Research Article

Computer Implementation of a Coupled Boundary and Finite Element Methods for the Steady Exterior Oseen Problem

Minfu Feng,¹ Yinnian He,² and Ruiting Ren²

¹ College of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China

² Faculty of Science, State key Laboratory of Multiphase Flow in Power Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yinnian He, heyn@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Received 16 March 2009; Revised 23 December 2009; Accepted 7 January 2010

Academic Editor: Alois Steindl

Copyright © 2010 Minfu Feng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We present a numerical technique based on the coupling of boundary and finite element methods for the steady Oseen equations in an unbounded plane domain. The present paper deals with the implementation of the coupled program in the two-dimensional case. Computational results are given for a particular problem which can be seen as a good test case for the accuracy of the method.

1. Introduction

The coupling of boundary and finite element methods has recently been shown to be a very effective tool for solving a certain class of physical problems with infinite (or even large) domains, for which the traditional numerical analysis techniques are unsuitable (cf. [1–13]). In particular, Sequeira considered the theoretical aspect of the coupled boundary and finite element methods for solving the steady exterior Stokes problem in its continuous and approximate forms in [4] and provided a computer implementation of the coupled boundary and finite element methods for solving the steady exterior Stokes problem in [5]; He and Mattheij have studied the theoretical aspect of the coupled boundary and finite element methods for solving the steady exterior Oseen problem in its continuous and approximate forms in [6]; furthermore, He has studied the posedness and convergence of the coupled boundary and finite element methods for solving the nonsteady exterior Oseen coupled problem in [7].

On this subject we have studied in detail the numerical solutions of the two-dimensional exterior Oseen equations for a steady-state incompressible viscous flow. Essentially, the coupling method involves the choice of an artificial smooth boundary separating an interior inhomogeneous region from an exterior homogeneous region. An integral equation over this interface, representing the solution in the exterior region in terms of a single-layer potential, is incorporated into a variational formulation in the primitive variables velocity-pressure for the interior region. This allows a discretization along the artificial boundary together with a typical discretization by finite elements to be employed. This paper is concerned with the implementation of these coupled boundary and finite element methods for the steady Oseen problem in a completely general form and without using the standard finite element software.

One of the difficulties encountered in assessing the performance of the algorithm for the approximate solution of the exterior Oseen equations is that of finding a suitable analytical solution with which a comparison may be made. The flow, due to an infinitely long circular cylinder, rotating uniformly about its axis in an infinite mass of viscous incompressible fluid, is one such solution in the two-dimensional case.

The major aim of the present work is the development of a computational program for our coupled boundary element-finite element methods. In this sense the study of the previous example may serve as a test case of the applicability of this technique to more complicated models. A series of numerical results demonstrates the accuracy of the method.

2. The Continuous Coupled Problem

Let Ω_0 be a simply-connected bounded domain in R^2 assumed to have a smooth boundary Γ and let Ω denote the complement of $\Omega_0 \cup \Gamma$. The steady-state Oseen system of equations, governing the flow of a viscous incompressible fluid in an unbounded domain, may be reduced into the form (see [6])

$$\begin{aligned} -\nu \Delta u + (w_0 \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= u_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ u(x) &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \longrightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{O-S}$$

where u is the velocity, p is the pressure, f represents the density of body forces with a compact support in Ω , $\nu > 0$ is the dynamic viscosity of the flow, and $w_0 = (\bar{w}_0, 0)$ is a constant vector. The boundary condition satisfies $\int_{\Gamma} u_0 \cdot \bar{n} \, ds = 0$, $\bar{n}$ being the unit outward normal to Γ .

One of the main difficulties in solving (O-S) is to deal with an infinite domain. Now, we introduce an artificial smooth boundary Γ_1 separating an exterior homogeneous region Ω_2

from an interior inhomogeneous region Ω_1 which contains the support of f in Ω . So, problem $(O - S)$ is decomposed into two joined problems:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\nu \Delta u + (w_0 \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \\
 \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \\
 u &= u_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\
 -\nu \Delta u + (w_0 \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_2, \\
 \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_2, \\
 u(x) &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \longrightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{O - S_1}$$

and a coupling condition on the interface Γ_1

$$u^- = u^+, \quad \lambda^- = \lambda^+, \tag{O - S_3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (u^-, p^-) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \Gamma_1} (u(x), p(x))|_{\Omega_1}, & (u^+, p^+) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \Gamma_1} (u(x), p(x))|_{\Omega_2}, \\
 \lambda^- &= \sigma(u^-, p^-) \cdot \bar{n}|_{\Gamma_1}, & \lambda^+ &= \sigma(u^+, p^+) \cdot \bar{n}|_{\Gamma_1}, \\
 \sigma(u, p) &= (-\delta_{ij}p + 2\nu \varepsilon_{ij})_{2 \times 2}, & \varepsilon_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad i, j = 1, 2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Then an appropriate coupling technique between boundary element and finite element may be used to solve $(O - S)$.

Since the numerical analysis of this coupling procedure has already been developed, for the sake of brevity, only its essential features will be presented here. For additional mathematical details, the reader is referred to work [6]. Here we use the notations given in [6].

Let us introduce the Hilbert spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &= \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega_1)^2; v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \right\}, \\
 M &= L_0^2(\Omega_1) = \left\{ q \in L^2(\Omega_1); \int_{\Omega_1} q(x) dx = 0 \right\}, \\
 T &= H_0^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1)^2, H_0^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1) = \left\{ t \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1); \int_{\Gamma_1} t ds = 0 \right\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

with the standard norms.

We recall the mixed variational formulation of our problem described in [6]. It consists in finding $(u, p, \lambda) \in H^1(\Omega_1)^2 \times T \times M$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) + a_1(w_0, u, v) + \langle \gamma_0 v, \lambda \rangle - (p, \operatorname{div} v) &= (f, v) \quad \forall v \in W, \\ 2b(\lambda, \mu) + \langle \gamma_0 u, \mu \rangle - 2\langle G(\gamma_0 u), \mu \rangle &= 0 \quad \forall \mu \in T, \\ (q, \operatorname{div} u) &= 0 \quad \forall q \in M, \end{aligned} \quad (Q)$$

with the conditions: $\langle \bar{n}, \lambda \rangle = 0$ and $u = u_0$ on Γ , where the supplementary unknown

$$\lambda = \sigma(u, p) \cdot \bar{n}|_{\Gamma_1} \quad (2.3)$$

appearing as the density of the single-layer potential, which represents the solution in the exterior domain, is identified with the local stress force of the flow; here $\bar{n}$ denotes the unit outward (from Ω_2) normal to Γ_1 .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_k \mu(x) &= \int_{\Gamma_1} U_k(x - y) \cdot \mu(y) ds_y \quad \forall \mu \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1)^2, \\ G_k v_0(x) &= \int_{\Gamma_1} v_0(y) \cdot \sigma(U_k, P_k)(x - y) \cdot \bar{n}(y) ds_y \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma_1} (v_0(y) \cdot U_k(x - y))(w_0 \cdot \bar{n}(y)) ds_y \quad \forall v_0 \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)^2, \\ a(u, v) &= 2\nu \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\Omega_1} \varepsilon_{ij}(u) \varepsilon_{ij}(v) dx, \\ a_1(u, v, w) &= \int_{\Omega_1} (u \cdot \nabla) v \cdot w dx, \\ b(\lambda, \mu) &= \langle S\lambda, \mu \rangle, \\ \langle G(\gamma_0 u), \mu \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \langle G_k(\gamma_0 u), \mu_k \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)^2$ and $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1)^2$ or between $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ and $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1)$, and γ_0 is the trace operator of the zero-order such that $\gamma_0 u = u|_{\Gamma_1}$. Here (U_k, P_k) is a 2nd order tensor which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \Delta U_k(x) - (w_0 \cdot \nabla) U_k(x) - \nabla P_k(x) &= \delta(x) e_k, \\ \operatorname{div} U_k(x) &= 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where e_k represents the coordinate axis, and $\delta(x)$ is Dirac delta function. Recalling [7, 8], we know that

$$U_{ki} = \left(\delta_{ki} \Delta - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_i} \right) \Phi(x), \quad P_k(x) = \frac{x_k}{2\pi|x|^2}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\Phi(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\bar{w}_0} \int_0^{x_1} \left\{ \log \left(\tau^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{1/2} + \exp \left\{ -\frac{\bar{w}_0}{2\nu} \tau \right\} H \left(\frac{|\bar{w}_0|}{\nu} \left(\tau^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \right\} d\tau,$$

where

$$H(t) = -\log \frac{t}{4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t/4)^{2m}}{(m!)^2} - \gamma + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(t/4)^{2m}}{(m!)^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{m} - \gamma \right] \quad (2.7)$$

is essentially the zero-order Hankel function of the first kind. Here

$$\gamma = \text{Euler's constant} = 0.5772157. \quad (2.8)$$

Remark 2.1. It is worth noting that the pressure $p \in L^2(\Omega_1)$ in (Q_h) , arising from the solution of our problem in the bounded subdomain Ω_1 , is determined up to an additive constant and therefore $\lambda \in T$ is unique up to an additive vector cn proportional to the normal to Γ_1 . However, by appropriately assembling the two problems in Ω_1 and Ω_2 , the involved constant must be determined. To overcome this difficulty in practical terms, the strategy adopted was to impose an extra coupling condition

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} \lambda \cdot \bar{n} \, ds = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

We must point out, in contrast to other methods, when the Oseen problem is formulated in this manner, the stress force distribution, which is normally a quantity of interest in such calculations, is determined directly, and the accurate results are shown in Section 6.

3. The Approximate Coupled Problem

For the numerical approximation of our problem, we construct and study a finite element method based on the mixed variational formulation developed in Section 3. It involves Lagrangian finite elements which are conforming both in velocity and pressure but where the incompressibility condition is poorly approximated.

For simplicity we restrict here the discussion to the case where Ω_1 has polygonal boundaries, but the results can be easily extended to a general curved domain, by introducing an approximate boundary $\Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_{1h}$. For further details we also refer to [4, 5].

From now on, h will be a real positive parameter tending to 0. We introduce three finite-dimensional spaces X_h , S_h , and M_h such that

$$X_h \subset H^1(\Omega_1); \quad S_h \subset H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1); \quad M_h \subset H^1(\Omega_1). \quad (3.1)$$

We define

$$W_h = X_h^2 \cap W, \quad T_h = \left(S_h \cap H_0^{1/2}(\Gamma_1) \right)^2 \subset T. \quad (3.2)$$

In addition, we introduce the subspace W_{0h} of W_h given by

$$W_{0h} = \{v_h \in W_h; (q_h, \operatorname{div} v_h) = 0 \ \forall q_h \in M_h\}. \quad (3.3)$$

With these spaces, problem (Q) is approximated by the following.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{find } (u_h, \lambda_h, p_h) \in W_h \times T_h \times M_h \\ & \text{such that } a(u_h, v_h) + a_1(w_0, u_h, v_h) + \langle \gamma_0 v_h, \lambda_h \rangle - (p_h, \operatorname{div} v_h) = (f, v_h), \\ & 2b(\lambda_h, \mu_h) + \langle \gamma_0 u_h, \mu_h \rangle - 2\langle G(\gamma_0 u_h), \mu_h \rangle = 0, \\ & (q_h, \operatorname{div} u_h) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (Q_h)$$

for all $(v_h, \mu_h, q_h) \in W_h \times T_h \times M_h$, with the conditions: $\langle \bar{n}, \lambda_h \rangle = 0$ and $u_h = u_{0h}$ on Γ_h , where u_{0h} is an approximation of u_0 on Γ .

We now construct finite dimensional spaces X_h , S_h , and M_h such that the assumptions (H_1) – (H_5) given in [6] are satisfied. Let Ω_1 be a polygonal domain and $\{\tau_h\}$ be a uniformly regular family of triangulations of $\bar{\Omega}_1$ made of triangles K with no more than one side on $\partial\Omega_1$, whose diameters h_K are bounded by h . We suppose that the family $\{\tau_h\}$ parameterized by the mesh size h is affine of class C^0 , and uniformly regular as h tends to zero, in the sense of [14], namely

$$\bar{\alpha}h \leq h_K \leq \bar{\beta}\rho_K, \quad \forall K \in \tau_h, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ are two positive constants and

$$\rho_K = \sup\{\text{diameter of } B; B \text{ is a circle contained in } K\}. \quad (3.5)$$

We choose the following finite element spaces (refer to [4, 5]):

$$\begin{aligned} X_h &= \left\{ \omega_h \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}_1); \omega_h|_K \in P_2, \ \forall K \in \tau_h \right\} \subset H^1(\Omega_1), \\ S_h &= \left\{ v_h \in C^0(\Gamma_1); v_h|_{s_i} \in P_1, \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} \subset H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1), \\ M_h &= \left\{ q_h \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}_1) \cap M; q_h|_K \in P_1, \ \forall K \in \tau_h \right\} \subset H^1(\Omega_1) \cap M, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where P_l is the polynomial in two real variables of degree $\leq l$ and s_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ ($|s_i| \leq h$) are the straightline segments of the interfacial boundary Γ_1 .

Recalling [6], there hold the following optimal error estimates:

$$\|u - u_h\|_{1,\Omega_1} + \|\lambda - \lambda_h\|_{-1/2,\Gamma_1} + \|p - p_h\|_{0,\Omega_1} \leq ch, \quad (3.7)$$

where c is a positive constant.

4. Linear System

Here some statements are borrowed from Sequeira [5] with some changes. Let us recall the regular triangulation τ_h of $\overline{\Omega}_1$ made up of triangles K with no more than one side located on $\partial\Omega_1$ and the finite element spaces defined by (3.6). X_h and M_h being spaces of the continuous functions which are quadratic and affine in each $K \in \tau_h$, respectively, it is natural to choose, as degrees of freedom, for a function $\omega_h \in X_h$ its values at the vertices and midpoints of the triangulation τ_h and for M_h its values at the vertices of τ_h , with the constraint of the interelement continuity. On the other hand, $T_h = S_h^2 \cap T$ is the space of continuous and piecewise linear functions μ_h on Γ_1 with $\int_{\Gamma_1} \mu_h ds = 0$. We can construct its basis as follows: let us denote by $\overline{A_{i-1}A_i} = \Gamma^i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) the n straightline segments of the boundary Γ_1 (of equal length h , to simplify), and by $\{\pi_i\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) the set of continuous functions on Γ_1 , such that $\pi_i(A_i) = 1$, $\pi_i(A_j) = 0$ ($j \neq i$) and $\int_{\Gamma_1} \pi_i ds = 1$; more precisely, with a parametric representation of each Γ^i (for $x \in \Gamma^i$, $\overline{A_{i-1}A_i} = \tau \overline{A_{i-1}A_i}$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$), we define

$$\pi_i(x(\tau)) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau}{h} & \text{on } \Gamma^i, \\ \frac{1-\tau}{h} & \text{on } \Gamma^{i+1}, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Then S_h is generated by the set of $n-1$ functions $\{t_i\}$, continuous on Γ_1 and piecewise affine, satisfying

$$t_i = \pi_i - \pi_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \quad (4.2)$$

Now, if $N = N_v + N_m$ denotes the total number of nodal points (vertices N_v and midsides N_m) of τ_h , the solution of the approximate problem (Q_h) reduces to the solution of a linear system of order $3N_v + 2N_m + 2(n-1) + 1$. It takes the following matrix form:

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \quad (4.3)$$

with

$$\mathbf{x} = (u_h, p_h, \lambda_h)', \quad \mathbf{b} = (f, 0, 0)', \quad (4.4)$$

and where the arising matrix $\mathbf{M}$ may be written symbolically in a partioned form, exhibiting its natural block structure

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B & C \\ B' & 0 & 0 \\ C' & 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}' & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

with

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B' & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D} = D. \quad (4.6)$$

Here $\mathbf{A}$ is a sparse submatrix of finite element type and its coefficients, dealing only with the velocity and the pressure, are evaluated by numerical quadratures in each finite element. $\mathbf{D}$ is produced by the boundary element treatment of the infinite subdomain: it is a full, symmetric submatrix and the singularities of its integrals are removed by exact calculation. It contains one supplementary row and column due to the discretization of the coupling condition (2.9). Finally, the rectangular submatrices C and C' of the coupling coefficients only involve the degrees of freedom on the interfacial boundary nodes connecting to the finite element mesh, and are also sparse.

To summarize, the computational structure of the coupled system is very different and this leads to some difficulties on solving it. As can be expected, the finite element system is typically large but sparse and the boundary element system is small but dense. It is therefore of interest to design solution methods that exploit these attributes to maximum advantage.

Before proceeding, let us give a short look at the numerical effort needed to derive the boundary interface nodal coefficients to be assembled. As with finite elements, a global numbering system is used for these nodes.

We start with the boundary element terms in order to obtain submatrix D . They are of the following form:

$$D_{ik} = \iint_{\Gamma_1} U_{ki}(x-y) \lambda_{hi}(x) \mu_{hk}(y) ds_x ds_y, \quad i, k = 1, 2. \quad (4.7)$$

Taking into account the above definition of the finite element space T_h and the construction of its basis functions (4.1)-(4.2), it is easy to see that, putting $i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_{ij}^1 \\ d_{ij}^2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} d_{ij}^2 \\ d_{ij}^3 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.8)$$

we must actually calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{d}_{ij}^1 &= \iint_{\Gamma_1} U_{11}(x-y)\pi_i(x)\pi_j(y)ds_xds_y, \\ \bar{d}_{ij}^2 &= \iint_{\Gamma_1} U_{12}(x-y)\pi_i(x)\pi_j(y)ds_xds_y, \\ \bar{d}_{ij}^3 &= \iint_{\Gamma_1} U_{22}(x-y)\pi_i(x)\pi_j(y)ds_xds_y,\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

and use the relations

$$d_{ij}^k = \bar{d}_{ij}^k - \bar{d}_{i,j+1}^k - \bar{d}_{i+1,j}^k + \bar{d}_{i+1,j+1}^k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3.\tag{4.10}$$

To write the above coefficient $\bar{d}_{ij}^k$, we need to add the contribution from two adjoining elements Γ_1^i and Γ_1^{i+1} , Γ_1^j , and Γ_1^{j+1} . We define

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{ij} &= \int_{\Gamma_1^i} \int_{\Gamma_1^j} K(x-y)\pi_i(x)\pi_j(y)ds_xds_y, \\ \beta_{ij} &= \int_{\Gamma_1^i} \int_{\Gamma_1^{j+1}} K(x-y)\pi_i(x)\pi_j(y)ds_xds_y, \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \int_{\Gamma_1^{i+1}} \int_{\Gamma_1^{j+1}} K(x-y)\pi_i(x)\pi_j(y)ds_xds_y,\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

which become, in terms of parameters $\sigma, \tau \in [0, 1]$ of the straightline segments,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{ij} &= \iint_0^1 \sigma\tau K(x(\sigma) - y(\tau))d\sigma d\tau, \\ \beta_{ij} &= \iint_0^1 \sigma(1-\tau)K(x(\sigma) - y(\tau))d\sigma d\tau, \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \iint_0^1 (1-\sigma)(1-\tau)K(x(\sigma) - y(\tau))d\sigma d\tau,\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

where $K(x) = U_{11}(x), U_{12}(x)$, and $U_{22}(x)$.

Computing these integrals and using the relationship

$$\bar{d}_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij} + \beta_{ji} + \gamma_{ij},\tag{4.13}$$

it is a simple matter to derive the full matrix D (refer to [5] for the more details).

Let us now examine the coupling terms

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_h, \lambda_h \rangle &= \int_{\Gamma_1} v_h \lambda_h ds, \\ \langle G(\gamma_0 u_h), \mu_h \rangle &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \iint_{\Gamma_1} \sigma_{ij}(U_k, P_k)(x-y) \bar{n}_j(x) u_{hi}(x) \mu_{hk}(y) ds_x ds_y,\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

where $v_h = (v_{h1}, v_{h2})$, $\mu_h = (\mu_{h1}, \mu_{h2})$. According to (4.1)-(4.2), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma_1} v_{hi} t_j ds &= \int_{\Gamma_1} v_{hi} \pi_j ds - \int_{\Gamma_1} v_{hi} \pi_{j+1} ds \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1^j} v_{hi} \pi_j ds + \int_{\Gamma_1^{j+1}} v_{hi} \pi_j ds - \int_{\Gamma_1^{j+1}} v_{hi} \pi_{j+1} ds \\ &\quad - \int_{\Gamma_1^{j+2}} v_{hi} \pi_{j+1} ds \quad i = 1, 2, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1.\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

5. Resolution of the Matrix System

As it has been noted previously, once the elemental matrix calculations have taken into consideration all the internal and boundary interfacial nodes, ensuring compatibility between the finite and boundary element meshes, the coupled analysis is carried out as in the standard finite element process. Of course, the global assembly and solution procedure we have used do not ignore the large zero blocks that arise, in order to increase the computational efficiency of this method.

We recall that problem (Q_h) produces a global system of linear equations which can be represented in a condensed matrix notation as

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\tag{5.1}$$

and, more explicitly, in terms of the block structure of matrix $\mathbf{M}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}' & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix},\tag{5.2}$$

where the solution vector is such that $\mathbf{X}$ represents the velocity and pressure at all nodes and $\mathbf{Y}$ represents the interface unknown λ_h .

$\mathbf{A}$ is a nonsingular matrix; (5.2) can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}),\tag{5.3}$$

$$(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{C})\mathbf{Y} = -\mathbf{C}'\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}.\tag{5.4}$$

Table 1: Representative parameters of four basic meshes.

Mesheres	NL	N	NE	NO	NVP	NT
3×6	3	6	36	84	192	202
4×8	4	8	64	144	328	342
5×10	5	10	100	220	500	518
6×12	6	12	144	312	708	730

Here, NL : number of Fe-layers; N : number of points on each Fe-layer; NE : number of elements; NO : number of nodal points; NVP : number of degrees of freedom associated with the velocity and pressure; NT : total number of degrees of freedom.

Hence, we need to compute $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{C}$ by solving the matrix equations

$$\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}. \quad (5.5)$$

Then we solve the equation

$$(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}'\bar{\mathbf{C}})\mathbf{Y} = -\mathbf{C}'\bar{\mathbf{b}}, \quad (5.6)$$

by a Gaussian elimination algorithm with partial pivoting and obtain from (5.3) that

$$\mathbf{X} = \bar{\mathbf{b}} - \bar{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{Y}. \quad (5.7)$$

6. Numerical Tests

The performance of the numerical model described above has been tested on the traditional example of the Oseen flow past a rotating infinitely long circular cylinder of the radius R . This numerical example is an extension of the performance of the numerical model describing the traditional example of the Stokes flow past a rotating infinitely long circular cylinder of the radius R ; see Sequeira [5]. For a specific application, an exact solution of equations $(O - S)$ may be sought as follows: assuming, to simplify, that the stream function ψ associated with the flow only depends on the distance to the origin (taken in the axis of the cylinder in an (x_1, x_2) -cartesian plane normal to it), we write

$$\psi = \log r, \quad \text{with } r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}; \quad (6.1)$$

the velocity distribution is then

$$\mathbf{u} = \text{rot} \psi = \left(\frac{x_2}{r^2}, -\frac{x_1}{r^2} \right) \quad (6.2)$$

and the boundary condition

$$\mathbf{u} = \left(\frac{x_2}{R^2}, -\frac{x_1}{R^2} \right) \quad \text{at } r = R \quad (6.3)$$

Table 2: Relative error of numerical solution on four basic meshes ($\nu = 1$).

Mesheres	size	$\ u - u_h\ _{L^2} / \ u\ _{L^2}$	$\ \nabla(u - u_h)\ _{L^2} / \ \nabla u\ _{L^2}$	$\ p - p_h\ _{L^2} / \ p\ _{L^2}$	$\ \lambda - \lambda_h\ _{L^2} / \ \lambda\ _{L^2}$
3×6	h	0.0524273	0.0664172	0.1427025	0.3090692
4×8	$3/4h$	0.0342385	0.0547278	0.1177296	0.2275821
5×10	$3/5h$	0.0243689	0.0474219	0.0998918	0.1813625
6×12	$1/2h$	0.0184596	0.0427631	0.0876485	0.1488314

Table 3: Relative error of numerical solution on four basic meshes ($\nu = 0.5$).

Mesheres	size	$\ u - u_h\ _{L^2} / \ u\ _{L^2}$	$\ \nabla(u - u_h)\ _{L^2} / \ \nabla u\ _{L^2}$	$\ p - p_h\ _{L^2} / \ p\ _{L^2}$	$\ \lambda - \lambda_h\ _{L^2} / \ \lambda\ _{L^2}$
3×6	h	0.0535782	0.0662608	0.1495650	0.3102600
4×8	$3/4h$	0.0358974	0.0580217	0.1277890	0.2304795
5×10	$3/5h$	0.0262548	0.0516052	0.1121745	0.1904752
6×12	$1/2h$	0.0241313	0.0457448	0.0876485	0.1675414

for a cylinder of the radius R . And we take $w_0 = (1, 0)$. Then the relation between the pressure in the fluid and the external force acting on the cylinder is, in this case, given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} \right) + \nabla p = f, \quad (6.4)$$

since $\Delta u = 0$. Then, we may write

$$p = -\frac{x_2}{r^2} + \begin{cases} R_2 - r, & \text{for } R < r \leq R_2, \\ 0, & \text{for } r \geq R_2 \end{cases} \quad (6.5)$$

corresponding to

$$f = \begin{cases} -\frac{(x_1, x_2)}{r}, & \text{for } R < r \leq R_2, \\ 0, & \text{for } r \geq R_2. \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

The interfacial boundary introduced in the fluid region is a circle of radius R_2 . The bounded domain Ω_1 is then a circular annulus limited by R and R_2 .

From the expressions (6.2) and (6.5) for u and p , we can derive the local stress force of the flow at the interfacial boundary

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{x_1 x_2}{R_2^3} + 2\nu \frac{x_2}{R_2^3}, \frac{x_2^2}{R_2^3} - 2\nu \frac{x_1}{R_2^3} \right). \quad (6.7)$$

Computations were carried out with $R = 1$ and $R_2 = 2$ in four basic meshes of decreasing size h , $3/4h$, $3/5h$, and $1/2h$ (see Table 1), consisting of concentric layers of finite elements, and the finest finite and boundary element mesh is shown in Table 1.

In Tables 2 and 3, we give a summary of computational results for $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 0.5$, respectively.

From Tables 2 and 3, one may observe that for the finer meshes we can obtain the better accuracy for the approximate velocity u_h , pressure p_h , and local stress force λ_h of the fluid flow. Hence, the algorithm is actually well behaved for the velocity, the pressure, and the local stress force of the fluid flow. This is confirmed by the accuracy of the obtained results.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 10971166) and the National Basic Research Program (no. 2005CB321703) and Sichuan Science and Technology project (no. 05GG006-006-2).

References

- [1] G. Beer and J. L. Meek, "The coupling of boundary and finite element methods for infinite domain problems in elasto-plasticity," in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Seminar on Boundary Element Methods*, pp. 7–9, Springer, Irvine, Calif, USA, July 1981.
- [2] R. P. Shaw and W. Falby, "FEBIE—a combined finite element-boundary integral equation method," *Computers & Fluids*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 153–160, 1978.
- [3] O. C. Zienkiewicz, D. W. Kelly, and P. Bettess, "The coupling of the finite element method and boundary solution procedures," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 355–375, 1977.
- [4] A. Sequeira, "The coupling of boundary integral and finite element methods for the bidimensional exterior steady Stokes problem," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 356–375, 1983.
- [5] A. Sequeira, "On the computer implementation of a coupled boundary and finite element method for the bidimensional exterior steady Stokes problem," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 117–133, 1986.
- [6] Y. N. He and R. M. M. Mattheij, "The coupling of boundary integral and finite element methods for the exterior steady Oseen problem," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 27–40, 1999.
- [7] Y. N. He, "Coupling boundary integral and finite element methods for the Oseen coupled problem," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 44, no. 10-11, pp. 1413–1429, 2002.
- [8] I. Guven and E. Madenci, "Transient heat conduction analysis in a piecewise homogeneous domain by a coupled boundary and finite element method," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 351–380, 2003.
- [9] I. Guven and E. Madenci, "Thermoelastic stress field in a piece-wise homogeneous domain under non-uniform temperature using a coupled boundary and finite element method," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 381–403, 2003.
- [10] C. D. Wang and M. H. Meylan, "A higher-order-coupled boundary element and finite element method for the wave forcing of a floating elastic plate," *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 557–572, 2004.
- [11] P. Fedelinski and R. Gorski, "Analysis and optimization of dynamically loaded reinforced plates by the coupled boundary and finite element method," *Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 31–40, 2006.
- [12] M. Bezděk, H. Landes, A. Rieder, and R. Lerch, "A coupled finite-element, boundary-integral method for simulating ultrasonic flowmeters," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 636–646, 2007.
- [13] W. F. Pan and Z. Li, "The coupled natural boundary-finite element method for solving the acoustic scattering problem in a 3D oceanic waveguide," *Journal of Computational Acoustics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 397–407, 2008.
- [14] D. R. Smith, "Estimates at infinity for stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in two dimensions," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 20, pp. 341–372, 1965.