Research Article

Solving Nondifferentiable Nonlinear Equations by New Steffensen-Type Iterative Methods with Memory

J. P. Jaiswal

Department of Mathematics, Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh 462051, India

Correspondence should be addressed to J. P. Jaiswal; asstprofjmanit@gmail.com

Received 5 August 2014; Revised 15 November 2014; Accepted 26 November 2014; Published 21 December 2014

Academic Editor: Alessandro Palmeri

Copyright © 2014 J. P. Jaiswal. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

It is attempted to present two derivative-free Steffensen-type methods with memory for solving nonlinear equations. By making use of a suitable self-accelerator parameter in the existing optimal fourth- and eighth-order without memory methods, the order of convergence has been increased without any extra function evaluation. Therefore, its efficiency index is also increased, which is the main contribution of this paper. The self-accelerator parameters are estimated using Newton's interpolation. To show applicability of the proposed methods, some numerical illustrations are presented.

1. Introduction

Finding the root of a nonlinear equation frequently occurs in scientific computation. Newton's method is the most well-known method for solving nonlinear equations and has quadratic convergence. However, the existence of the derivative in the neighborhood of the required root is compulsory for convergence of Newton's method, which restricts its applications in practice. To overcome this difficulty, Steffensen replaced the first derivative of the function in Newton's iterate by forward finite difference approximation. This method also possesses the quadratic convergence and the same efficiency just like Newton's method. Some nice applications of iterative methods can be found in the literature; one can see [1–8].

Kung and Traub are pioneers in constructing optimal general multistep methods without memory. They discussed two general n -step methods based on interpolation. Moreover, they conjectured that any multistep method without memory using n function evaluations may reach the convergence order at most 2^{n-1} [9]. Thus, both Newton's and Steffensen's methods are optimal in the sense of Kung and Traub. But the superiority of Steffensen's method over Newton's method is that it is derivative-free. So, it can be applied to nondifferentiable equations also. To compare iterative methods theoretically, Owtrowski [10] introduced the idea of efficiency index given by r^{1/θ_f} , where r is the order

of convergence and θ_f is the number of function evaluations per iteration. In other words, we can say that an iterative method with higher efficiency index is more efficient. To improve convergence order as well as efficiency index without any new function evaluations, Traub in his book introduced the method with memory. In fact, he changed Steffensen's method slightly as follows (see [11, pp. 185–187]): x_0, γ_0 are given suitably

$$\gamma_k = -\frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{\gamma_k f(x_k)^2}{f(x_k + \gamma_k f(x_k)) - f(x_k)}, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (1)$$

The parameter γ_n is called self-accelerator and method (1) has R -order convergence 2.414. The possibility to increase the convergence order cannot be denied by using more suitable parameters. Many authors during the last few years tried to construct iterative methods without memory which support this conjecture with optimal order; one can see [12–21] and many more. Although construction of optimal methods without memory is still an active field, the authors are shifting their attentions to develop more efficient methods with memory since the last year; for example, see [22–25].

In the convergence analysis of the new method, we employ the notation used in Traub's book [11]: if m_k and n_k are null sequences and $m_k/n_k \rightarrow C$, where C is a nonzero constant, we will write $m_k = O(n_k)$ or $m_k \sim Cn_k$. We also use the concept of R -order of convergence introduced by Ortega and Rheinboldt [5]. Let x_k be a sequence of approximations generated by an iterative method (IM). If this sequence converges to a zero ξ of function f with the R -order $O_R((IM), \xi) \geq \alpha$, we will write

$$e_{k+1} \sim D_{k,\alpha} e_k^\alpha, \quad (2)$$

where $D_{k,\alpha}$ tends to the asymptotic error constant D_α of the iterative method (IM) when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the existing two- and three-point Steffensen-type iterative schemes and then their convergence orders are accelerated from four to six and from eight to twelve, respectively, without any extra evaluation. The proposed methods are obtained by using previous values as well as a suitable parameter. The parameter is calculated using Newton's interpolations. The numerical study was also presented in the next section to confirm the theoretical results. Finally, we give the concluding remarks.

2. Development and Construction with Memory

Two-step and three-step repeated Newton's method is given by

$$y_k = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)}, \quad (3)$$

$$x_{k+1} = y_k - \frac{f(y_k)}{f'(y_k)},$$

$$y_k = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)},$$

$$u_k = y_k - \frac{f(y_k)}{f'(y_k)}, \quad (4)$$

$$x_{k+1} = u_k - \frac{f(u_k)}{f'(u_k)}.$$

The order of convergence of the methods (3) and (4) is four and eight, respectively. By repeating in the same way, one can get more higher-order methods. Definitely these methods have higher convergence order as compared to the standard Newton's method. But there is no improvement in its efficiency index. For example, the efficiency indexes of the above two methods are $4^{1/4} = 1.4142$ and $8^{1/6} = 1.4142$, which are the same as the efficiency index of the original Newton's method $2^{1/2} = 1.4142$. To improve the efficiency index, Cordero et al. in [26] have reduced the number of function evaluations by approximating the derivatives in

terms of functions. For this first, they have approximated the derivatives $f'(x_k)$ by forward difference given by

$$f'(x_k) \approx \frac{f(x_k + f(x_k)) - f(x_k)}{f(x_k)}. \quad (5)$$

Then, to approximate the other two derivatives, they used rational approximation. In fact, $f'(y_k)$ is approximated by the rational approximation of the first degree and $f'(u_k)$ is approximated by the rational approximation of the second degree and they derived that

$$f'(y_k) \approx \frac{f[x_k, y_k] f[y_k, z_k]}{f[x_k, z_k]}, \quad (6)$$

$$f'(u_k) \approx b_2 - b_1 b_4,$$

where

$$b_4 = \frac{f[y_k, u_k, x_k] - f[y_k, u_k, z_k]}{f[y_k, z_k] - f[y_k, x_k]},$$

$$b_3 = f[y_k, u_k, z_k] + b_4 f[y_k, z_k],$$

$$b_2 = f[y_k, u_k] - b_3(y_k - u) + f(y_k) b_4, \quad (7)$$

$$b_1 = f(u_k),$$

$$z_k = x_k + f(x_k).$$

By using these approximations of the derivatives in (3) and (4), they have shown that their methods have the same order of convergence but with reduced number of function evaluations and thus efficiency index has been increased. In fact, the efficiency index becomes $4^{1/3} = 1.5874$ and $8^{1/4} = 1.6818$, respectively. For more detail, one can see [26]. One more advantage of these methods is that it can be applied to nonsmooth functions also. Now one natural question arises: *is it possible to find more efficient methods?* Main aim of our paper is to find the answer of this question.

For this purpose, we first introduce a nonzero real parameter in z_n and consider the following two methods with its error expression.

Method I. For the suitably given x_0 ,

$$z_k = x_k + pf(x_k), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$y_k = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f[x_k, z_k]}, \quad (8)$$

$$x_{k+1} = y_k - \frac{f(y_k) f[x_k, z_k]}{f[x_k, y_k] f[y_k, z_k]},$$

and its error expression is given by

$$e_{k+1} = \frac{(1 + pc_1)^2 c_2 (2c_2^2 - c_1 c_3)}{c_1^3} e_k^4 + O(e_k^5). \quad (9)$$

Method II. For the suitably given x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= x_k + qf(x_k), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ y_k &= x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f[x_k, z_k]}, \\ u_k &= y_k - \frac{f(y_k)f[x_k, z_k]}{f[x_k, y_k]f[y_k, z_k]}, \\ x_{k+1} &= u_k - \frac{f(u_k)}{b_2 - b_1b_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and its error expression is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k+1} &= \frac{(1 + qc_1)^4 c_2 (2c_2^2 - c_1c_3) (2c_2^4 - c_1c_2^2c_3 - c_1^2c_3^2 + c_1^2c_2c_4)}{c_1^7} \\ &\quad \times e_k^8 + O(e_k^9), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where b_1, b_2, b_3 , and b_4 are defined as in (7) and $c_i = f^{(i)}(\xi)/i!$.

Now we are concerned with the extension of the above schemes in methods with memory, since its error equations contain the parameter which can be approximated in such a way that it increases the local order convergence. For this purpose, we put $p = p_k$ and $q = q_k$, where $p_k = -1/\bar{c}_1$ and $q_k = -1/\bar{c}_1$. Here, $\bar{c}_1$ and $\bar{c}_1$ are two different approximations of c_1 and given by

$$\bar{c}_1 = N'_3(x_k), \quad (12)$$

$$\bar{c}_1 = N'_4(x_k), \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N'_3(x_k) &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} N_3(t) \right]_{x=x_k} \\ &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} N_3(t; x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}, z_{k-1}) \right]_{x=x_k} \\ &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} (f(x_k) + f[x_k, y_{k-1}](t - x_k) \right. \\ &\quad + f[x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}](t - x_k)(t - y_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + f[x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}, z_{k-1}](t - x_k) \\ &\quad \times (t - y_{k-1})(t - x_{k-1})) \Big]_{x=x_k} \\ &= f[x_k, y_{k-1}] + f[x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}](x_k - y_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + f[x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}, z_{k-1}](x_k - y_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N'_4(x_k) &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} N_4(t) \right]_{x=x_k} \\ &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} N_4(t; x_k, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}, z_{k-1}) \right]_{x=x_k} \\ &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} (f(x_k) + f[x_k, u_{k-1}](t - x_k) \right. \\ &\quad + f[x_k, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}](t - x_k)(t - u_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + f[x_k, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}](t - x_k) \\ &\quad \times (t - u_{k-1})(t - y_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + f[x_k, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}, z_{k-1}](t - x_k) \\ &\quad \times (t - u_{k-1})(t - y_{k-1})(t - x_{k-1})) \Big]_{x=x_k} \\ &= f[x_k, u_{k-1}] + f[x_k, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}](x_k - u_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + f[x_k, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}](x_k - u_{k-1})(x_k - y_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + f[x_k, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}, z_{k-1}](x_k - u_{k-1}) \\ &\quad \times (x_k - y_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

are Newton's interpolatory polynomial of degrees three and four, respectively. Now, the theoretical order of convergence of the methods is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If an initial approximation x_0 is sufficiently close to a simple zero ξ of $f(x)$ and the parameters p_k and q_k in the iterative scheme (8) and (10) are recursively calculated by the forms given in (12) and (13), respectively, then the R-order of convergence of methods (8) and (10) with memory is at least six and twelve, respectively.*

Proof. Before going to show the main result, we first prove the following two results, which we will use later.

Claim I. Consider

$$1 + p_k c_1 \sim \frac{c_4}{c_1} e_{k-1, y} e_{k-1, z} e_{k-1}. \quad (15)$$

Claim II. Consider

$$1 + q_k c_1 \sim -\frac{c_5}{c_1} e_{k-1, u} e_{k-1, y} e_{k-1, z} e_{k-1}. \quad (16)$$

For this, suppose that there are s nodes $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_s$ from the interval $D = [a, b]$, where a is the minimum and b is the maximum of these nodes, respectively. Then, for some $\zeta \in D$, the error expression of Newton's interpolation polynomial $N_s(t)$ of degree s is given by

$$f(t) - N_s(t) = \frac{f^{(s+1)}(\zeta)}{s+1!} \prod_{j=0}^s (t - t_j). \quad (17)$$

For $s = 3$, the above equation assumes the form (keeping in the mind $t_0 = x_k$, $t_1 = y_{k-1}$, $t_2 = x_{k-1}$, and $t_3 = z_{k-1}$)

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) - N_3(t) \\ = \frac{f^4(\zeta_3)}{4!} \{(t - x_k)(t - y_{k-1})(t - x_{k-1})(t - z_{k-1})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Differentiating (18) with respect to t and putting $t = x_k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x_k) - N'_3(x_k) \\ = \frac{f^4(\zeta_3)}{4!} \{(x_k - y_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - z_{k-1})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Now,

$$x_k - y_{k-1} = (x_k - \xi) - (y_{k-1} - \xi) = e_k - e_{k-1,y}. \quad (20)$$

Similarly,

$$x_k - x_{k-1} = e_k - e_{k-1}, \quad (21)$$

$$x_k - z_{k-1} = e_k - e_{k-1,z}.$$

Using these relations in (19) and simplifying, we get

$$N'_3(x_k) \sim c_1 + 2c_2e_k + c_4e_{k-1,y}e_{k-1,z}e_{k-1}. \quad (22)$$

And thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + p_k c_1 \\ = 1 - \frac{c_1}{N'_3(x_k)} \sim 1 - \frac{c_1}{c_1 + 2c_2e_k + c_4e_{k-1,y}e_{k-1,z}e_{k-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

or

$$1 + p_k c_1 \sim \frac{c_4}{c_1} e_{k-1,y} e_{k-1,z} e_{k-1}, \quad (24)$$

which shows the first part. Similarly, taking $s = 4$ in (17) and proceeding as in the above manner, we can prove the second relation. Now, we will prove the main result. To do this, we first assume that the R -order of convergence of sequences x_k , z_k , y_k , and u_k is at least α , α_1 , α_2 , and α_3 , respectively. Hence,

$$e_{k+1} \sim D_{k,\alpha} e_k^\alpha \sim D_{k,\alpha} (D_{k-1,\alpha} e_{k-1}^\alpha)^\alpha \sim D_{k,\alpha} D_{k-1,\alpha}^\alpha e_{k-1}^{\alpha^2}. \quad (25)$$

Similarly,

$$e_{k,z} \sim D_{k,\alpha_1} D_{k-1,\alpha}^{\alpha_1} e_{k-1}^{\alpha\alpha_1}, \quad (26)$$

$$e_{k,y} \sim D_{k,\alpha_2} D_{k-1,\alpha}^{\alpha_2} e_{k-1}^{\alpha\alpha_2}, \quad (27)$$

$$e_{k,u} \sim D_{k,\alpha_3} D_{k-1,\alpha}^{\alpha_3} e_{k-1}^{\alpha\alpha_3}. \quad (28)$$

Method I. For method (8), it can be derived that

$$e_{k,z} \sim (1 + p_k c_1) e_k,$$

$$e_{k,y} \sim M_1 (1 + p_k c_1) e_k^2, \quad \text{where } M_1 = \frac{c_2}{c_1},$$

$$e_{k+1} \sim M_2 (1 + p_k c_1)^2 e_k^4, \quad \text{where } M_2 = \frac{c_2(2c_2^2 - c_1 c_3)}{c_1^3}. \quad (29)$$

Using the expression of Claim (I) in (29), we have

$$e_{k,z} \sim \frac{c_4}{c_1} (D_{k-1,\alpha_2}) (D_{k-1,\alpha_1}) (D_{k-1,\alpha}) e_{k-1}^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha + 1}, \quad (30)$$

$$e_{k,y} \sim \frac{c_4}{c_1} M_1 (D_{k-1,\alpha_2}) (D_{k-1,\alpha_1}) (D_{k-1,\alpha}^2) e_{k-1}^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha + 1}, \quad (31)$$

$$e_{k+1} \sim \left(\frac{c_4}{c_1}\right)^2 M_2 (D_{k-1,\alpha_2}^2) (D_{k-1,\alpha_1}^2) (D_{k-1,\alpha}^4) e_{k-1}^{2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha + 1}. \quad (32)$$

Now comparing the equal powers of e_{k-1} in (26)–(30), (27)–(31), and (25)–(32), we get the following nonlinear system:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha - 1 &= 0, \\ \alpha\alpha_2 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha - 1 &= 0, \\ \alpha^2 - 2\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1 - 4\alpha - 2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

After solving these equations, we get $\alpha = 6$, $\alpha_2 = 3$, and $\alpha_1 = 2$, which confirm the convergence of method (8).

Method II. For method (10), it can be derived that

$$e_{k,z} \sim (1 + q_k c_1) e_k,$$

$$e_{k,y} \sim M_1 (1 + q_k c_1) e_k^2, \quad \text{where } M_1 = \frac{c_2}{c_1},$$

$$e_{k,u} \sim M_2 (1 + q_k c_1)^2 e_k^4, \quad \text{where } M_2 = \frac{c_2(2c_2^2 - c_1 c_3)}{c_1^3},$$

$$e_{k+1} \sim M_3 (1 + q_k c_1)^4 e_k^8,$$

$$\text{where } M_3 = \frac{c_2(2c_2^2 - c_1 c_3)(2c_2^4 - c_1^2 c_2^2 c_3 - c_1^2 c_3^2 + c_1 c_2 c_4)}{c_1^3}. \quad (34)$$

Using the expression of Claim (II) in (34), we have

$$e_{k,z} \sim \left(-\frac{c_5}{c_1}\right) (D_{k-1,\alpha_3}) (D_{k-1,\alpha_2}) (D_{k-1,\alpha_1}) \quad (35)$$

$$\times (D_{k-1,\alpha}) e_{k-1}^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha + 1},$$

$$e_{k,y} \sim \left(-\frac{c_5}{c_1}\right) M_1 (D_{k-1,\alpha_3}) (D_{k-1,\alpha_2}) (D_{k-1,\alpha_1}) \quad (36)$$

$$\times (D_{k-1,\alpha}^2) e_{k-1}^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha + 1},$$

$$e_{k,u} \sim \left(-\frac{c_5}{c_1}\right)^2 M_2 (D_{k-1,\alpha_3}^2) (D_{k-1,\alpha_2}^2) (D_{k-1,\alpha_1}^2) \quad (37)$$

$$\times (D_{k-1,\alpha}^4) e_{k-1}^{2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha + 1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k+1} \sim \left(-\frac{c_5}{c_1}\right)^4 M_3 (D_{k-1,\alpha_3}^4) (D_{k-1,\alpha_2}^4) (D_{k-1,\alpha_1}^4) \\ \times (D_{k-1,\alpha}^8) e_{k-1}^{4\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_1 + 8\alpha + 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

TABLE 1: Numerical results for nonlinear equations.

Method	Function	$ x_1 - \xi $	$ x_2 - \xi $ $x_0 = 0.35$	$ x_3 - \xi $ $p_0 = 0.01$	COC $\xi = 0$
(8) without memory	$f_1(x)$	$0.85173e - 2$	$0.12817e - 6$	$0.57565e - 26$	4.0057
(10) without memory		$0.12355e - 2$	$0.13471e - 20$	$0.25710e - 164$	8.0016
(8) with memory		$0.55455e - 2$	$0.47078e - 16$	$0.13739e - 95$	5.6543
(10) with memory		$0.54176e - 3$	$0.18424e - 36$	$0.69332e - 436$	11.934
			$x_0 = 0.6$	$p_0 = 0.01$	$\xi = 0$
(8) without memory	$f_2(x)$	$0.49825e + 2$	I	I	—
(10) without memory		$0.49825e + 2$	I	I	—
(8) with memory		$0.79599e - 1$	$0.63127e - 7$	$0.67966e - 44$	6.0933
(10) with memory		$0.52381e - 1$	$0.17004e - 15$	$0.90974e - 186$	11.734
			$x_0 = 5.0$	$p_0 = 0.01$	$\xi = 1$
(8) without memory	$f_3(x)$	$0.14237e + 1$	$0.56969e + 0$	$0.41903e - 1$	0.65246
(10) without memory		$0.38340e + 0$	$0.32526e + 0$	$0.32330e - 4$	9.9985
(8) with memory		$0.75481e + 0$	$0.94847e - 2$	$0.13693e - 11$	4.5983
(10) with memory		$0.22702e + 0$	$0.51445e - 8$	$0.13746e - 98$	11.712

Again comparing the equal powers of e_{k-1} in (26)–(35), (27)–(36), and (28)–(37) and (25)–(38), we get the following nonlinear system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha\alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha - 1 &= 0, \\
 \alpha\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha - 1 &= 0, \\
 \alpha\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1 - 4\alpha - 2 &= 0, \\
 \alpha^2 - 4\alpha_3 - 4\alpha_2 - 4\alpha_1 - 8\alpha - 4 &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

After solving these equations, we get $\alpha = 12$, $\alpha_3 = 6$, $\alpha_2 = 3$, and $\alpha_1 = 2$ and hence we have the second part. Thus, the proof is completed. $\square$

3. Application to Nonlinear Equations

In this section, we apply the proposed methods to solve some smooth as well as nonsmooth nonlinear equations. Here, we demonstrate the convergence behavior of the methods with and without memory. Numerical computations reported here have been carried out in a Mathematica 8.0 environment. Table 1 shows the absolute value of the difference of the exact root ξ and approximated root x_k , where the exact root is computed with 1000 significant digits (digits := 1000). To check the theoretical order of convergence, we calculate the computational order of convergence (COC) using the following formula:

$$\text{COC} = \frac{\ln(|f(x_k)/f(x_{k-1})|)}{\ln(|f(x_{k-1})/f(x_{k-2})|)}. \tag{40}$$

For this purpose, we consider the following three test functions (taken from [25, 26]):

$$f_1(x) = e^{(x^2-3x)} \cdot \sin(x) + \log(x^2 + 1),$$

$$f_2(x) = e^{(x^2+x\cos(x)-1)} \sin(\pi x) + x \log(x \sin(x) + 1), \tag{41}$$

$$f_3(x) = \begin{cases} x(x+1), & x < 0 \\ -2x(x-1), & x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

In the table, Aeh stand for $A \times 10^h$ and I is indeterminate. It is obvious from the table that the proposed methods give better results.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, the efficiency of the existing method has been improved by employing information from the current and previous iteration without any additional evaluation of the function. The efficiency index of the proposed methods is $6^{1/3} = 1.8171$ and $12^{1/4} = 1.8612$ which are higher than efficiency index $4^{1/3} = 1.5874$ and $8^{1/4} = 1.6818$ of the existing methods, respectively. The proposed methods are also tested on some numerical examples. The numerical results show that proposed method is very useful to find an acceptable approximation of the exact solution of nonlinear equations.

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referees and the editor for their useful technical comments and valuable suggestions to improve

the readability of the paper, which led to the significant improvement of the paper. The author is also grateful to National Board for Higher Mathematics, Department of Atomic Energy, Mumbai, India, for sanctioning research project.

References

- [1] A. S. Al-Fhaid, S. Shateyi, M. Z. Ullah, and F. Soleymani, "A matrix iteration for finding Drazin inverse with ninth-order convergence," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 137486, 7 pages, 2014.
- [2] F. Patrulescu, "Steffensen type methods for approximating solutions of differential equations," *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai—Series Informatica*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 505–513, 2011.
- [3] F. Soleymani, "On a fast iterative method for approximate inverse of matrices," *Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 407–418, 2013.
- [4] F. Soleymani, T. Lotfi, and P. Bakhtiari, "A multi-step class of iterative methods for nonlinear systems," *Optimization Letters*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1001–1015, 2014.
- [5] J. M. Ortega and W. C. Rheinboldt, *Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1970.
- [6] J. R. Torregrosa, I. K. Argyros, C. Chun, A. Cordero, and F. Soleymani, "Iterative methods for nonlinear equations or systems and their applications," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 656953, 2 pages, 2013.
- [7] M. Grau-Sánchez, A. Grau, and M. Noguera, "Ostrowski type methods for solving systems of nonlinear equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 6, pp. 2377–2385, 2011.
- [8] R. L. Barden and J. D. Faires, *Numerical Analysis*, PWS Publishing Company, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [9] H. T. Kung and J. F. Traub, "Optimal order of one-point and multipoint iteration," *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, vol. 21, pp. 643–651, 1974.
- [10] A. M. Owtrowski, *Solution of Equations and Systems of Equations*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
- [11] J. F. Traub, *Iterative Methods for Solution of Equations*, Prentice-Hall, New York, NY, USA, 1964.
- [12] A. Singh and J. P. Jaiswal, "Several new third-order and fourth-order iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations," *International Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, vol. 2014, Article ID 828409, 11 pages, 2014.
- [13] D. Jain, "Families of Newton-like methods with fourth-order convergence," *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. 1072–1082, 2013.
- [14] F. Soleymani, S. K. Khattri, and S. K. Vanani, "Two new classes of optimal Jarratt-type fourth-order methods," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 847–853, 2012.
- [15] J. P. Jaiswal, "Some class of third- and fourth-order iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2014, Article ID 817656, 17 pages, 2014.
- [16] M. A. Hafiz and M. S. Bahgat, "Solving nonsmooth equations using family of derivative-free optimal methods," *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 38–43, 2013.
- [17] R. Behl, V. Kanwar, and K. K. Sharma, "Optimal equi-scaled families of Jarratt's method," *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 408–422, 2013.
- [18] A. Cordero and J. R. Torregrosa, "A class of Steffensen type methods with optimal order of convergence," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 19, pp. 7653–7659, 2011.
- [19] F. Soleymani, "Efficient optimal eighth-order derivative-free methods for nonlinear equations," *Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 287–306, 2013.
- [20] J. P. Jaiswal and S. Panday, "An efficient optimal-eighth order iterative method for solving nonlinear equations," *Universal Journal of Computational Mathematics*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 83–95, 2013.
- [21] M. A. Hafiz, "Solving nonlinear equations using Steffensen-type methods with optimal order of convergence," *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 113–119, 2014.
- [22] A. Cordero, T. Lotfi, P. Bakhtiari, and J. R. Torregrosa, "An efficient two-parametric family with memory for nonlinear equations," *Numerical Algorithms*, 2014.
- [23] J. Džunić, "On efficient two-parameter methods for solving nonlinear equations," *Numerical Algorithms*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 549–569, 2013.
- [24] J. R. Sharma and P. Gupta, "On some efficient derivative free methods with and without memory for solving nonlinear equations," *International Journal of Computational Methods*, vol. 12, no. 1, Article ID 1350093, 28 pages, 2014.
- [25] T. Lotfi and E. Tavakoli, "On a new efficient steffensen-like iterative class by applying a suitable self-accelerator parameter," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2014, Article ID 769758, 9 pages, 2014.
- [26] A. Cordero, J. L. Hueso, E. Martínez, and J. R. Torregrosa, "A new technique to obtain derivative-free optimal iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 252, pp. 95–102, 2013.