Modeling and Backstepping Control of the Electronic Throttle System
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Electronic throttle is widely used in modern automotive engines. An electronic throttle system regulates the throttle plate angle by using a DC servomotor to adjust the inlet airflow rate of an internal combustion engine. Its application leads to improvements in vehicle drivability, fuel economy, and emissions. In this paper, by taking into account the dynamical behavior of the electronic throttle, the mechanism model is first built, and then the mechanism model is transformed into the state-space model. Based on the state-space model and using the backstepping design technique, a new backstepping controller is developed for the electronic throttle. The proposed controller can make the actual angle of the electronic throttle track its set point with the satisfactory performance. Finally, a computer simulation is performed, and simulation results verify that the proposed control system can achieve favorable tracking performance.

1. Introduction

In recent years, many functions of modern automobiles are shifting from a purely mechanical to an electromechanical implementation. These functions are implemented by using the so-called “x-by-wire” systems, including drive-by-wire and steer-by-wire systems [1]. “X-by-wire” systems act as an interface between the driver and the targeted mechanical subsystem of the vehicle. Now, advanced control strategies, including the data-driven control [2], fuzzy control [3, 4], and neural network control [5, 6], have been widely applied in the process industry and automobile industry, for example, the Tennessee Eastman process [7], the suspension control system [8, 9], the electronic throttle control system [10, 11], and so on. In this paper, we focus on the control strategy of the electronic throttle system, which is one of the important drive-by-wire systems in the automobile industry.

In automotive spark ignition engines, the air coming into the intake manifold and therefore the power generated strongly depend on the angular position of a throttle valve [12]. In traditional systems, the throttle position is actuated by a mechanical link with the accelerator pedal, directly operated by the driver. The traditional mechanical throttle is difficult to achieve the accurate control result. Therefore, the vehicle drivability, fuel economy, and emissions are not satisfactory by using the traditional mechanical throttle. In recent years, new and increasing requirements in terms of emissions control, drivability, and safety have led to the development of electronic throttle system. The electronic throttle is essentially a DC-motor-driven valve that regulates air inflow into the vehicle’s combustion system, and the mechanical linkage between accelerator pedal and the throttle is replaced by an electronic connection [13]. Recently, several control strategies for electronic throttle have been presented. In [10], a new intelligent fuzzy controller is proposed. It can handle the nonlinear hysteretic of electronic throttle. In [11], the controller synthesis is performed in discrete time by solving a constrained time-optimal control problem of the throttle. In [12], a robust position controller for motorized throttle body in automotive applications is presented. Complexity of the control problem is explained and control architecture is also presented. In [13], a process to design the control strategy is proposed for a vehicle with the electronic throttle control and the automatic transmission, and the dynamic programming.
2. Mathematical Model of the Electronic Throttle

There are some symbols in this section. At first, definitions of these symbols are described as follows:

- $\theta^*$: Set point of the valve plate angular
- $\theta(t)$: Actual angular of the valve plate
- $\theta_0$: Static angular of the valve plate
- $\omega(t)$: Angular speed of the valve plate
- $i_a(t)$: Armature current
- $R_a$: Armature resistance
- $U_a(t)$: Input voltage of the motor
- $U_b(t)$: Electromotive force
- $U_{bat}$: Supply voltage
- $D(t)$: Duty cycle of the bipolar chopper
- $T_s(t)$: Electromagnetism torque
- $T_f(t)$: Friction torque
- $J$: Moment of inertia
- $K_i$: Torque constant
- $K_e$: Elastic coefficient
- $K_m$: Torque compensation coefficient
- $K_d$: Friction coefficient
- $j$: Gear ratio

The schematic of a typical electronic throttle control system is shown in Figure 1. There is a controller, a bipolar chopper, and an electronic throttle body (ETB) in Figure 1. ETB consists of a DC drive powered by the bipolar chopper, a gearbox, a valve plate, a return spring, and a position sensor. When the valve plate angular is regulated, the air inflow into the vehicle's combustion system can also be regulated. The control objective of the electronic throttle is to control the valve plate angular tracking its set point with the satisfactory performance.

At first, we build the motion equation for the electronic throttle system. The motion equation is

$$fT_e(t) - T_s(t) - T_f(t) = J^2 \frac{d\omega(t)}{dt}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The relation between current $i_a(t)$ and input voltage $U_a(t)$ in the armature circuit is described as

$$i_a(t) R_a = U_a(t) - U_b(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

$$U_a(t) = U_{bat} \times D(t),$$

$$U_b(t) = K_e \times j \times \omega(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

By substituting (3) into (2), we have

$$i_a(t) = \frac{U_{bat} \times D(t) - K_e \times j \times \omega(t)}{R_a}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Computation formula of $T_s(t)$ is

$$T_s(t) = K_i i_a(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

By substituting (4) into (5), we get

$$T_s(t) = K_i \frac{U_{bat} \times D(t) - K_e \times j \times \omega(t)}{R_a}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Return spring torque $T_s(t)$ and friction torque $T_f(t)$ are

$$T_s(t) = K_e (\theta(t) - \theta_0) + K_m,$$

$$T_f(t) = K_d \omega(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
By substituting (6) and (7) into (1), we get
\[
\frac{d\theta(t)}{dt} = -K_s \frac{\theta(t)}{J} - \left(\frac{K_i^2}{J R_a} + \frac{K_d}{J^2} \right) \omega(t) + K_i \frac{U_{bat}}{J} D(t) + K_0 \theta_0 - K_m\]
Equation (8) is the mechanism model of the electronic throttle.

Defining state variables \( x_1(t) = \theta(t) \), \( x_2(t) = \omega(t) \), input variable \( u(t) = D(t) \), and the output variable \( y(t) = \theta(t) \), (8) can be rewritten as
\[
\dot{x}_1(t) = x_2(t), \quad (9)
\]
\[
\dot{x}_2(t) = -K_i \frac{\theta(t)}{J} - K_d \frac{x_1(t)}{J^2} - \left(\frac{K_i^2}{J R_a} + \frac{K_d}{J^2} \right) x_2(t) - K_i \frac{U_{bat}}{J} u(t) + K_0 \theta_0 - K_m\]
Equations (9)–(11) are the state-space model of the electronic throttle.

3. Backstepping Control Design and Stability Analysis

The control objective of this paper is to design a backstepping control system such that the output \( y(t) \) of the system shown in (11) to track its set point \( y(t) \) asymptotically. The proposed backstepping control procedure is described step by step as follows.

Step 1. For the position-tracking objective, define the tracking error as
\[
z_1(t) = x_1(t) - x_d. \quad (12)
\]
Taking \( \alpha(t) \) as a virtual control and defining
\[
z_2(t) = x_2(t) - \alpha(t), \quad (13)
\]
consider the following Lyapunov function candidate:
\[
V_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} z_1^2(t). \quad (14)
\]
The time derivative of \( V_1(t) \) is
\[
\dot{V}_1(t) = z_1(t) \dot{z}_1(t). \quad (15)
\]
From (12) and (13), we obtain
\[
\dot{z}_1(t) = \dot{x}_1(t) = x_2(t) = x_2(t) - \alpha(t) + z_1(t) + \alpha(t) = \dot{z}_1(t) + z_1(t) + z_2(t) + z_1(t) + \alpha(t).
\]
Choosing the virtual control function \( \alpha(t) \)
\[
\alpha(t) = -z_2(t) - z_1(t) - z_2(t). \quad (17)
\]
By substituting (17) into (16), we have
\[
\dot{z}_1(t) = -z_1(t) + z_2(t).
\]
By using (18) and (15), we get
\[
\dot{V}_1(t) = z_1(t) \dot{z}_1(t) = z_1(t) \left( -z_1(t) + z_2(t) \right) = -z_1^2(t) + z_1(t) z_2(t).
\]
From (19), we know if \( z_2(t) \) is equal to zero, the time derivative of \( V_1(t) \) will be smaller than or equal to zero. If \( V_1(t) \leq 0 \), we know that \( z_1(t) \) will converge to zero, and \( x_1(t) \) will converge to the set point \( x_d \). Therefore, in the next step, we will design a controller \( u(t) \) to make \( z_2(t) \) converge to zero.

Step 2. Consider the following Lyapunov function candidate \( V_2(t) \):
\[
V_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} z_2^2(t) + V_1(t). \quad (20)
\]
The time derivative of \( V_2(t) \) is
\[
\dot{V}_2(t) = z_2(t) \dot{z}_2(t) + \dot{V}_1(t) = z_2(t) \dot{z}_2(t) - z_1^2(t) + z_1(t) z_2(t).
\]
From (10), (13), and (17), we have
\[
\dot{z}_2(t) = \dot{x}_2(t) - \dot{\alpha}(t) = \mu_0 u(t) - \mu_1 x_1(t) - \mu_2 x_2(t) + F - \dot{\alpha}(t), \quad (22)
\]
where \( \mu_0 = K_s/(J^2) \), \( \mu_1 = K_i/(J R_a) \), and \( \mu_2 = K_d/j^2 \). Note that
\[
\dot{\alpha}(t) = -\dot{z}_1(t) = -\dot{x}_1(t) = -x_2(t). \quad (23)
\]
Table 1: Parameter values.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
 j &=& 20 & J = 0.02 \text{ Kg}\cdot\text{m}^2 \\
 K_b &=& 0.075 \text{ N}\cdot\text{m}/\text{A} & K_i = 0.072 \text{ N}\cdot\text{m}/\text{A} \\
 K_t &=& 0.01 \text{ N}\cdot\text{m}/\text{rad} & K_m = 0.34 \text{ N}\cdot\text{m} \\
 R &=& 2.1 \Omega & K_d = 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ N}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{s}/\text{rad} \\
 & & \theta_0 = 0.16 \text{ rad} &
\end{array}
\]

By substituting (23) into (22), we have

\[
\dot{z}_2 (t) = \mu_0 u (t) - \mu_1 x_1 (t) - \mu_2 x_2 (t) + F + x_2 (t) \\
= \mu_0 u (t) - \mu_1 [x_1 (t) - x_d + x_d] \\
- \mu_2 [x_2 (t) - \alpha (t) + \alpha (t)] + F + x_2 (t) \\
= \mu_0 u (t) - \mu_1 z_1 (t) - \mu_1 x_d - \mu_2 z_2 (t) \\
- \mu_2 \alpha (t) + F + x_2 (t) \\
= \mu_0 u (t) - \mu_1 z_1 (t) - \mu_1 x_d - \mu_2 z_2 (t) \\
+ \mu_2 z_1 (t) + F + x_2 (t).
\]

Choosing the control function \( u(t) \)

\[
u (t) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left[ (\mu_1 - 1) z_1 (t) + (\mu_2 - 1) z_2 (t) \\
+ \mu_1 x_d - \mu_2 z_1 (t) - F - x_2 (t) \right].
\]

From (25) and (24), we have

\[
\dot{z}_2 (t) = - z_1 (t) - z_2 (t) \tag{26}
\]

Substituting (26) into (21) results in

\[
\dot{V}_2 (t) = z_2 (t) \dot{z}_2 (t) - z_1^2 (t) + z_1 (t) z_2 (t) \\
= z_2 (t) [ - z_1 (t) - z_2 (t) ] \\
- z_1^2 (t) + z_1 (t) z_2 (t) \\
= - z_1^2 (t) - z_2^2 (t) \leq 0. \tag{27}
\]

Equation (27) means that \( \dot{V}_2 (t) \leq 0 \). Therefore, it is obtained that the variables \( z_1 (t) \) and \( z_2 (t) \) converge to zero; that is, the output \( y(t) = x_1 (t) \) of the system shown in (11) can track its set point \( x_d \) asymptotically.

4. Simulation Experiments

In this section, we perform simulation experiment to confirm the effectiveness of the proposed backstepping control. The values of the parameters in the electronic throttle system are given in Table 1. All these parameters are obtained from the experiment platform of the electronic throttle in our laboratory.

Simulation results are shown in Figures 2–5. Figure 2 shows the set point of the electronic throttle angular, that is, \( x_d \). Figure 3 shows the input voltage of the DC servo motor. Figure 4 shows the actual angular of the electronic throttle, that is, \( x_1 (t) \). Figure 5 shows the actual angular of the electronic throttle, that is, \( x_2 (t) \). In Figure 2, set point \( x_d \) is 20 degrees during 0 to 200 seconds. After 200 seconds, \( x_d \) is increased from 20 to 50 degrees, and after 400 seconds, \( x_d \) is decreased from 50 to 40 degrees.

At 200 seconds, \( x_d \) is increased. In order to increase the actual angular \( x_1 (t) \), the input voltage should be increased. From Figure 3, at first, the input voltage is increased when time is 200 seconds. Increase of the input voltage \( u(t) \) leads to the increase of the angular speed \( x_2 (t) \), which is shown in Figure 5. When the angular speed \( x_2 (t) \) is increased, the actual angular of the electronic throttle \( x_1 (t) \) will be also increased, which is shown in Figure 4. Therefore, the actual angular \( x_1 (t) \) is regulated to track its set point. When the dynamical regulation process is finished, the input voltage \( u(t) \) is a new stable value, and \( x_2 (t) \) is controlled to zero.
At 400 seconds, $x_d$ is decreased. When $x_d$ is decreased, in order to decrease the actual angular $x_1(t)$, the input voltage should be decreased. From Figure 3, at first, the input voltage is decreased when time is 400 seconds. For the decrease of the input voltage, the angular speed $x_2(t)$ is also decreased, which is shown in Figure 5. When the $x_2(t)$ is decreased, the actual angular of the electronic throttle $x_1(t)$ will be decreased, which is shown in Figure 4. Therefore, the actual angular $x_1(t)$ is regulated to track its set point when the dynamical regulation process is finished, the input voltage is a new stable value, and $x_1(t)$ is controlled to zero.

From Figures 2–5, we know that the dynamical process of the simulation experiment is right for the electronic throttle, and the tracking performance is also satisfactory.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, the model and control method on the electronic throttle is considered. The dynamical mechanism model and state-space model of the electronic throttle are presented. Based on the state-space model, a backstepping controller is developed. The proposed controller can make the actual angular of the throttle plate track its set point with the satisfactory performance. Simulation experiment is implemented, and the simulation results confirm the effectiveness of the proposed control method.
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