Research Article

CRP Stimulates GDF15 Expression in Endothelial Cells through p53

Yoonseo Kim, Nicole Noren Hooten , and Michele K. Evans

Laboratory of Epidemiology and Population Science, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Michele K. Evans; me42v@nih.gov

Received 21 December 2017; Revised 17 April 2018; Accepted 15 May 2018; Published 3 June 2018

Academic Editor: Kathy Triantafyllou

Copyright © 2018 Yoonseo Kim et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15) is a multifunctional, secreted protein that is a direct target gene of p53. GDF15 is a prospective biomarker of cardiovascular disease (CVD). C-reactive protein (CRP), like GDF15, is implicated in inflammation and an independent biomarker of CVD. However, the molecular interactions between GDF15 and CRP remain unexplored. In women, we found a significant relationship between hsCRP and GDF15 serum and mRNA levels. *In vitro* treatment of cultured human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs) with purified CRP or transfection of a CRP plasmid into HAECs induced GDF15 expression. Dual-luciferase reporter assays confirmed that CRP significantly increased the levels of GDF15 promoter luciferase activity, indicating that CRP induces GDF15 transcription. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays confirmed that p53 was recruited to both p53 binding sites 1 and 2 in the GDF15 promoter in response to CRP. We have uncovered a linkage between CRP and GDF15, a new clue that could be important in the pathogenesis of endothelial inflammation.

1. Introduction

In the United States, heart disease remains the leading cause of death with approximately 610,000 deaths yearly [1]. Although men bear a disproportionate burden of cardiovascular disease (CVD), it is often overlooked that almost as many women die from CVD. Currently, approximately one in four women in the United States dies from CVD [2].

Inflammation- and immune system-related pathways are known to be important underlying factors in many age-related chronic diseases with heightened attention to CVD [3]. The initiation as well as the promotion of atherogenesis is linked to inflammatory processes. Inflammatory biomarkers, particularly C-reactive protein (CRP) and growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), provide a unique opportunity to understand the role of inflammation in CVD especially in populations at a unique risk.

CRP, an independent predictor of cardiovascular events, is an acute phase reactant protein and a nonspecific marker of systemic inflammation. It is produced in the liver and is

both a direct participant and a cofactor in the inflammatory process [4, 5]. Of special note, multiple epidemiologic studies have shown that women have higher levels of CRP than men perhaps indicative of higher levels of inflammation that may convey additional cardiovascular risk [6, 7]. CRP is involved directly or indirectly in many and varied molecular pathways including cellular adhesion in endothelial cells, monocyte activation, and the promotion and progression of atherosclerotic plaques [8–10]. In addition to inducing endothelial dysfunction, CRP triggers plaque rupture, augments hypoxia-induced apoptosis, activates the complement pathway, and is a mediator of atherothrombotic disease. As previously reported, we found that CRP was associated with the oxidative stress marker, 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG), and that CRP generates reactive oxygen species in women suggesting that oxidative stress and inflammation are important cofactors of the clinical risk imparted by CRP [11].

GDF15 initially was classified as a divergent member of the TGF- β cytokine family and is also referred to as macrophage inhibiting cytokine 1 (MIC-1) [12], placental

transformation growth factor (PTGF- β) [13], prostate-derived factor (PDF) [14], placental bone morphogenetic protein (PLAB) [15], and NSAID-activated gene-1 (NAG-1) [16]. Recent data suggests that GDF15 is more closely related to the glial cell-derived neurotrophic factors (GDNFs) due to its binding to the GDNF receptor alpha-like (GFRAL) proteins [17–20]. GDF15 is a direct target gene of p53. It is highly expressed in the placenta during pregnancy but is expressed at low levels in most tissues at baseline. Numerous investigators have demonstrated that GDF15 is also expressed in response to cytokines and growth factors including interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), TNF- α , angiotensin II, macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF), and TGF- β [13, 21, 22].

While the exact function of GDF15 is not completely understood, higher levels of GDF15 are associated with increased cardiovascular risk. High serum levels of GDF15 have been associated with acute myocardial infarction and have been correlated with levels of other cardiovascular risk biomarkers including troponin-T, N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide, and CRP possibly suggesting a link between GDF15 and inflammation [23]. GDF15 is associated with the development of several age-related diseases including heart failure, coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, diabetes mellitus, cancer, and cognitive impairment [24, 25].

GDF15 is not only a prospective biomarker of CVD but also an independent predictor of all-cause mortality [26–28]. While both CRP and GDF15 are established independent predictors of cardiovascular risk, only GDF15 is also an independent predictor of all-cause mortality. In fact, GDF15 may have a better prognostic value in healthy individuals because it is more tightly correlated to inflammatory processes like oxidative stress and ischemia [29]. There is some evidence that GDF15 is a better prognostic biomarker among women than men. Elevated GDF15 accurately predicts the likelihood of secondary cardiovascular events in women but not in men who have had a previous diagnosis of carotid atherosclerosis [30]. While GDF15 increases with age like CRP, another study showed that elevated levels of plasma GDF15 predict future cardiovascular events in elderly women but not as accurately in elderly men [31]. GDF15 had a higher baseline level among women who were participants in the Women's Health Study who eventually developed cardiovascular-related disease states including thrombosis, stroke, and myocardial infarction. In this study, GDF15 was modestly correlated with and additive to CRP in identifying those at risk for cardiovascular disease events [32].

Here, we investigated the molecular relationship between CRP and GDF15 in women. We report that GDF15 expression levels were increased by CRP via p53 binding to its promoter region in human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs). These data provide insight into the relationship between these two clinically relevant markers of inflammation that may help us develop approaches for modulating the negative effects of inflammation in CVD- and age-related diseases in women. Expanding our understanding of cardiovascular risk factors in women might enhance the use of widely applicable and easy to use biomarker tools to improve clinical care of women at risk.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Clinical Study Participants. We identified women who are participants in the National Institute on Aging's Healthy Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity Across the Life Span Study (HANDLS) who had low- (<3 mg/L), mid- (>3–20 mg/L), or high- (>20 mg/L) high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) levels. These groups ($n = 39/\text{group}$) were age and race matched. The mean age of this subcohort was 49.7 ± 8.1 years. HANDLS is an interdisciplinary, longitudinal, epidemiological study of age-related health disparities among a socioeconomically diverse cohort of African Americans and whites who resided at the baseline in the city of Baltimore [33]. This study has been approved from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH Institutional Review Board (IRB), and therefore, the principal investigators obtained written informed consent from all participants. Additional demographic and clinical information about this subcohort has been described in a previous publication [11].

2.2. GDF15 ELISA. Human GDF15 Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D Systems) was used according to the manufacturer's directions. Briefly, serum (13 μL) from women with low-, mid-, or high hsCRP ($n = 39/\text{group}$) was incubated in GDF15 antibody-coated microplates for 2 h, then washed and incubated with GDF15 antibody conjugated for 1 h. After washing, plates were incubated with color reagent (hydrogen peroxide-chromogen mix) for 30 min. The optical density of each well was determined using a microplate reader set to 570 nm. The concentrations were calculated according to the standards.

To measure GDF15 levels in conditioned media, we treated HAECs with 25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ CRP for the indicated time points in growth media. Conditioned media was collected, centrifuged at 300 $\times g$ for 5 min and then syringe filtered through a 0.45 μM filter. 50 μL of conditioned media was used to measure GDF15 levels by ELISA.

2.3. GDF15 mRNA Level in Human PBMCs. We analyzed GDF15 mRNA levels in a subcohort of women with low- and high hsCRP as described above. Gene expression was examined in those individuals who also had stored PBMCs. Nineteen white and 20 African American females with an average age of 49.7 ± 8.1 years were used for this analysis. RNA was isolated from PBMCs using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.4. Cell Culture, Reagent, and Transfection. We cultured primary human aortic endothelial cells (HAEC) in EMB-2 supplemented with the EGM-2 SingleQuot Kit (Lonza; Walkersville, MD). The HeLa cells used in this study were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). We obtained highly purified human recombinant C-reactive protein without sodium azide and free of endotoxins from Trichem Resources Inc. We purchased control small interfering RNA (siRNA) and p53 siRNA from Santa Cruz Biotechnology and obtained pCMV6-control or pCMV6-CRP plasmids from Origene. We transfected

siRNAs and plasmids using Lipofectamine-2000 (Invitrogen). We isolated RNA and protein from the cells 48 h after transfection.

2.5. RNA Isolation and RT-qPCR Analysis. TRIzol (Invitrogen) was used to isolate total RNA from cells according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription (RT) was performed using random hexamers (Invitrogen) and SSII reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen); the abundance of transcripts was assessed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis using the 2x SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The following primers were used (forward and reverse, resp.): AGACATGTCGAGGAAGGCTTTT and TCGAG GACAGTTCCGTGTAGAA for *CRP*, CTACAATCCCAT GGTGCTCA and TATGCAGTGGCAGTCTTTGG for *GDF15*, and GCTCCTCCTGTTCGACAGTCA and ACCT TCCCCATGGTGTCTGA for *GAPDH*. *GDF15* expression in PBMCs was normalized to the average of *HPRT* and *UBC* expression using gene-specific primers. The following primers were used (forward and reverse, resp.): AGATG GTCAAGTCGCAAGCT and GGGCATATCCTACAAC AAAGTTGTC for *HPRT* and ATTTGGGTCGCGGTTC TTG and TGCCTTGACATTCTCGATGGT for *UBC*.

2.6. Western Blot Analysis. In preparation for this analysis, we washed cells twice with 1x cold PBS; then cell extracts were lysed using 2x Laemmli sample buffer. Lysates were boiled and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Subsequently, lysates were immunoblotted with anti-GDF15 (D2A3) (Cell Signaling), anti-CRP (C-term) (Millipore), and anti-p53 (DO-1) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies and then reprobed with anti-actin (I-19) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies as a loading control. GDF15 precursor levels are shown in immunoblots.

2.7. Cloning of GDF15 Promoter and Luciferase Reporter Assays. The luciferase constructs containing the GDF15 promoter were amplified from human genomic DNA (Promega). The following primers were used to generate each construct: pGL3-GDF15-a (−966/+70 clone): forward primer TCTAGAACTCTTGACGTCAGATGATC and reverse primer TGAGAGCCATTACCGTCCTGAGTTC; pGL3-GDF15-b (−133/+70 clone): forward primer CACC CCCAGACCCCGCCAGCTGTGGTCATTG and reverse primer TGAGAGCCATTACCGTCCTGAGTTC; and pGL3-GDF15-c (−966/+41 clone): forward primer TCTA GAACTCTTGACGTCAGATGATC and reverse primer TGTGCAGGTTGCGGCTATGAGCTGGG. After PCR, each fragment was cloned into pGL3-Basic vector (Promega) digested with XhoI/HindIII restriction enzymes. HeLa cells were transfected with 1 μg of the pGL3-Basic vectors containing different lengths of the GDF15 promoter together with 0.1 μg of TK-Renilla reporter plasmid (Promega) as an internal control. After 24 h of transfection, cells were treated with or without 25 μg/mL CRP for 18 h and RL and FL activities were measured using the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega) as per the manufacturer's instructions.

2.8. Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assays (ChIP assays). For ChIP experiments, HAEC cells ($\sim 4 \times 10^7$) were washed with PBS and treated with 1% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in the medium for 10 min at room temperature, followed by an addition of glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M for 5 min. Cells were then scraped into PBS and centrifuged at 10,000 ×g for 5 min at 4°C. Cells were resuspended in cell lysis buffer (0.5% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.1), sonicated, and centrifuged to obtain the supernatant containing chromatin. The supernatant was diluted five-fold in ChIP dilution buffer (0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.1, 167 mM NaCl) and incubated with anti-p53 antibodies (DO-1, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or normal mouse IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight followed by incubation with Protein A-coated Dynabeads® (Novex) at 4°C overnight. Immunoprecipitates were then washed consecutively for 10 min at 4°C with rotation in low-salt wash buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.1, 150 mM NaCl), then high-salt wash buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.1, 500 mM NaCl), then LiCl wash buffer (0.25 M LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.1), and lastly in 1x TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.1). The complexes were eluted by adding elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 55 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS). The purified ChIP products were subjected to qPCR analysis using the 2x SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). DNA mixtures purified from aliquots of each chromatin sample were also subjected to qPCR analysis as input samples, and the results were presented as the ChIP-qPCR measurements normalized to their respective input levels. The following primers were used (forward and reverse, resp.): CATCTGGTCAGTCCCA GCTCAGA G and GCAACTCTCGGAATCTGGAG TCTT CG for p53 site1, AGGTATTGCCATCTTGCCAGACT TG and GCTCACCTTGAAGCCATCCTCACAG for p53 site2, and AGGCTGGAATGGTGTCTCTC and TAGGGG GAGG ATCTTTAGGTG for p53 nonbinding (NB) site [34].

3. Results

3.1. Comparative Expression of hsCRP and GDF15. To examine the relationship between hsCRP and GDF15, we studied a cohort of diverse women from the HANDLS study with low (<3 mg/L), mid- (>3–20 mg/L), or high hsCRP levels (>20 mg/L). Each group contained 39 women, and the groups were age (mean age, 49.7 ± 8.1 years) and race (19 whites, 20 African Americans) matched [11]. We measured serum GDF15 levels by ELISA and found a step-wise significant increase in GDF15 levels with increasing levels of hsCRP (Figure 1(a)). Since this cohort contains whites and African Americans, we also assessed whether there were differences in GDF15 levels by race. White women had higher levels of GDF15 than African American women (Figure 1(b)). To test whether *GDF15* mRNA levels were also higher in individuals with high hsCRP, we obtained peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from HANDLS participants with either low (<3 mg/L) or high (>20 mg/L) circulating protein levels of

FIGURE 1: Women with hsCRP have high levels of GDF15. (a, b) Serum GDF15 levels were quantified by ELISA from HANDLS participants with either low- (<3 mg/L), mid- (>3–20 mg/L), or high hsCRP (>20 mg/L) levels ($n = 39/\text{group}$). The ELISA assay was performed according to manufacturer's instructions and was repeated in 2 independent experiments. (c) RNA was isolated from PBMCs from HANDLS participants with either low- (<3 mg/L) or high hsCRP (>20 mg/L) levels ($n = 15/\text{group}$). *GDF15* mRNA was quantified by RT-qPCR and normalized to *HPRT1* and *UBC* levels. The histograms represent the mean + SEM from three independent experiments. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$ by Student's *t*-test.

hsCRP [35]. *GDF15* mRNA levels were higher in women with high hsCRP (Figure 1(c)). These data suggest a positive correlation between the inflammatory markers hsCRP and GDF15 in women.

3.2. CRP Upregulates *GDF15* in Endothelial Cells. Under normal physiological conditions, GDF15 is weakly expressed in most tissues. In response to multiple cellular stressors, such as acute injury, inflammation, and cancer, GDF15 expression can be dramatically induced [25, 36]. Given the positive correlation between hsCRP and GDF15 levels we found in women, we tested whether GDF15 expression can be induced in response to CRP exposure. We treated human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs) with a prolonged exposure (18 h) to highly purified recombinant CRP and subsequently analyzed GDF15 mRNA and protein expression *in vitro*. Treatment with CRP increased GDF15 expression in HAECs in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2(a)). We also examined the levels of GDF15 secreted into the media by ELISA. CRP induced a time-dependent increase in GDF15-secreted levels (Figure 2(b)). To address whether CRP affects GDF15 at the transcriptional or posttranscriptional level, we analyzed *GDF15* mRNA levels by RT-qPCR. Both GDF15 protein and mRNA levels were upregulated by CRP treatment (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)). To confirm our results obtained by treatment with purified CRP, we overexpressed a plasmid containing CRP in HAEC cells. Consistent with our results with purified CRP, CRP overexpression increased *GDF15* mRNA and protein levels (Figures 2(e) and 2(f)). These results suggest that CRP can induce GDF15 expression in HAEC cells and are consistent with our *in vivo* data demonstrating a positive correlation between GDF15 expression and CRP expression in women.

3.3. CRP Promotes the Transcription of *GDF15* via *p53* Binding Sites in Its Promoter Region. Given that CRP affects both the mRNA and protein level of GDF15, we

hypothesized that CRP may regulate GDF15 transcription. We thought this was possible because GDF15 contains two *p53* binding sites in its promoter region and is a direct target gene of *p53* [13, 37]. To investigate whether CRP induces GDF15 expression through its promoter, we performed dual-luciferase reporter assays. We cloned the *GDF15* promoter (−966/+70) by PCR into the pGL3-Basic vector (Figure 3(a)). The full-length construct contains two *p53* binding sites (1 and 2) [38]. We also generated constructs containing the individual *p53* binding site to analyze which site may be important for GDF15 regulation by CRP. pGL3-GDF15-b (−133/+70) construct contains *p53* binding site 1, and pGL3-GDF15-c (−966/+41) construct contains *p53* binding site 2 (Figure 3(a)). We transfected the Renilla reporter plasmid as a transfection efficiency control. After 18 h treatment with CRP, we analyzed luciferase reporter activity and the ratio of RL/FL was calculated for each transfected reporter plasmid. CRP significantly increased the levels of full-length GDF15 promoter luciferase activity, indicating that CRP induces GDF15 transcription. Furthermore, pGL3-GDF15 b and c luciferase activities were both increased (Figure 3(b)), indicating that *p53* binding sites 1 and 2 are both critical sites for CRP-induced GDF15 expression.

To further investigate how CRP increases the expression of GDF15, we examined the interaction of *p53* protein with endogenous *GDF15* gene promoter using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays. Our ChIP assays confirmed that *p53* is recruited to both binding sites 1 and 2 in the *GDF15* promoter in response to CRP. As a negative control, we included a site where *p53* is not predicted to bind. *p53* was not recruited to the nonbinding site in our ChIP assays. These data suggest that CRP regulates GDF15 transcription via *p53* binding to its promoter region.

3.4. Induction of *GDF15* Expression Is *p53*-Dependent. To address whether *p53* is required for CRP-mediated

FIGURE 2: CRP upregulates GDF15 expression. (a) 18 h after CRP treatment with the indicated doses, GDF15 expression in HAECs was analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-GDF15 antibodies. β -Actin was used as a loading control. (b) After CRP treatment for the indicated time points, conditioned media was collected and GDF15 secreted levels were analyzed by ELISA. GDF15 levels were normalized to the 0 h time point for each experiment. The mean of three independent experiments is shown. (c and d) 18 h after CRP (25 μ g/mL) treatment, HAECs were lysed and levels of GDF15 mRNA or protein were quantified by RT-qPCR analysis (c) and western blot analysis (d). (e) HAECs were transfected with pCMV6-control or pCMV6-CRP plasmid for 48 h. Total RNA was isolated, and mRNA levels were quantified using RT-qPCR and normalized to *GAPDH*. (f) Total cell lysates from the indicated transfected HAECs were analyzed by Western blotting. β -Actin was used as a loading control. The histograms represent the mean + SEM from three independent experiments. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$ by Student's *t*-test.

upregulation of GDF15, we silenced p53 using small interfering RNA (siRNA) and treated cells with CRP (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). As shown in Figure 4(b), CRP treatment induces p53 accumulation in HAEC cells. However, p53 silencing significantly decreased GDF15 mRNA and protein levels in the presence of CRP. Taken together, these results suggest that CRP-mediated induction of GDF15 expression is dependent on p53.

4. Discussion

Although previous epidemiologic reports have suggested a link between GDF15 and CRP, existing data is scarce about the molecular pathways connecting these two biomarkers of inflammation. Given that both cardioprotective and proinflammatory roles have been described for GDF15, we wanted to further understand the relationship of this protein to CRP. Our data both *in vitro* and *in vivo* indicate a positive relationship between these two CVD markers.

Here, we found a significant positive correlation between hsCRP and GDF15 levels in a white and African American cohort of middle-aged women. Circulating GDF15 protein levels and mRNA levels in PBMCs were higher in women who also had hsCRP levels. To investigate this further, we used *in vitro* cell culture models and found that CRP induced

GDF15 mRNA and protein levels in HAECs in a p53-dependent manner.

Previous epidemiological studies have indicated a potential relationship between GDF15 and CRP [25]. Investigators in the Rancho Bernardo study found a correlation between GDF15 and hsCRP values; higher GDF15 levels were associated with hsCRP levels [39]. Other data from cohort studies produced similar findings including a study of CVD events in women [32], in patients with coronary heart disease [40] and in atherosclerosis patients on hemodialysis [41]. While both biomarkers predict CVD events, there are distinct differences between CRP and GDF15. GDF15 is predictive not only of cardiovascular disease risk but also of longevity, healthy behaviors, incident cancer, cancer mortality, and biologic age [42]. So, even though our data shows a molecular link, this is likely only part of the story since the body of epidemiologic data suggests that CRP and GDF15 may be biomarkers that represent overlapping as well as nonoverlapping conditions.

In the context of understanding their interaction in cardiovascular disease risk, there are several factors to consider. CRP has a direct effect on promoting atherosclerotic processes via both endothelial and smooth muscle cell activation [43]. Furthermore, we have reported that CRP may contribute to cardiovascular disease by also increasing oxidative stress and DNA damage. Previously, we investigated the role

FIGURE 3: CRP promotes *GDF15* transcription. (a) Schematic of *GDF15* promoter dual-luciferase constructs. Two p53 binding sites are indicated. (b) The indicated plasmids (1 μ g) were cotransfected with 0.1 μ g of TK-Renilla reporter plasmid in HeLa cells, and 24 h later, the cells were treated with CRP. After 18 h, the promoter activities were measured by luciferase activity. Transfection efficiency for luciferase activity was normalized to the Renilla luciferase activity. The results show the mean + SEM of three independent transfections. ** $p < 0.01$ by Student's *t*-test. (c) Schematic of p53 binding sites and primers used for ChIP assays in the *GDF15* promoter. (d) ChIP assays were performed on HAECs transfected for 24 h and treated with or without CRP for 18 h. DNA immunoprecipitated by antibodies to p53 or immunoglobulin G IgG (control) was amplified by qPCR. Each qPCR reaction was performed in triplicate, and the histogram represents the average of three independent ChIP assays + SEM.

of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in relation to CRP and found that BDNF has a cardioprotective role by inhibiting CRP expression and CRP-induced DNA damage [44]. Our previous work has shown that CRP generates intercellular ROS and induces at least one specific form of oxidative DNA damage, 8-oxodG, in vascular endothelial cells [11]. Early studies on *GDF15* focused on the role of

GDF15 in macrophage activation, growth inhibition, and apoptosis in tumor cells [12, 13, 37]. A more recent work has delineated a role for *GDF15* in centrally regulating body weight, food intake, appetite, metabolism, and energy expenditure in both rodents and humans through coreceptors GFRAL (glial-derived neurotrophic family receptor α like) and the tyrosine kinase protein receptor RET, especially in

FIGURE 4: p53 knockdown inhibits CRP-induced GDF15 expression. HAECs were transfected with either Ctrl siRNA or p53 siRNA for 24 h and treated with or without CRP for 18 h. GDF15 mRNA levels were examined by RT-qPCR (a), and protein levels were analyzed by Western blot analysis (b). The histogram represents the mean + SEM from three independent experiments. * $p < 0.05$ and ** $p < 0.01$ by Student's *t*-test.

response to tissue stress and injury [17, 18]. This new work attributing modulation of metabolism to GDF15 may provide new insights into its dual predictive values in cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality. From the perspective of cardiovascular disease, previous work demonstrates that the peripheral expression of GDF15 is tightly regulated and induced in cardiovascular cell types under specific stress stimuli. GDF15 expression is regulated differently for diverse types of stress (endogenous, environmental) and the cell type and occurs through several transcriptional pathways including but not limited to HIF-1 α , ATF-3, and KLF-4 [45–47]. For example, antiangiogenic stress can induce GDF15 in endothelial cells exposed to compounds that generate antiangiogenic stress, including nutlin-3 and N-(4-hydroxyphenyl) retinamide [48, 49]. GDF-15 can also be induced in vascular smooth muscle cells by stimulation with triglyceride-rich lipoproteins [50]. Two groups of investigators have demonstrated that adipocytes can synthesize and secrete GDF15 when exposed to oxidative stress. They may release paracrine factors that stimulate the expression of GDF15 in adjacent cells and tissues [51, 52]. GDF15 is also upregulated in mice after coronary arterial ligation [21]. Thus, GDF15 is produced by multiple cardiovascular cell types under stressful conditions so its correlation with the well-validated cardiovascular disease risk biomarker CRP is not surprising. Oxidative stress due to tissue injury or inflammation is an important inducer of GDF15 and CRP.

The other important link between CRP and GDF15 is through p53. GDF15 is a direct transcriptional target of p53. GDF15 is induced and secreted upon activation of p53 similar to p21. While being the most notable for its role in cancer, p53 also plays a fundamental role in aging, life span, the response to stress, and overall fitness. It is pivotal in many age-related diseases including cardiovascular disease where p53 induction has been associated with plaque instability [32]. In addition, p53 has been shown to be a key regulator of the cardiac transcriptome [53]. A study examining the induction of GDF15 in endothelial cells exposed to high glucose levels found that p53 played an important

role [54], since there was evidence in the literature that CRP increased p53 protein levels and induced p53-mediated cell cycle arrest in H9c2 cardiac myocytes and monocytes [55, 56]; we focused on whether CRP induces GDF15 through p53. The GDF15 promoter contains two p53 binding sites, and here, using luciferase reporter assays and ChIP assays, we found that CRP induces GDF15 expression through the regulation of p53 binding sites in the GDF15 promoter. In addition, we also showed that knockdown of p53 inhibited CRP-induced GDF15 expression. So not only does CRP induce p53; this induction of p53 is a key factor in GDF15 induction and likely undergirds the predictive role that GDF15 may have in cancer and all-cause mortality.

5. Conclusions

Our data provide a molecular link between two biomarkers, GDF15 and CRP. These findings may facilitate improved understanding of the pivotal inflammatory pathways important in cardiovascular disease. These data provide insight into the relationship between these two clinically relevant markers of inflammation that may help us develop approaches for modulating the negative effects of inflammation in CVD and perhaps even other age-related diseases.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Kyu-Seon Oh and Althaf Lohani for the technical assistance and Dr. Ngozi Ejioogu and the HANDLS staff for their careful evaluation and management of the study participants. This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging.

References

- [1] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Top 10 leading causes of death: United States, 1999–2013," October 2017, <https://blogs.cdc.gov/nchs-data-visualization/leading-causes-of-death/>.
- [2] J. Xu, S. L. Murphy, K. D. Kochanek, and B. A. Bastian, "Deaths: final data for 2013," *National Vital Statistics Reports*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 1–119, 2016.
- [3] T. A. Pearson, G. A. Mensah, R. W. Alexander et al., "Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: application to clinical and public health practice: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Heart Association," *Circulation*, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 499–511, 2003.
- [4] R. J. Bischoff, S. M. Boekholdt, M. Vergeer, E. S. G. Stroes, and J. J. P. Kastelein, "C-reactive protein is a mediator of cardiovascular disease," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 31, no. 17, pp. 2087–2091, 2010.
- [5] P. M. Ridker, C. H. Hennekens, J. E. Buring, and N. Rifai, "C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 342, no. 12, pp. 836–843, 2000.
- [6] A. Khera, J. A. de Lemos, R. M. Peshock et al., "Relationship between C-reactive protein and subclinical atherosclerosis: the Dallas Heart Study," *Circulation*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 38–43, 2006.
- [7] K. L. Ong, M. A. Allison, B. M. Y. Cheung, B. J. Wu, P. J. Barter, and K. A. Rye, "Trends in C-reactive protein levels in US adults from 1999 to 2010," *American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 177, no. 12, pp. 1430–1442, 2013.
- [8] S. Verma, M. A. Kuliszewski, S.-H. Li et al., "C-reactive protein attenuates endothelial progenitor cell survival, differentiation, and function. Further evidence of a mechanistic link between C-reactive protein and cardiovascular disease," vol. 109, no. 17, pp. 2058–2067, 2004.
- [9] W. Suh, K. L. Kim, J. H. Choi et al., "C-reactive protein impairs angiogenic functions and decreases the secretion of arteriogenic chemo-cytokines in human endothelial progenitor cells," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 321, no. 1, pp. 65–71, 2004.
- [10] D. Hanriot, G. Bello, A. Ropars et al., "C-reactive protein induces pro- and anti-inflammatory effects, including activation of the liver X receptor α , on human monocytes," *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 558–569, 2008.
- [11] N. Noren Hooten, N. Ejiogu, A. B. Zonderman, and M. K. Evans, "Association of oxidative DNA damage and C-reactive protein in women at risk for cardiovascular disease," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 2776–2784, 2012.
- [12] M. R. Bootcov, A. R. Bauskin, S. M. Valenzuela et al., "MIC-1, a novel macrophage inhibitory cytokine, is a divergent member of the TGF- β superfamily," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 94, no. 21, pp. 11514–11519, 1997.
- [13] M. Tan, Y. Wang, K. Guan, and Y. Sun, "PTGF- β , a type beta transforming growth factor (TGF- β) superfamily member, is a p53 target gene that inhibits tumor cell growth via TGF- β signaling pathway," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 109–114, 2000.
- [14] V. M. Paralkar, A. L. Vail, W. A. Grasser et al., "Cloning and characterization of a novel member of the transforming growth factor- β /bone morphogenetic protein family," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 273, no. 22, pp. 13760–13767, 1998.
- [15] R. Hromas, M. Hufford, J. Sutton, D. Xu, Y. Li, and L. Lu, "PLAB, a novel placental bone morphogenetic protein," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Structure and Expression*, vol. 1354, no. 1, pp. 40–44, 1997.
- [16] S. J. Baek, K. S. Kim, J. B. Nixon, L. C. Wilson, and T. E. Eling, "Cyclooxygenase inhibitors regulate the expression of a TGF- β superfamily member that has proapoptotic and antitumorigenic activities," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 901–908, 2001.
- [17] J. Y. Hsu, S. Crawley, M. Chen et al., "Non-homeostatic body weight regulation through a brainstem-restricted receptor for GDF15," *Nature*, vol. 550, no. 7675, pp. 255–259, 2017.
- [18] L. Yang, C. C. Chang, Z. Sun et al., "GFRAL is the receptor for GDF15 and is required for the anti-obesity effects of the ligand," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1158–1166, 2017.
- [19] P. J. Emmerson, F. Wang, Y. du et al., "The metabolic effects of GDF15 are mediated by the orphan receptor GFRAL," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1215–1219, 2017.
- [20] S. E. Mullican, X. Lin-Schmidt, C. N. Chin et al., "GFRAL is the receptor for GDF15 and the ligand promotes weight loss in mice and nonhuman primates," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1150–1157, 2017.
- [21] T. Kempf, M. Eden, J. Strelau et al., "The transforming growth factor- β superfamily member growth-differentiation factor-15 protects the heart from ischemia/reperfusion injury," *Circulation Research*, vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 351–360, 2006.
- [22] J. Koopmann, P. Buckhaults, D. A. Brown et al., "Serum macrophage inhibitory cytokine 1 as a marker of pancreatic and other periampullary cancers," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 2386–2392, 2004.
- [23] K. C. Wollert, T. Kempf, T. Peter et al., "Prognostic value of growth-differentiation factor-15 in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome," *Circulation*, vol. 115, no. 8, pp. 962–971, 2007.
- [24] L. Wallentin, Z. Hijazi, U. Andersson et al., "Growth differentiation factor 15, a marker of oxidative stress and inflammation, for risk assessment in patients with atrial fibrillation: insights from the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation (ARISTOTLE) trial," *Circulation*, vol. 130, no. 21, pp. 1847–1858, 2014.
- [25] R. Adela and S. K. Banerjee, "GDF-15 as a target and biomarker for diabetes and cardiovascular diseases: a translational prospective," *Journal of Diabetes Research*, vol. 2015, Article ID 490842, 14 pages, 2015.
- [26] E. Hagström, C. Held, R. A. H. Stewart et al., "Growth differentiation factor 15 predicts all-cause morbidity and mortality in stable coronary heart disease," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 325–333, 2017.
- [27] F. E. Wiklund, A. M. Bennet, P. K. E. Magnusson et al., "Macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 (MIC-1/GDF15): a new marker of all-cause mortality," *Aging Cell*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1057–1064, 2010.
- [28] K. M. Eggers, T. Kempf, L. Wallentin, K. C. Wollert, and L. Lind, "Change in growth differentiation factor 15 concentrations over time independently predicts mortality in

- community-dwelling elderly individuals," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 1091–1098, 2013.
- [29] M. Pareek, D. L. Bhatt, M. Vaduganathan et al., "Single and multiple cardiovascular biomarkers in subjects without a previous cardiovascular event," *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*, vol. 24, no. 15, pp. 1648–1659, 2017.
 - [30] A. Gohar, I. Gonçalves, J. Vrijenhoek et al., "Circulating GDF-15 levels predict future secondary manifestations of cardiovascular disease explicitly in women but not men with atherosclerosis," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 241, pp. 430–436, 2017.
 - [31] T. Kempf, R. Horn-Wichmann, G. Brabant et al., "Circulating concentrations of growth-differentiation factor 15 in apparently healthy elderly individuals and patients with chronic heart failure as assessed by a new immunoradiometric sandwich assay," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 284–291, 2007.
 - [32] D. A. Brown, S. N. Breit, J. Buring et al., "Concentration in plasma of macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 and risk of cardiovascular events in women: a nested case-control study," *The Lancet*, vol. 359, no. 9324, pp. 2159–2163, 2002.
 - [33] M. K. Evans, J. M. Lepkowski, N. R. Powe, T. LaVeist, M. F. Kuczmarski, and A. B. Zonderman, "Healthy aging in neighborhoods of diversity across the life span (HANDLS): overcoming barriers to implementing a longitudinal, epidemiologic, urban study of health, race, and socioeconomic status," *Ethnicity & Disease*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 267–275, 2010.
 - [34] H. Yoshioka, H. Kamitani, T. Watanabe, and T. E. Eling, "Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-activated gene (NAG-1/GDF15) expression is increased by the histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 283, no. 48, pp. 33129–33137, 2008.
 - [35] Y. Kim, N. Noren Hooten, D. F. Dluzen, J. L. Martindale, M. Gorospe, and M. K. Evans, "Posttranscriptional regulation of the inflammatory marker C-reactive protein by the RNA-binding protein HuR and microRNA 637," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 35, no. 24, pp. 4212–4221, 2015.
 - [36] A. R. Bauskin, D. A. Brown, T. Kuffner et al., "Role of macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 in tumorigenesis and diagnosis of cancer," *Cancer Research*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 4983–4986, 2006.
 - [37] P. X. Li, J. Wong, A. Ayed et al., "Placental transforming growth factor- β is a downstream mediator of the growth arrest and apoptotic response of tumor cells to DNA damage and p53 overexpression," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 26, pp. 20127–20135, 2000.
 - [38] S. J. Baek, L. C. Wilson, and T. E. Eling, "Resveratrol enhances the expression of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug-activated gene (NAG-1) by increasing the expression of p53," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 425–432, 2002.
 - [39] L. B. Daniels, P. Clopton, G. A. Laughlin, A. S. Maisel, and E. Barrett-Connor, "Growth-differentiation factor-15 is a robust, independent predictor of 11-year mortality risk in community-dwelling older adults: the Rancho Bernardo Study," *Circulation*, vol. 123, no. 19, pp. 2101–2110, 2011.
 - [40] T. Kempf, J. M. Sinning, A. Quint et al., "Growth-differentiation factor-15 for risk stratification in patients with stable and unstable coronary heart disease: results from the AtheroGene study," *Circulation: Genomic and Precision Medicine*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 286–292, 2009.
 - [41] H. Yilmaz, H. T. Çelik, O. M. Gurel et al., "Increased serum levels of GDF-15 associated with mortality and subclinical atherosclerosis in patients on maintenance hemodialysis," *Herz*, vol. 40, Supplement 3, pp. 305–312, 2015.
 - [42] K. C. Wollert, T. Kempf, and L. Wallentin, "Growth differentiation factor 15 as a biomarker in cardiovascular disease," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 140–151, 2017.
 - [43] S. Verma, S. H. Li, M. V. Badiwala et al., "Endothelin antagonism and interleukin-6 inhibition attenuate the proatherogenic effects of C-reactive protein," *Circulation*, vol. 105, no. 16, pp. 1890–1896, 2002.
 - [44] N. Noren Hooten, N. Ejiogu, A. B. Zonderman, and M. K. Evans, "Protective effects of BDNF against C-reactive protein-induced inflammation in women," *Mediators of Inflammation*, vol. 2015, Article ID 516783, 9 pages, 2015.
 - [45] T. Ago and J. Sadoshima, "GDF15, a cardioprotective TGF- β superfamily protein," *Circulation Research*, vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 294–297, 2006.
 - [46] H. Song, D. Yin, and Z. Liu, "GDF-15 promotes angiogenesis through modulating p53/HIF-1 α signaling pathway in hypoxic human umbilical vein endothelial cells," *Molecular Biology Reports*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 4017–4022, 2012.
 - [47] M. Cekanova, S. H. Lee, M. F. McEntee, and S. J. Baek, "MCC-555-induced NAG-1 expression is mediated in part by KLF4," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 637, no. 1–3, pp. 30–37, 2010.
 - [48] P. Secchiero, F. Corallini, A. Gonelli et al., "Antiangiogenic activity of the MDM2 antagonist nutlin-3," *Circulation Research*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 61–69, 2007.
 - [49] N. Ferrari, U. Pfeffer, R. Dell'Eva, C. Ambrosini, D. M. Noonan, and A. Albini, "The transforming growth factor- β family members bone morphogenetic protein-2 and macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 as mediators of the antiangiogenic activity of N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)retinamide," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 4610–4619, 2005.
 - [50] B. Bermudez, S. Lopez, Y. M. Pacheco et al., "Influence of postprandial triglyceride-rich lipoproteins on lipid-mediated gene expression in smooth muscle cells of the human coronary artery," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 294–303, 2008.
 - [51] Q. Ding, T. Mracek, P. Gonzalez-Muniesa et al., "Identification of macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 in adipose tissue and its secretion as an adipokine by human adipocytes," *Endocrinology*, vol. 150, no. 4, pp. 1688–1696, 2009.
 - [52] J. H. Kim, K. Y. Kim, J. H. Jeon et al., "Adipocyte culture medium stimulates production of macrophage inhibitory cytokine 1 in MDA-MB-231 cells," *Cancer Letters*, vol. 261, no. 2, pp. 253–262, 2008.
 - [53] T. W. Mak, L. Hauck, D. Grothe, and F. Billia, "p53 regulates the cardiac transcriptome," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 114, no. 9, pp. 2331–2336, 2017.
 - [54] J. Li, L. Yang, W. Qin, G. Zhang, J. Yuan, and F. Wang, "Adaptive induction of growth differentiation factor 15 attenuates endothelial cell apoptosis in response to high glucose stimulus," *PLoS One*, vol. 8, no. 6, article e65549, 2013.
 - [55] J. W. Choi, K. H. Lee, S. H. Kim et al., "C-reactive protein induces p53-mediated cell cycle arrest in H9c2 cardiac myocytes," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 410, no. 3, pp. 525–530, 2011.
 - [56] Y. Kim, J. Ryu, M. S. Ryu et al., "C-reactive protein induces G2/M phase cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in monocytes through the upregulation of B-cell translocation gene 2 expression," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 588, no. 4, pp. 625–631, 2014.