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Based on dissipation theory, a novel robust control is proposed for the lower-triangular nonlinear systems, which include strict-feedback systems and high-order lower-triangular systems. Some important concepts in dissipation theory are integrated into the recursive design, which are used to dominate the uncertain disturbance and construct the robust controller. The gotten controller renders the closed-loop system finite-gain $L_2$-gain stable in the presence of disturbance and asymptotically stable in the absence of disturbance. Especially, the controller has its advantage in regulating large disturbance. Finally, one example and one application are given to show the effectiveness of the design method. Moreover, by comparing with another robust controller, the characteristic of the proposed controller is illustrated in the simulations.

1. Introduction

Over the last decade, the lower-triangular systems are researched widely in the field of nonlinear systems [1–4]. This class of systems is not only important theoretically, but a lot of practical systems can conform to or be transformed into its form, such as the power generators [5], aircraft control system [6], and mobile robots [7]. It is well known that backstepping design [8, 9] is proposed to design the strict-feedback systems, which hold the simplest triangular structure. For different types of triangular systems, the condition for existence of control laws is investigated in [10, 11], and the explicit constructions of controllers are provided in [12, 13]. Then, a power integrator technique is given to design the high-order lower-triangular systems, which are neither feedback linearizable nor affine in the control input [4, 14]. Moreover, the robust control problem of lower-triangular systems has attracted a lot of attention [15–18] for overcoming the hurdle of disturbance, which possibly comes from model simplification, external disturbance, or other unknown factors.

In this paper, based on dissipation theory, a novel design method is proposed to solve the robust control problem of lower-triangular nonlinear systems in the presence of uncertain disturbance. This approach constructs the storage function and designs the controller recursively, which ensures that the closed-loop system satisfies the dissipation inequality having $L_2$-gain performance. The technique is firstly used in the strict-feedback system. Then, it is extended to the high-order lower-triangular system. Finally, one example of lower-triangular system and one application of synchronous generator system are given to illustrate the effectiveness of the robust control.

For the lower-triangular system with uncertain disturbance, this work uses the frame of dissipation property theory to solve the problem of disturbance rejection. First of all, the appropriate function of supply rate is chosen, which is used to gather the disturbance inputs in the recursive design. Next, the storage function and robust controller are constructed recursively to guarantee that the result at each step satisfies the dissipation property. During the design, by using feedback domination technique [4, 14], the disturbed parts are dominated by the functions of system states and disturbances. Then, the function of disturbances is regarded as the input of supply rate, which satisfies the dissipation inequality ensuring finite-gain $L_2$ stability. The effect of this method can be summarized as two aspects. Firstly, the restriction of uncertain disturbance is relaxed, and the only assumption of uncertain disturbance is bounded.
So, no information about disturbance is required in the gotten control law, which means that certain controller can regulate uncertain disturbance. Secondly, compared with some previous robust controllers only applicable for small disturbances, the proposed robust controller is effective for all cases of bounded disturbance, especially for large disturbance.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 describes the problem and offers key lemma; Section 3 gives the robust controller design for strict-feedback systems; Section 4 extends the design method to high-order lower-triangular systems; Section 5 provides an example and application to illustrate the effectiveness of design approach. The conclusion is contained in Section 6.

2. Problem Formulation and Key Lemma

In this paper, we focus on the problem of constructing robust controller for the lower-triangular system, which is described by the following differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= f_1(x_1) + x_1^p_1 + \omega_1(t) \\
\dot{x}_2 &= f_2(x_2) + x_2^p_2 + \omega_2(t) \\
&\vdots \\
\dot{x}_n &= f_n(x_n) + u^p_n + \omega_n(t) \\
y &= x_1,
\end{align*}
\]  

where for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), the state \( X_i = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)^T \in \mathbb{R}^i \), the input \( u \in \mathbb{R} \), the output \( y \in \mathbb{R} \), the uncertain disturbance \( \omega = [\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n]^T \), \( \omega(t) \) is unknown nonlinear function, which denotes external disturbance with unknown bound, \( f_i : \mathbb{R}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a smooth function, and \( f_i(0) = 0 \). About the system (1), one hypothesis is given as follows.

Assumption 1. \( p_i \geq 1 \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \)) is odd integer, and \( p_1 \) is maximum of them.

Compared with the corresponding assumption in [4, 14], Assumption 1 relaxes the condition of \( p_i \); Next, the main definitions of dissipation theory are given as follows.

Definition 2 (see [1]). The general systems are described in the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= f(x, u_0) \\
y_0(t) &= h(x, u_0),
\end{align*}
\]  

where the state \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), the input \( u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p \), and the output \( y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^q \). Let the function \( s(u_0, y_0) : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) if there exists \( V(x) \geq 0 \), \( V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \), such that

\[
V(x(t)) \leq V(x(0)) + \int_0^t s(u_0, y_0) \, dt; \tag{3}
\]

for any \( x(0) \) and \( t \), the system (3) is dissipative about \( s(u_0, y_0) \). And \( s(u_0, y_0) \) is the supply rate, and \( V(x) \) is the storage function.

Remark 3. The supply rate \( s(u_0, y_0) \) in Definition 2 is selectable. And there are some optional functions. The most commonly used are two options: one is \( s(u_0, y_0) = u_0^2 y_0 \), and the other is \( s(u_0, y_0) = Y^2\|u_0\|^2 - \|y_0\|^2 \), \( Y > 0 \). In this paper, when the uncertain disturbance \( \omega = [\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n]^T \) is regarded as the input of the system (3), the latter is chosen as the supply rate. And if the closed-loop system is dissipative, we have

\[
V(x(\tau)) - V(x(0)) \leq \int_0^\tau (Y^2\|\omega\|^2 - \|y_0\|^2) \, dt. \tag{4}
\]

That is, the gain of the system is not more than \( Y \) for the uncertain disturbance.

Definition 4 (see [1]). A mapping \( H : L^m_p \rightarrow L^q_v \) is finite-gain L stable if there exist nonnegative constants \( Y_L \) and \( \beta_L \), such that

\[
\|Hv\|_L \leq Y_L\|v\|_L + \beta_L, \tag{5}
\]

for \( v \in L^m_p \) and \( \tau \in [0, \infty) \).

Young’s inequality is an important tool used in the recursive design, and Lemma 6 is one direct consequence of Young’s inequality.

Lemma 5 (Young’s inequality). For any two vectors \( \overline{x} \) and \( \overline{y} \), the following holds

\[
\overline{x}^T \overline{y} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{p} \|\overline{x}\|^p + \frac{1}{q\epsilon^q} \|\overline{y}\|^q, \tag{6}
\]

where \( \epsilon > 0 \) and the constants \( p > 1 \) and \( q > 1 \) satisfy \( (p - 1)(q - 1) = 1 \).

Lemma 6 (see [19]). For real numbers \( a \geq 0 \), \( b \geq 0 \), and \( m \geq 1 \), the following inequality holds:

\[
a \leq b + \left( \frac{a}{m} \right)^m \left( \frac{m-1}{b} \right)^{m-1} \tag{7}\]

3. Robust Controller Design of Strict-Feedback Systems

The strict-feedback system is described by the following equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= f_1(x_1) + x_2 + \omega_1(t) \\
\dot{x}_2 &= f_2(x_2) + x_3 + \omega_2(t) \\
&\vdots \\
\dot{x}_n &= f_n(x_n) + u + \omega_n(t) \\
y &= x_1,
\end{align*}
\]  

Based on the dissipation theory, the novel robust controller design is proposed step by step. For \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), firstly set the design parameter \( C_i > 0 \).
Step 1. Let \( z_1 = x_1 \). The storage function is constructed as

\[
V_1 = z_1 \dot{z}_1 = z_1 (f_1 (x_1) + x_2) + z_1 \omega_1. \tag{9}
\]

For the above equation, according to the technique of adding one power integrator \([4, 14]\), by using Young's inequality \((6)\) with \( p = q = 2 \) and \( \epsilon = \sqrt{n} / y \), the term \( z_1 \omega_1 \) is dominated by \((n/2y^2)z_1^2 + (y^2/2n)\omega_1^2\), where the disturbance attenuation coefficient \( \gamma > 0 \). Taking it into formula \((9)\) yields

\[
\dot{V}_1 \leq z_1 \left[ x_2 + f_1 (x_1) + \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2y^2} \right) z_1 \right] + \frac{y^2}{2n} \omega_1^2 - \frac{z_1^2}{2}. \tag{10}
\]

Design the smooth virtual control as

\[
\alpha_2 = -C_1 z_1 - f_1 (x_1) - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{n}{y^2} \right) z_1. \tag{11}
\]

Taking controller \((11)\) into formula \((10)\) results in

\[
\dot{V}_1 \leq -C_1 z_1^2 + z_1 (x_2 - \alpha_2) + \frac{y^2}{2n} \omega_1^2 - \frac{z_1^2}{2}. \tag{12}
\]

Step \( k \). Through \( k - 1 \) steps, a group of virtual controllers are \( z_1 = x_1, z_2 = x_2 - \alpha_2, \ldots, z_{k-1} = x_{k-1} - \alpha_{k-1} \), and the storage function is \( V_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (z_i^2/2) \), whose derivative is

\[
\dot{V}_{k-1} \leq -C_1 z_k^2 + z_k (x_k - \alpha_k) + \frac{y^2}{2n} \omega_k^2 - \frac{z_k^2}{2}. \tag{13}
\]

Let \( z_k = x_k - \alpha_k \), and the storage function is changed into \( V_k = V_{k-1} + z_k^2/2 \); its derivative is obtained as

\[
\dot{V}_k = \dot{V}_{k-1} + z_k \dot{z}_k \leq -C_1 z_k^2 + \frac{y^2}{2n} \omega_k^2 - \frac{z_k^2}{2} + z_k \omega_k \tag{14}
\]

By using Young's inequality, we have

\[
\dot{V}_k \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_i z_i^2 + \frac{y^2}{2n} \omega_k^2 - \frac{z_k^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2 (n+1-k)} \omega_k^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \omega_m^2.
\]

Furthermore, the finite-gain \( L_2 \) stability of the closed-loop system is concluded in the following theorem.

In this step, the smooth virtual controller is taken as

\[
\alpha_{k+1} = -C_k z_k - f_k (X_k) - z_k - \frac{n+1-k}{2y^2} \zeta_k
\]

Taking controller \((11)\) into formula \((10)\) results in

\[
\dot{V}_k \leq -C_k z_k^2 + z_k (x_k + \alpha_k + z_k - n+1-k \zeta_k
\]

Under the action of the above controller, formula \((15)\) is turned into

\[
\dot{V}_k \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i z_i^2 + z_k (x_k+1 - \alpha_k+1) + \frac{y^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (n+1-j) \omega_j^2 - \frac{z_k^2}{2}. \tag{17}
\]

Step \( n \). Let \( z_n = x_n - \alpha_n \), and the storage function is \( V = V_n = V_{n-1} + z_n^2/2 = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i^2 \). The nonlinear robust controller is designed as

\[
u = -C_n z_n - f_n (X_n) - z_n - \frac{z_n}{2y^2} + \frac{y^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j^2 - \frac{z_n^2}{2}. \tag{18}
\]

Now, the derivative of the storage function is

\[
\dot{V}_n \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i z_i^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j^2 - \frac{z_n^2}{2}. \tag{19}
\]

From system \((8)\), it is known that the uncertain disturbance vector \( \omega = [\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n]^T \) and the output \( y = x_1 = z_1 \). So, we have

\[
\dot{V}_n \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i z_i^2 + \frac{y^2}{2} \omega_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( y^2 \|\omega_1^2 - \|y\|^2 \right). \tag{20}
\]

Based on dissipation theory, the robust controller \((18)\) makes the closed-loop system dissipative with the uncertain disturbance, and the supply rate \( s(\omega, y) = y^2 \|\omega_1^2 - \|y\|^2 \). Furthermore, the finite-gain \( L_2 \) stability of the closed-loop system is concluded in the following theorem.
Theorem 7. Consider the strict-feedback system with disturbance (8). There exists the smooth robust controller (18), such that the closed-loop system is finite-gain $L_2$ stable and the $L_2$ gain is no more than $\gamma$. Moreover, when the disturbance input $\omega = [\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n]^T = 0$, the closed-loop system is asymptotically stable.

Proof. From (20), we have $\dot{V} = \dot{V}_x \leq (1/2)(y^2\omega_2^2 - y_2^2)$. Integrating it yields the following inequality:

$$V(x(\tau)) - V(x(0)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau (y^2\omega_2^2 - y_2^2) \, dt.$$  (21)

Due to $V(x) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\int_0^\tau \|y\|_2^2 \, dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \|\omega_2\|_2^2 \, dt + 2V(x(0)).$$  (22)

Taking the square roots and using the inequality $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \leq a + b$ for nonnegative numbers $a$ and $b$, one obtains

$$\|y\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2V(x(0))}.$$  (23)

Therefore, based on Definition 4, it is known that the system is finite-gain $L_2$ stable and the gain from disturbance input to system output is no more than $\gamma$.

Moreover, when $\omega = 0$, from (20) we have

$$V = V_x \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 \leq 0,$$  (24)

and the storage function $V = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2$ is positive definite. According to the invariance principle [1], we need to find $S = \{X_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid V = 0\}$. Note that

$$V = 0 \implies y = 0 \implies x_1 = 0.$$  (25)

Hence, $S = \{X_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 = 0\}$. Let $X_n(t)$ be a solution that belongs identically to $S$. From the system (8), we can deduce that

$$x_1 \equiv 0 \implies \dot{x}_1 \equiv 0 \implies x_2 \equiv 0 \implies \dot{x}_2 \equiv 0 \implies \cdots \implies x_n \equiv 0.$$  (26)

Therefore, the only solution that can stay identically in $S$ is the trivial solution $X_n(t) \equiv 0$. Thus, the closed-loop system is asymptotically stable in the case of $\omega = 0$. \hfill $\Box$

Remark 8. About the proposed method, there are the following opinions.

(1) This method integrates the idea of dissipation property into the recursive design. In the frame of dissipation theory, by using the feedback domination technology, the unknown disturbance is decoupled from the known state and gathered together to satisfy the dissipation inequality, which leads to the result of disturbance rejection and finite-gain $L_2$ stability.

(2) In the previous research of lower-triangular system (1), some assumption is needed for the uncertain disturbance, such as boundary condition and functional constraint. In this paper, the assumption is relaxed to be bounded, which can ensure that the closed-loop system is finite-gain $L_2$ stable. On the other hand, just for there is no constraint about the uncertain disturbance, the result is only finite-gain $L_2$ stable.

(3) It is interesting to note that the external disturbance $\omega$ can be generalized to more general uncertainties $\Delta_i(X_i, t)$, which satisfies the condition $|\Delta_i(X_i, t)| \leq \omega_i(t)\phi_i(X_i)$, with $\phi_i(X_i)$ being a known smooth function and $\omega_i(t)$ being the unknown bound. The proposed method is still applicable for the more general case.

4. Robust Controller Design of Lower-Triangular Systems

The robust control law of lower-triangular systems (1) is designed in this section. Similarly, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the design parameter $C_i > 0$.

Step 1. Let $z_1 = x_1$. Due to Assumption 1, the storage function is constructed as $V_1 = z_1^2/(p_1 - p_1 + 2) = z_1^2/2$, and its derivative is

$$\dot{V}_1 = z_1 \dot{z}_1 = z_1 \left(f_1(x_1) + x_1^2\right) + z_1 \omega_1.$$  (27)

Similar to the design of strict-feedback systems, one obtains:

$$\dot{V}_1 \leq z_1 \left(x_1^2 + f_1(x_1) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{2\gamma^2} z_1 \right) + \frac{y^2}{2n} \omega_1^2 - \frac{z_1^2}{2}.$$  (28)

Owing to (7), for any positive real number $\sigma > 0$, let $b = \sigma$, $a = |z_1|((1/2) + (n/2\gamma^2))/|\Delta_1|$, and $m = p_1 + 1$. We have

$$|z_1| \left(1 + \frac{n}{2\gamma^2} \right) \leq \sigma + z_1^{p_1+1} \rho_1(z_1),$$  (29)

where $\rho_1(z_1) = (1/(p_1 + 1))[p_1/(p_1 + 1)\sigma]^{p_1}(f_1 + z_1/2 + n z_1/2\gamma^2)^{p_1+1} \geq 0$.

Substituting (29) into (28) yields

$$\dot{V}_1 \leq z_1 x_1^2 + z_1^{p_1+1} \rho_1(z_1) + \frac{y^2}{2n} \omega_1^2 - \frac{z_1^2}{2} + \sigma.$$  (30)

Paying attention to Assumption 1, we design the smooth virtual control as

$$\alpha_2 = -z_1 (C_1 + 1 + \rho_1(z_1))^{1/p_1}.$$  (31)

Taking the controller (31) into (30) results in

$$V_1 \leq -(C_1 + 1) z_1^{p_1+1} + z_1 \left(x_1^2 - \alpha_2^{p_1} \right) + \frac{y^2}{2n} \omega_1^2 - \frac{z_1^2}{2} + \sigma.$$  (32)
Step k. Through \( k-1 \) steps, a group of virtual controllers are \( z_1 = x_1, z_2 = x_2 - \alpha_2, \ldots, z_{k-1} = x_{k-1} - \alpha_{k-1} \), and the storage function is \( V_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(p_{i+k-2} + 1)(p_{i+k-1})}{2} \). The derivative is

\[
V_{k-1} \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_{i}z_{i}^{p_{i+1}} - z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} + z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} + (x_{k-1} - \alpha_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{k - j}{n + 1 - j} \omega_j^2 - z_1^2 + (k - 1) \sigma. \tag{33}
\]

Let \( z_k = x_k - \alpha_k \), and consider the storage function \( V_k = V_{k-1} + z_k^{p_{k-1}+1}/(p_{1} - p_k + 2) \); its derivative is obtained as

\[
\dot{V}_k = \dot{V}_{k-1} + z_k^{p_{k-1}+1} \frac{1}{p_k} \tag{34}
\]

Due to Young’s inequality, there exists the smooth function \( \overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \), such that

\[
\left| z_k^{p_{k-1}+1} (x_{k-1} - \alpha_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}}) \right| \leq z_k^{p_{k-1}+1} + z_k^{p_{k-1}} \overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k), \tag{35}
\]

where

\[
\overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \frac{p_{k-1}}{p_1 + 1} \left( \frac{p_{k-1} - 2}{p_{k-1}} \right) \frac{p_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}+1}}{p_1 + 1} \frac{1 + 2p_{k-2}}{p_1 + 1} \frac{(p_{1} - p_k + 2)}{p_{1} + 1} \left( \frac{p_{1} - p_k + 1}{p_1 + 1} \right) \frac{1}{p_1 + 1} \left( 1 + 2p_{k-2} \right) p_{k-1} \times (C_{k-1} + 1 + \overline{p}_k) \frac{1}{p_1 + 1} \left( \frac{p_{1} - p_k + 1}{p_1 + 1} \right) \frac{1}{p_1 + 1} \left( 2p_1 \right) \frac{n}{p_1 + 1}, \tag{36}
\]

and the construction of \( \overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \) can refer to [19].

Then, we define a smooth function as follows:

\[
D_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = f_k(X_k) + \frac{n + 1 - k}{2p_2} z_k^{p_{k-1}+1} + \frac{z_k^{p_{k-1}+1}}{2p_2} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} (n + 1 - m) \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial x_m} \right)^2 \tag{37}
\]

\[
- \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial x_m} (f_m + z_m^{p_{m+1}}). \tag{38}
\]

Taking (35) and (38) into (34) yields

\[
\dot{V}_k \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_{i}z_{i}^{p_{i+1}} - z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} + z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} + z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} \overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \tag{39}
\]

Design the following virtual control law

\[
\alpha_{k+1} = -z_k(C_k + 1 + \overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) + \overline{p}_k \overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k)) \tag{40}
\]

which renders

\[
\dot{V}_k \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_{i}z_{i}^{p_{i+1}} - z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} + z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} \overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k), \tag{41}
\]

with

\[
\dot{V}_k \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_{i}z_{i}^{p_{i+1}} - z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} + z_{k-1}^{p_{k-1}} \overline{p}_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k), \tag{42}
\]

such that

\[
V_n \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}z_{i}^{p_{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j^2 - \frac{z_1^2}{2} + n \sigma. \tag{43}
\]

Similar to Section 3, we finish this section with the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** Consider the lower-triangular system with disturbance (1). There exists the smooth robust controller (42), such that the closed-loop system is finite-gain \( L_2 \) stable and the \( L_2 \) gain is no more than \( \gamma \).

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem is similar to Theorem 7, which is omitted. \( \Box \)
5. Example and Application

Example 1. Consider the stabilization problem of a lower-triangular system as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_1^2 + x_2^3 + \omega_1 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= x_1 x_2^2 + x_2 e^{x_2} + u^3 + \omega_2 \\
y &= x_1,
\end{align*}
\]

(44)

where \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are bounded unknown disturbance.

Firstly, let \( z_1 = x_1 \), and construct the storage function \( V_1 = \frac{z_1^2}{2} \). According to the design steps, the virtual control is obtained as

\[
\alpha_2 = -z_1(C_1 + 1 + \rho_1(z_1))^{1/3},
\]

(45)

where \( \rho_1(z_1) = \frac{27}{256\sigma^3}(x_2^3 + x_1/2 + x_1^2/2)^4 \), and the positive parameters \( \sigma \) and \( \gamma \) can be adjusted according to the requirements.

Secondly, let \( z_2 = x_2 - \alpha_2 \) and \( V_2 = V_1 + \frac{z_2^2}{2} \). Based on the design process, the robust controller is taken as

\[
u = -z_2(C_2 + \rho_2(z_1, z_2) + \overline{p}_2(z_1, z_2))^{1/3},
\]

(46)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_2(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{3}{4\sigma}\right)^3 \left[x_1 x_2^2 + x_2 e^{x_2} + \frac{z_2}{\gamma^2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 - \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial x_1}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\right]^4 \\
\overline{p}_2(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{9}{2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{27}{32} \left[9(C_1 + 1 + \rho_1(z_1))^{2/3}\right]^4.
\end{align*}
\]

(47)

According to Theorem 9, it is known that the solutions of the system are globally bounded and the closed-loop system is finite-gain \( L_2 \) stable.

In the simulation, the system parameters are given as follows: \( C_1 = 1 \), \( C_2 = 1 \), \( \sigma = 2 \), and \( \gamma = 2 \). When the disturbances are \( \omega_1 = 5 \), \( \omega_2 = 4 \sin t \), the state curves are shown as Figure 1, and the control law is in Figure 2. From them, we know that the closed-loop system is finite-gain \( L_2 \) stable and the gain is no more than \( \gamma = 2 \).

Example 2. Consider one machine connected to an infinite bus system which is shown in Figure 3. The dynamic model of synchronous generator can be described as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\delta} &= \omega - \omega_0 \\
\dot{\omega} &= -\frac{D}{H} (\omega - \omega_0) + \frac{\omega_0}{H} (P_m - P_e) + \omega_1 \\
\dot{P}_m &= -\frac{P_m}{T_{H\Sigma}} + \frac{P_{m0}}{T_{H\Sigma}} + \frac{C}{T_{H\Sigma}} \mu + \omega_2,
\end{align*}
\]

(48)

where

\[
P_e = \frac{E'V_s}{X_d\Sigma} \sin \delta + \frac{V_2}{2} \left(\frac{X_d\Sigma - X_q\Sigma}{X_d\Sigma - X_q\Sigma}\right) \sin 2\delta.
\]

(49)

\( \delta \) is the power angle; \( \delta_0 \) is the operating point of power angle; \( \omega \) is the relative speed; \( \omega_0 \) is the synchronous machine speed; \( P_m \) is the mechanical input power; \( P_{m0} \) is the operating point of mechanical input power; \( P_e \) is the electromagnetic power; \( \mu \) is the steam-valving controller; the uncertain disturbances \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are bounded.

Let \( (\delta_0, \omega_0, P_{m0}) \) be the operating point, and define state variables by \( x_1 = \delta - \delta_0, x_2 = \omega - \omega_0, \) and \( x_3 = P_m - P_{m0} \); then, system (48) and (49) is represented by

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -\frac{D}{H} x_2 - \frac{\omega_0}{H} \Delta P_e + \frac{\omega_0}{H} x_3 + \omega_1 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= -\frac{1}{T_{H\Sigma}} x_3 + \frac{C}{T_{H\Sigma}} \mu + \omega_2,
\end{align*}
\]

(50)

\( \Delta P_e \) is the electrical power.
\[ X'_{d*}X_{d*}X_{q*}V_{i} \quad X_{L} \quad X_{12} \quad V_{i} \]

**Figure 3:** One-machine to infinite-bus circuit.

where

\[
\Delta P_e = \frac{E'_{q}V_{s}}{X'_{d*}}\left[\sin \left(\delta_0 + x_1\right) - \sin \delta_0\right] + \frac{V_{s}^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{X'_{d*} - X'_{d*}}{X'_{d*} - X'_{d*}}\right)\left[\sin 2\left(\delta_0 + x_1\right) - \sin 2\delta_0\right].
\]

(51)

From the model (50) and (51), we know that this is a strict-feedback system. According to the design process in Section 3, the robust controller is obtained as follows:

\[
\mu = T_{H*}\left[-C_{3}z_{3} + \frac{x_{3}}{T_{H*}} - z_{2} - \frac{z_{1}}{2\gamma^2}\right]
\]

\[
\times\left[1 + 3\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}\right]^{2} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} - \frac{D}{H}x_{2} - \frac{\omega_{0}}{H}\Delta P_{e} + x_{3}\right)\right],
\]

(52)

where \(z_{1} = x_{1}, z_{2} = x_{2} - \alpha_{2}, z_{3} = x_{3} - \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{2} = -(C_{1} + 1/2 + 3/2\gamma^{2})z_{1},\)

\[ \alpha_{3} = \frac{H}{\omega_{0}}\left[-C_{2}z_{2} + \frac{D}{H}x_{2} + \frac{\omega_{0}}{H}\Delta P_{e} - z_{1} - \frac{3z_{2}}{2\gamma^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{2} + \frac{z_{2}}{\gamma^{2}} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}x_{2}\right].
\]

(53)

In order to verify the viability and effectiveness of the control law (52), the computer simulation is performed with the following parameters: \(D = 8, H = 2, C = 1, V_{s} = 1, T_{H*} = 0.35,\) and \(P_{m0} = 0.87455\) (see Figures 4, 5, 6, and 7).

In the presence of external disturbances \(\omega_{1} = 10\) and \(\omega_{2} = 15\cos t,\) the state responses and control law are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. In the absence of disturbance, the simulation results are given in Figures 6 and 7. It is obvious that the proposed controller is effective for bounded disturbance and the closed-loop system can achieve finite-gain \(L_{2}\) stable. And, with the reduction of disturbance, the \(L_{2}\) gain decreases. At last, when the disturbance vanishes, the closed-loop system is asymptotically stable as shown in Figure 6.

Moreover, in order to demonstrate the superiority of the proposed controller, we compare it with another robust controller designed based on Hamiltonian function method [20].

Firstly, Hamilton energy function is constructed as

\[
H = \frac{E'_{q}V_{s}}{X'_{d*}}\left(1 - \cos \delta\right) + \frac{V_{s}^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{X'_{d*} - X'_{d*}}{X'_{d*} - X'_{d*}}\right)\cos \delta
\]

\[
+ H\frac{(\Delta \omega)^{2}}{2\omega_{0}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(P_{m} - P_{m0})^{2} + P_{m0}(\pi - \delta).
\]

(54)

Then, the model (48) in Hamilton form is rewritten into

\[
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\delta} \\
\dot{\Delta \omega} \\
\dot{P}_{m}
\end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{\omega_{0}}{H} & 0 \\
\frac{\omega_{0}}{H} & -\frac{D\omega_{0}}{H^{2}} & \frac{\omega_{0}}{H} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{T_{H*}}
\end{array}\right] \nabla H + \left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{1}{T_{H*}}
\end{array}\right] \mu + \left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\omega_{2}
\end{array}\right].
\]

(55)

Based on Hamiltonian function method, the robust controller is designed as

\[
\mu = \mu_{0} - \Gamma G^{T}\nabla H = -\frac{T_{H*}}{C}\Delta \omega - \Gamma \frac{C}{T_{H*}}(P_{m} - P_{m0}),
\]

(56)

where \(\mu_{0} = -(T_{H*}/C)\Delta \omega\) is pre-feedback control and \(\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}\) is design parameter.

Next, two methods are compared by the simulations. Figures 8, 10, and 12 are the controlled results with Hamiltonian function method; Figures 9, 11, and 13 are the controlled results with dissipation theory method.

In the absence of disturbance, from Figures 8 and 9, it is known that both of them are effective for the generator.
system. From the dynamic process, it is seen that overshooting of Hamiltonian function method is less than that of dissipation theory method, and settling time of Hamiltonian function method is longer than that of dissipation. Then, in the presence of small disturbances with $\omega_1 = 1$, $\omega_2 = 1$, the simulation results are shown in Figures 10 and 11. It is shown that both of closed-loop systems are stable and the robust controller is effective. Next, with the enlargement of disturbances ($\omega_1 = 10$, $\omega_2 = 10$), the response curves are shown in Figures 12 and 13, where the controlled system of Hamiltonian function method is unstable and the power
In summary, based on Hamiltonian function method, the design process is concise, and the gotten controller (56) is simple, which is effective for the generator system in the presence of no disturbance or small disturbance. By using dissipation theory method, the construction of robust controller is relatively complex. However, it can guarantee that the closed-loop system is $L_2$ stable in all cases involving no disturbance, small disturbance, or large disturbance. Therefore, the proposed robust controller is more effective for the system with uncertain disturbance, especially for large disturbance.

6. Conclusions

In lower-triangular nonlinear systems, strict-feedback systems and high-order lower-triangular systems are two classes of main mathematical models. In this paper, the dissipation-based nonlinear controller is proposed to solve the robust control problem of these two systems. Uncertain disturbance
is dominated by the supply rate, and the stability analysis is based on the storage function. The design method integrates the energy supply, energy storage, and energy dissipation into the recursive construction of robust controller. The simulations illustrate that the gotten controller is effective and has the advantage in regulating large disturbance. In the future research, the dissipation-based idea can be expanded to the robust controller design for more nonlinear systems.
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