Research Article

Optimization Algorithm of Control Channel Selection for Wireless Networks

Jian Chen,¹ Huahui Yu,² Jingyu Hua,³ and Xiaoyan Zhang^{2,4}

¹ College of Command Information Systems, PLA University of Science & Technology, Nanjing 210007, China

² School of Mathematical Sciences, Institute of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China

³ Department of Computer Science & Technology, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

⁴ Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiaoyan Zhang; royxyzhang@gmail.com

Received 2 August 2013; Accepted 13 October 2013

Academic Editor: Matjaz Perc

Copyright © 2013 Jian Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Control channel is used to transmit protocol or signal information between wireless network nodes and is a key component of wireless network. Compared with data information, protocol or signal information is usually much less, so the spectrum bandwidth requirement of control channel is also much less than that of data channel. In order to optimize the usage of the limited spectrum resources, this paper focuses on the issue of control channel selection. We propose a greedy algorithm which minimizes the total spectrum bandwidth of the set of control channels. Theoretical analysis proves that the proposed algorithm can achieve the optimal set of control whose sum of the spectrum bandwidth is the minimum. Simulation results also show that the proposed algorithm consumes less spectrum resources than other algorithms in the same wireless network environment.

1. Introduction

Recently, with the rapid development of the wireless communication technology, wireless network has been the most important infrastructure in the daily life. In order to organize each node in wireless networks to work together, control channel is used to transmit protocol or signal information between wireless network nodes and is a key component of wireless network. Control channel is usually divided into two categories: in-band control channel and out-of-band control channel. The in-band control channel means that protocol or signal information is transmitted in the same channel with data information. On the contrary, out-of-band control channel is different from the channel used to transmit data information. Channel assignment [1–4] is a very important issue for reducing interference and improving throughput in wireless networks, and the generation and selection of control channel are the base for solving this issue. In this paper, we study the issue of optimal selection of out-of-band control channel.

In wireless networks, each node needs to select one channel from its available channels as the control channel to transmit protocol or signal information with its neighbor nodes. To transmit protocol or signal information, each control channel needs to occupy spectrum bandwidth. The problem is how to select the set of control channels for the whole wireless network which minimizes the total spectrum bandwidth of the control channels. If all nodes in wireless networks have the same available channels, the channel with the minimum spectrum bandwidth will be selected as the control channel. However, in real wireless networks, the available channel set of each node may be different. For example, in cognitive wireless networks, due to the geographical location difference and activity of primary user, the available channel set of each node is quite different, and the spectrum bandwidth of each available channel is also different. In this paper, we set the spectrum bandwidth as channel weight and propose a greedy algorithm to solve the problem of optimal selection of control channel under the constraint of different nodes with different available channel

sets. The proposed algorithm is composed of two parts. In the first part of the algorithm, we delete the wireless network node whose accessible channel set completely includes that of some other nodes. Then, in the second part of the algorithm, we iteratively choose the channel which has the minimum spectrum bandwidth in an accessible channel set containing the maximum spectrum bandwidth in the current iteration.

The problem of the optimal control channel selection and related research methods which are also akin to self-organization and evolutionary game theory have been paid attention widely [5–20]. Zhong has proposed an optimal algorithm for this problem [21]. But it assumes that all of the channels have the same spectrum bandwidth. However, in reality, different channels maybe have different spectrum bandwidths. To address this issue, we design a greedy algorithm to minimize the total spectrum bandwidth of the control channels. Theoretical analysis shows that our proposed algorithm can achieve the optimal control channels, where sum of the spectrum bandwidth is the minimum with the time complexity of $O(n^2)$.

2. Preliminaries

- (1) To solve the selection of the control channel, we make the following assumptions.
 - (a) Each of the wireless network nodes has a set of the available channels. In this paper, the spectrum bandwidth occupied by the channel is monotonous. We assume that, as long as the index of the channel is bigger, the spectrum bandwidth it occupies is bigger.
 - (b) Wireless network nodes can transmit protocol or signal information with one another through their common control channels. Note that our objective is to find the optimal set of control channels with the sum of spectrum bandwidth as small as possible.

Let D be the set of the wireless network nodes; that is, $D = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and let T be the set of available channels; that is, $T = L, L + 1, \dots, U$ (here $L < U$). For each node $i \in D$, the channels that can be accessed are l_i through u_i ; that is, $l_i, l_{i+1}, \dots, u_i$. A function for the spectrum bandwidth of each channel $\omega : T \rightarrow Q$ has the monotonously increasing property.

- (2) The objective is to find a subset C of control channels T with the minimum sum of spectrum bandwidths B ; that is, for all $i \in D$, $\exists c \in C$, such that $l_i \leq c \leq u_i$ holds and $B = \sum_{c \in C} \omega(c)$ is minimum.

3. Algorithm Overview

We describe the algorithm in this section. For an arbitrary instance, the wireless network nodes D and the channels set T with the associated function ω are all given. The pseudocode of the algorithm is presented as Algorithm 1.

4. Analysis of the Algorithm

For showing that the output of the algorithm is optimal, we first give the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *When the algorithm terminates, C is a subset of T such that, for any $k \in D$, there exists $c \in C$, s.t., $l_k \leq c \leq u_k$.*

Proof. Let the value of D be D_0 after Steps (1)–(5). We first prove that, for any $k \in D_0$, there exists $c \in C$ such that $l_k \leq c \leq u_k$. Note that, for any $k \in D_0$, there is an iteration which removes k from D_0 . Then there are two possible cases in this iteration from Steps (8)–(14).

- (1) If $i = k$, k will be removed from D_0 and l_k is added to C . Hence $l_i = l_k \in C$.
- (2) If $i \neq k$, then we have $u_k \geq l_i$ since u_k is removed from D_0 in this iteration according to the algorithm. Furthermore, we should have $l_k \leq l_i$, otherwise we would have $l_i \leq l_k \leq u_k \leq u_i$, since $i \leftarrow \arg \max_{i \in D} \{u_i\}$, where D is the left nodes in the current iteration. However, in such a case i should have been removed in Steps (1)–(5), which is a contradiction. To sum up, we have $l_k \leq l_i \leq u_k$ and $l_i \in C$.

To conclude the above two possible cases, we get that, for any $k \in D_0$, we have $c \in C$ such that $l_k \leq c \leq u_k$. For any $k \in D - D_0$, there must exist $i \in D_0$ such that $l_k \leq l_i$ and $u_i \leq u_k$ from Steps (1)–(5). According to the above conclusion, we get that there must exist $c \in C$ such that $l_k \leq l_i \leq c \leq u_i \leq u_k$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Then the main result of the paper is presented as follows.

Theorem 2. *The subset C of T is the optimal control channels with the minimum spectrum width and the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^2)$.*

Proof. We first claim that C is a subset of T with the smallest cardinality such that, for all $i \in D$, there exists $c \in C$, such that $l_i \leq c \leq u_i$.

From the proof of Lemma 1, $D_0 \subseteq D$ and the algorithm outputs a subset C such that, for all $k \in D_0$, there exists $c \in C$ such that $l_k \leq c \leq u_k$. Considering any subset C_x of T such that, for all $i \in D_0$, there exists $c \in C_x$ such that $l_i \leq c \leq u_i$. Now sort the elements of C_x in decreasing order: $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{|C_x|}$.

By induction, we show that $e_i \geq f_i$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, |C_x|$, where f_i is the element added into C in the i th iteration of the “While” cycle in the algorithm.

From the algorithm we define $f_1 = l_{i_1}$, where $i_1 = \arg \max_{i \in D_0} u_i$. Provided that $e_1 < f_1$, then, for all $i > 1$, $e_i < f_1$ according to the algorithm. Hence, there is no $c \in C_x$ such that $l_{i_1} \leq c \leq u_{i_1}$, which is a contradiction. Then $e_1 \geq f_1$. Suppose that $e_k \geq f_k$, where $k \geq 1$. Let the value of D_0 be D_k at the end of the k th iteration. Therefore, $f_{k+1} = l_{i_{k+1}}$, where $i_{k+1} = \arg \max_{i \in D_k} u_i$. Suppose that $e_{k+1} < f_{k+1}$; then we have $e_{k+1} < l_{i_{k+1}}$. On the other hand, for all $j \leq k$, then $e_j \geq e_k \geq f_k = l_{i_k}$. According to the algorithm, we have $l_{i_k} > u_{i_{k+1}}$ (otherwise i_{k+1} would have been removed from

Optimization Algorithm of Control Channels SelectionInput: $D, T, \omega : T \rightarrow Q^+$;Output: The optimal control channels set C with the minimum sum of bandwidth B ;

- (1) For $\forall i, j \in D, i \neq j$;
- (2) If $l_i \leq l_j \leq u_j \leq u_i$ then
- (3) $D \leftarrow D - \{i\}$;
- (4) End If;
- (5) End For;
- (6) $C \leftarrow \emptyset$ and the initial sum of the spectrum bandwidth $B := 0$;
- (7) While $D \neq \emptyset$ do
- (8) $i \leftarrow \arg \max_{i \in D} \{u_i\}$;
- (9) $C \leftarrow C \cup \{l_i\}, D \leftarrow D - \{i\}$ and $B := B + \omega(l_i)$;
- (10) For $\forall j \in D$ and $j \neq i$;
- (11) If $u_j \geq l_i$ then
- (12) $D \leftarrow D - \{j\}$;
- (13) End If;
- (14) End For;
- (15) End While;

ALGORITHM 1

D_0 in the k th iteration). Then, for all $j \leq k$, $e_j > u_{i_{k+1}}$. In summary, we have that there is no $e_j \in C_x$ such that $l_{i_{k+1}} \leq e_j \leq u_{i_{k+1}}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, So for any $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, |C_x|$, we have $e_i \geq f_i$. Therefore, assuming that $|C_x| < |C|$, due to $e_1 > f_1, e_2 > f_2, \dots, e_{|C_x|} > f_{|C_x|}$, we have $f_{|C_x|} = l_{i_{|C_x|}} > u_{i_{|C_x|+1}}$. Consequently, there is no $c \in C_x$ such that $l_{i_{|C_x|+1}} \leq c \leq u_{i_{|C_x|+1}}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $|C_x| \geq |C|$. The claim holds. And since the spectrum bandwidth occupied by the channel is monotonous, we have $\omega(e_1) \geq \omega(f_1), \omega(e_2) \geq \omega(f_2), \dots, \omega(e_{|C|}) \geq \omega(f_{|C|})$, and then $\sum_{i=1}^{C_x} \omega(e_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^C \omega(e_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{C_x} \omega(f_i)$. So the algorithm outputs the optimal control channels C with the minimum spectrum width when it terminates.

At each iteration of Steps (1)–(5) in the algorithm, for each wireless network node, there are $(n - 1)$ times comparison. So there are a total of $n(n - 1)$ times.

Obviously, the number of iterations in Step (7) is not more than n . And it takes $O(n)$ time to find $\arg \max_{i \in D} \{u_i\}$ in Step (8) and $O(n)$ time for to find comparison in Steps (10)–(13).

To conclude, the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^2)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

5. Evaluation

In this section, we use the numerical simulation method to evaluate the proposed algorithm compared with the proposed algorithm by Zhong [21].

The simulation topology is as shown in Figure 1. The set of available channels of each node at time t is also shown in Figure 1, and the set will change over time. The left column of each table shows the index of each channel, and the right column shows the spectrum bandwidth of each channel. For easy of explanation, we set the total number of available channels to be 6, and index all channels by the ascending order of spectrum bandwidth of each channel, which means

FIGURE 1: Topology of simulation networks.

that the bigger the index of the channel, bigger the spectrum bandwidth of the channel.

In the simulation, for each run, we use the random number generator to generate the random set of available channels for each node. The simulation executes 60 times of runs in total, sums all simulation results (number of control channels, total spectrum bandwidth of control channels) of each run, and calculates the average values.

Figure 2 shows that number of control channels between our proposed algorithm and Zhong's algorithm is same. The reason behind this result is that these two algorithms use similar method to find the minimum number of control channels. However, Figure 3 shows that there is a big difference of total spectrum bandwidth of control channels between these two algorithms. The results show that our proposed algorithm not only tries to find optimal number of control channels but also reduces the total spectrum.

FIGURE 2: Comparison of number of control channels.

FIGURE 3: Comparison of total spectrum bandwidth of control channels.

6. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we design an optimal algorithm to find the set of control channels with the minimum spectrum bandwidth for wireless networks. We also present simulation results to show that the proposed algorithm consumes less spectrum resources than Zhong's algorithm in the same wireless network environment. Furthermore, in some other applications, such as spectrum allocation which usually sorts all channels by descending order, the function for spectrum bandwidth of each channel is monotonously decreasing. It is easy to modify the proposed algorithm and obtain similar results for the case.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions. This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant no. 61301159, Open Fund of Lab of Military Network Technology, and the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (13KJB1100188).

References

- [1] T. Chen and S. Zhong, "Perfectly fair channel assignment in non-cooperative multi-radio multi-channel wireless networks," *Computer Communications*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1058–1061, 2009.
- [2] F. Wu, S. Zhong, and C. Qiao, "Strong-incentive, high-throughput channel assignment for noncooperative wireless networks," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 1808–1821, 2010.
- [3] H. Wu, F. Yang, K. Tan, J. Chen, Q. Zhang, and Z. Zhang, "Distributed channel assignment and routing in multiradio multichannel multihop wireless networks," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 1972–1982, 2006.
- [4] F. Wu, S. Zhong, and C. Qiao, "Globally optimal channel assignment for non-cooperative wireless networks," in *Proceedings of the 27th IEEE Communications Society Conference on Computer Communications (INFOCOM '08)*, pp. 1543–1551, April 2008.
- [5] M. Alicherry, R. Bhatia, and L. Li, "Joint channel assignment and routing for throughput optimization in multi-radio wireless mesh networks," in *Proceedings of the 11th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom '05)*, pp. 58–72, September 2005.
- [6] I. Katzela and M. Naghshineh, "Channel assignment schemes for cellular mobile telecommunication systems: a comprehensive survey," *IEEE Personal Communications*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 10–31, 1996.
- [7] A. Adya, P. Bahl, J. Padhye, A. Wolman, and L. Zhou, "A multi-radio unification protocol for IEEE 802.11 wireless networks," in *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Broadband Networks (BroadNets '04)*, pp. 344–354, October 2004.
- [8] A. Mishra, S. Banerjee, and W. Arbaugh, "Weighted coloring based channel assignment for WLANs," *ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 19–31, 2005.
- [9] F. Wu, S. Zhong, and J. Liu, "An optimal, strategy-proof scheme for multi-path traffic assignment in non-cooperative networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1012–1021, 2010.
- [10] T. Chen, F. Wu, and S. Zhong, "FITS: a finite-time reputation system for cooperation in wireless ad hoc networks," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 1045–1056, 2011.
- [11] A. Mishra, V. Brik, S. Banerjee, A. Srinivasan, and W. Arbaugh, "A client-driven approach for channel management in wireless LANs," in *Proceedings of the 25th IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications (INFOCOM '06)*, pp. 1–12, April 2006.
- [12] M. Perc, J. G. Gardees, A. Szolnoki, L. M. Flora, and Y. Moreno, "Evolutionary dynamics of group interactions on structured populations: a review," *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, vol. 10, Article ID 20120997, 2013.
- [13] M. Perc and A. Szolnoki, "Coevolutionary games—a mini review," *BioSystems*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 109–125, 2010.
- [14] M. Perc and P. Grigolini, "Collective behavior and evolutionary games—an introduction," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 56, pp. 1–5, 2013.
- [15] M. Perc, "Self-organization of progress across the century of physics," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 3, p. 1720, 2013.
- [16] M. E. J. Newman, "Clustering and preferential attachment in growing networks," *Physical Review E*, vol. 64, no. 2, Article ID 025102, 2001.

- [17] S. Zhong, J. Chen, and Y. R. Yang, "Sprite: a simple, cheat-proof, credit-based system for mobile ad-hoc networks," in *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Joint Conference on the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies*, pp. 1987–1997, April 2003.
- [18] S. Zhong and F. Wu, "On designing collusion-resistant routing schemes for non-cooperative wireless ad hoc networks," in *Proceedings of the 13th Annual ACM International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom '07)*, pp. 278–289, September 2007.
- [19] F. Wu, S. Zhong, and J. Liu, "Cost-effective traffic assignment for multipath routing in selfish networks," in *Proceedings of the 50th Annual IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference (GLOBECOM '07)*, pp. 453–457, November 2007.
- [20] F. Wu, S. Zhong, and C. Qiao, "Strong-incentive, high-throughput channel assignment for noncooperative wireless networks," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 1808–1821, 2010.
- [21] S. Zhong, "Optimal control channel selection for wireless channel assignment," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2012, Article ID 653523, 5 pages, 2012.