immune System in ALS

Evidence is accumulating that the immune system, both innate and adaptive, is critical in the pathology of ALS [6, 23, 26, 28]. In the CNS microglia and macrophages, the local components of the innate immune response become activated in the affected tissues in response to priming events, for example, misfolded and aggregated proteins, mitochondrial dysfunction, or impaired axonal transport, triggering neuroinflammation. This may lead to a state of chronic activation of the innate and adaptive immune system as indicated by the infiltration of macrophages, dendritic cells, and T lymphocytes (CD4+ and CD8+) in the central [6, 80] and peripheral nervous system [81] of SOD1G93A mice at different stages of the disease, as well as in postmortem tissues from patients [23, 26].

Although initially neuroinflammation was considered detrimental in ALS, this idea was challenged by the demonstration of a dual role of immunity with protective and toxic phases during the disease progression: an early M2/Th2 protective phase characterized by stable disease and a late M1/Th1 phase characterized by rapid disease progression [82, 83]. During the early stable phase, the immune system is protective with glia and T cells, especially M2 microglia/macrophages and T helper 2 cells (Th2)/regulatory T cells (Treg), providing anti-inflammatory factors that sustain motor neuron viability. This phase is characterized by enhanced IL-10 and IL-4 expression in the spinal cord and accumulation of FoxP3, a marker of suppressive Tregs in the spinal cord, lymph nodes, and blood of mutant SOD1 mice, followed by an overall decrease as the disease progresses [84]. Interestingly, ALS patients have low levels of circulating Tregs [24], with an inverse correlation between the rate of disease progression and the percentages of circulating Treg and FoxP3 [85]. Therefore, low Treg and FoxP3 mRNA levels were proposed as accurate biomarkers predicting rapid disease progression [86]. In addition, passive transfer of Tregs from donor ALS mice during the early slow phase or by ex vivo cell expansion extended the early phase and prolonged survival of recipient SOD1G93A mice [87, 88], demonstrating the neuroprotective properties of these cells. The enhanced neuroprotection by Treg cells was attributed to the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines with subsequent maintenance of M2 microglia and suppression of effector T cells (Teffs). Thus, increasing the Tregs in patients at the early stages of the pathological process might be a promising therapeutic approach to hamper the disease progression [86].

Among the factors that exert strong activity on Tregs, TNFα plays a major role, although with divergent effects. In fact, TNFα can either promote Treg proliferation and expansion or limit the suppressive capacity [89]. Therefore, depending on which effect prevails, TNFα may exert either protective (anti-inflammatory) or pathogenic (proinflammatory) activity. TNFR2, but not TNFR1, is expressed by human and mouse CD4+FoxP3 Treg, in the steady and activated state [90], and it has been suggested that the coexpression of CD25 with TNFR2 could mark the cells with the most suppressive capacity [91].

In addition to the ability to induce proliferation of Tregs, TNFα may also control their suppressive function. TNFα strongly downregulated FoxP3 expression in human Treg cells [92] and inhibited its phosphorylation [93]. Therefore, TNFα and its receptors, particularly TNFR2, might potentially be able to tip the balance between pathogenic Teff cells and protective Treg cells in both directions, depending on synergy with other inflammatory factors. For example, IL-6 which is overexpressed in ALS at the later phases also inhibits the generation of FoxP3+ Treg cells induced by TGF-beta [94] and may act in synergy with TNFα to reduce Treg function. Therefore, considering the high expression of FoxP3 in mutant SOD1 mice at the early stage of the disease [88], it is reasonable to assume that an initial TNFα-TNFR2 interaction may be critical for the early neuroprotective activity, while in the late phase, the increased levels of both TNFα and IL6 may synergize to suppress the protective Treg. However, when we examined the levels of FoxP3 in the spinal cord of SOD1G93A mice lacking the TNFR2 receptors, we did not find difference from SOD1G93A/TNFR2−/− mice. Therefore, Treg modulation may not be a primary mechanism in the motor neuron protection derived from TNFR2 inhibition.

On the other hand, we confirmed that Treg levels in the spinal cords of SOD1G93A mice at an advanced symptomatic stage were significantly lower than those in the spinal cords of nontransgenic littermates, in line with the hypothesis of a reduction in these cells during the late M1 phase of disease progression. TNFR2 deficiency in mice has been reported to lower the overall cytokine production and proliferation of CD8+ T cells [95]. Therefore, we cannot exclude that the general depletion of TNFR2 could have reduced the later detrimental proinflammatory effect of the M1/T1 phase in both the central and peripheral compartments, preventing the massive loss of motor neurons and neuromuscular junction in SOD1G93A mice at the symptomatic stage. It remains to be explained why the protection of the neuromuscular system in SOD1G93A/TNFR2-knockout mice was not associated with an improvement in the disease course. Conceivably, some overall proinflammatory activity of
TNFα, through TNFR1, or other proinflammatory cytokines like INFγ and IL-6 may have a negative impact on the muscle wasting and general metabolic changes seen in these mice during symptom progression, masking the benefit resulting from the protection of the motor neurons and NMJs.

4. TNFα and Muscle Wasting in ALS

TNFα is a cell signaling protein responsible for several metabolic derangements leading to muscle wasting [96]. It promotes a wasteful metabolic process in muscles, leading to increased protein degradation and consequent loss of skeletal muscle mass. This occurs through a pathway in which reactive oxygen species (ROS) and NF-κB are the early mediators of a cascade leading to protein degradation through activation of the ubiquitin proteasome pathway (UPP). The intracellular ROS induced by the activity of TNFα leads to activation of IKKb, making NF-κB available for translocation to the nucleus where it activates the expression of genes that regulate the UPP. In doing this, TNFα not only promotes the loss of proteins but also becomes responsible for transcription regulation, cell cycle progression, and antigen presentation in the muscles [97]. This process mediated by TNF1, not TNFR2, is involved in muscle protein degradation and wasting [98].

The progressive wasting of skeletal muscle is the main cause of the loss of strength, motor disability, paralysis, and death in ALS. Although the dismantlement of neuromuscular junctions is considered the primary cause of muscle atrophy, ALS patients and mouse models all show clear muscle dysmetabolism/hypermetabolism in relation to disease progression [99]. Proteomic analysis of gastrocnemius muscle from SOD1 G93A mice with clear signs of motor neuron loss of strength, motor disability, paralysis, and wasting [98].

This process involves the muscle wasting in SOD1 mice and perhaps in ALS patients too.

TNFα also plays an important role in the metabolic alterations like altered lipid and carbohydrate metabolism and insulin resistance that are typical of cachexia syndrome [96]. Cachexia is a clinical condition much akin to ALS. The syndrome is characterized by weight loss due to loss of both muscle and adipose tissues but with disproportionate muscular wasting in patients with cancer or other chronic systemic disorders like inflammatory diseases (sepsis, rheumatoid arthritis, and HIV) or cardiac, renal, and respiratory insufficiency [103].

Patients with ALS are generally lean and lose body mass, muscle mass, and fat as the disease progresses [99]. An association of diabetes and insulin resistance with ALS has been suggested in several studies, although there are controversial opinions on whether this association is protective or detrimental to the disease development and progression [5]. Insulin resistance has been considered an important mechanism for the muscle wasting in cachexia as it increases proteolysis by increasing the expression of UPP components [104], and TNFα is responsible for this [96]. Therefore, considering the high TNFα levels found in biological fluids of ALS patients [30, 31, 48], we cannot exclude a potential role of this cytokine in the metabolic derangements associated with the disease.

5. TNFα and Glutamate-Mediated Excitotoxicity

Another mechanism through which TNFα can induce or otherwise affect the motor neuron degeneration in ALS involves its action on excitotoxicity. Excitotoxicity is the result of excessive activation of glutamate receptors which may be due to failure in neurotransmitter clearance from the synaptic cleft or increased postsynaptic sensitivity to glutamate. The first evidence of an involvement of excitotoxicity in ALS was the markedly high glutamate levels in the cerebrospinal fluid of ALS patients [105]. This was later attributed to altered glutamate clearance from the synaptic cleft due to the reduced expression and function of the Na+-dependent glutamate transporter EAAT2 (GLT-1 in rodents) in ALS patients [106] and mouse models [107, 108]. This transporter, which is responsible for removing up to 94% of glutamate from the synaptic cleft, is present on the astrocytic processes that envelop the synapse [109].

Overactivation of amino-3-hydroxyl-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate (AMPA) receptors is considered the main cause of excitotoxicity for motor neurons. Spinal motor neurons possess several Ca2+-permeable AMPA receptors under basal conditions, and this high density may increase susceptibility to degeneration [110–112]. AMPA receptor calcium permeability is largely determined by the GluR2 subunit which is posttranscriptionally edited, changing a codon encoding glutamine to one encoding arginine (Gln/Arg) in the second transmembrane domain, making the receptor complex impermeable to calcium. Reduced GluR2 subunits have been reported in spinal motor neurons of ALS patients [110] and SOD1 G93A mutant mice [113], making them highly permeable to calcium and more susceptible to excitotoxic insults. In keeping with this, the overexpression or deficit of GluR2 subunits in SOD1 G93A mice improved or accelerated the disease progression, respectively [111, 114], while different AMPA receptor antagonists slowed the disease progression and prolonged the survival of SOD1 mutant mice [113, 115]. Unfortunately, in patients, the noncompetitive AMPA antagonist talampanel failed to improve the disease course [116].

Thus, multifaceted evidence suggests that increased glutamatergic neurotransmission may contribute to the motor
neuron neurodegeneration in ALS even if inhibitors of the excitotoxicity, except for riluzole, have failed in clinical trials [117]. TNFα is the first endogenous mediator known to influence the excitotoxicity and the extracellular levels of glutamate by both enhancing its release and reducing its reuptake [118]. The first evidence comes from studies in human neuronal cultures showing that subtoxic doses of TNFα and AMPA became neurotoxic when combined [119]. Hermann and colleagues then demonstrated that no interactions of nontoxic doses of TNFα and kainate combined in rat spinal cord led to neuronal cell death which was reversed by an AMPA receptor antagonist [120].

TNFα is also a critical component of the regulatory system controlling synaptic plasticity [121], synaptic strength, and excitability by modifying AMPA receptor trafficking. This occurs through a deficiency of GluR2 with a consequent increase in Ca2+ permeability [122]. In line with this, TNFα can potentiate AMPA receptor-mediated excitotoxicity on lumbar spinal motor neurons by inducing rapid membrane reassembly with a heavy presence of Ca2+-permeable AMPA receptors [123]. TNFα was also reported to downregulate astrocytic EAAT2/GLT-1 expression, and this effect was mediated through the NF-κB binding to the EAAT2 promoter [124, 125]. Another mechanism through which TNFα may promote excitotoxicity has been demonstrated in cultured astrocytes through the binding of TNFα to TNFR1, which raises intracellular calcium, followed by glutamate exocytosis [126]. In mouse primary microglia too, TNFα can induce excitotoxicity in an autocrine manner through the TNFR1 pathway, by promoting microglial release of glutamate [127].

Finally, besides increasing the surface expression of glutamate receptors, TNFα also induces endocytosis of GABA-A receptors, reducing their inhibitory action [128]. Thus, the exacerbation of excitotoxicity mediated by TNFα can occur at different levels: (i) by increasing the expression of calcium-permeable AMPA receptors in motor neurons, (ii) by reducing glutamate reuptake by astrocytes, and (iii) by increasing glutamate release from microglia through the TNFR1 pathway. On the basis of these data, it would be reasonable to propose the inhibition of TNFα/TNFR1 signaling as a neuroprotective therapeutic approach. However, as discussed earlier, TNFR1 activation in astrocytes is vital for the release of GDNF and the protection of motor neurons. Therefore, the overall manipulation of TNFR1 receptors in the CNS may have opposite effects on motor neuron survival, making this approach impracticable for pharmacological therapy in ALS. Only the targeted therapeutic approaches using gene therapy or engineered molecules to selectively interfere with the expression and activity of this receptor in a cell-specific manner might effectively improve the disease progression.

6. Anti-TNFα Therapy in ALS

Although there is no doubt that TNFα plays a role in ALS, as reviewed here and elsewhere, different anti-inflammatory treatments intended to lower its levels have achieved only partial improvement, no effect, or even exacerbation of the symptoms in animal models and patients. For example, minocycline, which reduces the synthesis of TNFα and other inflammatory mediators, delayed the disease progression in mutant ALS mice [129] but had harmful effects on patients in a phase III randomized clinical trial [130]. Similar results were obtained with thalidomide, a more specific TNFα synthesis inhibitor, which partially relieved the motor deficit and prolonged the survival of SOD1G93A mice [34] but caused adverse effects and did not effectively affect the disease progression of ALS patients in a phase II clinical trial [36].

There are several possible reasons for the different responses in animal models and patients. A major issue is that in the mouse models treatment is often given before the symptom onset; on the contrary, in patients, the disease is usually already advanced by the time of diagnosis and treatment, so the motor neurons are already markedly compromised. In fact, when minocycline was given to SOD1 mutant mice after the disease onset, it did not affect survival and exacerbated the inflammatory milieu around motor neurons [131].

It is likely—as evidenced from this review—that TNFα/TNFα signaling has many different roles at the different stages of disease and only targeting it in the initial phase may prevent the massive loss of motor neurons and rapid progression of the pathology. Therefore, it becomes essential to identify early diagnostic markers in patients to allow prompt pharmacological intervention with anti-TNFα therapies. Although thalidomide and lenalidomide completely rescued the spinal motor neuron degeneration in SOD1 mutant mice, the gains in motor performance and in lifespan were only transient and modest, suggesting that other parts of the neuromuscular system were not preserved by anti-TNFα therapy. This is probably explained by the pleiotropic action of TNFα and the different contributions of its receptors in a multicellular disease involving motor neurons, astrocytes, microglia, infiltrated immune cells, and muscles, as discussed earlier. What is needed now is novel, highly specific, and selective anti-TNFα therapeutic approaches to ensure the efficacy and safety of treatments in ALS.

A wide range of different biologic molecules and immunomodulatory drugs have been developed to inhibit the action of TNFα and/or interfere with their receptor activity as a therapeutic strategy in inflammatory peripheral disease and autoimmune disorders [41, 45]. They include FDA-approved biologics that bind to both mTNFα and sTNFα, preventing the binding and activation of TNFα receptors. However, the pleiotropic nature of TNFα and the nonspecific activity of these molecules lead to serious side effects such as lymphoma, congestive heart failure, demyelinating disease, and infections [132].

Despite these drawbacks and the poor penetration of the blood-brain barrier by these agents, clinical trials with etanercept, a genetically engineered Fc fusion protein generated from the extracellular domain of human TNFR2 which blocks both sTNF and mTNF, have been conducted in patients with Alzheimer disease (AD), with significant cognitive and behavioral improvements [133, 134]. On the contrary, treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS) patients with
lenercept, a dimeric TNFR1 extracellular domain fused to a human IgG1, was stopped during a phase II randomized study, due to an increase in the frequency, duration, and severity of MS attack [135]. The failure was probably caused by the nonspecific inhibition of both sTNFα and mTNFα which acting through TNFR1 and TNFR2, respectively, can mediate nerve demyelination or remyelination through different pathways, as reviewed by McCoy and Tansey [41].

To date, no clinical trials have been conducted in ALS using these anti-TNFα biologics. However, case reports have been published showing patients developing ALS after long treatments with anti-TNFα molecules. Dziadzio and collaborators described a patient with rheumatoid arthritis who was diagnosed with ALS after receiving infliximab for five years [136]. Subsequently, several other ALS cases treated with TNFα blockers (adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, and others) for rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis were reported [137–139]. Although a direct causal relationship between ALS development and TNFα blockers has not been established [140], it cannot be excluded that interfering with the TNFα system for a long time can worsen or even trigger motor neuron degeneration, given the potential neuroprotective effect of TNFα that we have described in this review. All these evidences strongly suggest that general inhibition of TNFα cannot achieve any notable benefit because it can interfere with different mechanisms with opposite activity at the same time.

The recent demonstration of the protective function shown by astroglial TNFR1 through the production of GDNF [40] in an ALS mouse model and the neurotoxic
activity of TNFR2 carried by astrocytes and neurons [39] mediated by the ASK1/p38MAPK pathway suggests that a future targeted therapeutic strategy should selectively potentiate the TNFR1 response and antagonize TNFR2 or its downstream signaling, in a cell-specific manner.

7. Summary and Conclusions

Neuroinflammation and the immune response, both innate and adaptive, are considered to actively contribute to the initiation and propagation of ALS, a pathology that involves different cell types in the neuromuscular, glial, and peripheral immune systems. TNFα is the major cytokine instrumental in governing these mechanisms (Figure 4). However, its action can influence the pathophysiology either positively or negatively depending on the type of receptor and cell involved throughout all stages of the disease (Table 1). For example, while through its action on TNFR2, TNFα may activate Treg cells that regulate the initial neuroprotective M2/T2 phase of the disease by releasing anti-inflammatory cytokines; the active interaction between this receptor localized on the surface of astrocytes and the mTNFα on motor neurons may trigger a cascade leading to motor neuron death. Thus, TNFR2 inhibition should be driven specifically to the astrocytes to prevent motor neuron degeneration. At the same time, strategies aimed at increasing the expression and/or activity of TNFR2 in the Tregs and oligodendrocytes may promote an immunomodulatory function, prolonging the M2 phase and promoting remyelination. The role of TNFR1 in regulating the disease progression appears far more complicated. While the activation of TNFR1 on astrocytes induces GDNF release protecting motor neurons, its activation also provokes a reduction in the glutamate transporter GLT1 causing an increase in extracellular glutamate with potential excitotoxic effects. Excitotoxicity may also result from the activation of TNFR1 on microglia due to excessive glutamate release from these cells. Finally, increased TNFR1 signaling activity in the CNS could lead to the degeneration of oligodendrocytes with consequent axonal demyelination, while its activation in muscle may lead to excessive protein degradation, hence skeletal muscle wasting and atrophy.

These findings seem to indicate an overall detrimental effect of TNFR1 on ALS pathology. However, the fact that mutant SOD1 mice with a constitutive depletion of TNFR1 have a shorter lifespan suggests that the activity of this receptor in the various cell types may be balanced during ALS course, possibly depending on the levels of TNFα and/or on the activity of the antithetic TNFR2 receptors in the same cells.

Given the rapid development of new curative strategies based on cell-specific targeting, for example, through gene therapy or engineered molecules, we can envisage the possibility of more selective anti-TNFα agents that may regulate TNFR expression and downstream signaling in specific cell populations. The role of the TNFa/TNFR pathway in ALS calls for further investigations.

### Abbreviations

- ALS: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
- AMPA: Amino-3-hydroxyl-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate
- CNS: Central nervous system
- CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid
- FDA: Food and Drug Administration
- GFAP: Glial fibrillary acidic protein
- LPS: Lipopolysaccharides
- MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase
- mTNFα: Membrane TNFα
- NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells
- NMDA: N-Methyl-D-aspartate
- NMJ: Neuromuscular junctions
- PNS: Peripheral nervous system
- SOD1: Superoxide dismutase 1
- ROS: Reactive oxygen species
- sTNFα: Soluble TNFα
- TDP-43: TAR DNA-binding protein 43
- Teff: T effector cells
- TNFα: Tumor necrosis factor α
- TNFR1: Tumor necrosis factor receptor 1
- TNFR2: Tumor necrosis factor receptor 2
- Treg: Regulatory T cells
- VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor.
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