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The effect of non-homogeneity and rotation on the free vibrations for elastodynamic problem of orthotropic hollow sphere is discussed. The free vibrations are studied on the basis of the linear elasticity. The determination is concerned with the eigenvalues of the natural frequency for mixed boundary conditions. The numerical results of the frequency equations are discussed in the presence and absence of non-homogeneity and rotation. The computer simulated results indicate that the influence of non-homogeneity and rotation in orthotropic material is pronounced.

1. Introduction

Hollow spheres are frequently encountered in engineering industries and the corresponding free vibration problem has become one of the basic problems in elastodynamics. The analyses for transient problems of spherical structures are important and interesting research fields for engineers and scientists. The applications for non-homogeneous orthotropic hollow sphere have continuously increased in some engineering areas, including aerospace, offshore, infrared detectors, frequency control filters, chemical vessels, information storage devices, and signal processing devices. Accidental failures of rotating sphere due to flexural vibrations have frequently occurred in rotodynamic machinery such as steam turbines and gas turbines. Free vibrations of elastodynamic have many applications in a microporous porous cubic crystal, poroelastic material [1–3]. Many applications dealing with the elastic bodies and materials, we can only mention a few recent interesting investigations [4–8], the analysis of the dynamic problems of elastic bodies is an important and interesting research field for engineers and scientists. The hollow spheres are frequently used as structural components and their vibration characteristics are obviously important for practical design. Mahmoud et al. [1, 2] discussed the effect of the rotation on plane vibrations in a transversely isotropic infinite hollow cylinder and the effect of the rotation on wave motion through cylindrical bore in a microporous cubic crystal. Mahmoud [3] studied wave propagation in cylindrical poroelastic dry bones. Abd-Alla and Mahmoud [8, 9] solved magnetothermoelastic problem in rotating non-homogeneous orthotropic hollow cylindrical under the hyperbolic heat conduction model and investigated problem of radial vibrations in non-homogeneity isotropic cylinder under influence of initial stress and magnetic field. Influences of rotation, magnetic field, and gravity on Rayleigh waves in a homogeneous orthotropic elastic half space and the solution of electromechanical wave propagation are investigated by Abd-Alla et al. [10–13]. Marin et al. [14, 15] studied porous materials and nonsimple material problems addressed by the Lagrange’s identity. Wang [16] studied the elastodynamic solution for an anisotropic hollow sphere. Ding et al. [17, 18] discussed elastodynamic solution of a non-homogeneous orthotropic hollow cylinder, a solution of a non-homogeneous orthotropic cylindrical shell for axisymmetric plane
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strain dynamic thermo elastic problems. Inclusion of arbitrary shape in magnetoelastic composite materials has been investigated by Wang and Shen [19]. Ding et al. [20] obtained the analytical solution for the axisymmetric plane strain electroelastic dynamics of a non-homogeneous piezoelectric hollow cylinder. Hou and Leung [21] further study the corresponding problem of magnetoelastic hollow cylinders. Buchanan and Liu [22] discussed an analysis of the free vibration of thick-walled isotropic toroidal shells. Yu et al. [23] investigated wave propagation in non-homogeneous magnetoelastic hollow cylinders. Recently, Abd-Alla and Mahmoud [24] discussed analytical solution of wave propagation in non-homogeneous orthotropic rotating elastic media. Abd-Alla et al. [25] studied the effect of the rotation, magnetic field, and initial stress on peristaltic motion of micropolar fluid. Mahmoud [26] investigated wave propagation in piezoelectric hollow cylinder and influence of rotation and generalized magnetoelastic on Rayleigh waves in a granular medium under effect of initial stress and gravity field. Sharma et al. [27] studied on Rayleigh waves in a granular medium under effect of influence of rotation and generalized magnetoelastic orthotropic hollow spheres. Taskner [34] investigated contact problem for an elastic free vibrations in non-homogeneity cylinder under influence and rotation in cases of orthotropic hollow sphere. The effect of non-homogeneous elastodynamic equations of rotating non-homogeneous and orthotropic hollow sphere. The effect of non-homogeneous and rotation in the equations of motion has been taken into account and the numerical results of the fundamental frequency equations are discussed. Comparisons are made with the result in the present and absence of non-homogeneous and rotation in cases of orthotropic hollow sphere.

2. Formulation of the Problem

Take the spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \varphi)\) and consider elastodynamic problem of non-homogeneous rotating hollow sphere of inner radius \(a\) and outer radius \(b\), as Figure 1. The stresses-strain relations for non-homogeneous spherically orthotropic material in two dimensions are in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{rr} &= r^{2m} \left( \alpha_{11} e_{rr} + \alpha_{12} e_{r\theta} + \alpha_{13} e_{r\varphi} \right), \\
\sigma_{\theta\theta} &= r^{2m} \left( \alpha_{22} e_{rr} + \alpha_{23} e_{r\theta} + \alpha_{23} e_{\varphi} \right), \\
\sigma_{\varphi\varphi} &= r^{2m} \left( \alpha_{33} e_{rr} + \alpha_{33} e_{r\theta} + \alpha_{33} e_{r\varphi} \right), \\
\tau_{r\theta} &= r^{2m} \alpha_{44} e_{r\theta}, \\
\tau_{r\varphi} &= 0, \\
\tau_{\theta\varphi} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The strain-displacements relations in two dimensions are in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
e_{rr} &= \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r}, \\
e_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + u_r \right), \\
e_{r\varphi} &= \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} (u_r \sin \theta + u_\varphi \cos \theta),
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting from (1b) into (1a) we obtain the stresses-displacements relations in two dimensions in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{rr} &= r^{1+2m} \left( \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{13} \right) u_r + \alpha_{13} u_\theta \cot \theta \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_{12}}{r} \left( \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{r \alpha_{11}}{\partial r} u_r \right), \\
\sigma_{\theta\theta} &= r^{1+2m} \left( \alpha_{22} + \alpha_{23} \right) u_r + \frac{\alpha_{23}}{r} \left( \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{r \alpha_{11}}{\partial r} u_r \right), \\
\sigma_{\varphi\varphi} &= r^{1+2m} \left( \alpha_{33} + \alpha_{33} \right) u_r + \frac{\alpha_{33}}{r} \left( \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{r \alpha_{11}}{\partial r} u_r \right), \\
\tau_{r\theta} &= \frac{1}{2} r^{1+2m} \alpha_{44} \left( -u_\theta + \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + r \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial r} \right), \\
\tau_{r\varphi} &= 0, \\
\tau_{\theta\varphi} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(u_r\) and \(u_\theta\) are, respectively, the components of displacement in the radial and tangential directions, \(e_{ij}\) are the strain components, and \(\sigma_{ij}\) are the stress components. Where we have characterized the elastic constants \(c_{ij}\) and the density \(\rho\) of non-homogeneous material in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
c_{ij} &= \alpha_i r^{2m}, \\
\rho &= \rho_0 r^{2m}, \\
i, j &= 1, 2, 3,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \alpha_{ij} \) and \( \rho_0 \) are the values of \( c_{ij} \) and \( \rho \) in the homogeneous case, respectively, and \( m \) is the non-homogeneous parameter. The displacement equations of motion in the rotating frame have two additional terms centripetal acceleration \( \Omega \times (\Omega \times \vec{u}) = (-\Omega^2 u_r, -\Omega^2 u_\theta, 0) \), due to time varying motion only, where \( \vec{\Omega} = (0, 0, \Omega) \), and \( \vec{u} = (u_r, u_\theta, 0) \).

The elastodynamic equations of rotating non-homogeneous medium in two dimensions in the direction \( r, \theta \) are given by:

\[
\frac{\partial \varphi_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \left( 2 \alpha_{rr} - \alpha_{r\theta} - \sigma_{\theta \varphi} + \tau_{r\theta} \cot \theta \right) + \rho_0 r^2 \Omega^2 \varphi_r = \rho_0 r^2 m \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_r}{\partial t^2},
\]

(4)

\[
\frac{\partial \varphi_{r\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\theta \theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \left( (\sigma_{\theta \theta} - \sigma_{\varphi \varphi}) \cot \theta + 3 \tau_{r\theta} \right) + \rho_0 r^2 \Omega^2 \varphi_\theta = \rho_0 r^2 m \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_\theta}{\partial t^2},
\]

(5)

Substituting from (1a), (1b), and (2) into (4) and (5), we obtain:

\[
r^{-1+m} \left[ 2 \left( a_0 + r^2 \rho_0 \Omega^2 \right) u_r + a_1 u_\theta \cot \theta + a_2 \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} 
+ \alpha_{44} \cot \theta \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial \theta^2} + r - 2r \rho_0 \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial \theta^2}
+ 4(1 + m) a_1 \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + (2a_3 + a_4)) \cot \theta \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta}
+ (2a_2 + a_4) \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial r \partial \theta} + 2a_1 \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = 0,
\]

(6)

where \( a_0 = a_{12} + 2ma_1 + a_{13} + 2ma_1 - a_{22} - 2a_{23} - a_{33}, \)
\( a_1 = (2 + 4m)a_1 - 2(a_{23} + a_{33}) - a_{44}, \)
and \( a_2 = 2(a_{12} + 2ma_{12} - a_{22} - a_{33}) \).

One has

\[
r^{-1+m} \left[ 2 \left( a_{22} - a_{33} \right) u_r \cot \theta 
- 2 \left( a_3 - r^2 \rho_0 \Omega^2 + \alpha_{33} \cot^2 \theta \right) u_\theta 
+ \alpha_4 \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} + 2a_2 \cot \theta \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} 
+ r \left( -2r \rho_0 \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial \theta^2} + 2(a_{12} - a_{33}) \cot \theta \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial r} 
+ 2(1 + m) a_{44} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial r} 
+ r \left( a_4 \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} + r a_{44} \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial r \partial \theta} \right) \right] = 0,
\]

(7)

where \( a_3 = a_{23} - a_{33} + a_{44} + ma_{44}, \)
\( a_4 = 2(a_{22} + a_{23} + a_{44} + ma_{44}), \)
and \( a_5 = 2a_{12} + a_{44}. \)

### 3. Solution of the Problem

By Helmholtz’s theorem, the displacement vector \( \vec{u} \) can be written as

\[
\vec{u} = \nabla \Phi_1 + \nabla \wedge \vec{\Psi},
\]

(8)

where the two functions \( \Phi_1 \) and \( \vec{\Psi} \) are known in the theory of elasticity, by Lame’ potentials irrotational and rotational parts of the displacement vector \( \vec{u} \), respectively. The displacement potentials are introduced for facilitating the solution of the field equations (5) and (6). It is possible to take only one components of the vector \( \vec{\Psi} \) to be nonzero \( \vec{\Psi} = (0, 0, \psi_1) \).

From (8), we obtain

\[
u_r = \frac{\cot \theta \psi_1 + (\partial / \partial \theta) \psi_1}{r} + \frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial r},
\]

(9)

\[
u_\theta = -\frac{\psi_1 - \partial \Phi_1 / \partial \theta + r (\partial / \partial r) \psi_1}{r}.
\]

Substituting from (9) into (6) and (7) and regrouping them lead to the following equations for \( \Phi_1 \) and \( \psi_1 \):

\[
r^{-2+m} \left[ 2 \left( a_3 + r^2 \rho_0 \Omega^2 \right) h_1 - a_3 \cot \theta \left( \psi_1 - \frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial \theta} + r \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial r} \right)
- a_3 \left( \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta^2} + r \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta \partial r} \right)
+ a_{44} \left( \cot \theta \csc^2 \theta \psi_1 - \csc^2 \theta \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta^2} \right)
+ 2 \cot \theta \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta \partial r} + \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial \theta^3} + rh_2 \right)
- 2\rho_0 r^2 \left( \cot \theta \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta \partial r} + r \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial \theta \partial r \partial t} \right)
+ 4(1 + m) a_1 r^2 h_3 + (2a_{13} + a_{44})
\] 
\[
\times \cot \theta h_3 + (2a_{12} + a_{44}) h_4
\]
\[
+ 2a_{11} \left( 2 \cot \theta \psi_1 + 2 \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} + rh_3 \right) \right] = 0,
\]

(10)

where

\[
h_1 = \left( \cot \theta \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} + r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right),
\]

\[
h_2 = \left( \cot \theta \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta \partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta \partial r} + \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial \theta \partial r \partial t} \right),
\]
$$h_3 = \left( -\cot \psi_1 + \left( \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} \right) \right)$$
$$-r \left( \cot \left( \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial r \partial \theta} \right)$$
$$\times r^{-2} + \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial r^2 \partial \theta^2} \right),$$
$$h_3 = \left( \psi_1 - \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \theta} - r \left( \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi_1}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial r \partial \theta} \right) \right),$$
$$h_4 = \left( \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi_1}{\partial \rho^2} - r \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial \rho^3 \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial r \partial \rho \partial \theta} \right) \right),$$
$$h_5 = \left( -2 \cot \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial r} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} \right)$$
$$+ r \left( \cot \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial r \partial \rho \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial r \partial \theta} \right) \right).$$

One has

$$r^{-2m+2} \left( 2 (\alpha_{22} - \alpha_{33}) \cot \theta \left( \cot \theta + \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial r} \right) \right)$$
$$+ 2 \left( \alpha_5 - r^2 \rho_0 \Omega^2 + \alpha_{33} \csc^2 \theta \right) \left( \psi_1 - \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial r} \right)$$
$$+ 2 \alpha_5 \left( -\csc^2 \theta \psi_1 + \cot \theta \left( \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial r \partial \rho \partial \theta} \right) \right)$$
$$- \alpha_{22} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial \theta^3} \right)$$
$$+ \cot \theta \left( \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial \theta^3} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} \right)$$
$$+ r \left( \cot \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial r \partial \theta} \right) \right)$$
$$+ r^2 \left( 2 \rho_0 \left( \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial \theta^3} - \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial \theta^3} + \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} \right) \right)$$
$$- \alpha_{44} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial \theta^3} + \frac{\partial^3 \psi_1}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} \right)$$
$$+ 2 \left( \alpha_{12} - \alpha_{33} \right)$$
$$\times \cot \theta \left( -h_3 + \frac{\partial^3 \phi_1}{\partial r \partial \theta} \right) \right) \right) = 0,$$
where

\[ \begin{align*}
    a_7 &= (−2m\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{22} + \alpha_{33} + \alpha_{44})\gamma, \\
    a_8 &= i(−2m\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{33} + \alpha_{44}), \\
    a_9 &= −2 \alpha_{12} + 4m(\alpha_{11} − \alpha_{13}) − 2\alpha_{13} + 2\alpha_{23} \\
    &+ 2\alpha_{33} + \alpha_{44}(−1 + \gamma^2), \\
    a_{10} &= \alpha_{12} + 2m(−\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{12}) + \alpha_{13} − \alpha_{22} \\
    &− \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{44} − \alpha_{44}\gamma^2, \\
    a_{11} &= \alpha_{12} + 2m\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13} + 2m\alpha_{13} − \alpha_{22} \\
    &− 2\alpha_{23} − \alpha_{33} − (\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{44})\gamma^2, \\
    a_{12} &= i(2mA_{11} − 2\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{22} + \alpha_{23})\gamma, \\
    a_{13} &= 2(2m\alpha_{11} − 2\alpha_{13} − 2m\alpha_{33} + \alpha_{33} + \alpha_{33}), \\
    a_{14} &= i(2\alpha_{11} − 2m\alpha_{12} − \alpha_{44})\gamma + (2\alpha_{11} − 2\alpha_{13} − \alpha_{44}), \\
    a_{15} &= (2m\alpha_{11} − 2\alpha_{13} − \alpha_{44}).
\end{align*} \]

One has

\[ e^{iy(\theta−ωt−r^{−1+m})} = -iv(a_{16} + a_{44}(4 + 4m + r^2\gamma^2)) \]

\[ -2r^2\rho_0(\omega^2 + \Omega^2) - 2i\alpha_{22}\gamma \cot \theta \]

\[ + 2\alpha_{33}\csc^2 \theta \Phi_2 + 2(\alpha_{12} − \alpha_{13})\Psi_2 \]

\[ + (a_{17} + (−1 − 2m + r^2)\alpha_{44})\gamma^2 \]

\[ − 2\alpha_{23}(−1 + \gamma^2) − 2r^2\rho_0(\omega^2 + \Omega^2) \]

\[ − a_{18}\cot \theta + a_{19}\csc^2 \theta \Psi_2 \]

\[ + r \left[ 2i(a_{20} − i(\alpha_{22} − \alpha_{23})\cot \theta) \frac{d\Phi_2}{dr} \right. \]

\[ - (a_{21} + 2r^2\rho_0\omega^2 + 2r^2\rho_0\Omega^2 - a_{22}\cot \theta + a_{23}\csc^2 \theta) \frac{d\Psi_2}{dr} \]

\[ + r(i(2\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{44})\gamma \]

\[ + 2(\alpha_{12} − \alpha_{13})\cot \theta) \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dr^2} \]

\[ - 2(1 + m)\alpha_{44}\frac{d^2\Psi_2}{dr^2} \left. \right] = 0, \]

where

\[ \begin{align*}
    a_{16} &= 2\alpha_{23} − 2\alpha_{33} + 2\alpha_{22}\gamma^2, \\
    a_{17} &= −2\alpha_{22} + 4(1 + m)\alpha_{44} + 2\alpha_{12}\gamma^2, \\
    a_{18} &= i(4\alpha_{12} − 2(\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{22} + \alpha_{23} − \alpha_{33}) \\
    &− (1 + 2m)\alpha_{44})\gamma, \\
    a_{19} &= (2\alpha_{13} − 2\alpha_{23} − \alpha_{44} − 2m\alpha_{44}), \\
    a_{20} &= (\alpha_{22} + \alpha_{23} + 2(1 + m)\alpha_{44})\gamma, \\
    a_{21} &= −2(\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{23} − \alpha_{33}) − 2\alpha_{22}\gamma^2 \\
    &+ \alpha_{44}\gamma^2 − r^2\alpha_{44}\gamma^2 + 2\alpha_{12}(1 + \gamma^2), \\
    a_{22} &= i(4\alpha_{12} − 2(\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{22} + \alpha_{44})\gamma, \\
    a_{23} &= (2\alpha_{13} − 3\alpha_{33} + \alpha_{44}).
\end{align*} \]

where \( y \) is the wave number, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( y = 2\pi/\lambda \), and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength. Substituting from (14) into (15) and (17) and after regrouping them leads to two independent equations for \( \Phi_2 \) and \( \Psi_2 \); these equations are called spherical Bessel's equations whose general solution is in the form

\[ \Phi_2 (r) = A_1 j_n(kr) + A_2 y_n(kr), \]

\[ \Psi_2 (r) = A_3 j_n(k_1r) + A_4 y_n(k_1r), \]

where

\[ \begin{align*}
    n(n + 1) &= \frac{(\alpha_{22} + \alpha_{33} + 2\alpha_{23}) − (2m + 1)(\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13})}{\alpha_{11}} \\
    &+ m(m + 1), \\
    k^2 &= \frac{\alpha_{44} + \rho_0\omega^2}{\alpha_{11}} + L_1 − y^2(\alpha_{11} − 2\alpha_{43}) + \frac{\rho_0}{\alpha_{11}}(\Omega^2 + \omega^2), \\
    k_1^2 &= \frac{\rho_0}{\alpha_{11}}(\Omega^2 + \omega^2) + \frac{(L_2 + \rho_0\omega^2)}{\alpha_{11}} \\
    &+ 2y^2(\alpha_{12} + 2m\alpha_{12} − \alpha_{22} − \alpha_{23}), \\
    L_1 &= (2 + 4m)\alpha_{13} − 2(\alpha_{23} + \alpha_{33} − m\alpha_{44}), \\
    L_2 &= 2\alpha_{12} + 2m\alpha_{13} − \alpha_{22} − 2m\alpha_{23} − \alpha_{33},
\end{align*} \]

where \( A_1, A_2, A_3, \) and \( A_4 \) are arbitrary constants and \( j_n(kr) \) and \( y_n(kr) \) denote spherical Bessel's functions of the first and second kind of order \( n \), respectively, which are defined in terms of Bessel's function as follows: \( j_n(kr) = \sqrt{\pi/2kr}J_{n+1/2}(kr), y_n(kr) = \sqrt{\pi/2kr}Y_{n+1/2}(kr) \). From (19) and (14) we get the following solutions for \( \Phi_2 \) and \( \Psi_1 \) as follows:

\[ \Phi_2 (r, \theta, t) = e^{i(\rho \theta − \omega t)} [A_1 j_n(kr) + A_2 y_n(kr)], \]

\[ \Psi_2 (r, \theta, t) = e^{i(\rho \theta − \omega t)} [A_3 j_n(k_1r) + A_4 y_n(k_1r)]. \]
Substituting from (21) into (9), we obtain the final solution of the displacement components in the following form:

\[ u_r = \frac{1}{r} e^{i(\theta - \omega t)} \left[ A_1 \left( n j_n(kr) - r k j_{n+1}(kr) \right) + \frac{1}{r} e^{i(\theta - \omega t - \omega t)} \right] \]

\[ u_\theta = \frac{1}{r} e^{i(\theta - \omega t - \omega t)} \left[ A_1 i n j_n(kr) + A_2 y_n(kr) \right. \]

\[ + A_3 \left( i \gamma + \cot \theta \right) j_n(kr) \left. + A_4 y_{n+1}(k r) \right] , \]

Substituting from (22) into (2), we obtain the final solution of the stress components in the following form:

\[ \sigma_{rr} = r^{-2m} e^{i(\theta - 2\omega t)} \]

\[ \times \left[ A_1 \left\{ (\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}) n + \alpha_{12} \left( (-1 + n) n - k^2 r^2 \right) - \alpha_{23} y \right. \right. \]

\[ + \frac{1}{r} e^{i(\theta - \omega t - \omega t)} \left. \left. + i \alpha_{12} y \cot \theta j_n(kr) + (2 \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{12} - \alpha_{13}) kr j_{n+1}(kr) \right. \right. \]

\[ + A_3 \left\{ n \left( -1 + n \right) n - k^2 r^2 \right\} - \alpha_{12} y \]

\[ \left. + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13} \right) k r \left( -i \left( \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{12} \right) + \left( -\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{13} \right) \cot \theta \right) y_n(kr) \]

\[ + A_2 \left\{ \left( \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13} \right) n + \alpha_{11} \left( -1 + n \right) n + \alpha_{12} n \right) \gamma \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left( \alpha_{12} - \alpha_{12} - \alpha_{13} \right) kr j_{n+1}(kr) \right. \right. \]

\[ + A_3 \left\{ i \left( \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{11} \right) \left( -1 + n \right) - \alpha_{12} n \right) \gamma \right. \right. \]

\[ + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13} \left( -1 + n \right) n \]

\[ - \alpha_{12} n \cot \theta y_n(kr) \right. \right. \]

\[ + k r \left( -i \left( \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{12} \right) \gamma \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left( -\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{13} \right) \cot \theta y_{n+1}(k r) \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left( -\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{12} \right) \gamma \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left( -\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{13} \right) \cot \theta y_{n+1}(k r) \] , \]

\[ (23a) \]

\[ \sigma_{\theta \theta} = r^{-2m} e^{i(\theta - 2\omega t)} \]

\[ \times \left[ A_1 \left\{ (\alpha_{22} + \alpha_{23}) n \right. \right. \]

\[ + \alpha_{22} \left( (-1 + n) n - k^2 r^2 \right) - \alpha_{23} \gamma \right. \right. \]

\[ + i \alpha_{23} y \cot \theta j_n(kr) \right. \right. \]

\[ + (2 \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{23}) kr j_{n+1}(kr) \right. \right. \]

\[ + A_3 \left\{ \left( \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{22} \right) \left( -1 + n \right) n + \alpha_{22} n \right) \gamma \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left( \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{22} \right) \left( -1 + n \right) n \]

\[ - \alpha_{22} n \cot \theta \left. \right. \gamma \right. \right. \]

\[ + k r \left( -i \left( \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{22} \right) \gamma \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left( -\alpha_{22} + \alpha_{23} \right) \cot \theta y_{n+1}(k r) \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left( -\alpha_{22} + \alpha_{23} \right) \cot \theta y_{n+1}(k r) \] , \]

\[ (23c) \]
\[ \tau_{r\theta} = -r^{-2+2m}e^{i\theta - i\omega} \]
\[ \times \left[ A_1 \left\{ -2i (-1 + n) y_j n ( kr) + 2ikry_{n+1} (kr) \right\} \right. \]
\[ + A_3 \left\{ \left( -2 + (-1 + n) n - k_r^2 r^2 + y^2 - i\gamma cot\theta \right) \right. \]
\[ + csc^2\theta \left. j_n (k_r r) + 2k_r j_{n+1} (k_r r) \right\} \]
\[ - A_2 \left\{ 2i (-1 + n) y_j n ( kr) + 2ikry_{n+1} (kr) \right\} \]
\[ + A_4 \left\{ \left( -2 + (-1 + n) n - k_r^2 r^2 + y^2 - i\gamma cot\theta \right) \right. \]
\[ + csc^2\theta \left. j_n (k_r r) + 2k_r j_{n+1} (k_r r) \right\} \].

(23d)

From the solutions of elastic wave equations, the systems of equations depend on non-homogeneity, rotation and the frequency.

4. Boundary Conditions and Frequency Equation

The solutions of the hollow sphere with different boundary conditions are performed, the mixed boundary conditions which consist of two kinds of boundary conditions, the inner surface fixed and the outer surface free, that is,

\[ u_r = u_\theta = 0, \quad r = a, \quad \sigma_{rr} = \tau_{r\theta} = 0, \quad r = b. \]  

(24)

In this case, from (22), (23a), (23b), (23c), (23d), and (24) we have

\[ A_1 \{ n_j n (ka) - ak j_{n+1} (ka) \} \]
\[ + A_2 \{ ny_{n+1} (ka) + y_{n+1} (ka) \} \]
\[ + A_3 \{ (iy + cot\theta) j_n (k_1 a) + A_4 y_{n+1} (k_1 a) \} = 0, \]  

(25a)

\[ A_1 i j y_n (ka) + A_2 y_n (ka) \]
\[ - A_3 \{ (1 + n) j_n (k_1 a) + k_1 a j_{n+1} (k_1 a) \} \]
\[ - A_4 \{ (1 + n) y_n (k_1 a) + ak_1 y_{n+1} (k_1 a) \} = 0, \]  

(25b)

\[ A_1 \{ \left( (a_{12} + a_{13}) \right) n + a_{11} ( -1 + n ) n - k^2 b^2 \} \]
\[ - a_{12} y^2 + i a_{13} \gamma cot\theta \right) j_n (kb) \]
\[ + (2a_{11} - a_{12} - a_{13}) k b j_{n+1} (kb) \]  

(25c)

\[ + A_3 \left\{ \left( (a_{12} + a_{13}) \right) n + a_{11} ( -1 + n ) n - k^2 b^2 \} \]
\[ - a_{12} y^2 + i a_{13} \gamma cot\theta \right) y_n (kb) \]
\[ + (2a_{11} - a_{12} - a_{13}) k b y_{n+1} (kb) \].

(25d)

From (25a), (25b), (25c), and (25d) we get the following frequency equation:

\[ [ a_{ij} ] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \]  

(26)

where the coefficients \( a_{ij} \) are functions of rotation, non-homogeneity, frequency, the radius \( r \). Finally, we confined our attention to make these quantities dimensionless to simplify the calculation of the eigenvalues of equations. The coefficients \( a_{ij} \) are

\[ a_{11} = nj_n (ka) - rk j_{n+1} (ka), \]
\[ a_{12} = ny_{n+1} (ka) + y_{n+1} (ka), \]
\[ a_{13} = (iy + cot\theta) j_n (k_1 a), \]
\[ a_{14} = y_{n+1} (k_1 a), \quad a_{21} = iy j_n (ka), \]
\[ a_{22} = y_n (ka), \]
\[ a_{23} = - (1 + n) j_n (k_1 a) - k_1 a j_{n+1} (k_1 a), \]
\[ a_{24} = (1 + n) y_n (k_1 a) + ak_1 y_{n+1} (k_1 a), \]
\[ a_{31} = \left( (a_{12} + a_{13}) \right) n + a_{11} ( -1 + n ) n - k^2 b^2 \} \]
\[ - a_{12} y^2 + i a_{13} \gamma cot\theta \right) j_n (kb) \]
\[ + (2a_{11} - a_{12} - a_{13}) k b j_{n+1} (kb), \]
\[ a_{32} = \left( (\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}) n + \alpha_{11} \left( (-1 + n) n - k^2 b^2 \right) \right. \]
\[ - \alpha_{12} y^2 + i \alpha_{13} y \cot \theta \right) y_n (kb) \]
\[ + (2\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{12} - \alpha_{13}) k \beta_{n+1} (kb), \]
\[ a_{33} = \left\{ (i (\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{11} (-1 + n) - \alpha_{12} n) y \right. \]
\[ + (\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{11} (-1 + n) - \alpha_{13} n) \right. \]
\[ \times \cot \theta \right) y_n (k_1 b) \]
\[ + k_1 (k_{n+1} (k_1 b) \right. \]
\[ \left. + \left( -\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{13} \right) y \right) \]
\[ \times \cot \theta \right) j_{n+1} (k_1 b) \right\}, \]
\[ a_{34} = (i (\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{11} (-1 + n) - \alpha_{12} n) y \right. \]
\[ + (\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{11} (-1 + n) - \alpha_{13} n) \right. \]
\[ \times \cot \theta \right) y_n (k_1 b) \]
\[ + k_1 (k_{n+1} (k_1 b) \right. \]
\[ \left. + \left( -\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{13} \right) y \right) \]
\[ \times \cot \theta \right) j_{n+1} (k_1 b) \right\}, \]
\[ a_{41} = \left( \left( 2 (-1 + n) \right) y_n (kb) + 2 i k b y_{n+1} (kb) \right), \]
\[ a_{42} = \left( 2 (-1 + n) \right) y_n (kb) - 2 i k b y_{n+1} (kb) \right), \]
\[ a_{43} = \left( 2 (-1 + n) n - k_1^2 b^2 + y^2 \right. \]
\[ - i \gamma \cot \theta + \csc^2 \theta \right) j_n (k_1 b) \]
\[ + 2 k_1 b_j_{n+1} (k_1 b) \right), \]
\[ a_{44} = \left( 2 (-1 + n) n - k_1^2 b^2 + y^2 \right. \]
\[ - i \gamma \cot \theta + \csc^2 \theta \right) y_n (k_1 b) \]
\[ + 2 k_1 b y_{n+1} (k_1 b) \right). \]

(27)

5. Numerical Results and Discussion

To examine the influence of non-homogeneity, rotation and variation of the non-dimensional frequency in hollow sphere with the radius \( r \) have been shown graphically. Free vibrations have been studied using a half-interval method. The frequency equations have been obtained under the effects of non-homogeneity and rotation. It is found that the non-dimensional frequency increases with the increase of radius \( r \) for all cases. As an illustrative example, the elastic constants for an orthotropic non-homogeneous material from Hearmon [36, 37] are \( \alpha_{23} = 3.945, \alpha_{11} = 3.198, \alpha_{13} = 2.7951, \alpha_{12} = 2.310, \alpha_{22} = 0.713, \alpha_{23} = 4.560, \) and \( \rho = 2.680. \) Numerical calculations are carried out for the displacement and the stress components along the \( r \)-direction at different values of the rotation \( \Omega = 0.0, 1.3, 2.6 \) in the case of non-homogeneous material and orthotropic material. Figure 2 shows the response histories of the non-dimensional frequencies with the radius \( r \) for rotating hollow sphere \( \Omega = 2.5. \) With the effect of various values of non-homogeneous \( m = 0.0, 0.5, 0.9 \) in the case of orthotropic material, it can be found that the distribution of the non-dimensional frequencies is increasing as the increase in the radius \( r \) and the non-dimensional frequencies are increasing with the increase in the non-homogeneity. Figure 3 shows the variation of the non-dimensional frequencies with the radius \( r \) for hollow sphere with the effect of various values of rotation \( \Omega = 0.0, 1.3, 2.6 \) in the case of non-homogeneous \( m = 0.65 \) orthotropic
material. It can be found that the distribution of the non-dimensional frequencies is increasing with the increase in the radius $r$, but the non-dimensional frequencies are increasing with the decrease in the rotation. Figure 4 shows the response histories of the non-dimensional frequencies (the first mode, the second mode, and the third mode) with the radius $r$ at value of non-homogeneous $m = 0.65$ and the rotation $\Omega = 2.5$. It can be found that the distribution of the non-dimensional frequencies is increasing with the increase in the radius $r$, for various boundary conditions, inner fixed surface, and outer free surface. Figure 5 shows the variation of the non-dimensional frequencies (three modes) with the radius $r$ for orthotropic sphere in the absence of rotation $\Omega = 0.0$ in the case of non-homogeneous material $m = 0.65$. We observed that the frequency is increasing with the increase of the radius $r$ in the case of free traction surfaces, $n = 0$. Figure 6 shows the first three modes of non-dimensional frequency for homogeneous $m = 0.0$ orthotropic material in presence of the rotation $\Omega = 2.5$. We observed that the frequency is increasing with the increase in the radius $r$ in the case of orthotropic homogeneous hollow sphere more than in the case non-homogeneous hollow sphere. It is evident; non-homogenity, rotation, and orthotropic have a significant influence on non-dimensional frequencies.

6. Conclusion

The effect of non-homogeneity and rotation on surface wave dispersion in elastodynamic problem in orthotropic hollow sphere is studied. The vibration of sphere with the mixed surfaces boundary conditions is evaluated. The natural frequencies (eigenvalues) are calculated and compared with those reported in the absence and presence of non-homogeneity and rotation. The effects of non-homogenuity and rotation on the natural frequencies are shown graphically.
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