Application of the Kalman Filter to Estimate the State of an Aerobraking Maneuver
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This paper presents a study about the application of a Kalman filter to estimate the position and velocity of a spacecraft in an aerobraking maneuver around the Earth. The cis-lunar aerobraking of the Hiten spacecraft as well as an aerobraking in a LEO orbit are simulated in this paper. The simulator developed considers a reference trajectory and a trajectory perturbed by external disturbances combined with nonidealities of sensors and actuators. It is able to operate in closed loop controlling the trajectory at each instant of time using a PID controller and propulsive jets. A Kalman filter utilizes the sensor data to estimate the state of the spacecraft. The estimation algorithms and propagation equations used in this process are presented. The U.S. Standard Atmosphere is adopted as the atmospheric model. The main results are compared with the case where the Kalman filter is not used. Therefore, it was possible to perform an analysis of the Kalman filter importance applied to an aerobraking maneuver.

1. Introduction

An orbital maneuver is described by the transferring of a satellite, from one orbit to another, through a changing in the velocity. To execute the maneuver, the spacecraft has to engage the thrusters or use the natural forces of the environment. The Hohmann transfer [1] and the Bielliptical transfer [2] are some alternatives to accomplish an orbital maneuver by propulsive means. If a velocity increment is added to the satellite velocity instantaneously, the maneuver is called impulsive maneuver [3–6]. The continuous thrust assumes that a finite thrust is applied by a time different from zero. The transfer orbit is a slow spiral outward under continuous thrust when the thrust is small compared to the gravitational force [7]. The theory of optimal low thrust orbital transfer has received a great deal of attention over the past years. There are many studies in the literature that consider a low thrust propulsion system [8–14] or an impulsive propulsion system [15–23]. Another kind of orbital transfer is the gravity-assisted maneuver (or swing-by) that consists in the use of the gravity of a planet or other celestial body to alter the path and speed of a spacecraft, typically in order to save propellant, time, and expense [24–33].

In 1961, Howard London presented the approach of using aerodynamic forces in order to change the trajectory of a spacecraft. This new technique became known as aeroassisted maneuvers [34]. This type of orbital transfer can be accomplished in several layers of the atmosphere. The altitude reached by the vehicle within the atmosphere is related to the mission purpose and the maximum thermal load supported by the vehicle structure. The main advantage of this type of maneuver is the fuel economy. As discussed by Walberg [34], many papers about aeroassisted orbital transfer have been made in recent decades and have shown that a significant reduction in fuel can be achieved using aeroassisted maneuvers instead of propulsive transfer [35–38].

The transfer between two circular and coplanar orbits is widely used. The technique of using atmospheric drag to reduce the semimajor axis got known as aerobraking maneuver and was first used in 1991 by the spacecraft MUSES-A (Space Engineering Spacecraft launched by MU rocket),
which after its launch was renamed to Hiten. The launch was conducted by the Institute of Space and Astronautical Science of Japan (ISAS). The Hiten spacecraft passed through the Earth’s atmosphere at an altitude of 125 km over the Pacific Ocean at a speed of 11 km/s. The experience leads to a decrease in the apogee altitude of about 8665 km [39]. In May 1993, an aerobraking maneuver was performed by the Magellan spacecraft in order to circularize its orbit around Venus. In 1997, the probe U.S. Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) has used its solar panels as “wings” to control its passage through the tenuous upper atmosphere of Mars to lower its apoapsis [40].

2. Mathematical Modeling

In this paper, the Aeroassisted Spacecraft Maneuver Simulator (SAMS) was used with the implementation of the Kalman filter. The SAMS was based on an orbital maneuver simulator developed by Rocco [42] and used by Oliveira et al. [43]. Usually, it is used an open loop control operated from the ground for correction maneuvers and orbital transfers. However, in some missions, like drag-free (Gravity Probe B and Hipparcos), the feedback control is required. The SAMS considers a reference trajectory and a trajectory perturbed by external disturbances, including the aerodynamic effects, combined with nonidealities of sensors and actuators. It is able to operate in closed loop controlling the trajectory at each instant of time by a PID controller and propulsive jets. A study of how the orbital elements can be changed by the aerobraking technique to circularize the initial high elliptical orbit, obtained after the Mars insertion [41].

In this paper, the aeroassisted maneuver simulator (SAMS) was presented by Santos [44]. The Kalman filter is a tool that can estimate the variables of a wide range of processes and, from all the possible filters, it is the one that minimizes the variance of the estimate error. It is an estimator with real-time characteristics; that is, it provides estimates for the instant that the measurement is obtained [45]. The extended Kalman filter version [46] was used in this paper. In aerobraking maneuvers, the spacecraft operates close to the tolerable limits of the thermal loads [41] and a position error can cause the mission loss. Figure 1 shows a basic diagram about the running logic of the aeroassisted maneuver simulator.

In this paper, a spacecraft is assumed with a cubic body composed of two rectangular plates, called aerodynamic plates, placed in opposite sides of the vehicle body. The inclination angle of the plates with respect to the molecular flow is called of attack angle, whose value has been placed at 90 degrees to maximize the projected area and the drag force. In the second section, the mathematical modeling will be presented. The results and discussion are shown in the third section, while the conclusions are described in section four.

2. Mathematical Modeling

In an aerobraking maneuver, the spacecraft uses the drag of the upper layer of the atmosphere in order to decrease the spacecraft velocity to reach a target orbit. The aerobraking duration can take several months and it is characterized by a great number of passages by the atmosphere. After each passage by the atmospheric region, the reducing of the subsequent apogee occurs. When the spacecraft reaches the final apogee altitude, a new impulse is applied to the vehicle to remove it from the transfer orbit and to insert it into the target orbit. In order to control the thermal loads, propulsive jets are applied at the apogee to correct the decay of the perigee. This strategy is discussed in Walberg [34].

The spacecraft state is described by the coordinates \( \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & Z & \dot{X} & \dot{Y} & \dot{Z} \end{bmatrix} \) measured in an inertial frame centered on the Earth. The Kepler equation provides the spacecraft trajectory. The sensor was modeled such that their measurements show a random error with zero mean and a nonzero variance. The dynamics model is composed by the gravitational acceleration and the acceleration of the atmospheric drag, as described by the following equation:

\[
\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{\mu}{r^3}\mathbf{r} - \frac{1}{2}C_D \rho \frac{S}{M} V_r \mathbf{V}_r + \mathbf{w},
\]

where \( \mu \) is the gravitational parameter; \( C_D \) is the drag coefficient; \( \rho \) is the Earth’s atmospheric density; \( S \) is the projected area; \( M \) is the spacecraft mass; \( V_r \) is the velocity of the spacecraft relative to the atmosphere; \( \mathbf{w} \) is the process noise (also known as dynamic noise). It was assumed that the normal of the surface area (projected area) is kept aligned with the spacecraft velocity relative to the atmosphere. The \( \mathbf{w} \) parameter is modeled by a white process whose statistics is given by \( \mathbf{w} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}) \), that is, zero mean and a covariance \( \mathbf{Q} \). This noise is applied to the inertial position of the spacecraft.

The atmospheric model U.S. Standard Atmosphere [47] provides the value of the atmospheric density according to the altitude of the spacecraft, ranging from 0 to 2,000 km. The velocity of the spacecraft relative to the atmosphere in the inertial system is calculated assuming that the atmosphere has the same rotation velocity of the Earth, as shown by the following equation:

\[
\mathbf{V}_r = \dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} + \omega y \\ \dot{y} - \omega x \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \mathbf{r} \) is the velocity vector relative to the inertial system and \( \omega \) is the angular velocity of the Earth’s rotation.

The Newtonian impact theory [48, 49] was used to compute the drag coefficient of the spacecraft, as described by the following equation:

\[
C_D = C_p \sin(\alpha) = 2\sin^3(\alpha),
\]
where $C_p$ is the pressure coefficient, and $\alpha$ is the angle between the surface and the incident flow ($\alpha = 90^\circ$ in the study cases).

The deviation in the spacecraft trajectory was corrected by a PID controller. Most of the industrial controllers are Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) due to its low cost, robustness, and flexibility. The following equation shows the PID control law equation:

$$
c(t) = K_p \cdot e(t) + K_i \cdot \int e(t) \, dt + K_d \cdot \frac{de(t)}{dt},
$$

where $K_p$, $K_i$, and $K_d$ are the proportional gain, integral gain, and derivative gain, respectively, and $e(t)$ is the position error in the trajectory. The observation model is given by the following equation:

$$
y = h(x) + v,
$$

where $y$ is the measurement vector, $h(x)$ is a nonlinear function of the state vector, and $v$ is a vector of discrete white noise whose statistics is given by $v = N(0, R)$, that is, zero mean and a covariance $R$. The measurement noise is due to the sensor reading of the spacecraft inertial position.

The extended Kalman filter generates some reference trajectories that are updated at each measurement processing. The filtering process consists of two stages: time-update and measurement-update. The following equation shows the time-update process:

$$
\dot{x} = f(x),
$$

$$
\dot{P} = FP + PF^T + GQG^T,
$$

where $F$ is the Jacobian matrix of $f$ with respect to $x$, $P$ is the covariance matrix, and $\dot{x}$ is the propagated state vector. The following equation describes the measurement-update process:

$$
K = PH^T (HPH^T + R)^{-1},
$$

$$
\dot{P} = (I - KH) P,
$$

$$
\hat{x} = \bar{x} + K [y - h(\bar{x})],
$$

where $K$ is the Kalman gain, $H$ is the Jacobian matrix of $h(x)$ with respect to $x$ and it models how the observations are connected with the state, and $\hat{x}$ is the estimated state vector [45]. The estimated state is used by the PID controller to correct the trajectory error.

3. Results and Discussion

The results of an aerobraking maneuver simulation around the Earth using a Kalman filter to estimate the position and velocity of the spacecraft, at each instant of time, are presented in this section. Two cases are explored: the first shows an aerobraking performed in a LEO orbit, and the second study case presents the aerobraking simulation with the orbital elements of the Hiten spacecraft. At each step, the PID controller sends a control signal to the propulsion system in order to correct the disturbed trajectory. The thrusters can apply a thrust of up to 20 N per second.

3.1. Aerobraking Maneuver in a LEO Orbit. In this first case, a spacecraft was assumed of 500 kg of mass, a cubic body of 1 m in each side and two aerodynamic plates of 2 m in length and 1 m in width. The step used in the simulation (sampling time) was of 1 second and it was accomplished up to eight hours of maneuvering. The propellant used was the liquid oxygen/liquid hydrogen, whose specific impulse is 460 s. The main results are presented throughout this section. Table 1 shows the initial conditions of the orbit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apogee altitude (km)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perigee altitude (km)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>0.0634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination (degrees)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAAN$^1$ (degrees)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perigee argument (degrees)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean anomaly (degrees)</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Right ascension of the ascending node.

![Figure 2: Spacecraft orbit in the $XY$ plane.](image)

Figure 2 shows the spacecraft orbit in the $XY$ plane. In this graph, it is shown the initial conditions of the orbit.
In both figures, it is possible to see the decrease of the apogee altitude whose final value is 869.30 km, that is, a reduction of 130.70 km. The perigee altitude remains around 120 km. There was no change in the orbital inclination because the lift forces were not applied to the spacecraft. The estimated state is composed by the position and velocity of the spacecraft. Figure 4 shows the estimated position of the $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ coordinates while the estimated velocity is presented in Figure 5.

Figure 6 demonstrates the deviation of the spacecraft position, as a function of time, without the application of the Kalman filter, and in Figure 7 the same result can be seen but with the application of the Kalman filter. This divergence happens due to the measurement errors, causing a deviation between the reference trajectory and the disturbed trajectory. Throughout the maneuver, the trajectory control system acts to reduce this deviation. The deviation in the first case has reached values of approximately 2 m, while, in the second case, the deviation was about 0.15 m.

The deviation of the semimajor axis as a function of time presented can also be evaluated in Figure 8. The deviation in the first case (without the Kalman filter) has reached values of approximately 300 m while in the second case (with the Kalman filter) the deviation was about 35 m.

The residue in the $X$ component of the position vector is presented in Figure 9. The other components ($Y$ and $Z$) of the position vector are similar and therefore were omitted. In the first graph, the results are presented for the complete maneuver (the time is shown in hours) while in the second graph, the residue are showed up to 3 minutes of maneuver in order to improve the visualization of the transient state (the time is shown in minutes). The blue line represents the error between the propagated position and the measured position; the green line represents the error between the propagated position and the Kalman filter’s estimated position and the red line is 2 standard deviations. The estimated position error lies within about 0.5 meters while the measurement error occasionally presents spikes of up to 2 meters.

The final analysis of this study mentions the propellant consumption of the maneuvers without and with the Kalman filter. The results can be seen in Table 2.

As can be seen, the Kalman filter provided fuel savings of about 57 kg that represent almost 80% of reduction. The propellant was used to correct the trajectory between the reference state and the disturbed state. If the measurements accuracy was improved, then we have lower fuel consumption, as presented in Oliveira et al. [50].

3.2. Aerobraking Maneuver Using the Hiten Orbital Elements.

The Hiten spacecraft successfully carried out its mission which included 10 lunar swingbys, insertion of a subsatellite into an orbit around the moon, 2 cis-lunar aerobraking experiments, excursion to the Lagrangian points (L4 and L5) of the Earth-Moon system, orbiting the Hiten spacecraft itself around the moon, and landing on the surface of the moon [39]. The spacecraft had a mass of 197 kg (at launch) including 42 kg of hydrazine fuel—adopted as the propellant for this simulation—and 12 kg for the lunar probe. The lunar probe was separated from the Hiten before the aerobraking
phase, so it was considered a spacecraft mass of 185 kg. The vehicle was assumed to be a cubic body of 1 m in each side and two aerodynamic plates of 0.8 m in length and 0.4 m in width in order to approach the projected area of the Hiten spacecraft. The sampling time used in this simulation was 60 seconds. The spacecraft position is measured with an error of 0.1 meters (one standard deviation) and a dynamic noise was used with a standard deviation of 0.001 meters. Table 3 shows the initial conditions of the orbit.

The orbit in the $XY$ plane is shown in Figure 10. As can be seen, the highly elliptical orbit has the apogee beyond the orbit of the moon, while the perigee is inside the Earth’s atmosphere. The atmospheric drag decreased the subsequent apogee altitude to 416,439 km, that is, a reduction of 8,561 km while the real mission had an apogee reduction of 8,665 km.

**Figure 6**: Deviation in the spacecraft position, without the Kalman filter, as a function of time.

**Figure 7**: Deviation in the spacecraft position, with the Kalman filter, as a function of time.

**Figure 8**: Deviation in the semimajor axis (without and with the Kalman filter) as a function of time.

**Figure 9**: Residue in the $X$ component of the position vector.

**Table 2**: Propellant consumption analysis of the first case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maneuver</th>
<th>Propellant consumption (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without the Kalman filter</td>
<td>71.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With the Kalman filter</td>
<td>14.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 10: Hiten orbit in the XY plane.

Figure 11: Spacecraft altitude as a function of time in days.

Table 3: Initial conditions of the Hiten orbit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apogee altitude (km)</td>
<td>425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perigee altitude (km)</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean anomaly (degrees)</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12: Apogee altitude and drag force as a function of time in days.

Figure 13: Semimajor axis deviation (without and with the Kalman filter) as a function of time in days.

Figure 14: Residue behavior of the propagated position and the Kalman filter’s estimated position.

4. Conclusions

This paper presented a study of an aerobraking maneuver, around the Earth, using the Kalman filter to estimate the position and velocity of the spacecraft. A PID controller and
propulsive jets were used to correct the deviation between the reference trajectory and the disturbed trajectory. Two study cases were developed: an aerobraking in a LEO orbit was realized in the first case; the second case presented an aerobraking maneuver with the orbital elements similar to the Hiten spacecraft. The results with the application of the Kalman filter were compared to the case where the Kalman filter is not used. The results indicated that the Kalman filter decreased the position error and, also, provided a significant economy of fuel in both situations. Large errors in the spacecraft position can affect the control system performance. However, high-precision sensors have a high cost. Therefore, the mission design should consider the cost and benefit of each component taking into account the mission requirements.
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