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We develop the expansion method of singular integral equation (SIE) for hypersingular integral equation (HSIE). Relating the hypersingular integrals to Cauchy principal-value integrals, we interpolate the kernel and the density functions to the truncated Chebyshev series of the second kind. The corresponding convergence results for the functions $f \in C^\ell([-1,1])$ and $K(t,x) \in C^\ell([-1,1] \times [-1,1])$, $\ell \geq 1$, are derived in an appropriate $L_2([-1,1])$ norm to the true solution of the weight function. Numerical examples are also presented to validate the theoretical findings.

1. Introduction

The integral equation is defined as an equation with an unknown function that appears under the integral sign. These equations could be classified by the order of singularity \cite{1}. Singular integral has been widely used and well developed \cite{2–4}. A wealth of the literature on applications related to the numerical evaluation of hypersingular integral equations HSIEs could be found in \cite{5–10}. This paper focuses on one-dimensional singular integral equations (SIEs) found in various mixed boundary value problems of mathematical physics and engineering such as isotropic elastic bodies involving cracks, aerodynamics, hydrodynamics, elasticity, and other related areas.

Let us consider the hypersingular integral equation of the following form:

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q(t)}{(t-x)^2} \, dt + \int_{-1}^{1} K(t,x) Q(t) \, dt = f(x), \quad x \in (-1,1),
\]

where $f(x)$ is a given function, the unknown function $Q$ satisfies the boundary conditions $Q(\pm 1) = 0$, and the kernel function $K(t,x)$ satisfies a Hölder continuous first-derivative condition. The improper integral in the left side $\int$ is defined as the finite part of the strongly singular integral in the sense of Hadamard which is defined as \cite{11}

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^2} \, dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ \left( \int_{-1}^{x-\varepsilon} + \int_{x+\varepsilon}^{1} \right) \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^2} \, dt - \frac{2f(x)}{\varepsilon} \right].
\]

One of the main concepts in the derivations is that the higher order singularity could be obtained from a lower order singularity by the accepted exchangeability of integration and differentiation \cite{12}:

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^2} \, dx = \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)} \, dt, \quad x \in (-1,1).
\]

The objective of this paper is to develop an expansion method of HSIE in (1), for an effective error estimate, that is simple and easy to use. The numerical solution of HSIE of the first kind is given in Section 2. Section 3 presents the convergence of the numerical method in the class of function $H^\ell([-1,1])$ and $L_2([-1,1])$. Moreover, the numerical results in Section 4 show that the constructed technique is fast and provides excellent accuracy where the error is almost zero. Section 5 presents the conclusion of this paper.
2. Description of the General Method

Direct numerical treatment of (1) is not simple. Many researchers applied the classical method by approximating the unknown function \( Q \) using the finite sum of Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind of the form:

\[
Q_n(t) = \sqrt{1 - t^2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i U_i(t), \quad |t| < 1, \quad (4)
\]

where \( C_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n \), are the unknown coefficients and \( U_i(t) \) is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind:

\[
U_n(t) = \frac{\sin((n+1)\theta)}{\sin\theta}, \quad t = \cos\theta, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi. \quad (5)
\]

The second kind Chebyshev polynomial is orthogonal on \([-1, 1]\) with the weight \( w(t) = \sqrt{1 - t^2} \) means:

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1 - t^2} U_i(t) U_j(t) dt = \frac{\pi}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad (6)
\]

where \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta and the recurrence relation is defined as follows:

\[
U_n(t) = 2tU_{n-1}(t) - U_{n-2}(t), \quad (7)
\]

with the initial values \( U_0(t) = 1 \) and \( U_1(t) = 2t \).

One could look for the hypersingular kernel in (1) in the form of the series approximation of the form [5]

\[
\frac{1}{(t-x)} = -2 \sum_{j=0}^{m} (j+1) U_j(x) U_j(t), \quad (8)
\]

while the regular kernel

\[
K(t, x) = \sum_{r=0}^{p} \rho_r(x) U_r(t), \quad (9)
\]

substituting (4), (8), and (9) into (1) and using the orthogonal property in (6) yield

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \left[ -(i+1) U_i(x) + \frac{\pi}{2} \rho_i(x) \right] = f(x). \quad (10)
\]

From the approximation (9) and by applying the scalar product to the functions \( U_j(t) \) \( (j = 0, 1, \ldots, n) \) and integrating both sides with respect to \( t \), we obtain the linear system

\[
\rho_j(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1 - t^2} K(t, x) U_j(t) dt. \quad (11)
\]

Choosing the roots of \( T_{n+1}(x) \) as the collocation points \( x_j \) along the interval \([-1, 1]\), which are

\[
x_j = \cos \left( \frac{2j - 1}{2(n+1)} \pi \right), \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n, \quad (12)
\]

one could reduce initial integral equation (1) to the finite linear algebraic system of \( n + 1 \) linear equations with \( n + 1 \) unknown coefficients \( C_i \) of the form

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \left[ -(i+1) U_i(x_j) + \frac{\pi}{2} \rho_i(x_j) \right] = f(x_j), \quad (13)
\]

where the calculation of \( C_i \) endorses the evaluation of \( Q_n(t) \) in (4).

3. Convergence Rates

In this section, we illustrate the steps of the error estimate for the approximate solution of our interest in HSIE of the form in (1). First, we recap the equation concerning the following operators:

\[
HQ(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - t^2} Q(t)}{(1 - t^2) x - t} dt, \quad (14)
\]

\[
KQ(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - t^2} K(t, x) Q(t)}{(t - x)^2} dt. \quad (15)
\]

Taking into consideration (14), the operator equation of (1) could be rewritten as

\[
(\bar{H} + K) Q(x) = f(x). \quad (15)
\]

To solve a convergence problem of \( Q_n(t) \), we should prove that \( Q_n(t) \) satisfies the operator equation in (15).

**Theorem 1.** If \( f(x) \in C^l[-1, 1] \) and \( k(t, x) \in C^l([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]), \ell \geq 1, \) then

\[
\| Q - Q_n \|_{L_2, \sqrt{1 - x^2}} = O \left( n^{-\ell} \right). \quad (16)
\]

**Proof.** Using the relation [12, 13]

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{(1 - t^2) U_k(t)}{(1 - t^2)(1 - x^2)} dt = -\pi (k+1) U_k(t), \quad (17)
\]

along with the approximation in (4), yields

\[
HU_k = -(k+1) U_k. \quad (18)
\]

Let us denote the space \( L_{2,w} \) of real valued functions square integrable with respect to the weight function \( w = \sqrt{1 - x^2} \) as

\[
L_{2,w} = \left\{ h(x) \left| \int_{-1}^{1} w(x)|h(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right. \right\}. \quad (19)
\]

Based on (19), the inner product might be defined as follows:

\[
\langle Q, g \rangle_w = \int_{-1}^{1} Q(x) g(x) w(x) dx, \quad \forall Q, g \in L_{2,w}. \quad (20)
\]

The set \( \{ U_k \}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) is the orthogonal basis in \( L_{2,w} \), so that if \( u \in L_{2,w} \), then

\[
u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle u, U_n \rangle_w U_n, \quad (21)
\]

where the sum converge in \( L_{2,w} \). Then we would need to use a subspace of \( L_{2,w} \) which contains all \( u \), such that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 \langle u, U_n \rangle_w^2 < \infty. \quad (22)
\]
We define this set by $L_{1,w}$, and it is made into Hilbert space by the following inner product:

\[
\langle u, v \rangle_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 \langle u, U_n \rangle_w \langle v, U_n \rangle_w,
\]

(23)

where the norm of $v \in L_{1,w}$ is defined by

\[
\|v\|_1^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 \langle u, U_n \rangle_w^2.
\]

(24)

Assume that $v_n = (U_n/(n+1))$ and note that $\|v_n\|_1^2 = 1$, therefore $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_{1,w}$, and if $u \in L_{1,w}$ then

\[
u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle u, v_n \rangle_1 v_n.
\]

(25)

To show that $H^{-1} : L_{2,w} \rightarrow L_{1,w}$ is unitary, let us consider expansions of $f \in L_{2,w}$ and $g \in L_{1,w}$. It is not difficult to see that

\[
Hf(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -(n+1) C_n U_n(x) = g(x),
\]

(26)

where $C_n = \{f, U_n\}$, and we use the expansion of $g$, such that

\[
g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n U_n(x).
\]

(27)

Then $-(n+1)C_n = b_n$ which gives

\[
C_n = - \frac{b_n}{n+1}.
\]

(28)

So,

\[
f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} - \frac{b_n}{n+1} U_n(x).
\]

(29)

We have $f = H^{-1} g$, and by using (29), becomes

\[
f = H^{-1} g (x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} - \frac{b_n}{n+1} U_n(x).
\]

(30)

For the approximation in (4), the coefficients $\{C_i\}_{i=0}^{n}$ satisfy

\[
\langle HQ_n + K Q_n - f, Q_k(x) \rangle = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(31)

To show that $\{Q_n\}$ converges, it should verify the operator equation in (15).

Let $P_n$ be the projection operator to the span of $\{U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$; then, the orthogonal projection space $P_n : L_{2,w} \rightarrow L_{2,w}$, satisfies [14]

\[
P_n(HQ_n + K Q_n - f) = 0.
\]

(32)

Since $P_nHQ_n = HQ_n$, (32) becomes

\[
HQ_n + P_n K Q_n = P_n f,
\]

\[
HQ + P_n K Q = f - K Q + P_n K Q,
\]

(33)

We know that

\[
(H + P_n K) Q_n = P_n f,
\]

(34)

which gives

\[
(H + P_n K) (Q - Q_n) = f - K Q + P_n K Q - P_n f
\]

= $H Q - P_n H Q$.

(35)

$P_n : L_{2,w} \rightarrow \Omega = \text{span}\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n\}$. Let $g \in L_{2,w}$, then

\[
P_n g = h = C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2 + \cdots + C_n \phi_n.
\]

From (18), we could extend $H$ as a bounded operator from $L_2(p)$ to $L_{1,w}$, then using (20),

\[
P_n ((H + K) Q_n - f) = 0.
\]

(36)

Since

\[
P_n ((H + K) Q_n - f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \phi_k, \quad a_k \neq 0,
\]

(37)

then

\[
\langle (H + K) Q_n - f, \phi_k \rangle = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

(38)

Applying the approximate solution in (4) to the inner product in (20), so that

\[
\langle HQ_n + K Q_n - f, U_k \rangle = 0,
\]

(39)

then the bounded operator $H$ could be written as

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} -(k+1) C_k U_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k(t) U_k(x) = \langle f, U_k \rangle,
\]

(40)

\[
\langle f, \phi_k \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (g_k(t) - (k+1) C_k) U_k(x),
\]

\[
\langle h, U_k \rangle = 0.
\]

We define the projection $\Omega_n$ such that

\[
\Omega_n = \{h \mid h \perp U_k, k = 0, 1, \ldots, n\},
\]

$L_{2,w} = \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2 \rightarrow h = h_1 + h_2$,

$\Omega_1 = \{h_1 \in L_{2,w} \mid h_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k \phi_k\} = \text{span}\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_n\}$,

$\Omega_2 = \{h_2 \mid h_2 \perp h_1; h_1 \in \Omega_1\}$,

$P_n : L_{2,w} \rightarrow \Omega_1$,

(41)

then

\[
HQ_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} -(k+1) C_k U_k(x) \in \Omega_1.
\]

(42)

Since $HQ \in \Omega_1$, $P_n$ is a linear operator that gives (35).
For $H^{-1}$,
\[
Hg = -\sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+1) g_k U_k(x)
\]
\[
\implies H^{-1}h = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)} h_k U_k(x).
\]
It is known that $H \ast H^{-1} = I$.
Let $\phi \in L_{2,w}$ and $\phi = h_1 + h_2$; then the projection operator $P_n$ is as follows:
\[
P_n \phi = h_1 \in \Omega_1.
\]
It is well known that $P_n$ is bounded operator:
\[
\|P_n \phi\| \leq \|\phi\|, \forall \phi \in L_{2,w}.
\]
Let us consider $H + P_nK$ operator in $L_{2,w}$:
\[
\|P_nK\| < 1.
\]
We choose $n_0$, such that for all $n \geq n_0$, one has
\[
\|P_n \phi\| < 1.
\]
Let us fix the element, $\phi \in L_{2,w}$, and by considering the operator:
\[
Bg = H^{-1}(\phi - P_n Kg).
\]
This operator is contraction:
\[
\|Bg_1 - Bg_2\| < \alpha \|g_1 - g_2\|, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.
\]
Since
\[
\|H^T \phi - H^{-1} P_n K g_1 - H^{-1} \phi + H^{-1} P_n Kg_2\|
\]
\[
= \|H^{-1} P_n K (g_2 - g_1)\|
\]
\[
\leq \|H^{-1} P_n K\| \|g_2 - g_1\|,
\]
\[
\alpha = \|H^{-1} P_n K\| < 1.
\]
Since $B$-contraction operator, then it has an unique fixed point $g$:
\[
g = H^{-1}(\phi - P_n Kg).
\]
By applying $H$ to both sides, we obtain
\[
Hg = \phi - P_n Kg
\]
or
\[
\phi = Hg + P_n Kg = (H + P_n K)g.
\]
For any $\phi \in L_{2,w}$, there exists an unique $g \in L_{2,w}$, such that $\phi = (H + P_n K)g$, which means that $(H + P_n K)^{-1}$ exists:
\[
(H + P_n K)(Q - Q_n) = HQ - P_n HQ,
\]
\[
Q - Q_n = (H + P_n K)^{-1} (HQ - P_n HQ).
\]
To show that our operator $H + P_n K$ is bounded, we apply the following theorem [15].

**Theorem 2.** Let $L$ be a Banach space, and let $I$ be the identity operator on $L$. Suppose that $A$ is a bounded linear operator mapping $L$ into itself, such that
\[
\|A\| < 1.
\]
Then the operator $(I - A)^{-1}$ that exists is bounded and could be represented in the form
\[
(I - A)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k.
\]
For the details of Theorem 2, see [15].
Let us consider that
\[
H + P_nK = H \left( I + H^{-1} P_nK \right).
\]
Obviously we have
\[
\|H^{-1} P_n K\| < 1.
\]
It follows that
\[
(H + P_n K)^{-1} = \left( H \left( I + H^{-1} P_nK \right) \right)^{-1}
\]
\[
= (I + H^{-1} P_nK)^{-1} H^{-1}
\]
\[
= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \left( H^{-1} P_nK \right)^j \right) H^{-1}.
\]
Applying Theorem 2 yields
\[
(H + P_n K)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H^{-1-j} (P_n K)^j.
\]
The norm would be
\[
\|H + P_n K\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|H^{-1-j} (P_n K)^j \|
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|H^{-1}\| \cdot \|H^{-1} P_n K\|^j.
\]
Since
\[
\|H^{-1} P_n K\| = q < 1,
\]
then
\[
\|H + P_n K\| = \left\| H^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j \right\| = \|H^{-1}\| \cdot \frac{1}{1-q},
\]
\[
\|H + P_n K\| = \frac{\|H^{-1}\|}{1 - \|H^{-1} P_n K\|}
\]
\[
= C
\]
\[
\|Q - Q_n\|_{2,w} \leq \|H + P_n K\| \cdot \|HQ - P_n HQ\|_{2,w}
\]
\[
\leq C \|HQ - P_n HQ\|_{2,w}.
\]
Applying (19) to (60) gives
\[ \|Q - Q_n\|_2 = C \left( \int_{-1}^{1} |HQ - P_nHQ|^2 w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ \leq C \left( \int_{-1}^{1} |HQ(x) - \eta_n(x)|^2 w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ \leq C \|HQ(x) - \eta_n(x)\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_{-1}^{1} w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2}. \]

Applying Jackson's theorem [14] yields
\[ \|Q - Q_n\|_2 = C \left( n^2 \right). \]

From (64), Theorem 1 is proved.

4. Numerical Example

Here, we illustrate the above method to obtain approximate numerical solution of Fredholm integral equation.

**Example 1.** We consider Fredholm full equation of the form
\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2}}{(t-x)^3} g(t) \, dt + 16 \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1-t^2} x^3 g(t) \, dt = 16x - 31x^3. \]  

(66)
The exact solution of (66) is
\[ g(t) = 8t^3 - 4t. \]  

(67)

By using the method in Section 2, if we approximate \( g(t) \) using Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind,
\[ g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i U_i(t), \]  

(68)
and \( C_i \) satisfies the linear system in (10). According to (11) and (7),
\[ \rho_j(x) = \frac{4x^3}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1-t^2} \left[ U_3(t) + 2U_1(t) \right] U_j(t) \, dt. \]  

(69)
Using the orthogonal property in (6), we obtain
\[ \rho_0(x) = 0, \quad \rho_1(x) = \frac{4x^3}{\pi}, \]  
\[ \rho_2(x) = 0, \quad \rho_3(x) = \frac{2x^3}{\pi}, \]  
\[ \rho_j(x) = 0, \quad j \geq 4. \]  

(70)
Substituting the above result in the system of equations into (13) for \( n = 3 \) gives
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{3} C_i \left[ -(i+1)U_i(x_j) \right] + 2C_1 x_j^3 + C_3 x_j^3 = 16x_j - 31x_j^3, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \]  

(71)
\[ \begin{align*}
-31C_3 + 2C_1 &= -31, \\
-12C_2 &= 0, \\
16C_3 - 4C_1 &= 16, \\
3C_2 - C_0 &= 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3.
\end{align*} \]  

(72)
Using the comparison method for solving the above system of equation leads to
\[ C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = 0, \quad C_3 = 1. \]  

(73)
Substituting the values of (73) into (68) gives the numerical solution of (66) which is identical to the exact solution.

**Example 2.** We solve Fredholm HSIE of the form
\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q(t)}{1-t^2} \, dt + \frac{16}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} e^x t-x \, Q(t) \, dt = \pi e^x (x-4x^3) - 12x^2 + 1. \]  

(74)
Note that, by the help of (3), the exact solution of (74) is
\[ Q(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2} \left( 4x^2 + 1 \right). \]  

(75)
From (II),
\[ \rho_j(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1-t^2} e^x \, U_j(t) \, dt. \]  

(76)
It is well known that [12, 13]
\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1-t^2} U_{j-1}(t) \, dt = -\pi T_j(x), \]  

(77)
so that
\[ \rho_j(x) = -2e^x T_{j+1}(x), \]  

(78)
where \( T_j \) is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind defined:
\[ T_n(t) = \cos n\theta, \quad t = \cos \theta, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi. \]  

(79)
This polynomial is orthogonal on \([-1, 1]\) with respect to \( w(t) = (1/\sqrt{1-t^2}) \), and the terms could be found using the recurrence relation:
\[ T_n(t) = 2tT_{n-1}(t) - T_{n-2}(t), \]  

(80)
Table 1: The error of the numerical solution of (74).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.998</td>
<td>4.7e−013</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>4.7e−013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>3.4e−011</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.4e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>2.1e−011</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.6</td>
<td>2.3e−011</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.3e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>2.6e−011</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.6e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>2.7e−011</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.7e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>3.1e−011</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.1e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>2.2e−011</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.2e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>3.3e−011</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.3e−011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8e−011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8e−011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $T_0(t) = 1$ and $T_1(t) = t$ are the starting values of (80), which provides the values of $\rho_i(x)$ for a certain $i$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_0(x) &= -2xe^x, \\
\rho_1(x) &= -2 \left(2x^2 - 1\right)e^x, \\
\rho_2(x) &= -2 \left(4x^3 - 3x\right)e^x, \\
\rho_3(x) &= -2 \left(8x^4 - 8x^2 + 1\right)e^x, \ldots.
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the values of (81) into the system of (13) for $n = 4$ gives

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{4} C_i \left[ (i+1)U_i(x_j) + \frac{\pi}{2} \rho_i(x_j) \right] = \pi e^{x_j} \left(x_j - 4x_j^3\right) - 12x_j^5 + 1, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.
$$

Using (12) as a collocation points for $j = 4$, give the required system of unknown coefficients $C_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, 4$. The solution of the system in (82) gives

$$
C_0 = 2, \quad C_2 = 1, \quad C_1 = C_3 = C_4 = 0.
$$

Substituting the above values into (4), we obtain the numerical solution of (74), which is identical to the exact solution. The error of the numerical solution (4) of (74) is given in Table 1.

5. Conclusion

We have investigated the numerical solutions for the bounded hypersingular integral equation of the first kind using an efficient expansion method. We are able to prove the convergence of our method for the function $f \in C^4([-1, 1])$ in the class of functions $H^n([-1, 1])$ and $L_2([-1, 1])$. Moreover, the error in (65) decreases very quickly and the convergence is very fast to the exact solution even when $x$ is close to the end points. In particular, if $\ell$ in the relation (65) can be chosen to be any large positive number, then the error decreases rapidly as $n$ increases. Then the sequence $\{Q_n\}$ converges uniformly in $L_{2,\infty}$ norm to $\{Q\}$. The numerical examples give the exact solution for different kernels $K(x, t)$ and the unknown function $Q$. Therefore, referring to the computed numerical solution, we are able to obtain a very good convergence for any singular point $x \in (-1, 1)$. MATLAB codes are developed to obtain the numerical results where the numerical experiments reaffirm the theoretical results.
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